

February 14, 2023

To,
Market Control Securities
Operations Group
Singapore Exchange Securities Trading
Limited
4, Shenton way
19 – 00 SGX Centre 1
Singapore 068804.

Sub: Approval of resolution plan for Sintex Industries Limited (the “Corporate Debtor” / “Company”) by the Hon’ble National Company Law Tribunal, Ahmedabad Bench (the “NCLT”) pursuant to its order dated 10th February, 2023 under Section 31 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (the “IBC”).

Ref.: Disclosure pursuant to Regulation 30(2) read with Clauses 16(l) to 16(p) of Para A of Part A of Schedule III of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, as amended (“Listing Regulations”) & Regulation 37(7) of the Listing Regulations; and

Regulation 3(2) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Delisting of Equity Shares) Regulations, 2021, as amended (“Delisting Regulations”)

Dear Sirs/Madam,

We had, vide our disclosure dated 10th February, 2023, informed you that the Hon’ble NCLT has orally pronounced an order on 10th February, 2023, approving the resolution plan submitted jointly by Reliance Industries Limited (“RIL”) and Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Limited, in its capacity as trustee of the ACRE– 114 Trust (a trust set up and managed by Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Limited under the SARFAESI Act, 2002) (“ACRE”) (referred together as “Resolution Applicants” and each shall individually be referred to as a “Resolution Applicant”) with respect to the corporate insolvency resolution process of the Corporate Debtor (such plan, the “Resolution Plan”) under Section 31 of the IBC (“Order”).

Pursuant to Regulation 30(2) read with Clauses 16(l) to 16(p) of Para A of Part A of Schedule III of the Listing Regulations, Regulation 37(7) of the Listing Regulations and Regulation 3(2) of the Delisting Regulations, the specific features and details of the Resolution Plan as approved by the Hon’ble NCLT (Order uploaded on NCLT website on 13th February 2023), not including commercial secrets, are provided below:

- I. Pre and Post net worth of the company:** Pre net worth is negative as on 31 December 2022 and the same is approximately INR (21.34) Crore. After the Resolution Plan is implemented, i.e. after accounting for the impairment loss and infusion of funds, as indicated in the Resolution Plan, the net worth is expected to be negative and the same will be approximately INR (3,373.70) Crore
- II. Details of assets of the company post CIRP:** The Assets of the Company are proposed to be retained and business operations continued.
- III. Details of securities continuing to be imposed on the companies' assets:** The security on the Company's assets will be released upon payment to the financial creditors in accordance with the terms of the Resolution Plan. The Company may create security on the Assets for the loans to be availed as per the Resolution Plan.

SINTEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED (Yarn Division)

119, Kalasagar Shopping Hub, 1st Floor, Opp. Sai Baba Temple, Sattadhar,
Ahmedabad - 380061, Gujarat, India. Ph: +91-79-27400500, E-mail: share@sintex.co.in

Registered Office: Sintex Industries Limited, Kalol - 382721, Dist.: Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India.



www.sintex.in

IV. Other material liabilities imposed on the company: There are no other material liabilities imposed on the Corporate Debtor.

V. Detailed pre and post shareholding pattern assuming 100%, conversion of convertible securities: Pre shareholding pattern as on 31 December 2022, is enclosed in **Annexure A**. Shareholding pattern of the Corporate Debtor post the implementation of the Resolution Plan is enclosed in **Annexure B**.

VI. Details of funds infused in the company, creditors paid-off:

A. Fund infused in the Corporate Debtor:

- INR 2,943,71,33,143 will be arranged by the Resolution Applicants from third-party lender(s) as a loan to the Corporate Debtor for the purposes of implementation of the Resolution Plan and meeting the Corporate Debtor's working capital and capital expenditure requirements;
- The Resolution Applicants will infuse equity share capital and quasi equity for the purposes of implementation of the Resolution Plan and for meeting the Corporate Debtor's additional working capital and capital expenditure / expansion requirements.

B. Summary of payments to be made to all creditors under the Resolution Plan:

Title	Description
Payments to financial creditors	• INR 2,860,28,57,143 to be paid to financial creditors as upfront cash payment. • Allotment of 171,42,85,714 equity shares of INR 1/- to assenting secured financial creditors by converting a part of the financial debt such that their aggregate shareholding is 20% of the equity share capital of the Corporate Debtor. • INR 85,71,42,857 to be paid to financial creditors by ACRE-114 Trust as consideration for assignment of balance Financial Debt in favour of ACRE-114 Trust. • Proceeds, if any, received from insurance companies in relation to claims pertaining to cyclone tauktae, on actual basis as a pass through based on receipt from the insurance companies; • Cash and cash equivalent, after adjusting for any unpaid insolvency resolution process costs, on actual basis, available with the Corporate Debtor for the period from 6 April 2021 till date of approval of Resolution Plan by Committee of Creditors (i.e., 19 March 2022) as determined and verified by a firm of chartered accountants of repute.
Payments to governmental authorities	An amount of upto INR 18,000 paid on a pro-rata basis
Payments to workmen and employees	An amount of upto INR 10,90,76,000 on a pro rata basis

SINTEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED (Yarn Division)

119, Kalasagar Shopping Hub, 1st Floor, Opp. Sai Baba Temple, Sattadhar,
Ahmedabad - 380061, Gujarat, India. Ph: +91-79-27400500, E-mail: share@sintex.co.in

Registered Office: Sintex Industries Limited, Kalol - 382721, Dist.: Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India.



www.sintex.in

Title	Description
Payments to other operational creditors	An amount of upto INR 72,51,82,000 on a pro rata basis
Shareholders/members of the promoter group	NIL

VII. Additional liability on the incoming investors due to the transaction, source of such funding etc.: No additional liability will be imposed on the Resolution Applicants except as mentioned in para VI above.

VIII. Impact on the investor-revised P/E, RONW ratios etc.: Not Applicable.

IX. Names of the new promoters, key managerial persons(s), if any and their past experience in the business or employment. In case where promoters are companies, history of such company and names of natural persons in control:

Upon completion of the implementation of the Resolution Plan: (i) the Resolution Applicants (directly or indirectly) shall be the majority shareholders and in joint control of the Corporate Debtor; (ii) the Corporate Debtor shall be owned, controlled, operated and managed in the manner determined by the Resolution Applicants in their discretion; (iii) directors, key managerial personnel and officers of the Corporate Debtor shall be nominated and / or appointed by the Resolution Applicants.

RIL, incorporated on 8 May 1973, is a listed public company having its registered office at 3rd Floor, Maker Chamber IV, 222 Nariman Point, Mumbai – 400 021, Maharashtra. RIL is a significant player in the global integrated energy value chain with business interests in the areas of petroleum refining, petrochemical manufacturing and oil and gas. Shri Mukesh D. Ambani is the Promoter and Chairman and Managing Director of RIL.

ACRE (acting in its capacity as trustee of ACRE-114-Trust) is an Asset Reconstruction Company ("ARC") established under the provisions of the SARFAESI Act, 2002. It is one of the first ARC's in India and is engaged in the business of acquisition of non-performing assets from banks and financial institutions and their resolution as per guidelines stipulated by the Reserve Bank of India. ACRE is a professionally managed enterprise with no natural person who is in control. Ms. Neeta Mukerji is a wholetime director & CEO of ACRE having powers of management of the whole, or substantially the whole, of the affairs of the company, subject to superintendence, control and direction of the board of directors.

X. Brief description of business strategy:

Currently, the Corporate Debtor is operating at less than its optimal capacity. With RIL's relevant experience across textile value chain and ACRE's domain knowledge in resolution of stressed assets, they will bring efficiencies through gap identification and process controls and strengthen the management of the Corporate Debtor.

XI. Any other material information not involving commercial secrets: Save and except as stated above, there is no other material information.

XII. Proposed steps to be taken by the incoming investor/acquirer for achieving the MPS: Not applicable.

XIII. Quarterly disclosure of the status of achieving the MPS: Not applicable



SINTEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED (Yarn Division)

119, Kalasagar Shopping Hub, 1st Floor, Opp. Sai Baba Temple, Sattadhar,
Ahmedabad - 380061, Gujarat, India. Ph: +91-79-27400500, E-mail: share@sintex.co.in

Registered Office: Sintex Industries Limited, Kalol - 382721, Dist.: Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India.

www.sintex.in

XIV. The details as to the delisting plans, approved in the resolution plan:

Extracts from the relevant clauses of the Resolution Plan on the delisting of the Corporate Debtor are as under:

"As an integral part of this Plan, on and with effect from the date of the delisting prescribed by the Stock Exchanges and for all purposes, the Corporate Debtor shall stand delisted in accordance with Regulation 3(2)(b)(i) of the Delisting Regulations. Upon such delisting, the Corporate Debtor shall stand converted to an unlisted public limited company.

The Liquidation Value of the Corporate Debtor is not expected to be sufficient to cover debt of the Financial Creditors of the Corporate Debtor in full. Therefore, the Liquidation Value of the equity shareholder will be NIL and they will not be entitled to receive any payment and hence no offer will be made to any shareholder of the Corporate Debtor.

The Stock Exchanges shall be bound by the Plan and shall take all necessary action to delist the Corporate Debtor in accordance with Plan read with Regulation 3(2) of the Delisting Regulations and shall pass necessary orders/ directions to this effect.

The delisting of Equity Shares (a) shall be applicable to all the shareholders of the Corporate Debtor; (b) shall be pursuant to the NCLT Order approving the Plan; (c) shall not require any other procedure as required under the 2013 Act or other Applicable Law, including under Section 66 of the 2013 Act or regulations of the SEBI; and (d) shall not require the consent of any of the creditors or shareholders of the Corporate Debtor (since the Plan upon being approved by the NCLT shall be binding on the Corporate Debtor and its stakeholders (including its creditors and shareholders))."

The Company will be applying to the stock exchanges for delisting of equity shares of the Company.

Copy of the Order as uploaded on the NCLT official website is enclosed as **Annexure C**.

This is for your information and record.

Thanking you,

Yours truly,
For **Sintex Industries Limited**

Hitesh T. Mehta
Company Secretary



1	Name of Listed Entity: SINTEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED	Annexure - I
2	Scrip Code : BSE - Equity-502742, NSE - Equity-Sintex EQ	SHAREHOLDING PATTERN
	Name of Scrip : Equity Shares	
	Class of Security : N.A.	
3	Share Holding Pattern Filed under: Reg. 31(1)(b)	
	a. If under 31(1)(b) then indicate the report for Quarter ending 31.12.2022	
	b. If under 31(1)(c) then indicate date of allotment/extinguishment :	
4	Declaration: The Listed entity is required to submit the following declaration to the extent of submission of information:-	
Sr. No.	Particulars	Yes* No*
1	Whether the Listed Entity has issued any partly paid up shares?	✓
2	Whether the Listed Entity has issued any Convertible Securities or Warrants?	✓
3	Whether the Listed Entity has any shares against which depository receipts are issued?	✓
4	Whether the Listed Entity has any shares in locked-in?	✓
5	Whether any shares held by promoters are pledge or otherwise encumbered?	✓
	* If the Listed Entity selects the option 'No' for the questions above, the columns for the partly paid up shares, Outstanding Convertible Securities/Warrants, depository receipts, locked-in shares, No of shares pledged or otherwise encumbered by promoters, as applicable, shall not be displayed at the time of dissemination on the Stock Exchange website. Also wherever there is 'No' declared by Listed Entity in above table the values will be considered as 'Zero' by default on submission of the format of holding of specified securities.	
	Note 1 : The Company has issued USD 110 MN Foreign Currency Convertible Bonds (FCCBs) which are convertible at the option of the Bondholder at a conversion price of Rs.93.8125 per Equity Share (INR67.4463 =US\$ 1.00 as on May 20 2016). Thereafter the Company has issued a notice dated September 15, 2016 announcing adjustment to the Conversion Price of the Bonds in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Bonds and accordingly, the Conversion Price adjusted from the earlier price of INR 93.8125, to INR 92.16 w.e.f. September 14, 2016 and the number of shares underlying outstanding FCCBs were 47,56,970 of Rs. 1/- each.	
	Note 2 : The FCCB Committee has allotted 7,57,45,341 Equity Shares of Re. 1/- each on conversion of US \$ 10,35,00,000 aggregate principal amount of FCCB Bonds into Equity Shares till date.	
	Note 3 : Pursuant to the order of Honble Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT) dated November 30, 2022, suspension of trading in the equity shares was revoked w.e.f. December 12, 2022.	
5	The tabular format for disclosure of holding of specified securities is as follows:-	

Table I - Summary statement holding of specified securities

Category (i)	Category of shareholder (ii)	Nos of shareholders (iii)	No of fully paid-up equity shares held (iv)	No of Partly paid-up equity shares held (v)	No of shares underlying Depository Receipts (vi)	Total nos. shares held (vii) = (iv)+(v)+(vi)	Shareholding as a % of total no. of shares (calculated as per SCRR, 1957) (viii) As a % of (A+B+C2)	Number of Voting Rights held in each class of securities (ix)			No of shares Underlying Outstanding convertible securities (including Warrants) (x)	Shareholding, as a % assuming full conversion of convertible securities (as a percentage of diluted share capital) (xi)=(vii)+(x) As a % of (A+B+C2)	Number of Locked in shares (xii)		Number of shares pledged or otherwise encumbered (xiii)		Number of equity shares held in dematerialized form (xiv)
								Equity Share	No of Voting Rights Class eg: Y	Total (A+B+C)			No. (a)	As a % of total shares held (b)	No. (a)	As a % of total shares held (b)	
(A)	Promoter & Promoter Group	14	23965563	0	0	23965563	4.00	23965563	0	23965563	4.00	3.97	0	0.00	0	0.00	23965563
(B)	Public	443742	575249399	0	0	575249399	96.00	575249399	0	575249399	96.00	96.03	0	0	NA	NA	573421711
(C)	Non Promoter- Non Public	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	NA	NA	0
(C1)	Shares underlying DRs	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	NA	NA	0
(C2)	Shares held by Employee Trusts	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	NA	NA	0
	Total	443756	599217962			599217962	100	599217962	0	599217962	100	100.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	597390274

Table II - Statement showing shareholding pattern of the Promoter and Promoter Group

(1)	(a)	Category & Name of Shareholder (I)	PAN (II)	No. of Shareholder (III)	No. of fully paid up equity shares held (IV)	Partly paid up equity shares held (V)	Nos. of shares underlying Depository Receipts (VI)	Total nos. shares held (VII)= (IV)+(V)+(VI)	Shareholding % calculated as per SCRR, 1957 As a % of (A+B+C2) (VIII)	(IX)			No. of Shares Underlying Outstanding convertible securities (including Warrants) (X)	Shareholding, as a % assuming full conversion of convertible securities (as a percentage of diluted share capital) (XI)= (X)/(A+B+C2)	(XII)		(XIII)		Number of equity shares held in dematerialized form (XIV)	
										Number of Voting Rights held in each class of securities					Total as a % of Total Voting rights	No. (a)	As a % of total shares held (b)	No. (a)		As a % of total shares held (b)
										Equity Share	Class Y	Total								
		Indian Individuals/ Hindu undivided Family			9	3004149	0	3004149	0.50	3004149	0	3004149	0.50	0.50	0	0	0	0	3004149	
		Pranay Arunprasad Patel	ADSP55882A		758830	0	0	758830	0.13	758830	0	758830	0.13	0.13	0	0	0	0	758830	
		Rahulbhai Patel	ABGP66268C		497090	0	0	497090	0.08	497090	0	497090	0.08	0.08	0	0	0	0	497090	
		ABGP66259B			398425	0	0	398425	0.07	398425	0	398425	0.07	0.07	0	0	0	0	398425	
		Deval Rahul Patel	ADPP7895B		262500	0	0	262500	0.04	262500	0	262500	0.04	0.04	0	0	0	0	262500	
		Leena Arunprasad Patel	ABGP66529G		177970	0	0	177970	0.03	177970	0	177970	0.03	0.03	0	0	0	0	177970	
		Arunprasad Pushkottamas Patel	ABGP66270N		327710	0	0	327710	0.05	327710	0	327710	0.05	0.05	0	0	0	0	327710	
		Kilavathi Patel	ABRP5032D		290536	0	0	290536	0.05	290536	0	290536	0.05	0.05	0	0	0	0	290536	
		AEPP0513C			225468	0	0	225468	0.04	225468	0	225468	0.04	0.04	0	0	0	0	225468	
		Poonam Pranay Patel	AAXP3196M		65620	0	0	65620	0.01	65620	0	65620	0.01	0.01	0	0	0	0	65620	
(b)		Central Government / State		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(c)		Government(s)		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(d)		Financial Institutions / Banks		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
		Any Other - Bodies Corporate		5	20964414	0	0	20964414	3.50	20964414	0	20964414	3.50	3.47	0	0.00	0	0.00	20964414	
		BVM Finance Private Limited	AAACB3589J		11303905	0	0	11303905	1.89	11303905	0	11303905	1.89	1.87	0	0	0	0.00	11303905	
		Opel Securities Private Limited	AAAC02276P		4223452	0	0	4223452	0.70	4223452	0	4223452	0.70	0.70	0	0.00	0	0.00	4223452	
		Kolon Investment Pvt. Ltd.	AAAC9118L		4377767	0	0	4377767	0.73	4377767	0	4377767	0.73	0.72	0	0.00	0	0.00	4377767	
		Star Line Leasing Ltd.	AAAC57851A		262500	0	0	262500	0.04	262500	0	262500	0.04	0.04	0	0	0	0	262500	
		Som Shiva (Impex) Limited	AAAC57803E		796790	0	0	796790	0.13	796790	0	796790	0.13	0.13	0	0	0	0	796790	
		Prominent Plastics Limited	AAACF2800Q	14	23968563	0	0	23968563	4.00	23968563	0	23968563	4.00	3.97	0	0.00	0	0.00	23968563	
		Sub-Total (A)(1)		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(2)		Foreign		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(a)		Individuals (Non-Resident Individuals/ Foreign Individuals)		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(b)		Government		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(c)		Institutions		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(d)		Foreign Portfolio Investor		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(e)		Any Other (specify)		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
(f)		Sub-Total (A)(2)		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	
		Total Shareholding of Promoter and Promoter Group (A)= (A)(1)+(A)(2)		14	23968563	0	0	23968563	4.00	23968563	0	23968563	4.00	3.97	0	0.00	0	0.00	23968563	
Total number of Shares which remain unclaimed may be shown here, along with details such as number of shareholders, outstanding shares held in demat/unclaimed suspense account, voting rights which are frozen etc.																				

Details of Shares which remain unclaimed may be given hear along with details such as number of shareholders, outstanding shares held in demat/unclaimed suspense account, voting rights which are frozen etc.

Note:

- PAN would not be displayed on website of Stock Exchange(s)
- The term 'Encumbrance' has the same meaning as assigned under regulation 28(3) of SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 2011.

Table III - Statement showing shareholding pattern of the Public shareholder

Category & Name of Shareholder (I)	PAN (II)	No. of Share holder (III)	No. of fully paid up equity shares held (IV)	Partly paid-up equity shares held (V)	Nos. of underlying equity shares held (VI)	Total nos. shares held (VII) (V+VI)	Shareholding % calculated as per SCRR, 1957 (VIII) (A+B+C2) (VII)/(A+B+C3) (VIII)	Number of Voting Rights held in each class of securities (IX)			No of Shares Underlying Outstanding convertible securities (including Warrants) (X)	Shareholding as a % assuming full conversion of convertible securities (X) / diluted share capital (X)	Number of Locked In shares (XI)	Number of Shares pledged or otherwise encumbered (XII)		Number of equity shares held in dematerialized form (XIV)	Sub-categorization of shares (XV)		
								Equity Share	No of Voting Rights					No (a)	As a % of Shares held (b)			No (Not applica ble) (a)	As a % of total shares held (b)
									Class Y	Total									
(1)																			
(a) Institutions (Domestic)																			
(b) Venture Capital Funds																			
(c) Alternative Investment Funds																			
(d) Banks			12608			12608	0.00		12608		0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(e) Insurance Companies		2	1040047			1040047	0.17		1040047		0.17	0	0.00	NA		1040047			
(f) Provident / Pension Funds							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(g) Asset Reconstruction Companies							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(h) Sovereign Wealth Funds							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(i) Other Regulatory Bodies		1	500			500	0.00		500		0.00	0	0.00	NA		300			
(j) Other Regulatory Bodies		1	500			500	0.00		500		0.00	0	0.00	NA		300			
(k) Any Other (specify)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(l) Sub-total (B)(1)		10	1053655			1053655	0.18		1053655		0.18	0	0.00	NA		1044455			
(m) Institutions Foreign							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(n) Foreign Direct Investment							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(o) Foreign Venture Capital Investors							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(p) Overseas Corporate Bodies							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(q) Foreign Portfolio Investor Category I		5	576622			576622	0.10		576622		0.10	0	0.00	NA		576622			
(r) Foreign Portfolio Investor Category II		1	200000			200000	0.03		200000		0.03	0	0.00	NA		200000			
(s) Overseas Depositories (holding DRs) (balancing figure)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(t) Any Other (specify)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(u) Sub-total (B)(2)		6	776622			776622	0.13		776622		0.13	0	0.00	NA		776622			
(v) Central Government / State Governments (a)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(b) Central Government / President of India							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(c) State Government / Governor							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(d) Shareholding by Company or Bodies Corporate where Central / State Government is a promoter / shareholder (B)(3)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(e) Non-institutions (a)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(b) Associate company / Subsidiaries							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(c) Directors and their relatives (excluding independent directors and nominee directors)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(d) Key Managerial Personnel							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(e) Relatives of promoters (other than 'immediate relatives' of promoters disclosed under 'Promoter and Promoter Group' category)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(f) Trusts where any person belonging to 'Promoter and Promoter Group' category is a beneficiary (B)(4)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(g) Investor Education and Protection Fund (IEPF)		1	1107167			1107167	0.18		1107167		0.18	0	0.00	NA		1107167			
(h) Resident individuals holding nominal share capital up to Rs. 2 lakhs		434394	494854663			494854663	82.58		494854663		82.58	0	0.00	NA		493038777			
(i) Resident individuals holding nominal share capital in excess of Rs. 2 lakhs		78	37127499			37127499	6.20		37127499		6.20	0	0.00	NA		37127249			
(j) HARMONISHA REDDY CHITRA	ABAMMS36N	1	6640000			6640000	1.11		6640000		1.11	0	0.00	NA		6640000			
(k) HARMONISHA REDDY CHITRA	ABAMMS36N	300	14596000			14596000	2.59		14596000		2.59	0	0.00	NA		14595800			
(l) Foreign Nationals							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(m) Foreign Companies							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(n) Bodies Corporate		733	9540642			9540642	1.59		9540642		1.59	0	0.00	NA		9540642			
(o) Any Other (Clearing Member)		62	435346			435346	0.07		435346		0.07	0	0.00	NA		435346			
(p) Any Other (HUF)		5379	15702029			15702029	2.62		15702029		2.62	0	0.00	NA		15700737			
(q) Any Other (Foreign Currency Convertible Bonds)							0.00				0.00	0	0.00	NA		0			
(r) Any Other (Trusts)			54826			54826	0.01		54826		0.01	0	0.00	NA		54826			
(s) Sub-total (B)(3)		443726	573419122			573419122	95.89		573419122		95.89	0	0.00	NA		57160694			
(t) Sub-total (B)(4)																			
(u) Sub-total (B)(5)		443726	573419122			573419122	96.00		573419122		96.00	0	0.00	NA		573419122			
Details of the shareholders listed as persons in Control (including their Shareholding (B)(a) and (B)(b))																			

Sintex Industries Ltd
Table IV - Statement showing shareholding pattern of the Non Promoter- Non Public shareholder

	Category & Name of the shareholders	PAN	Nos. of shareholders	No. of fully paid up equity shares held	Partly paid-up equity shares held	No. of shares underlying Depository Receipts	Total nos. shares held	Shareholding % calculated as per SCRR, 1957 As a % of (A+B+C2)	Number of Voting Rights held in each class of securities			No. of Shares Underlying Outstanding convertible securities (including Warrants)	Shareholding, as a % assuming full conversion of convertible securities (as a percentage of diluted share capital)	Number of Locked in shares		Number of Shares pledged		Number of equity shares held in dematerialised form
									Class eg: X	Class eg: Y	Total % of (A+B+C)			No. (a)	As a % of total Shares held(b)	No. (a)	As a % of total Shares held(b)	
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)	(VII) = (IV)+(V)+(VI)	(VIII) As a % of (A+B+C2)	(IX)			(X)	(XI) = (VII)+(X) As a % of (A+B+C2)	(XII)		(XIII)		(XIV)
1	Custodian/DR Holder		0	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	NA	NA	0
2	Name of DR Holder (If available) Employee Benefit Trust / Employee Welfare Trust under SEBI (Share Based Employee Benefits and Sweat Equity) Regulations, 2021		0	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	NA	NA	0
	Total Non-Promoter- Non Public Shareholding (C) = (C)(1)+(C)(2)		0	0	0	0	0	0.0000	0	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	NA	NA	0

[illegible][illegible]

Annexure - B		
Table VI - Statement Showing Foreign Ownership Limit		
	Board approved limits (%)	Limits utilized (%)
As on shareholding date	100	1.9
As on the end of previous 1st quarter	100	2.11
As on the end of previous 2nd quarter	100	2.15
As on the end of previous 3rd quarter	100	1.99
As on the end of previous 4th quarter	100	1.91

ANNEXURE B


Shareholding Pattern of the Corporate Debtor post the implementation of the Resolution Plan

Category of shareholder	% of Equity Shareholding
RIL	70 %
ACRE-114 Trust	10%
Secured financial creditors	20%
Existing promoter group	Nil
Non-promoter shareholder (public shareholder)	Nil
Total issued, subscribed and paid up equity capital	100%

Note:

1. The Corporate Debtor will be jointly controlled and managed by the Resolution Applicants.
2. The secured financial creditors will not have any participation rights in the management or control of the Corporate Debtor.

The Company is proposed to be delisted in accordance with the Resolution Plan.



IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
AHMEDABAD
COURT-II

IA 416/AHM/2022
AND
IA 275/AHM/2022
IN
CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

IA 275/AHM/2022

In the Matter of Section 30(6) and Section 31 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 read with Regulation 39(4) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 read with Rule 11 of National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016

MR. PINAKIN SHAH
INTERIM RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF
SINTEX INDUSTRIES LTD.

...Applicant

AND

IA 416/AHM/2022

In the Matter of Section 60(5) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 and Rule 11 of National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016

AXIS BANK LIMITED

... APPLICANT

Versus

MR. PINAKIN SHAH & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS



IA 416/AHM/2022
IA 275/AHM/2022
IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

In CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

**INVESCO ASSET MANAGEMENT
(INDIA) PVT. LTD.**

...FINANCIAL CREDITOR

Versus

SINTEX INDUSTRIES LTD.

...CORPORATE DEBTOR

Order Pronounced: 10.02.2023

CORAM:

**DR. DEEPTI MUKESH
HON'BLE MEMBER (Judicial)
MR. AJAI DAS MEHROTRA
HON'BLE MEMBER (Technical)**



IA 416/AHM/2022
IA 275/AHM/2022
IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

MEMO OF PARTIES

IA 275/AHM/2022

MR. PINAKIN SHAH
INTERIM RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF
SINTEX INDUSTRIES LTD.

A-201, Siddhi Vinayak Towers
b/h DCP office, next to Kataria House,
Off. S. G. Highway, Makaraba,
Ahmedabad- 380051, Gujarat

...Applicant

Present:

For the RP : Mr. Navin Pahwa, Sr. Adv. a.w.
Ms. Pragati Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Pavan Godiawala, Adv.
For the Resolution : Mr. Mihir Thakore, Sr. Adv. a/w. Mr. Raheel Patel, Adv.
Applicants a/w. Mr. Aalay Shah, Adv. (For Gandhi Law
Associates)
Mr. Vishnu Shriram (i/b. Khaitan & Co.)

IA 416/AHM/2022

Axis Bank Limited

Trishul, 3rd Floor, Opp. Samrtheshwar Temple,
Near Law Garden, Ellisbridge,
Ahmedabad- 380006

... Applicant

Versus

1. Mr. Pinakin Shah

Interim Resolution Professional of
Sintex Industries Ltd.

A-201, Siddhi Vinayak Towers
b/h DCP office, next to Kataria House,
Off. S. G. Highway, Makaraba,
Ahmedabad- 38005

IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019



2. **Committee of Creditors of Sintex Industries Ltd.,**
04th Floor, Chanakya Building
Near Dinesh Hall, Off. Ashram Road,
Ahmedabad- 380009

3. **Reliance Industries Ltd.**
Joint- successful Resolution Applicant of
Sintex Industries Ltd.
3rd Floor, Maker Chambers IV, 2
22, Nariman Point, Mumbai - 400 021

4. **Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Ltd.**
Joint successful Resolution Applicants of
Sintex Industries Limited
2nd Floor, Mohandev Building, 13, Tolstoy Marg,
New Delhi-110001

...Respondents

Present:

For the Applicant	: Mr. Joy Saha, Sr. Adv. a/w. Mr. Orijit Chatterjee, Adv., Mr. Deep Morabia, Adv. & Mr. Shubham Raj, Adv. Ms. Shraddha Ambre, Adv. I/b. Fox Mandal & Associates
For the Resolution Applicant	: Mr. Mihir Thakore, Sr. Adv. a/w. Mr. Raheel Patel, Adv. a/w. Mr. Aalay Shah, Adv. (For Gandhi Law Associates) Mr. Vishnu Shriram (i/b. Khaitan & Co.)
For Respondent No. 2	: Mr. Rashesh Sanjanwala, Sr. Adv. a/w. Mr. Siddharth Sinha, Adv., Mr. Virgil Braganza
For the RP	: Mr. Navin Pahwa, Sr. Adv. a.w. Ms. Pragati Bansal, Adv. Mr. Pavan Godiawala, Adv.



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

ORDER

1. IA No. 275/AHM/2022 is an application for approval of resolution plan and IA No. 416/AHM/2022 is an objection to the resolution plan. Both IAs are connected, hence are disposed of by this common order.

2. **IA No. 275/AHM/2022**

- 2.1. This Application is filed by Mr. Pinakin Shah Interim Resolution Professional of Corporate Debtor under Section 30(6) and Section 31 of the Code r/w Regulation 39(4) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (Insolvency Resolution for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 ('CIRP Regulations') r/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016 seeking following reliefs:

- a) *Allow the present application;*
- b) *Pass an order approving the Resolution Plan submitted by the Resolution Applicants in respect of the Corporate Debtor under Section 31(1) of the Code and declare that the same be binding on the Corporate Debtor, its employees, members, creditors, guarantors and other stakeholders involved in the Resolution Plan;*
- c) *Pass an order directing the Resolution Applicants to implement the Resolution Plan in the manner set out under the Resolution Plan;*
- d) *Issue such other necessary orders as may be deemed fit in the matter.*

- 2.2. The backdrop of the case is that an insolvency application filed by financial creditor Invesco Asset Management (India) Pvt. Ltd. under Section 7 of the Code for initiation of Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process ('CIRP') of the Corporate Debtor, was admitted on 06.04.2021 and Mr. Pinakin Shah was appointed as Interim Resolution Professional (IRP). Thereafter IRP made a public announcement in Form-A on 10.04.2021 and collated claims and constituted CoC of 28 financial



creditors. The CoC in its first meeting held on 10.05.2021 decided to appoint Mr. Shailendra Ajmera as Resolution Professional. However, in an application bearing IA No. 424/AHM/2021 filed by CoC for replacement of Resolution Professional, following direction were issued to COC vide order dated 05.10.2021:

"9.....In these circumstances, in our view it would be advisable that COC should reconsider its decision as change of IRP in between would result into delay in process. One of the objects of IBC, 2016 is to complete CIRP in the time bound manner which would get defeated. Thus, we hold that the COC should reconsider its decision and evaluate the performance of IRP dispassionately. In case it is found that the present IRP can complete the CIRP in professional manner to the satisfaction of the COC then the present IRP may be allowed to continue. However, if it is not found so then COC may file an application for change of IRP based upon short comings in the overall performance of IRP so far. In this view of the matter, we refrain ourselves from dealing other contentions made by both sides."

It is noted that no application for replacement of IRP has been filed and Mr. Pinakin Shah IRP has continued as IRP. This application is also filed by Mr. Pinakin Shah as Interim Resolution Professional.

- 2.3. The Interim Resolution Professional submits that CoC in its 2nd meeting held on 31.05.2021 resolved for pan India publication of Form-G. Accordingly, Form-G was published on 11.06.2021 inviting expression of interest ('EoI') in Economic Times, Ahmedabad & Mumbai edition (English- Western Region), The Hindu, Coimbatore Edition, Punjab Kesari, Punjab edition and The Stateman, Kolkata edition and last day for receipt of EoI was 15.07.2021. The CoC in its 3rd meeting held on 02.07.2021 accorded for appointment of registered valuer for Land & Building, Plant & Machinery and Securities and Financial Assets of the Corporate Debtor. Thereafter on a resolution passed by CoC in its 4th



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

meeting held on 19.07.2021 as resolved, revised Form-G was published on 31.07.2021 and last day for receipt of expression of interest was revised to 14.08.2021.

2.4. It is stated that on a resolution passed by CoC in its 7th meeting held on 04.09.2021, an application for extension of CIRP period by further 90 days beyond 180 days was filed and the same was allowed vide order dated 15.11.2021. It is stated that considering the 2nd wave of covid-19, CoC in its 11th meeting held on 08.11.2021 approved modification of evaluation matrix and extension for submission of resolution plan till 11.12.2021. The resolution plan from following prospective resolution applicants were received:

- 1) Reliance Industries Limited jointly with Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Limited- (Consortium), Mumbai
- 2) Welspun Group, through- Easygo Textiles Private Limited, Mumbai.
- 3) Himatsingka Ventures Private Limited, jointly with Shrikant Himatsingka & Dinesh Kumar Himatsingka (Consortium), Bangalore.
- 4) GHCL Limited. Noida (Delhi).

2.5. It is stated that the CoC in its 13th meeting held on 23.12.2021 approved the filing of application for availment of CIRP period of 60 days beyond 270 days, expiring on 01.01.2022. In view thereof, an application bearing IA No. 197/2022 for extension was filed and thereafter another application bearing IA No. 262/2022 was filed for exclusion of certain period. Both applications were disposed of vide order dated 24.03.2022, which is reproduced below:

"IA 262 of 2022

Application filed by the Resolution Professional seeking exclusion of certain period relying on the order passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 23.09.2022 taking cognizance for extension of the



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

limitation. Learned Counsel states that 330 days expired on 02.03.2022. Resolution plan is already approved by the CoC. Prayer (b) is for extension of CIRP period by further 30 days beyond 02.03.2022. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. Pahwa states that if the Bench is inclined to grant exclusion, then extension of 30 days may be granted in order to enable Resolution Professional to file appropriate application seeking approval of resolution plan which is already approved by CoC in its 21st meeting dated 02.03.2022. Learned Sr. Counsel further states that IA for approval of Resolution Plan is already filed. From 03.03.2022, 30 days extension of the CIRP period is granted. Application is allowed and disposed of in terms of the above order.

IA 197 of 2022

Application filed under Section 12(2) of the IBC, 2016. In view of allowing IA 262 of 2022, this application has become infructuous. Application is disposed of as infructuous."

- 2.6. It is further stated that the CoC in its various meetings discussed the resolution plans and in 21st CoC meeting held 2.03.2022, all four plans were put to vote. The voting lines were opened from 05.03.2022 till 19.03.2022 wherein out of twenty-eight CoC members two members representing 1.12% did not exercise their vote and twenty-six members representing 98.88% voted for resolution plan submitted by Reliance Industries Limited jointly with Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Limited- (Consortium), Mumbai. Thus, the resolution plan was approved unanimously by all CoC members who exercised their vote.



- 2.7. The letter of intent was issued on 20.03.2022 by IRP in terms of the Resolution Plan and the same was acknowledged by joint Successful Resolution Applicants ('SRA'). The Applicant submits that the SRAs has given the performance guarantee of Rs. 365,10,00,000/- dated

IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

23.03.2022 issued by Axis Bank in the name of Punjab National Bank, on behalf of CoC.

2.8. As per Form-H annexed by the Applicant, the Fair Value and Liquidation Value of the Corporate Debtor are Rs. 5,18,768.05 lakhs and Rs. 363280.60 lakhs respectively. The present Resolution Plan offers an amount of Rs. 3651.32 crores, which is higher than the liquidation value.

2.9. Affidavits dated 10.12.2021 from Mr. Vipul Shah and Mr. Devendra Sitlani authorized representative of the joint Successful Resolution Applicants i.e. Reliance Industries Limited and Asset Care and Reconstruction Enterprise Ltd. declaring the eligibility of the joint successful Resolution Applicants under Section 29A of the Code is placed on record.

2.10. It is further submitted by the Applicant IRP that the resolution plan complies with provisions of Section 30(2) of Code and Regulation 38 of the CIRP Regulations.

2.11. The amount provided for the stakeholders under the Resolution Plan as per Form-H, is as under:

Sr. No	Category of Shareholder*	Sub-Category of Stakeholder	Amount Claimed (Amount in Rs. Crores)	Amount Admitted (Amount in Rs. Crores)	Amount provided under the Plan# (Amount in Rs. Crores)	Amount provided to the Amount Claimed (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
I	Secured Financial Creditors	(a) Creditors not having a right to vote under sub-	NIL	NA	NA	NA



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

		section (2) of Section 21				
		(b) Other than (a) above:				
		(i) who did not vote in favour of the resolution Plan	1.50	1.50	0.54	36%
		(ii) who voted in favour of the resolution plan	7488.71	7488.71	INR 3553.97 (includes upfront-2946 Equity-171.43 Cash & cash equivalents-436.54)	47.45%
		Total [(a)+(b)]	7490.21	7490.21	3554.51	47.45%
2	Unsecured Financial Creditors	(a)Creditors not having a right to vote under sub-section (2) of section 21	-	-	-	-
		(b)Other than (a) above:				
		(i) who did not vote in favour of the resolution plan	84.65	84.65	4.95	5.85%
		(ii) who voted in favour of the resolution plan	143.86	143.86	8.43	5.85%
		Total[(a)+(b)]	228.51	228.51	13.38	4.98%
3	Operational Creditors	(a)Related party of the Corporate Debtor	NIL	NA	NA	NA



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

		(b)Other than(a) above:				
		(i) Government	0.0018	0.0018	0.0018	100%
		(ii) Workmen & Employees.	10.9076	10.9076	10.9076	100%
		(iii) Other Operational Creditors	72.518	72.518	72.518 (Upper cap)	(on Prorata basis based on admitted claim)
		Total[(a)+(b)]	83.4275	83.4275	83.4275	100%
4	Other debts and dues		-	-	-	-
	Grand Total	[1+2+3]	7802.15	7802.15	3651.32	46.79%

2.12.It is noted that clause 1.8.10 of the resolution plan provides that proceeds, if any, received from insurance companies from claims pertaining to cyclone Tauktae shall, on actual basis, be paid to financial creditors after adjusting any excess CIRP costs.

2.13.On query raised on 26.09.2022, the Learned counsel for Interim Resolution Professional submitted as below:

“.... On further query raised by the Bench with respect to latest judgment passed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in State Tax Officer V/s. Rainbow Papers Limited, the Learned Counsel for RP along with RP in person makes a statement that the observation in the said judgment will not have any bearing, as the entire statutory dues are being paid as per the provisions made in the Plan.”



14.It is noted that clause 7.7 of the resolution plan provides as under:

“In the event any transactions are avoided/ set aside by the Adjudicating Authority under the terms of Sections 43, 45, 47, 49, 50 or 66 of the IBC and any amount is received by the Resolution

IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

Professional or the Corporate Debtor in furtherance thereof, such sums shall be for the benefit of the Financial Creditors and shall be a pass-through amount to the Financial Creditors. It is clarified that the Dissenting Financial Creditors shall not be entitled to receive any distribution from recoveries out of avoidance transactions referred above....."

2.15. It is seen that on the request made by Ld. Counsel for IRP on 28.06.2022, notices were issued to SEBI and RoC and Income Tax Department had accepted the notice. Order dated 28.06.2022 is reproduced below:

"IA 275 of 2022

Application for approval of resolution plan filed by RP.

Learned Sr. Counsel, Mr. Pahwa states that notice to SEBI, Income Tax Department and ROC needs to be issued. Learned counsel for Income Tax Department accepts notice and undertakes to file reply within two weeks.

Issue notice to SEBI and ROC."

It is noted that none of the above authorities has filed their reply.

2.16. It is to be noted that for getting the approval of the Adjudicating Authority, the resolution plan should adhere to the following requirements as per Section 30(2) of the Code read with CIRP Regulations:

- (i) It should provide for the payment of insolvency resolution process costs in priority to the repayment of other debts of the corporate debtor.

[Section 30(2)(a)]

- (ii) The repayment of the debts of operational creditors and dissenting financial creditors should not be less than the amount to be paid to such respective creditors in the event of liquidation of the corporate debtor under Section 53 of the Code. Moreover, the



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

payment to the operational creditor is to be made in priority over the financial creditor; and the payment to dissenting financial creditor is to be made in priority to the consenting financial creditors.

[Section 30(2)(b) read with CIRP
Regulation 38(1)(a) & 38(1)(b)];

- (iii) Provides for the management of the affairs of the corporate debtor after approval of the resolution plan.

[Section 30(2)(c) read with CIRP Regulation 38(2)(b)];

- (iv) The implementation and supervision of the resolution plan.

[Section 30(2)(d) read with CIRP Regulation 38(2)(c)];

- (v) It does not contravene any of the provisions of the law for the time being in force.

[Section 30(2)(e)];

- (vi) It conforms to such other requirements as may be specified by the Board.

[Section 30(2)(f)]

Such other requirements of the resolution plan as detailed in IBBI (Resolution Process for Corporate Persons) Regulations, 2016 which are not covered above, are as under:

- (a) The resolution plan should include statement as to how it has dealt with the interests of all stakeholders including financial creditors and operational creditors of the corporate debtor.

[CIRP Regulation 38 (1A)]

- (b) The resolution plan should include a statement giving details as to whether the resolution applicant or any of its related parties has at any time failed to implement or caused to the failure of



implementation of any other resolution plan which was approved by the Adjudicating Authority.

[CIRP Regulation 38 (1B)]

- (c) The resolution plan should contain the term of the plan and its implementation schedule.

[CIRP Regulation 38(2)(a)]

- (d) The resolution plan should also demonstrate that it addresses the cause of default; is feasible and viable; has provisions for its effective implementation; has provisions for approvals required and timeline for the same. Further that the resolution applicant has the capability to implement the resolution plan.

[CIRP Regulation 38(3)]

2.17. In view of the above provisions of the Code, 2016, the resolution plan submitted before us has been examined as follows:

- (i) It is stated in clause 1.8.1 and 2 of the plan that the entire IRP Costs have been or shall be paid out of the Cash and Cash Equivalent of the Corporate Debtor and accordingly, the IRP Costs required to be paid by the joint successful Resolution Applicants are NIL. Any IRP Costs that are not paid out of the Cash and Cash Equivalent due to insufficiency of cash balances in the Corporate Debtor (as per information provided by the Interim Resolution Professional along with relevant supporting documentation and details) ("Excess IRP Cost"), shall be paid by the joint successful Resolution Applicants and such Excess IRP Costs shall be adjusted from the amounts payable to the Financial Creditors and will be paid in priority to all other payments. Thereby, Section 30(2)(a) has been complied with.



- (ii) Clause 3,4 &5 of the resolution plan provides that the Workmen & Employees and Government authorities shall be paid 100% of their admitted dues and other operational creditors be paid subject to cap of Rs. 72,51,82,000/-. It is further stated that such amount shall be paid on the payment date prior to other creditors.

Further clause 1.8.5 and clause 5.1.1 provides that dissenting financial creditor will be paid in priority to other financial creditor. Thus, the provisions of Section 30(2)(b) read with CIRP Regulation 38(1)(a) & 38(1)(b) are complied with.

- (iii) The mechanism for management and control of the affairs of the corporate debtor after approval of the resolution plan has been provided in the resolution plan. We hold that thereby provisions of Section 30(2)(c) read with CIRP Regulation 38(2)(b) have been complied with.

- (iv) Clause 5.4 of the resolution plan provides that the joint successful Resolution Applicants and Monitoring Committee shall jointly supervise the implementation of plan until payment date. Thereby, Section 30(2)(d) and Regulation 38(2)(d) of CIRP Regulations, 2016 has been complied with.

- (v) It is stated in clause 5.6 that the plan does not contravene any provisions of the law and confirms to other requirements as may be specified by the IBBI. We also noted that the plan does not contravene any provisions of the law for the time being in force. Thereby, Section 30(2)(e) of Code has been complied with.

- (vi) The resolution plan contains a statement regarding dealing with the interests of all stakeholders, including financial creditors and operational creditors, of the Corporate Debtor. Thereby, Regulation 38(1A) of CIRP Regulations, 2016 has been complied with.



(vii) The resolution plan contains a statement that the joint successful Resolution Applicant or any of its related parties has not failed to implement or contributed to failure of implementation of any other Resolution Plan approved by the Adjudicating Authority. Thus, statement giving details of such non-implementation is not applicable under Regulation 38(1B) of CIRP Regulations, 2016.

(viii) The term of the resolution plan is for a period of 59 days which shall commence on the date of the approval of the said plan by the Adjudicating Authority. It provides for the implementation schedule for payment to the creditors as envisaged in the resolution plan within a period of 59 days.

Thereby, Regulation 38(2)(a) of CIRP Regulations, 2016 has been complied with.

(ix) The resolution plan contains the sources of funds; is feasible and viable; has provisions for its effective implementation. Thereby, Regulation 38(3) of CIRP Regulations, 2016 has been complied with.

3. **IA No. 416/AHM/2022**

3.1. This Application is filed by the Applicant Axis Bank Limited through its authorized signatory Mr. Dhaval Thakkar, against Mr. Pinakin Shah Interim Resolution Professional of Corporate Debtor Sintex Industries Ltd., Committee of Creditors of the Corporate Debtor ('CoC') and joint successful resolution applicants, Reliance Industries Ltd. & Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Ltd. under Section 60 (5) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 ('Code') r/w Rule 11 of NCLT Rules, 2016 seeking following reliefs:



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

- a) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to declare that the passing of Resolution 4(a) at the 21st COC Meeting held on 02nd March, 2022 is illegal and contrary to law and the same be set aside;*
- b) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to permanently restrain the Respondents, their agents, servants, employees and assignees from acting in furtherance of Resolution 4(a) as passed at the 21st Meeting of the COC;*
- c) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to declare that the distribution of the Lender Repayment Amount would be on a pro rata basis by calculating the admitted claim of each lender relative to the total admitted claims;*
- d) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to declare that Clause 7.3 of the Resolution Plan submitted by respondent nos. 3 and 4 is ultra vires the Code and further be pleased to strike down the same;*
- e) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to set aside the approval of resolution plan submitted by the consortium of respondent nos. 3 and 4 with respect to the corporate debtor;*
- f) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to grant injunction in relation to further steps proposed to be taken as contemplated under the resolution plan submitted by the consortium of respondent nos. 3 and 4;*
- g) *This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to grant injunction on the implementation of resolution plan submitted by the consortium of respondent nos. 3 and 4 including distribution of the amounts as contained therein;*
- h) *For interim and ad-interim reliefs in terms of the above;*
- i) *Such other and further orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem necessary;*
- j) *Costs.*



3.2. It is stated that Applicant is a financial creditor of the Corporate Debtor who had filed a claim of Rs. 159,08,05,757/-. The Interim Resolution Professional had admitted claim of Rs. 53,45,66,214/- as secured debt. Also, the IRP has wrongly admitted claim of Rs. 10,56,239,543/- as unsecured debt because the Applicant had a charge on the invoices that

IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

had been discounted. This had been brought to notice of Interim Resolution Professional through various emails however, Interim Resolution Professional altogether failed to reply. Thereafter the Applicant also become a member of Committee of Creditors with 2.09% of total votes.

- 3.3. It is stated that the CoC in its 14th meeting resolved to constitute a core-committee – a sub-committee that would facilitate negotiations with the prospective resolution applicants on behalf of Respondent No. 2 CoC, wherein Applicant was not allowed to have its nominee on account of an alleged and unsubstantiated ground of conflict of interest. The CoC in its 21st meeting along with resolution plan also voted on the manner of distribution and with 75.01% approved following resolution with respect to distribution:

"(a) RESOLVED THAT in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (including any statutory modification or re-enactment thereof), the committee of Creditors of Sintex Industries Limited hereby provides its approval for distribution of the upfront cash component payable to be allocated to the financial creditors under the Resolution Plans submitted in the corporate insolvency resolution process of Sintex Industries Limited as per the security interest of the respective financial creditors, proposed equity component to the assenting financial creditor's as per the security interest of the respective financial creditors and that the cash and cash equivalent component payable to the financial creditors will be distributed as per the voting percentage of the respective financial creditors"

It is stated that though the Applicant voted in favour of Resolution plan but voted against the above resolution 4 (a) seeking distribution by security interest and instead voted in favour of alternate i.e. resolution 4 (b) which is reproduced below:

IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019



"4(b) "RESOLVED THAT in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (including any statutory modification or re-enactment thereof), the committee of Creditors of Sintex Industries Limited hereby provides its approval for distribution of the upfront cash components payable to the financial creditors under the Resolution Plans submitted in the corporate insolvency resolution process of Sintex Industries Limited as per voting percentage of the respective financial creditors, proposed equity component to the assenting financial creditor's as per the voting percentage of the respective financial creditors and cash and cash equivalent component payable to the financial creditors will be distributed as: per the voting percentage of the respective financial creditors."

3.4. It is further stated that combined reading of clause 1.8.6 of the summary and clause 5 of Part B of the resolution plan contemplate the distribution of the lender repayment amount to each financial creditor on the basis of their pro-rata share in the overall admitted claims. Also, there is no distinction between the secured creditor and unsecured creditors. It is further stated that as per Section 30(4) of the Code the only power of the COC is to approve and vote upon the Resolution Plan and the "manner of distribution" must necessarily be "proposed in the Resolution Plan so voted upon. However, contrary to this basic understanding, Respondent No. 1 Interim Resolution Professional and Respondent No. 2 CoC have instead gone ahead and voted upon their own manner of distribution that evidently favors select few secured financial creditors and is contrary to the pro rata manner of distribution contained in the Resolution Plan. In doing so, Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No. 2 have committed an illegality and therefore Resolution No. 4(a) ought to be set aside.



3.5. It is further submitted that clause 7.3 of the resolution plan which was inserted after the negotiation with the core-committee is ultravires to the

provisions of the Code and also contrary and /or inconsistent with other provisions of the resolution plan such as clause 1.8.6 of the summary clause and clause 5 of the financial proposal. Clause 7.3 is reproduced below:

"7.3 Notwithstanding anything contained in the Plan, the CoC may agree in a meeting to allocate the Lender Repayment Amount, ARC Purchase Consideration and the New Lender Shares amongst the Financial Creditors or secured Financial Creditors, as may be applicable, in any proportion as may be decided by the CoC. Any such allocation as determined by the CoC by a resolution passed with the requisite majority and approved by the Adjudicating Authority shall be binding on the Resolution Applicants.

3.6. The Respondent No. 1 IRP filed reply and has submitted as under:

- (i) The applicant is a member of Committee of Creditors with exposure of 2.06% out of which, the exposure as secured Financial Creditor is 0.70% and that of unsecured Financial Creditor is 1.36%.
- (ii) The applicant has consented to the approval of the Resolution Plan of the joint Successful Resolution Applicants. The Applicant has only voted for the alternate resolution 4(b) whereas the majority members of CoC approved the resolution 4(a) which resolved to distribute the upfront cash component as per the security interest of the respective Financial Creditors.
- (iii) Clause 1.8.6 is made subject to Clause 7.3 are part of the Resolution Plan. It is thus clear that under this Resolution Plan, discretion is given to the Committee of Creditors for making the distribution and that discretion is approved by the Applicant by voting in favour of Resolution Plan.
- (iv) The discretion of Committee of Creditors u/s. 30(4) of the Code to approve a resolution plan after considering its feasibility and viability



also include the manner of distribution. This is in terms observed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Committee of Creditors of Essar Steel India Limited Through Authorised Signatory versus Satish Kumar Gupta & Ors. (Civil Appeal No. 8766-67 of 2019)* dated 15.01.2019, as reproduced below:

"40.Thus, what is left to the majority decision of the Committee of Creditors is the "feasibility and viability" of a resolution plan, which obviously takes into account all aspects of the plan, including the manner of distribution of funds among the various classes of creditors."

(v) The Hon'ble Supreme Court in *India Resurgence ARC Private Limited versus M/s. Amit Metaliks Limited & Anr. (Civil Appeal No. 1700 of 2021)*, dated 13.05.2021 taken note of following observation and findings of Hon'ble NCLAT in the same matter and dismissed the appeal:

"7. It abundantly clear that the considerations including priority in scheme of distribution and the value of security are matters falling within the realm of Committee of Creditors. Such considerations, being relevant only for purposes for arriving at a business decision in exercise of commercial wisdom of the Committee of Creditors, cannot be the subject of judicial review in appeal within the parameters of Section 61(3) of I&B Code. While it is true that prior to amendment of Section 30(4) the Committee of Creditors was not required to consider the value of security interest obtaining in favour of a Secured Creditor while arriving at a decision in regard to feasibility and viability of a Resolution Plan, legislature brought in the amendment to amplify the scope of considerations which may be taken into consideration by the Committee of Creditors while exercising their commercial wisdom in taking the business decision to approve or reject the Resolution Plan. Such consideration is only aimed at arming the Committee of Creditors with more teeth so as to take an informed decision in regard to viability



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

and feasibility of a Resolution Plan, fairness of distribution amongst similarly situated creditors being the bottomline."

(vi) The Hon'ble National Company Law Appellate Tribunal in Indian Bank versus Charu Desai, Erstwhile Resolution Professional & Chairman of Monitoring Committee of GB Global Ltd. & Anr. (Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 644 of 2021 & I.A. No. 2940 of 2021 & I.A. No. 193 of 2022) dated 06.05.2022 observed as under:

"33. When the distribution is ultimately approved by e-voting by the CoC, the approved distribution value to each lender's including the dissenting Financial Creditors, is taken by the CoC in its commercial wisdom, which cannot be interfered with by the Adjudicating Authority or by this Appellate Tribunal since it has not been placed before us that the approval of the Resolution Plan by the CoC and the Adjudicating Authority violates any statutory provision. We are satisfied that the allocation to the Appellant, a dissenting Financial Creditor, is not in contravention of Section 30(2)(b) (ii) r/w Section 23. As noticed above, in M/s. Amit Metaliks Limited (supra), the Hon'ble Supreme Court has dismissed the Appeal by a dissenting Financial Creditor questioning the allocation to a dissenting Financial Creditor. We have already noticed above the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court where the Hon'ble Supreme Court has categorically held that what amount is to be paid to different classes or subclasses of creditors in accordance with the provisions of the Code and to a dissenting secured creditor is essentially the commercial wisdom of the CoC. Following law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as noted above, we do not find any good ground to interfere with the order of the Adjudicating 28 Company Appeal (AT) (Insolvency) No. 644 of 2021 & I.A. No. 2940 of 2021 & I.A. No. 193 of 2022 Authority approving the Resolution Plan. There is no merit in the Appeal. The Appeal is dismissed."



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

(vii) As the distribution of funds amongst the various class of creditors is also part of the commercial wisdom of the members of Committee of Creditors, the objections of Applicant Axis Bank are liable to be rejected.

3.7. The Respondent No. 2 CoC submits as under:

- (i) Clause 7.3 (Allocation of Payments/Settlements and Other Amounts) of the Resolution Plan categorically provides that notwithstanding anything contained in the Resolution Plan, the manner of distribution of *inter alia* the Lender Repayment Amount amongst the financial creditors / secured financial creditors shall be determined by the CoC. Accordingly, it is the CoC, in its financial wisdom that has to decide upon the manner of distribution of the Lender Repayment Amount.
- (ii) The Applicant neither voted against the Resolution Plan nor did it abstain from voting on the Resolution Plan. In fact, the Applicant accorded its consent to the Resolution Plan without any protest or demur. Having voted in favour of the Resolution Plan, the Applicant cannot now challenge the same merely because the manner of distribution of the Lender Repayment Amount preferred by the Applicant is not the manner of distribution approved by majority of the CoC representing 75.01% of the votes in favour.
- (iii) The contention of the Applicant that the manner of distribution adopted by casting votes and thereafter passing the resolution 4(a) (i.e. distribution will be as per the security interest of the respective financial creditor) is arbitrary and illegal, holds no water as the CoC has acted in a fair and transparent manner and moreover the same is a commercial decision of the CoC.



- (iv) The objective of the CIRP as contemplated under the Code is defeated if an assenting creditor is permitted to challenge the very same Resolution Plan it has assented to.

3.8. The Respondent No. 3 & 4, joint Successful Resolution Applicants submitted as under:

- (i) The provision for distribution of payments as per the decision of the CoC in terms of Clause 7.3 of Part B is "notwithstanding anything contained in the Plan".
- (ii) The entire Resolution Plan, including Clause 5.1.1 (b) and Clause 7.3 of Part B. has been approved by the CoC by 98.88% CoC members voting in favour. including the Applicant. Having approved the Resolution Plan, the Applicant has also approved the right of the CoC to decide the distribution mechanism by majority decision. In exercise of this right, the CoC has by 75.01% majority by voting share approved distribution of the upfront consideration and equity component under the Resolution Plan to financial creditors as per their respective security interest.

3.9. Considered the submissions made and perused the documents. Clause 7.3 of Part B of the Resolution plan is reproduced below:

"7.3 Notwithstanding anything contained in the Plan, the CoC may agree in a meeting to allocate the Lender Repayment Amount, ARC Purchase Consideration and the New Lender Shares amongst the Financial Creditors or secured Financial Creditors, as may be applicable, in any proportion as may be decided by the COC. Any such allocation as determined by the CoC by a resolution passed with the requisite majority and approved by the Adjudicating Authority shall be binding on the Resolution Applicants."



On bare reading of above clause 7.3 it is understood that the clause unambiguously without considering any other clause / part of the plan empowers the CoC to agree in a meeting to allocate the Lender Repayment Amount, ARC Purchase Consideration and the New Lender Shares amongst the Financial Creditors or secured Financial Creditors, as may be applicable, in any proportion. Thus, the resolution plan itself left the discretion on the CoC to decide manner of allocation of Lender Repayment Amount, ARC Purchase Consideration and the New Lender Shares amongst the Financial Creditors.

3.10. The minutes of 21st CoC meeting reflects that along with the resolution plans, the CoC has also voted on the manner of distribution of resolution plan amount. The nine CoC members representing 75 % of voted in favour of distribution of Lender Repayment Amount as per security interest of each financial creditor and seventeen members representing 24.85% voted in favour of distribution as per voting percentage. No doubt the Applicant Axis Bank has voted against the resolution i.e. distribution as per security interest but admittedly voted in favour of the resolution plan of Respondent No. 3 & 4.

3.11. The submissions made by the Applicant reflects that the Applicant was well aware of clause 7.3 of the resolution plan and admittedly the Applicant being the part of CoC holding 2.06 voting right, has voted in favour of the modified resolution plan which is approved by all CoC member by 98.88 % of vote. This implies that applicant after considering all resolutions and aware about percentage of voting on resolutions has finally given approval to plan in its commercial wisdom. Thus, the relief sought by the Applicant is contrary to its own act of approval of resolution plan and therefore need not be considered and is rejected.



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

4. We have already examined the resolution plan above and are of the considered view that the resolution plan complies with the provisions of Section 30 (2) and Regulations thereunder. We hereby approve the resolution plan submitted by Reliance Industries Limited jointly with Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Limited- (Consortium), Mumbai and in addition to the above directions, proceed to pass the following orders:

- (i) The resolution plan of by Reliance Industries Limited jointly with Assets Care & Reconstruction Enterprise Limited- (Consortium), Mumbai for Corporate Debtor i.e. Sintex Industries Ltd., stands allowed as per Section 30(6) of the Code.
- (ii) The approved 'Resolution Plan' shall become effective from the date of passing of this order.
- (iii) The order of moratorium dated 06.04.2021 passed by this Adjudicating Authority under Section 14 of the Code shall cease to have effect from the date of passing of this order.
- (iv) The Resolution Plan so approved shall be binding on the Corporate Debtor and its employees, members, creditors, guarantors and other stakeholders involved in the Resolution Plan.
- (v) The monitoring committee as proposed in the resolution plan shall be constituted for supervising the effective implementation of the Resolution Plan.
- (vi) The Interim Resolution Professional, Mr. Pinakin Shah, is released from the duties of the interim resolution professional of the Corporate Debtor from the date of this order.
- (vii) After the payment of the dues to the creditors, as per the resolution plan, all the liabilities of the said stakeholders prior to CIRP against the corporate debtor shall stand permanently



IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

extinguished and other claims including Government/Statutory Authority, whether lodged during CIRP or not, shall stand extinguished after the approval of the resolution plan. We further hold that contingent/unconfirmed dues shall also stand extinguished;

- (viii) From the date of this order, all claims against the corporate debtor, except those provided in the resolution plan of the Corporate Debtor stand extinguished.
- (ix) From the date of this order, all encumbrances on the assets of the Corporate Debtor before the approval of Resolution Plan shall stand permanently extinguished.
- (x) For reliefs and concessions sought from the Government/Statutory Authorities in connection with the implementation of the Resolution plan, we direct the resolution applicant to approach the concerned Authorities. The concerned Authorities may decide the matter as per applicable provisions of law for effective implementation of the Resolution Plan.
- (xi) The resolution applicant shall, pursuant to the resolution plan approved under Section 31(1) of the Code, obtain necessary approvals required under any law for the time being in force within a period of one year from the date of approval of the resolution plan by the Adjudicating Authority under Section 31 or within such period as provided for in such law, whichever is later, as the case may be;
- (xii) With respect to the grant of license/ Government approval if the license or approval is terminated, suspended and revoked, the resolution applicant may approach the concerned Department/ Authorities for such approval/ renewal and Government Authorities may consider the request of the resolution applicant



as per applicable provisions of law for effective implementation of the resolution plan.

(xiii) As far as rights of Financial Creditors against the personal guarantees / corporate guarantees in connection with loan / debt obtained by Corporate Debtor are concerned, they shall be at liberty to pursue their rights independent of approval of Resolution Plan.

(xiv) The Interim Resolution Professional shall forthwith send a copy of this Order to the parties concerned and the joint successful Resolution Applicant(s).

(xv) The Interim Resolution Professional shall forward all records relating to the conduct of the corporate insolvency resolution process and Resolution Plan to the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India to be recorded in its database.

5. In view of above, IA 275 of 2022 is allowed and Resolution Plan is hereby approved.

IA No. 416/AHM/2022 is rejected.

Both above applications are disposed of in terms of above order.

6. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, to be issued to all concerned parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.



-Sd-

AJAI DAS MEHROTRA
MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

Mansi J./LRA

Prepared by

Vimal

Signature

cup

Date

13.02.2023

IA 416/AHM/2022

IA 275/AHM/2022

IN CP (IB) 848/NCLT/AHM/2019

-Sd-

DR. DEEPTI MUKESH
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

Certified to be True Copy of the Original

13/02/23
Deputy Registrar

NCLT, Ahmedabad Bench
Ahmedabad