EMERGING TOWNS & CITIES SINGAPORE LTD.

(Company Registration Number: 198003839Z)

Annex A

Questions Raised by Shareholders at the Company's Annual General Meeting Held on 23 April 2019 in Relation to the Resolutions and the Responses in Relation Thereto

NO.	QUESTIONS	RESPONSES
Pres	entation on the overview of the Company and u	pdates in relation to the Company
1.	Shareholder A queried if the revenue recognised and recorded was 50% or 100% of the price of a unit price when a buyer pays a minimum of 50% for the unit.	Mr Tan Thiam Hee (" Mr Tan "), Group Chief Executive Officer and Executive Director, stated that based on the given scenario, the Company would recognise revenue based on 100% of the net price of the unit.
	<u>olution 1 – Adoption of the Directors' Statement</u> ncial year ended 31 December 2018	and the Audited Financial Statements for the
ma		
1.	Shareholder B raised several issues regarding the	e Company's financial statements, as follows:
	i. In relation to the Group's profit and loss statement ("P&L"), Shareholder B noted that whilst the Company owns 49% of the Golden City project, the recorded net profit attributable to shareholders of the Company, compared to the recorded net profit for the year, was less than proportionate to the percentage owned by the Company.	 Mr Joseph Lim ("Mr Lim"), Group Chief Financial Officer of the Company, directed the shareholders to the presentation slides in relation to the Group's structure. He highlighted that although the Company owns 49% of the Golden City project and it should be entitled to 49% of the profit, the Company would also need to account for the expenses of the Company and its other entities which are non-revenue generating. This resulted in a lower recorded profit attributable to shareholders of the Company compared to the expected 49% of the total profit. Mr Tan explained that the Company had less than 50% of the profit from the project due to its 49% ownership. Mr Tan noted that in the P&L, the expenses from the disposal of Cedar Properties Pte. Ltd. as well as the costs attached to the listed Company had been included. Mr Tan further stated that after taking into account these expenses, the profit attributable to the Group would be less than the original 49% of profit from Golden Land Real Estate Development Co., Ltd. ("Golden Land"). Mr Tan stated that when they acquired the project, there was some goodwill as construction for phase 1 was near completion whereas construction for phase 2 of the project had not started. As such, there would be a difference in terms of the premium as well as the profit obtained. Mr Tan further stated that the management has been prudent in keeping the costs low, but there are some overhead costs that the Company would not be able to avoid and the Management would need to consider the cash flow of the Company to ensure that

		remaining phases of the project.
ii.	Shareholder B asked about the interest rate relating to the imputed interest expense on accrued land lease premium and how the Company accrues the imputed interest expense.	 ii. Mr Lim explained that the imputed interest expense on accrued land lease premium of the financial year ended 31 December 20 was S\$3.8 million. Mr Lim stated that the imputed interest expense is related to the accrued land least premium, which was derived from the annual payments made by Golden City ow the lease term, which was recognised as financial liability measured at amortised constrained, the imputed interest expenses are expenses related to the unwinding discount relating to the present value of the annual lease payments for the last purchased by Golden City. Mr Tan added that in Myanmar, there are two main types of land, namely: (i) leasehor land; and (ii) build, operate and transfer lang ("BOT"). Golden City is built on BOT land
		which cannot be purchased and Gold Land would have to pay for the lea annually. Mr Tan further stated that in ord for the shareholders to have a clear an complete picture, the Company had accrue for this in the accounts and as such the interest expenses were imputed an recorded. This accounting treatment we also proposed by the auditors during the course of their audit to comply with the relevant standards.
iii.	Shareholder B asked whether the interest would increase or decrease with time.	iii. Mr Tan explained that in determining the interest rate, the management would had to discuss with the auditors based on the situation in Myanmar, though the imputer interest expense on accrued land lease premium is unlikely to fluctuate.
iv.	Shareholder B queried the reason for not including the imputed interest in the third quarter results announcement.	iv. Mr Tan noted that following the full ye financial results announcement and aft discussions with the auditors of the Company, there were some au adjustments proposed by the auditors the were due to, among others, the adoption the new accounting standard. Su changes were technical in nature.
V.	Shareholder B queried how the Company intends to deal with its current cash flow situation.	v. Mr Tan stated that if one were to speak any developer operating in Myanmar, th would be informed of the difficulties obtaining financing in Myanmar. Mr Ta noted that it had become harder to secu financing in Myanmar and more so for th Group since it currently only has one proje in Myanmar.
		Mr Tan explained that the Group would able to remove the major constraint

		financing and answer the questions raised by the potential investors in relation to the loan owed by the Group to Mr Luo Shandong (" Mr Luo ") through the extension of the conversion right under the convertible loan agreement (" CLA ") entered into between the Company and Mr Luo under resolution 12. Mr Tan further explained that through resolution 12, the potential investors would be able to obtain the clarity which they sought.
	vi. Shareholder B asked whether the Company will be able to persuade Mr Luo to exercise his right to convert the loan owed by the Company and whether the Company will consider the partial conversion of the loan.	 vi. Mr Tan explained that the Company has the right to require Mr Luo to convert the outstanding loan to shares at the expiry of the CLA on 25 April 2019. Mr Tan informed the shareholders that the Company had previously provided an undertaking to Singapore Exchange Regulation Pte. Ltd. ("SGX Regco") that when Mr Luo exercises his right under the CLA, the Company is to redeem a portion of the CLA in cash such that at any point in time, Mr Luo will not hold more than 10% of the enlarged share capital of the Company or will not become the single largest shareholder of the Company as a direct consequence of Mr Luo's exercise of his right under the CLA. As such, Mr Tan noted that it would not be favourable for the Company at this time to convert part of the loan to shares and to pay the remaining in cash. Mr Tan further explained that this would not be ideal as it would impact the cash flow of the Company. The second constraint was that the conversion price, which is \$\$0.09, is comparatively higher than the current market price. Mr Tan informed the shareholders that Mr Luo is not willing to explore the idea of conversion at this time and as such he had agreed to the extension. Mr Tan assured the shareholders that the conversion price the idea for the extension.
Beer	lution C. De clastion of Maline Iven Views Of	management will continue to seek alternative fundraising options for the Company to deal with this situation.
	<u>plution 5 – Re-election of Mr Lim Jun Xiong Staulation 94 of the Company's Constitution</u>	even as Director, who shall retire pursuant to
1.	Shareholder C noted that there are two Chief Financial Officers (" CFOs ") in the Group, one who is based in Myanmar and another based in Singapore. The shareholder queried if there is a need for the Company to have two CFOs when it only has one project.	Mr Tan explained that the two CFOs play different roles as Mr Lim is based in Singapore and is in charge of the Group's compliance, especially with the listing rules, while Mr William Lau (" Mr Lau "), who is based in Myanmar, plays more of an operational role, responsible for the finance function for the Myanmar project. Mr Tan further added that the Company would not want to risk the operations of the Company and will continue to explore other feasible ways to reduce costs.

	Shareholder A noted that it is sufficient for the Company to only employ one CFO in Singapore and the Company has the option to employ Mr Lau as a manager instead of a CFO.	
1.	Shareholder C queried if the directors' fees had increased from last year.	Mr Ang Mong Seng (the " Chairman "), Non- Executive and Independent Group Chairman, replied that there was no increase in the proposed directors' fees. The Chairman further explained that last year, the directors' fees paid out were about S\$170,000 while this year the directors' fees estimated to be paid are about S\$150,000 as one of the Independent Directors, Dr Choong Chow Siong, had retired due to health reasons and as such the fees to be paid out this year will be less than fees paid last year.
Reso	lution 11 – Proposed renewal of the Share Pur	chase Mandate
1.	Shareholder C raised several issues in relation to	o the share purchase mandate, as follows:
	 Shareholder C asked for the reason for the renewal of the share purchase mandate when the Company has issues in relation to its cash flow. 	 Mr Tan explained that when the Company started this scheme, the Company did not have any cash flow constraints and the reason for the renewal now was to save costs rather than tabling the share purchase mandate again in the future after it lapses and incurring additional higher costs. Mr Tan further explained that the renewal was being sought to ensure flexibility for the Company. Mr Tan further stated that the Company currently does not have any plan to conduct any share buy-back.
	ii. Shareholder C queried the purpose of the share purchase mandate and whether it is to enhance the value of the shares.	 ii. Mr Tan stated that the Company's net asset value ("NAV") per share was around S\$0.12 and as such, if the Company's cash flow allows, it may conduct a share purchase with a view to encourage the share price to reflect its true value.
	iii. Shareholder C asked why the current share price is S\$0.03 as compared to the NAV per share.	iii. Mr Tan explained that the Company does not control the share price as that is determined by the market.
	 iv. Shareholder C noted that the Company had reported a profit in its financial statements and stated that the Company should encourage shareholders to hold onto their shares by declaring a dividend. Shareholder C queried on the rationale behind the Company's decision to grant 	iv. Mr Tan explained that profit does not equal to positive cash flow and the reason the Company was able to record the profit was due to revenue recognition on the handover of units. However, Mr Tan noted that the Group recognises income even though there were portions of the proceeds from the

performance incentive shares declare dividends despite recorded.	
v. Shareholder C queried on h Company would need before able to improve its cash flow the current cash flow situation	it would be answer as to how long the Company's and whether current cash flow situation will last but that

		The Chairman informed the shareholders that the Board has been doing its best and will continue to do so, and hopes to be able to declare dividends to the shareholders in the future. The Chairman added that the Company's interests are aligned with the shareholders. The Chairman further reiterated that the Company is still negotiating with potential investors and will inform the shareholders on the details once available.
2.	Shareholder D queried if the Company has any plans to enter into joint ventures.	The Chairman explained that the Board has been meeting to discuss on the Company's future plans and has been in discussions with potential investors. The Chairman further added that the Board looks forward to announcing the plans to the shareholders once such plans have been confirmed.