

TELEFONICA DEL PERU S.A.A.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2024 AND AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2023

Financial Position Statement

This section includes the sub-items that make up the balance of codes 1D0103, 1D0201, 1D0317, 1D0403, 1D0205, 1D0309, and 1D0401 of the financial position statement and their respective reference to the notes of the financial statements.

- Code 1D0103 short-term "Trade accounts receivable", includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Trade accounts receivable, net (note 7)	654,894	632,233
Contractual Assets(nota 9)	36,786	37,268
	<u>691,680</u>	<u>669,501</u>

- Code 1D0201, long-term "Trade accounts receivable", includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Trade accounts receivable, net (note 7)	1	509
Contractual Assets (nota 9)	1,683	1,743
	<u>1,684</u>	<u>2,252</u>

- Code 1D0317 short-term "Deferred income" includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Deferred income (note 22)	25,526	26,214
Contract liabilities (note 9)	102,508	109,493
	<u>128,034</u>	<u>135,707</u>

- Code 1D0403 Long-term "Deferred income" includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Deferred income (note 22)	23,910	28,490
Contract liabilities (note 9)	17,840	26,385
	<u>41,750</u>	<u>54,875</u>

- Code 1D0205, "Properties, plant, and equipment", includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Property, Plant, and Equipment (Note 13)	3,278,355	3,726,489
Right-of-Use Assets (Note 15)	744,622	868,962
	<u>4,022,977</u>	<u>4,595,451</u>

- Code 1D0309, Short-term "Other financial liabilities" includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Other financial liabilities (note 17)	1,653,094	297,632
Financial Lease Liabilities (Note 18)	394,059	416,660
	<u>2,047,153</u>	<u>714,292</u>

- Code 1D0401, long-term "Other financial liabilities" includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Other financial liabilities (note 17)	1,603,924	2,255,252
Financial Lease Liabilities (Note 18)	646,176	791,856
	<u>2,250,100</u>	<u>3,047,108</u>

Income Statements

This section includes the sub-items that make up the balance of codes 2D01ST, 2D0301 and 2D0302 of the income statement and their respective reference to the notes to the financial statements.

- Code 2D01ST, "Income from ordinary activities", includes:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of September 30, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Fixed Business Income (note 26)	2,054,307	2,330,720
Mobile business income (Note 27)	2,472,754	2,545,716
	<u>4,527,061</u>	<u>4,876,436</u>

- Codes 2D0301 and 2D0302, "Administration expenses" and "Sales and distribution expenses", include:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of September 30, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
General and administrative expenses (Note 28)	2,932,785	2,841,883
Impairment of assets (note 13(c), 14 y 16)	1,215,571	51,544
Cost of Sale of Equipment (Note 10(b))	734,765	783,252
Depreciation (Note 13)	730,755	615,230
Personnel expenses (Note 29)	452,483	451,087
Amortization (note 14)	209,656	210,012
Depreciation of rights of use (note 15)	192,464	157,089
	<u>6,468,479</u>	<u>5,110,097</u>

	As of September 30, 2024	As of September 30, 2023
	S/ 000	S/ 000
Administrative expenses (2D0301)	6,406,242	5,062,182
Sales and distribution expenses (2D0302)	62,237	47,915
	<u>6,468,479</u>	<u>5,110,097</u>

TELEFONICA DEL PERU S.A.A.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTES

AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2024 AND AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2023

1 OVERVIEW

1.1 Constitution and Economic Activity -

Telefónica del Perú S.A.A. (hereinafter "the Company"), incorporated in Peru, is a telecommunications company subsidiary of Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A. (a company incorporated in Spain and formerly known as Latin America Cellular Holding B.V.) and belongs to the Telefónica de España Group (Telefónica S.A. is an entity registered with the Security and Exchange Commission of the United States of America), which provides services of mobile telephony, internet, fixed telephony, data transmission and information technology, national and international long distance carrier services, mobile satellite services, paid television services, and other services across Peru. The Company is one of the main telecommunications operators in Peru and its activities are supervised by the Supervisory Agency of Private Investment in Telecommunications - OSIPTEL and by the Ministry of Transport and Communications - MTC. The registered fiscal address of the Company is Jirón Domingo Martínez Luján 1130 Surquillo, Lima, Peru.

Furthermore, the attached financial statements, which have been prepared in compliance with the legal requirements in force in Peru, reflect the individual activity of the Company.

Due to the highly competitive business, the Company has been unable to meet its financial objectives. Consequently, as indicated in Note 16, we have reassessed our financial flows, which led to the recording of an asset impairment of S/1,215,571,000, as well as the provisioning for the recovery of tax assets amounting to S/370,420,000. As a result, as of September 30, 2024, the Company closed with a negative equity of S/731,902,000. To address this situation, during the Board of Directors meeting held on October 28, 2024, in which these financial statements were approved, and exercising the powers delegated by the General Shareholders' Meeting on January 19, 2023, it was agreed to capitalize loans made by Telefónica Hispanoamérica, S.A. amounting to S/2,069,444,000 (after withholding S/25,564,000 corresponding to non-resident income tax on accrued interest). This immediately rectifies the Company's equity situation as of September 2024 and initiates the preferential subscription process for minority shareholders.

1.2 Approval of Financial Statements -

The financial statements as of December 31, 2023 were approved by the General Shareholders Meeting held on March 25, 2024. The consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2024 were approved by the Board of Directors on October 28, 2024.

1.3 Concession Contracts and Rates -

a) Concession contracts -

The Company, with the Peruvian Government represented by the Ministry of Transportation and Communications (MTC), has entered into Concession Contracts for the provision of the following services: (i) Local carrier and telephone services in the department of Lima and the Constitutional Province of Callao and (ii) national and international local and long distance carrier and telephone services in the Republic of Peru. These contracts, whose nature is that of Contract Law, were approved by Supreme Decree No. 11-94-TCC on May 13, 1994, subsequently amended by Supreme Decree No. 21-98-MTC on August 4, 1998, and by Ministerial Resolutions No. 272-99-MTC/15.03, No. 157-2001-MTC/15.03, No.140-2009-MTC/03, and No.527-2009-MTC/03. The latter was modified by Ministerial Resolution No. 724-2009-MTC/03.

As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the main concession contracts that were maintained are as follows:

<u>Services</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Ministerial Resolution</u>	<u>Term</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>Expiration</u>
Fixed and LD	Lima, Callao and Provinces	DS 11-94- TCC	20 years + renewed term	1994	2027 (*)
Mobile	Lima and Callao	373-91- TC/ 15.17	20 years + renewed term	1991	2030
Mobile	Provinces	055-92- TC/ 15.17	20 years + renewed term	1992	2030
Mobile	Lima and Callao	440-91- TC/ 15.17	20 years + renewed term	1991	2030
Mobile	Provinces	250-98- MTC/ 15.03	20 years	1998	2018 (**)
4G LTE	Lima and provinces	616-2013- MTC/ 03	20 years	2013	2033
700 Band	Lima and provinces	529-2016- MTC/ 01.03	20 years	2016	2036

(*) In the process of the MTC evaluation, the additional period to be renewed would be added to that maturity.

(**) In the process of renewal with the MTC.

As a guarantee of faithful compliance with the obligations established for some of the concession agreements, the Company has extended guarantees for US \$60,470,000 up to a term of approximately 10 years by means of current guarantee letters with various banking entities.

As of the date of the financial statements, Company Management estimates that the investment projects undertaken to meet the commitments assumed are being executed as scheduled.

b) Rates -

The rates for the local and long distance fixed telephony service are adjusted quarterly on the basis of service baskets according to a formula of rate caps, established on the basis of the combination of inflation and a factor of productivity.

According to the Concession Contracts, the value of the productivity factor should be reviewed every three years.

The Supervisory Agency for Private Investment in Telecommunications of Peru (OSIPTEL by its initials in Spanish) establishes the Productivity Factor to be applied in three-year periods and specifies that it would be implemented in four rate adjustment procedures each year. For the period from September 2022 to August 2025, the Productivity Factor applicable to the rate adjustments corresponding to the quarters from September to November, December to February and March to May of each year will be inflation (no rate adjustments will be made). For the quarter from June to August, a Reference Factor of -2.95% will be applied to the rate adjustment; in this case, only if the annual variation of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) is greater or less than the absolute value of the Reference Factor +/- 1%, the corresponding rate adjustment will be made.

Additionally, through Resolution No. 044-2011-CD/OSIPTEL, OSIPTEL approved a new tariff system, assigning the establishment of tariffs for local fixed-to-mobile calls to fixed telephony service concessionary companies. Through Resolution No. 160-2011-CD/OSIPTEL, fixed-to-mobile tariffs are adjusted whenever the mobile interconnection charge is modified. The current tariff was approved by Directorial Council Resolution No. 00155-2024-CD/OSIPTEL, which adjusts the tariff cap for Telefónica del Perú S.A.A., setting it at S/0.0004 per second, excluding VAT. This tariff became effective on June 22, 2024.

2 DETAIL OF MAIN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The main accounting principles and practices applied in the recording of operations and the preparation of the accompanying financial statements are the following:

2.1 Basis for Preparation -

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (hereinafter "IASB") and respectively effective as of September 30, 2024 and as of December 31, 2023.

The information contained in these financial statements is the responsibility of the Company Management, which expressly states that the principles and criteria included in the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) issued by the IASB have been applied and that the same were in force on the dates of the financial statements.

These financial statements have been prepared on the basis of historical cost, from the accounting records maintained by the Company, except for derivative financial instruments and other related accounts payable that are measured at fair value. The accompanying financial statements are presented in Soles [PEN] (functional and presentation currency), and all values have been rounded to thousands, except where otherwise indicated.

The preparation of the financial statements, in accordance with IFRS, requires the use of certain critical accounting estimates. The preparation also requires Management to exercise its critical judgment in the process of applying the Company's accounting policies. The areas that involve a greater degree of judgment or complexity, or those in which the assumptions and estimates are significant for the financial statements, are described in note 4.

2.2 New Standards, Modifications, and Interpretations -

a) New regulations and modifications in force since January 1, 2024 adopted by the Company -

There have been no regulatory changes of mandatory application for the financial statements as of September 30, 2024 that the Company has considered for the preparation of these financial statements, with the exception of the following:

- Amendments to IAS 21 - Lack of Exchangeability
- Disclosures: Supplier Financing Arrangements - Amendments to IAS 7 and IFRS 7

The amendments to the standards and interpretations listed above have not had an impact on the financial statements of the Company for previous or current years; furthermore, they are not expected to have a material impact on the financial statements in the future.

b) New standards, amendments to standards, and interpretations that are in force for the annual financial statements beginning on or after January 1, 2025 and that have not been adopted in advance -

Certain regulations and modifications to regulations have been published that are mandatory for the year 2025 or later and have not been adopted in advance by the Company. The Company's assessment of the impact that these standards will have on the financial statements is explained below:

- Amendments to IFRS 16. Lease Liability in a Sale and Leaseback.
- Amendments to IAS 1. Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current.

- Amendments to IFRS 10 and IAS 28. Sale or Contribution of Assets between an Investor and its Associate or Joint Venture.

The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the amendments may have on current practice.

No other regulations have been identified that are not yet effective and for which a significant impact on the Company could be expected in the current or future reporting periods, and nor in foreseeable future transactions.

2.3 Operating Segments -

An operating segment is a group of assets and operations that provide goods or services, and that is subject to significant risks and returns that are different to the risks and returns of other business segments. A geographical segment is characterized by providing goods or services within a particular economic environment that is subject to risks and returns that are different to those segments that operate in other economic environments. The Company is organized into a single operational segment for the provision of telecommunications services located in a single geographic segment (Peru), as the Company makes strategic commercial, financial, purchasing, and investment decisions focused on the customer, and that furthermore center on a convergent offer (several aggregated telecommunications services). Thus, presenting information on a segment basis is not applicable.

2.4 Translation of Foreign Currency -

The Company has determined that its functional and presentation currency is the Sol. Transactions in foreign currency are considered to be those made in a currency other than the functional currency. Transactions in foreign currency are initially recorded in the functional currency using the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the transactions. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency are subsequently adjusted to the functional currency using the exchange rate in effect on the date of the statement of financial position.

Profits or losses from exchange differences resulting from the settlement of such transactions and from the conversion of monetary assets and liabilities into foreign currency at the exchange rates on the date of the statement of financial position are recognized in the section "Net exchange difference Profit/(Loss)" in the income statement. The exception to the foregoing is exchange differences in foreign currency transactions with cash flow hedges, which are directly recorded in the equity up to the disposal of the same, at which time they are recognized in the income statement. Non-monetary assets and liabilities determined in foreign currency are converted to the functional currency at the prevailing exchange rate on the transaction date.

2.5 Cash and Cash Equivalents -

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents (note 6) correspond to cash, current accounts, and deposits that were opened less than three months ago, all of which are recorded in the statement of financial position. These accounts are not subject to a significant risk of change in their value.

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include the items described in the previous paragraph, net of bank overdrafts.

2.6 Financial Assets -

(ii) Classification -

The Company classifies its financial assets in the following categories:

- Measured at fair value (whether through results or other comprehensive income), and
- Measured at amortized cost.

The classification depends on the business model that the entity uses to manage its financial assets and the contractual terms that impact the cash flows. For assets measured at fair value, profits and losses will be recorded in the statement of income or in the statement of comprehensive income. For investments in equity instruments that are not held for trading, their measurement will depend on whether the Company irrevocably chooses, at the time of initial recognition, to recognize these equity instruments at fair value through other comprehensive income. The Company undertakes reclassifications of its debt instruments if the business model for the management of these assets changes.

(iii) Recognition and Derecognition -

Purchases and sales of financial assets are recognized on the trade date, which is the date on which the Company commits to purchase or sell the asset. Financial assets are derecognized when the rights to receive cash flows from the investments expire or are transferred and the Company has materially transferred all the risks and benefits derived from their ownership.

(iii) Measurement -

Upon initial recognition, the Company measures a financial asset at its fair value plus, in the case of financial assets that are not carried at fair value through profit or loss, the transaction costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition of the financial asset. The transaction costs of financial assets carried at fair value through results are recognized in profit and loss. Financial assets that incorporate embedded derivatives are evaluated comprehensively for purposes of determining if their cash flows only represent payment of principal and interest.

Debt Instruments -

The subsequent measurement of debt instruments depends on the business model that the Company has established for asset management, as well as the characteristics of asset flows derived from the asset. There are three possible categories in which to classify debt instruments, these are:

- Amortized cost: Applicable to assets whose business model is to collect contractual cash flows, provided that these cash flows only represent capital and interest payments. The interest generated by these financial assets is recognized as financial income using the effective interest method. Any profit or loss arising from the derecognition of this type of financial asset is recognized in profit or loss. Impairment losses are presented in a separate line item in the income statement.
- Fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI): Applicable to assets whose business model is mixed, i.e., they are maintained both to collect their contractual flows and to obtain cash flows from their sale, provided that the asset cash flows only represent capital and interest payments. Changes in the carrying value of these financial assets are recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI), except for losses (or reversal of losses) due to impairment, interest, and profits or losses due to exchange differences, which are recognized in the income statement. When the financial asset is derecognized, the accumulated profit or loss recognized in the comprehensive result statement is reclassified from equity to income and presented under the item "Other income (expenses)". The interest generated by these financial assets is recognized as financial income using the effective interest method. Profits or losses on exchange differences are recognized in the income statement under the heading "Net profit/(loss) due to exchange differences", while the impairment losses are also recognized in the income statement and presented as a separate line item.
- Fair Value through Profit and Loss (FVTPL): Assets that do not meet the conditions to use amortized cost or FVOCI are measured at fair value through profit or loss. Changes in the fair value of debt instruments in this category are recognized as profit or loss in the income statement and presented net in the item "Other expenses (income)" in the period in which the change occurs.

Equity instruments -

After initial recognition, the Company measures the equity instruments at their fair value. Changes (profits or losses) in the fair value of equity instruments held for trading are recognized in the income statement (FVTPL). For other equity instruments, the Company has an irrevocable option to designate them on initial recognition in the FVTPL category or present changes in fair value as part of the OCI.

If the Company opts for the designation of FVTPL, when the asset is derecognized, it is not possible to reclassify to profit or loss any profits or losses accumulated in OCI. Dividends generated by these investments are recognized in the income statement since the Company has the contractual right to receive them, and they are presented under "Other income (expenses)". Losses (or reversals of losses) due to impairment of equity instruments measured at FVTPL are not presented separately from the other changes at fair value.

(iv) Impairment -

With a view to the future, the Company evaluates the expected credit losses associated with debt instruments measured at amortized cost, and at FVTPL. The methodology applied to determine the impairment depends on whether the credit risk of an asset has significantly increased.

For trade accounts receivable, the Company applies the simplified approach permitted by IFRS 9, which requires estimating the credit loss of the account for the full duration of the instrument and recognizing it from its initial recording.

2.7 Derivative financial instruments and hedge accounting -

Derivatives are initially recognized at fair value on the date on which a derivative contract is entered into and are subsequently re-measured at their fair value at the end of each period of the financial statements. The accounting for subsequent changes at fair value depends on whether the derivative is designated as a hedging instrument and, if so, the nature of the item being hedged. The Company designates certain derivatives as:

- Hedges of the fair value of recognized assets or liabilities, or a firm commitment (fair value hedges).
- Hedges of a particular risk associated with the cash flows of recognized assets and liabilities, and highly probable forecasted transactions (cash flow hedges).

At the beginning of the hedge relationship, management documents the economic relationship between the hedging instruments and the hedged items, even if it is expected that the changes in the cash flows of the hedging instruments will offset the changes in the cash flows of the hedged items. The management documents its objective and risk management strategy to carry out its hedging operations.

The total fair value of a hedging derivative is classified as a non-current asset or liability if the time remaining to maturity of the hedged item is more than 12 months, and as a current asset or liability if the time remaining to maturity of the hedged item is less than 12 months. Trading derivatives are classified as a current asset or liability.

The Company uses derivative financial instruments (exchange rate forwards and currency swaps) to manage its exposure to the risk associated with foreign currency fluctuations. In order to manage the aforementioned particular risk, the Company applies hedge accounting for transactions that meet the requirements for the same. Derivative financial instruments are recognized at fair market value on the date on which they are signed and subsequently adjusted, and are recorded as assets when their value is positive, and as a liability when the value is negative.

(i) Cash flow hedges that qualify for hedge accounting:

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recognized in the other equity reserves account. The profit or loss relating to the ineffective portion is recognized immediately in the income statement.

In the event that forward contracts are used to hedge expected future transactions, the Company only generally designates the changes in the fair value of the contract related to the spot component as the hedging instrument. Profits and losses related to the effective portion of changes in the spot component of forward contracts are recognized in the other equity reserve account. The changes in the element of the forward contract that relates to the hedged item ("aligned forward element") is recognized as part of

the income statement as reserve costs for hedges in equity. In certain cases, the Company may designate the entire change in the fair value of the forward contract (including forward points) as a hedging instrument. In such cases, the profits or losses related to the effective portion of the change in the fair value of the entire forward contract are recognized in the other equity reserve account.

The amounts accumulated in the equity are reclassified to results in the periods in which the hedged items affect results, as follows:

- If the hedged item subsequently results in the recognition of a non-financial asset (for example, inventories), both the deferred hedged profits and losses and the deferred time value of option contracts or forward contracts, if any, are included as part of the initial asset cost. Deferred amounts are ultimately recognized in income as the hedged item also affects the results (for example, on the sale of inventories).
- Profits or losses related to the effective portion of interest rate swap contracts that cover variable rate loans are recognized in income under "Financial expenses" at the same time as the interest on hedged loan is recognized.

However, if a projected hedged transaction results in the recognition of a non-financial asset (for example, inventories or property, plant, and equipment), any previously deferred equity profits or losses are transferred from equity and included in the initial measurement of the cost of the non-financial asset. Deferred amounts are finally recognized in profit or loss through the cost of sale in the case of inventories, or depreciation, in the case of property, plant, and equipment.

When a hedging instrument expires, is sold, or when a hedge fails to meet the criteria for applying hedge accounting, any accumulated equity profit or loss or any deferred hedge cost on equity until that time shall remain in equity until the expected transaction occurs, which will result in the recognition of a non-financial asset, such as inventories. When a forecasted transaction is not expected to occur, the accumulated profit or loss and any deferred hedging costs that were reported in equity are immediately transferred to the income statement.

(ii) Derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting:

Certain derivative instruments do not qualify for hedge accounting. Changes in the fair value of any derivative instrument that does not qualify for hedge accounting are recognized immediately in the profit and loss statement.

As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company had forwards operations contracted to cover the exchange rate risk in the purchase of assets associated with the disbursement of projects.

2.8 Inventories -

Inventories (note 10) are presented at cost or net realizable value, whichever is lowest, and are presented net of the impairment estimate.

Inventories correspond mainly to terminal equipment (cell phones, modems, pay television decoders, and fixed telephone equipment) and are valued at average cost. Cost includes reclassification of equity from any gain or loss on qualifying cash flow hedges related to inventory purchases but excludes borrowing costs. In case there is a subsidy on the sale price of the handsets, it will not be included in the cost of the inventories given that it pertains to cost of commercialization, because it is always associated with the customer registration or with the renewal of the customer contract period. As such, terminal equipment is recorded in the profit or loss when it is delivered for sale to the final customers (signal activation). See note 2.22. Inventories in transit are presented at the specific cost of acquisition.

The estimate for impairment is determined based on an analysis of the conditions and turnover of terminal equipment, materials, and supplies. In the case of damaged equipment and those which have

had no movement in the last year, the estimate is equivalent to balance in books and recorded in the fiscal year results, and in which its requirement is determined.

2.9 Properties, Plant, and Equipment -

Property, plant, and equipment (note 13) are presented at cost, net of accumulated depreciation, and accumulated loss due to impairment of the value of these assets. See note 2.12. The initial cost of the property, plant and equipment includes its acquisition price, including non-refundable import duties and purchase taxes, and any directly attributable cost to place and leave the asset in working condition.

The cost of the asset also includes the present value of the disbursements that are expected to be required to pay any cost of removal and decommissioning of equipment or rehabilitation of the physical site where it is established. The foregoing is applied when the disbursements constitute obligations incurred under certain conditions (See note 2.18). The cost may also include equity transfers of any profit or loss in the hedges of cash flows qualified for purchases of property, plant, and equipment in foreign currency. Note 2.18 includes additional information on the recorded decommissioning provision. For significant components of property, plant, and equipment that must be periodically replaced, the Company recognizes such components as separate individual assets, with their specific useful lives and respective depreciations.

In the same way, when a large inspection or repair is carried out, the cost is recognized as a replacement in the carrying amount for property, plant, and equipment, if the criteria for recognition are met.

Depreciation is calculated using the straight line method, using the following estimated useful lives:

Description	Years
Buildings and other constructions	13,15, 33 and 40
Plant (Platform and telecommunications networks)	3 to 25
Equipment and other	3 to 10
Furniture and fixtures	5 to 10

Land does not depreciate. The residual values, the useful life and the depreciation method are reviewed every year to ensure that they are consistent with the expected pattern of economic benefits of the items of property, plant, and equipment. The telecommunications sector in which the Company operates is very dynamic. Likewise, the constant overall progress of the same for the development of new technology forces the Company to continually update and renew its plant in order to be competitive in the market. Based on historical information and considering the technological advances, it has been determined that the value of the properties, plant, and equipment at the end of its useful life is not significant and therefore the Company estimates that they do not have residual values, depreciating the total cost in the useful life of the same.

A component of property, plant, and equipment or any significant part of it initially recognized is derecognized at the time of its sale or when it is not expected to obtain future profit by means of its use or sale. Any profit or loss at the time of asset derecognition (calculated as the difference between the net income from the sale of the asset and its book amount) is included in the income statement when the asset is derecognized.

Disbursements incurred after property, plant, and equipment have been put into use are capitalized as an additional cost of this asset when it is probable that such disbursements will result in future profit, higher than the normal yield originally evaluated for said asset. Disbursements for maintenance and repairs are recognized as an expense for the year in which they are incurred.

Staff benefit costs that are directly related to the construction of the plant are capitalized as part of the cost of the assets by means of recording a lower expense in the statement of income in the item "Staff expenses".

Works in progress are recorded at cost, which includes the cost of construction, plant, and equipment and other direct costs, as well as the cost of important materials directly identifiable with specific assets. Works in progress do not depreciate until the respective assets are finished and are ready for use.

2.10 Intangible Assets and Administrative Concessions -

Intangible assets (note 14) are initially recorded at cost. An asset is recognized as intangible if the attributable future economic benefits that it generates are likely to flow to the Company, and its cost can be measured reliably. After initial recognition, intangible assets are measured at cost, less accumulated amortization and any accumulated impairment loss. See note 2.12.

Intangible assets generated internally, which are directly attributable to the design and testing of identifiable and unique software that the Company controls, are recognized as intangible assets when they meet the following criteria:

- It is technically possible to complete the software so that it can be used,
- Management intends to finish the software and use or sell it,
- There is the opportunity to use or sell the software,
- It can be shown that the program will generate future profit,
- The required technical, financial, and other resources are available to complete the development of the software, thus permitting its use or sale.

During the initial recognition, the Company evaluates whether the useful life of the intangible assets is defined or undefined.

Intangible assets are amortized as per the straight-line method, based on the following estimated useful lives, when they are ready for use:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Years</u>
Administrative concessions	Per the term of the concessions. See Note 1.3(a)
Software	3 to 5

The period and the amortization method are reviewed periodically. Depreciation begins when the asset is available for use. Changes in the expected useful life or in the expected consumption pattern of the future economic benefits derived from the asset are taken into consideration in order to change the amortization period or method, if applicable, and are treated as a change in accounting estimation.

The loss or derecognition of an intangible asset is determined as the difference between the net amount obtained from its disposal and the book value of the asset, and is recognized in the income statement when the asset is derecognized.

2.11 Capital Gain -

Capital gains (note 16) represent the excess of the cost of acquiring companies over the Company's share in the fair value of the respective net assets acquired, which have been penalized in September 2024. Capital gains are presented at cost less accumulated permanent impairment losses on its value. See note 2.12. The Company determines the losses on the basis of impairment tests carried out during the last quarter of each year in order to determine whether the book value is fully recoverable.

2.12 Impairment of Long-term Assets -

The net book value of the property, plant, and equipment, capital gains, and intangible assets of finite life are reviewed to determine if there is no impairment. Each year, the Company assesses the existence of signs of possible impairment of non-current assets. If there are any such indications, the Company estimates the recoverable value of the asset, which is the higher of fair value (less costs of selling), or of the value in use. Said value in use is determined by discounting the estimated future cash flows. When the recoverable value of an asset is below its net book value, it is considered that there is a deterioration in value.

The assessment of the impairment of capital gains is carried out for each period presented. The Cash Generating Unit (CGU) is aligned with the definition of the business segment. See note 2.3. Impairment is determined by evaluating the recoverable value. When said value is less than its carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized. Impairment losses related to capital gain cannot be reversed in future periods.

The recoverable value of an asset is defined as the highest amount between the net sale price and its value in use. The "net sale price" is the amount that can be obtained selling an asset in a free market, while the "value in use" is the present value of the net future cash flows. Value in use is estimated from the continuous use of an asset and its disposal at the end of its useful life. By determining the value in use, future net cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects the assessment of current market conditions, the value of money over time, and the specific risks of the asset. The discount rates are adjusted for the country risk and corresponding business risk.

When new events or changes in existing circumstances take place and demonstrate an impairment loss recorded in an earlier period that may have disappeared or been reduced, the Company undertakes a new estimate of the profitability for the corresponding asset. This evaluation is performed each financial year. Previously recognized impairment losses are reversed (except for those related to capital gain) only if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the recoverable amount of the asset from the date on which the impairment loss was last recognized. If this is the case, the book value of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount. This increased amount cannot exceed the book value that would have been determined, net of depreciation, if an impairment loss had not been recognized for the asset in previous years. This reversal is recognized in the income statement for the year. After the reversal, the depreciation charge is adjusted in future periods by distributing the book value of the asset over its remaining useful life.

2.13 Investments in Associated Companies (note 12) -

An associate is an entity over which the Company has significant influence. An entity is presumed to exercise significant influence if it directly or indirectly owns 20% or more of the voting power of the investee, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that such influence does not exist. Conversely, it is presumed that the entity does not exercise significant influence if it directly or indirectly holds less than 20% of the voting power of the investee, unless it can be clearly demonstrated that such influence exists. Substantial or majority ownership by another investor does not necessarily preclude an entity from having significant influence.

Significant influence is manifested through one or more of the following manners:

- (i). Representation on the board of directors or equivalent governing body of the investee;
- (ii). participation in policy-setting processes, including participation in decisions on dividends and other distributions;
- (iii). relatively significant transactions between the entity and its investee;
- (iv). exchange of managerial personnel; or
- (v). provision of essential technical information.

The investment in associates is presented using the equity method (equity share). According to this method, the investment is initially recognized at cost.

The carrying amount of the investment is adjusted to recognize changes in the Company's share in the net assets of its associates from the date of acquisition.

2.14 Trade accounts payable and other accounts payable -

These amounts represent liabilities for unpaid goods and services provided to the Company at the close of the statement of financial position. The amounts are not guaranteed and are generally paid within 60 days after recognition. Trade accounts (note 19) and other accounts payable (note 20) are presented as current and non-current liabilities. They are initially recognized at fair value and subsequently measured at amortized cost, using the effective interest method.

2.15 Financial Obligations -

Financial obligations are initially recognized at their fair value, net of transaction costs incurred. Financial obligations are subsequently measured at amortized cost. Any difference between income (net of transaction costs) and the amount of reimbursement is recognized in the income statement during the period of financial obligations using the effective interest method. Fees paid are recognized as transaction costs of financial obligations to the extent that it is probable that some or all of the financing will be realized. In this case, the fee is postponed until the withdrawal occurs. To the extent that there is no evidence that part or all of the funding is likely to be missed, the rate is capitalized as a prepayment for funding services and amortized over the funding period to which it relates.

Financial obligations are eliminated from the statement of financial position when the obligation specified in the contract is derecognized, canceled, or expires. The difference between the book value of a financial liability that has been extinguished or transferred to another party and the consideration paid, including the non-transferred assets, or assumed liabilities, is recognized in the income statement as other financial income or expenses.

Financial obligations are classified as current and non-current liabilities.

2.16 Financing Costs -

The financing costs directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of an eligible asset that necessarily presents a considerable period of preparation to be available for use or sale are capitalized as part of the cost of the respective asset.

All additional financing costs are recognized as an expense in the period in which they occur. The financing costs are constituted by interest and other costs that the entity incurs in connection with the financed funds.

According to the Company's policy, the assets qualifying for capitalizing financing costs are those whose preparation period exceeds eighteen months.

As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company did not have assets in progress qualified for the purpose of capitalizing financing costs.

2.17 Staff Benefits -

The Company has short-term obligations for staff benefits that include salaries, social contributions, gratuities, performance bonuses, and profit sharing (corresponding to 10% of taxable income). These obligations are recorded monthly in the income statement as they accrue.

Gratuities -

The Company recognizes gratuities paid to staff, as per the legal provisions in force in Peru, as a liability and an expense. The gratuities correspond to two (2) annual remunerations paid in July and December of each year.

Compensation for length of service -

The compensation for time of service of the Company's staff corresponds to their compensation rights calculated in accordance with the legislation in force, which must be deposited in the bank accounts designated by the staff in the months of May and November of each year. The compensation for time of service is equivalent to half the monthly remuneration in force on the date of deposit. The Company has no additional payment obligations once it makes the annual deposits of the funds to which staff are entitled.

Annual Leave -

Staff annual leave and other paid absences are recognized on an accrual basis. The provision for the estimated annual leave obligation for staff, which is calculated on the basis of a monthly salary for every twelve (12) months of services rendered by staff, is recognized on the date of the statement of financial position. The Company does not provide post-employment benefits.

2.18 Provisions -

General -

A provision is recognized only when the Company has a current obligation (legal or implicit) as a result of a past event, it is likely that resources will be required to meet the obligation, and its amount can be reasonably estimated. Provisions are reviewed at each period and adjusted to reflect the best estimate at the date of the statement of financial position. When the effect of the money value over time is significant, the amount of the provision is discounted using a pre-tax interest rate that reflects, when appropriate, the specific risks of the liability. When discounted, the increase in the provision due to the passage of time is recorded in the income statement as a financial cost.

The amount recorded as a provision is the best estimate, at the closing date, of the disbursement necessary to meet the present obligation. This best estimate will be the amount, valued in a rational manner, that the Company will have to pay to meet the obligation on the date of the statement of financial position, or to transfer it to a third party on the same date.

The estimates for every single outcome and financial effect are determined by the Company's judgment, and supplemented by experience gained in similar transactions and, in some cases, reports from experts. The evidence to be considered includes any additional data provided by events after the closing of the statement of financial position.

When the Company expects a part of or the total provision to be recovered, said recoveries are recognized as assets, provided that the recovery is certain. The provisioned amount is presented in the income statement, net of recoveries.

Withdrawal of assets -

The Company records the present value of the estimated costs of the legal and implicit obligations required to pay the costs of removal and decommissioning of equipment or the rehabilitation of the physical site where it is established in the period in which the obligation is incurred. Withdrawal of assets costs are presented at the present value of the expected costs to settle the obligation, using estimated cash flows, and are recognized as a constituent part of asset costs (See note 2.9). Cash flows are discounted at the pre-tax risk-free market rate that reflects the specific risks of the withdrawal of assets liability.

The accrual of the discount is recorded as an expense as it is incurred and is recognized in the income statement as a financial cost. The estimated future withdrawal of assets costs are reviewed annually and adjusted, as appropriate, on an annual basis. Changes in estimated future costs or in the applied discount rate are added to or deducted from the cost of the related asset, as appropriate.

Contingencies -

Possible contingencies are not recognized in the financial statements. These are disclosed in notes on the financial statements, except if the possibility of disbursing an economic flow is remote.

Restructuring -

An implicit obligation is recognized as a consequence of restructuring, only when a formal and detailed plan exists to proceed with it. This plan must at a minimum identify the business activities, or the part of

those involved; the main locations affected; the location, function, and approximate number of employees that will be compensated; the disbursements that will be carried out; and the dates on which the plan will be implemented.

In addition to that detailed in the plan, there must be a valid prospect produced among those affected, either by having begun to implement the plan or by announcing its main features to them.

2.19 Income Tax -

Current Income Tax -

Current assets and liabilities, short and long term, for the income tax for the period are measured using the amounts expected to be recovered from or paid to the tax authority. The foregoing includes the effect of tax reparations pending resolution of periods prior to the time of settling the current tax amount. The tax rates and tax regulations used to calculate these amounts are those that are approved, at the closing date of the period in Peru, where the Company operates and generates taxable income.

The current income tax, which is related to items that are directly recognized in net equity, is also recognized in net equity and not in the income statement. Management periodically evaluates the positions taken in the tax returns regarding situations in which the applicable tax regulations are subject to interpretation, and constitutes provisions when deemed appropriate.

Deferred Income Tax -

Deferred income tax is recognized using the liability method on temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts at the closing date of the reporting period.

Deferred income tax liabilities are recognized for all taxable temporary differences, except:

- When the deferred tax liability stems from the initial recognition of capital gain, or from an asset or liability in a transaction other than a business combination and, at the time of the transaction, it affects neither the accounting result nor the tax result.
- Regarding taxable temporary differences related to investments in subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures, when the time of reversal of the temporary difference can be controlled and it is likely that this difference will not be reversed in the foreseeable future.

The deferred tax asset is recognized for deductible temporary differences, unused tax losses, and unused tax credits, to the extent that it is likely that taxable income will be available against which deductible temporary differences may be used, with the following exceptions: (a) the deferred tax asset stemming from the initial recognition of an asset / liability, is different, when in a business combination, at the time of the transaction, does not affect the accounting profit or the utility subject to taxes; and (b) deferred tax assets stemming from temporary differences associated with investments in subsidiaries, branches and associates, and interests in joint ventures are recognized only to the extent that it is probable that the temporary difference will reverse in the foreseeable future and taxable profit will be available against which to use the difference.

The Company evaluates a deferred tax asset in combination with other deferred tax assets and liabilities. When tax legislation restricts the use of tax losses, the Company will value a deferred tax asset in combination with other deferred tax assets and liabilities of the same type.

The carrying amount of deferred income tax assets is reviewed on each closing date of the reporting period and reduced to the extent that the existence of future taxable profits is no longer likely to allow the deferred income tax assets to be used, either in whole or in part. Unrecognized deferred tax assets are revalued at each closing date of the reporting period and are recognized to the extent that the existence of future available taxable income that makes it possible to recover the same deferred tax assets which were previously unrecognized.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applicable in the year in which the asset is realized or the liability is paid, based on the tax rates and tax rules that were approved and current on the date of the close of the reporting period.

Deferred income tax related to items outside the profit or loss is also recognized outside of this. These items are recognized in correlation with the underlying transactions to which they are related, either in other comprehensive income, or directly in net equity.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset current income tax assets and liabilities, and if the deferred taxes relate to the same tax authority and the same tax jurisdiction.

2.20 Government Grants -

Government grants are non-reimbursable financing from the public sector in the form of transfers of resources, in exchange for future or past compliance with certain conditions related to operating activities with social impact.

Government grants are recognized when there is reasonable assurance that the Company will meet the conditions for their use and will receive the grants. These grants are recorded as income from the periods required to systematically relate them to the costs to which they are allocated. The amount to be charged to results is maintained as a liability in the item "Deferred income" until it is charged to income. In the case of assets acquired as part of the respective project, these are part of the Company's fixed assets and depreciate in a manner consistent with the policy established for other similar assets. The income related to the grant is recognized in the income statement, as per the depreciation of the assets.

2.21 Issued Capital -

Common shares are classified in equity.

2.22 Recognition of Income, Costs, and Expenses -

The Company's revenues come mainly from the provision of the following telecommunication services: traffic, connection, and installation fees, periodic fees for the use of the network, interconnection and rental of networks and equipment, as well as for the provision of internet and cable television services. The products and services can be sold separately or jointly in commercial packages.

Traffic -

Traffic revenues are based on the initial call set-up fee, plus per-call rates, which vary according to the time consumed by the user, the distance of the call, and the type of service. Traffic is recorded as income as it is consumed. In the case of prepaid, the amount corresponding to the traffic paid pending consumption generates a contractual liability.

Prepaid traffic usually has expiration periods of up to twelve months. Based on historical consumption, the Company estimates the traffic that will not be consumed and is imputed to the income statement, based on the traffic consumed.

Connection and Installation Fees -

Revenue from connection and installation fees, produced when customers connect to the Company's network, is deferred and imputed to the income statement over the estimated average period of duration of the relationship with the customer, or the term of the contract, whether or not a material right is granted, respectively, which varies depending on the type of service in question. The related costs, except those related to the network expansion, are recognized in the income statement in the estimated average life of the relationship with the customer.

Periodic Fees for Network Use -

In the case of traffic sales, as well as other services, via a fixed rate for a certain period of time (flat rate), income is recognized on a straight-line basis in the period covered by the rate paid by the customer.

Interconnection -

Interconnection revenues derived from customer calls from other operators, as well as from other services used by customers, are recognized in the period in which they make the calls.

Sale of Equipment -

Revenue from the sale of goods (mobile handset equipment) note 2.9, is recognized when the signal from the handsets is activated and transferred to the end customer.

Bundled Services -

In the case of revenues from the sale of multi-elements, equipment plus telephony services, internet, and paid television, the revenues are allocated based on the fair value of the components delivered. The offers of commercial packages that combine a number of elements are analyzed periodically to determine the necessity to separate the elements, applying in each case the criterion of appropriate income recognition. Revenues from the sale of modems and decoders, as they are essential elements for service provision, are recognized as internet and television services, respectively, throughout the average life of the customer, accruing the cost of the equipment delivered in the same period. As they are elements that can be used independently from contracting the service, landline sales revenue is recognized when the equipment is transferred to the final customer and the signal is activated. Fixed telephony, internet, and television services are recognized on a straight-line basis for the duration of the contract.

To the extent that bundles are sold at a discount on equipment (mainly in mobile telephony), and that there is a contract that generates explicit or implicit permanence, the criteria defined in IFRS 15 will be applied. The application of the criteria defined in IFRS 15 represents an increase in revenue recognized for sales of equipment, which will generally be recognized coinciding with the moment of delivery to the customer, to the detriment of the periodic income for the provision of services in subsequent periods. The income is assigned proportionally to each element according to the independent sale prices of each individual component, whilst always maintaining the total income value. The difference between the revenue from sales of equipment and terminals and the amount received from the customer at the start of the contract is shown as a contractual asset in the statement of financial position, which will be recovered over the term of the contract with the customer.

The costs that can be finally activated will be amortized in a systematic and consistent manner upon the transfer of the goods to the customer, or services with which the associated asset is related. Said asset may be amortized over the duration of the contract, or average life of the client.

Other Income, Costs, and Expenses -

Other income, costs, and expenses are recognized as they accrue, regardless of when they occur, and are recorded in the periods to which they are related.

2.23 Leases -

At the commencement of a contract, the Company assesses whether a contract is, or contains, a lease. A contract is, or contains, a lease if it conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period of time in exchange for a consideration.

The determination of whether a contract is or contains a lease is based on the substance of the contract; that is, whether the fulfillment of the contract depends on the use of a specific asset or whether the contract grants a right to use the asset, even if that right is not explicitly stated in the contract.

The Company a significant volume of lease agreements as the lessee of various assets, mainly: towers, office, and store buildings, and land where own towers are located. These leases accrue at an interest rate as described in note 18(c).

Company as Lessee -

The norm requires the leaseholder to recognize all leases in a separate statement of financial position, thus reflecting the right to use the asset for a period of time, as well as the liabilities associated with contractual payments.

At the commencement of a contract, the Company will assess whether a contract is, or contains, a lease. A contract is, or contains, a lease if it conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset for a period in exchange for a consideration.

Company as Lessor -

Leases in which the Company substantially retains all the risks and benefits inherent to the ownership of the leased assets are classified as operating leases. The initial direct costs incurred in negotiating and contracting the operating lease are added to the carrying value of the leased asset and are recorded throughout the lease period whilst applying the same criteria as for rental income. Contingent income is recorded as income in the period in which it was obtained in the income statement.

2.24 Share-Based Payment Transactions -

Company employees receive part of their compensation as per share-based payment plans, and according to which, said employees provide services and receive equity instruments as consideration. In situations where equity instruments are issued and some or all of the goods or services received by the entity as consideration cannot be specifically identified, the unidentified goods or services received (or that will be received) are measured as the difference between the fair value of the share-based payment transaction and the fair value of any identifiable good or service received on the concession date. This amount is then capitalized or charged to expenses, as appropriate.

2.25 Distribution of Dividends -

The distribution of dividends to the Company's shareholders is recognized as a liability in the statement of financial position in the period in which the dividends are approved by the Company's shareholders.

2.26 Loss per Share -

Basic and diluted losses per share have been calculated on the basis of the weighted average of the common shares outstanding as at the date of the financial position statement. As of September 30, 2024 and December 31 2023, the Company did not maintain financial instruments that produce dilutive effects, so the loss per basic and diluted share is the same.

2.27 Fair Value Measurements -

The Company measures some of its financial instruments, such as other accounts payable from related entities and derivatives, at fair value on each date of the financial position statement. Likewise, the fair value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost is disclosed in note 3.3.

Fair value is the price that would be received for selling an asset or that would be paid when transferring a liability in an orderly transaction between participants in a market on the measurement date. The measurement at fair value is based on the assumption that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability takes place, either:

- In the main market for the asset or liability, or
- In the absence of a main market, in the most advantageous market for the asset or liability.

The main or most advantageous market must be accessible by the Company. In addition, the fair value of a liability reflects its risk of default.

When available, the Company measures the fair value of an instrument using the quoted price in an active market for that instrument. A market is considered active if the transactions for the asset or liability are carried out frequently and sufficient volume to provide price information on an ongoing basis.

All assets and liabilities for which fair values are determined or disclosed in the financial statements are classified within the fair value hierarchy described below, based on the lowest level of the data used that are significant for the measurement at fair value as a whole:

- Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2: Valuation techniques, via which the lowest level of information that is significant for fair value measurement is directly or indirectly observable.
- Level 3: Valuation techniques, via which the lowest level of information that is significant for fair value measurement is not observable.

For assets and liabilities that are recognized at fair value in the consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis, the Company determines whether there have been transfers between the different levels within the hierarchy by reviewing the categorization at the end of each reporting period.

Company Management determines policies and procedures for recurring and non-recurring fair value measurements. At each reporting date, Management analyzes the movements in the values of the assets and liabilities that must be valued in accordance with the Company's accounting policies.

For purposes of fair value disclosures, the Company has determined the classes of assets and liabilities based on their nature, characteristics, and risks, and the hierarchy level of fair value, as explained above (note 3.3).

3 FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

3.1 Financial Risk Factors -

The Company's main financial liabilities, other than derivatives, include commercial and other accounts payable, lease liabilities, accounts payable to related parties, and other financial liabilities. The main purpose of these financial obligations is to finance the Company's operations. The Company has cash and short-term deposits, commercial and miscellaneous receivables, and accounts receivable from related entities arising directly from its operations. The Company also has cash flow hedging instruments.

Due to the nature of its activities, the Company is exposed to credit, exchange rate, interest rate, and liquidity risks, which are managed through a process of identification, measurement, and continuous monitoring, subject to risk limits and other controls.

This risk management process is critical to the continued profitability of the Company and each person within the Company is responsible for the risk exposures related to their responsibilities.

The independent process of risk control does not include business risks such as changes in the environment, technology, and industry. These are monitored through the Company's strategic planning process.

Risk Management Structure -

The risk management structure is based on the Board of Directors and the Company Management, which are responsible for identifying and controlling risks in coordination with other areas as explained below:

i) Board of Directors -

The Board of Directors is the competent body that defines the general risk management policy of the Company. In compliance with its responsibilities, it approved the Corporate Risk Management Policy, with the managers being responsible for its identification, evaluation, management, and reporting within their respective spheres of action.

ii) Management -

Management is responsible for managing the Company's cash flow, taking into account the policy and limits approved by the Board of Directors.

iii) Internal Audit -

The Company's Internal Audit area is responsible for supervising the operation and reliability of the internal control systems, and the administrative and accounting information. Likewise, Internal

Auditing is responsible for supervising the financial information and significant events presented by the Company.

Internal Auditing monitors the risk management processes in the Company by analyzing both the adequacy of the procedures and compliance with them. Internal Auditing discusses the results of its evaluations with General Management, and reports its findings and recommendations to the Company's Board of Directors.

b) Risk Mitigation -

As part of the total risk management, the Company constantly evaluates the different scenarios and identifies different strategies to manage exposures resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency, capital risk, and credit risks.

The Company's risk management is controlled by Management under policies approved by the Board of Directors. The Company's Finance area identifies, assesses, and hedges financial risks in close cooperation with the Company's operating units. The Board of Directors provides the principles for overall risk management, as well as policies that hedge specific areas, such as foreign exchange risk, interest rate risk, credit risk, use of derivative and non-derivative financial instruments, financial instruments, and the investment of liquidity excess.

Credit Risk -

Credit risk is the risk that a counterparty does not comply with its obligations stipulated in a financial instrument or contract, thus causing a loss. The Company is exposed to credit risk due to its operating activities, mainly in terms of its accounts receivable and financial activities, including its deposits in banks and transactions with derivatives, and other financial instruments.

Credit risk arises from cash and cash equivalents, contractual cash flows from equity investments accounted for at amortized cost, at fair value through other comprehensive income, and at fair value through profit or loss, favorable derivative financial instruments, and deposits in banks and financial institutions, as well as credit exposures to wholesale and retail customers, including any outstanding accounts receivable.

The Company maintains exposure limits for its placements in a number of banks and financial institutions, according to the institutions' individual risk category. Credit risk is managed as a group. For banks and financial institutions, we only accept entities independently qualified with a minimum grade of "A".

Credit risk is controlled through the evaluation and analysis of individual transactions, for which an evaluation is made based on the aging of accounts receivable. If the wholesale and household customers have an independent rating, these ratings are used. Otherwise, if there is no independent rating, the monitoring of the credit risk will assess client credit quality, with its financial position, past experience, and other factors being taken into account. Individual risk limits are established based on internal or external ratings, in accordance with the limits that Management establishes. Line management regularly supervises wholesale customer compliance with credit limits, thereby determining the estimate required for uncollectibility. Additionally, partial and total service suspensions are imposed on users who have accounts receivable due in accordance with the policy described in note 2.7 (iv); and Management defines the delinquency objectives.

According to the analysis made by the Company's Management, an account receivable is considered as impaired when it has been classified as an uncollectible account (See note 2.7). In addition, Management estimates a provision for expected losses on non-impaired accounts. As at the date of the financial position statement, the aging and qualification of the trade accounts receivable balance is as follows:

	Not Impaired	Impaired	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000
As of September 30, 2024			
Not matured	476,280	27,657	503,937
Matured			
Up to 1 month	109,631	53,331	162,962
From 1 to 3 months	42,936	54,701	97,637
From 3 to 6 months	14,615	82,966	97,581
From 6 to 12 months	8,058	171,379	179,437
More than 12 months	3,375	6,031,952	6,035,327
Total	654,895	6,421,986	7,076,881
	Not Impaired	Impaired	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000
As of December 31, 2023			
Not matured	410,493	47,093	457,586
Matured			
Up to 1 month	108,401	56,550	164,951
From 1 to 3 months	54,908	62,355	117,263
From 3 to 6 months	25,495	98,848	124,343
From 6 to 12 months	30,452	247,904	278,356
More than 12 months	2,993	5,674,940	5,677,933
Total	632,742	6,187,690	6,820,432

According to Management's opinion, there are no significant concentrations of credit risk as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023.

As of September 30, 2024, the Company's Management has estimated that the maximum net amount of credit risk to which the Company is exposed amounts to approximately S/782, 639,000 (S/749,012,000 as of December 31, 2023), which represents the net book value of the accounts receivable and other financial assets.

i) **Market Risk -**

Market risk is the risk of losses in balance sheet positions, mainly derived from movements in the exchange rate and interest rates.

The sensitivity analyzes in the following sections refer to positions for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2024 and 2023. Likewise, they are because of the net amount of debt, the ratio of fixed interest rates, and the position of instruments in foreign currency remain constant. The sensitivities in the profit or loss statement are the effect of the changes assumed in the respective market risk. This is based on financial assets and liabilities held as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, including the effect of hedge derivatives.

ii) Exchange Rate Risk -

The exchange rate risk is the risk that the fair value of the future cash flows of a financial instrument fluctuates due to variations in the exchange rates. Finance Management is responsible for identifying, measuring, controlling, and reporting the exposure to the Company's overall exchange risk. The exchange risk arises when the Company presents mismatches between its asset and liability positions in the various currencies with which it operates, which are mainly soles (functional currency) and US dollars. Management monitors this risk through the analysis of the country's macro-economic variables.

Foreign currency transactions are carried out at the free market exchange rates published by the Superintendence of Banking, Insurance, and Private Pension Fund Administrators. As of September 30, 2024, the weighted average free market exchange rates for transactions in US dollars were S/3.703 per US\$1 for purchases and S/3.714 per US\$1 for sales (S/3.705 per US\$1 for purchases and S/3.713 per US\$1 for sales as of December 31, 2023). For euro transactions, the rates were S/3.905 per €1 for purchases and S/4.417 per €1 for sales (S/3.972 per €1 for purchases and S/4.378 per €1 for sales as of December 31, 2023), respectively.

The result of maintaining balances in foreign currency for the Company for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2024, and 2023, was a loss net of approximately S/181,000 and S/3,642,000, respectively, which are presented in the item "Exchange difference, net". See note 31.

As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company had the following assets and liabilities in foreign currency:

	As of September 30, 2024		As of December 31, 2023	
	US\$000	Euros000	US\$000	Euros000
Assets -				
Cash and cash equivalents	7,848	921	15,325	398
Trade accounts receivable, net	30,624	990	35,749	1,095
Other accounts receivable, net	20,681	-	24,006	-
	<u>59,153</u>	<u>1,911</u>	<u>75,080</u>	<u>1,493</u>
Liabilities -				
Financial liability for lease	(89,211)	-	(99,754)	-
Trade accounts payable	(164,880)	(14,388)	(197,016)	(16,191)
Other accounts payable	(21,201)	-	(24,494)	-
	<u>(275,292)</u>	<u>(14,388)</u>	<u>(321,264)</u>	<u>(16,191)</u>
Purchase position of derivatives	<u>223,684</u>	<u>13,224</u>	<u>240,741</u>	<u>14,984</u>
Monetary position, net	<u>7,545</u>	<u>747</u>	<u>(5,443)</u>	<u>286</u>

The Company hedges its exposure to the conversion to Soles of financial obligations and OPEX operations (denominated in US dollars and euros), using hedge cash flow, swaps, and forwards contracts.

The following table shows the sensitivity analysis of US dollars (the main currency other than the functional currency in which the Company has a significant exposure), and of euros, in its monetary assets and liabilities, and its estimated flows in cash and in euros. The analysis determines the effect of a reasonably possible change in the exchange rate of the US dollar and the euro, while keeping the other variables constant in the income statement, before income tax. A negative amount shows a potential net loss, while a positive amount reflects a potential net gain in the statement of income.

<u>Sensitivity Analysis</u>	<u>Change in Exchange Rates</u> %	<u>Effect on Results Before Taxes</u>	
		As of September 30, 2024	As of September 30, 2023
		S/000	S/000
Devaluation-dollars	5	1,397	(4,911)
dollars	10	2,794	(9,822)
Revaluation-dollars	5	(1,397)	4,911
dollars	10	(2,794)	9,822
Devaluation-Euros	5	146	2,004
Euros	10	292	4,009
Revaluation-Euros	5	(146)	(2,004)
Euros	10	(292)	(4,009)

The exchange rate sensitivities shown in the previous table are illustrative only and are based on simplified scenarios. However, this effect does not include the actions that would be taken by Management to mitigate the impact of this risk.

iii) Interest Rate Risk -

The interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument fluctuate due to changes in market interest rates. The Company manages its interest rate risk based on the experience of Management, balancing the asset and liability interest rates.

Management believes that future fluctuations in interest rates will not significantly affect the results of the Company's future operations.

The information related to financial instruments with fixed and variable interest rates are shown as follows:

	<u>Variable rate (*)</u> S/000	<u>Fixed Rate</u> S/000	<u>Without Interest</u> S/000	<u>Total</u> S/000	<u>Average Rate of Interest</u> %
As of September 30, 2024					
Financial Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	706,471	-	-	706,471	4.22
Trade accounts receivable, net	-	178,615	476,280	654,895	2.58
Contract assets	-	-	38,469	38,469	-
Other accounts receivable to related entities	-	-	-	-	-
Other accounts receivable, net (**)	-	-	127,744	127,744	-
Financial Liabilities					
Other financial liabilities	2,039,157	1,217,861	-	3,257,018	7.42
Financial liability for lease	394,059	646,176	-	1,040,235	5.96
Trade accounts payable	-	-	1,705,951	1,705,951	-
Other accounts payable to related entities	-	1,273,188	7,599	1,280,787	16.26
Other accounts payable	-	-	25,390	25,390	-

	<u>Variable rate (*)</u>	<u>Fixed Rate</u>	<u>Without Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Average Rate of interest</u>
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>%</u>
As of December 31, 2023					
Financial Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	520,844	-	-	520,844	5.45
Trade accounts receivable, net	-	222,249	410,493	632,742	3.45
Contract assets	-	-	39,011	39,011	-
Other accounts receivable to related entities	-	-	3,072	3,072	-
Other accounts receivable, net (**)	-	-	113,198	113,198	-
Financial Liabilities					
Other financial liabilities	672,748	1,878,658	1,478	2,552,884	6.50
Financial liability for lease	416,660	791,856	-	1,208,516	5.72
Trade accounts payable	-	-	1,737,678	1,737,678	-
Other accounts payable to related entities	-	723,895	10,208	734,103	9.41
Other accounts payable	-	-	25,670	25,670	-

(*) Assets and liabilities at a variable rate, as well as assets and liabilities at a fixed rate with terms of less than 12 months, are considered variable.

(**) Does not include advance payments or taxes.

Shown below is the income statement's sensitivity, which is due to the possible effect of changes in the interest rate on financial expenses for the period, before income tax, assuming that the financial liabilities on September 30, 2024 and 2023 will be renewed at the end, and will be maintained for the remainder of the following year:

<u>Changes in Basis Points</u>	<u>Effect on Results Before Taxes</u>	
	<u>As of September 30, 2024</u>	<u>As of September 30, 2023</u>
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
+(-)50	(-)+ 6,475	(-)+ 2,666
+(-)100	(-)+12,951	(-)+ 5,331
+(-)200	(-)+25,901	(-)+10,662

The exchange rate sensitivities shown in the previous table are illustrative only and are based on simplified scenarios. The figures represent the effect of the pro forma movements in the net financial expenses based on the projected scenarios of the yield curve and the interest rate risk profile that the Company currently has. However, this effect does not include the actions that would be taken by Management to mitigate the impact of this risk.

iii) Liquidity Risk -

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will neither be able to meet its payment obligations related to financial liabilities upon maturity, nor replace the funds when they are withdrawn, nor deal with the result of disputes and contingencies, which are presented in the short term as the main

processes are in the final instances (See note 33(b)). The consequence would be the failure to pay the company's obligations to third parties.

Liquidity is controlled by matching the maturities of its assets and liabilities, obtaining lines of credit and/or maintaining surplus liquidity as investments, which allows the Company to carry out its activities normally.

The management of liquidity risk involves maintaining sufficient cash and finance availability, through an adequate amount of assigned credit sources and the ability to settle transactions, mainly of indebtedness. In this regard, the Company's Management orients its efforts to maintain sources of financing through the availability of credit lines and the registration of corporate bond programs and commercial papers, and if necessary, request financing from its main shareholder, among other alternatives that allow refinancing potential short-term obligations.

The Company maintains a negative working capital generated mainly by short-term classified contingency provisions, most of which have already been resolved. However, its settlement depends on various instances resolutions, both administrative and judicial. See Note 33(b) Additionally, as of September 30, 2024, the Company maintains available credit lines amounting to US\$92,146,000. In the telecommunications sector, fixed and mobile telephony services usually operate with a negative working capital, given that the average period of payment to suppliers is greater than the average period of collection from clients.

In order to restore equity balance during 2024 and 2023, the Company obtained loans from Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A., which were fully capitalized (see Notes 23(e) and 25(a) and (b)). Additionally, as indicated in Note 1.1, during the Board of Directors meeting held on October 24, 2024, the capitalization of loans was approved to address the Company's negative equity as of September 30, 2024. The Company intends to reverse this position through revenue growth by strengthening its fixed internet offering with fiber optic services, considering its strong market share in fixed service products.

The following table shows the maturity of the obligations of the Company as of the date of the statement of financial position and amounts to be disbursed at maturity, based on the undiscounted payments that will be made:

	Less than 3 months	From 3 to 12 months	From 1 to 5 Years	More than 5 years	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
As of September 30, 2024					
Other financial liabilities	963,297	685,374	1,531,439	76,908	3,257,018
Financial liability for lease	114,474	338,681	678,441	66,920	1,198,516
Trade accounts payable	1,705,951	-	-	-	1,705,951
Other accounts payable to related entities	4,685	833,188	442,914	-	1,280,787
Other accounts payable	25,390	-	-	-	25,390
Total liability flows	<u>2,813,797</u>	<u>1,857,243</u>	<u>2,652,794</u>	<u>143,828</u>	<u>7,467,662</u>
As of December 31, 2023					
Other financial liabilities	8,058	289,575	2,180,230	75,021	2,552,884
Financial liability for lease	122,806	356,319	775,032	139,790	1,393,947
Trade accounts payable	1,737,678	-	-	-	1,737,678
Other accounts payable to related entities	9,331	723,895	877	-	734,103
Other accounts payable	25,670	-	-	-	25,670
Total liability flows	<u>1,903,543</u>	<u>1,369,789</u>	<u>2,956,139</u>	<u>214,811</u>	<u>6,444,282</u>

The above table does not include the provisions for contingencies for a value of S/2,876,546,000 and S/3,577,712,000 as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, respectively, as their

settlement is subject to the resolution of the tax administration in the short term, in accordance with that described in the note 33(b). Likewise, the table does not include hedging financial derivatives because Management considers that such contracts are effectively settled on a net basis. Consequently, the flow of the hedge derivatives and the reconciliation of the amounts equivalent to the accounting values of the discounted net flow generated by these instruments are presented below:

	Less than 3 months	From 3 to 12 Months	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000
As of September 30, 2024			
Income	1,141,412	-	1,141,412
Expenses	(964,428)	-	(964,428)
Net flow	176,984	-	176,984
Discounted net flow	(4,566)	-	(4,566)
As of December 31, 2023			
Income	966,408	114,326	1,080,734
Expenses	(956,557)	(114,677)	(1,071,234)
Net flow	9,851	(351)	9,500
Discounted net flow	(5,809)	(251)	(6,060)

3.2 Capital Risk Management -

The Company actively manages a capital base to hedge the risks inherent to its activities. The Company's capital adequacy is monitored using, among other measures, the indicators established by the Management.

The Company's objectives when managing its capital correspond to a broader concept than the "Equity" that is shown in the statement of financial position and is mainly constituted by: (i) safeguarding the Company's ability to continue operating in a manner that continues to provide returns to the shareholders and benefits to the other participants; and (ii) maintain a strong capital base to support the undertaking of its activities.

The Company monitors its capital based on the leverage ratio, which is calculated by dividing the net debt by total capital. Net debt corresponds to total indebtedness (including trade accounts payable, accounts payable to related entities, lease liabilities, other financial liabilities, and other accounts payable) minus cash and cash equivalents. Total capital is calculated as "equity", as shown in the statement of financial position, plus net debt.

As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the consolidated leverage indicator was as follows:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Total indebtedness	7,462,515	6,447,271
Less: Cash and cash equivalents	(706,471)	(520,844)

Net Debt (A)	6,756,044	5,926,427
Total Equity (B)	<u>(731,902)</u>	<u>1,080,092</u>
Leverage Ratio (A/B)	<u>(9.23)</u>	<u>5.49</u>

As of September 30, 2024, and December 31, 2023, there have been no changes in the Company's capital management activities and policies. The Company's leverage ratio in 2024 increased due to the equity reduction resulting from the loss incurred during the 2024 fiscal year.

3.3 Estimation of Fair Value -

(a) Instruments Recorded at Fair Value According to Their Hierarchy -

The following table shows an analysis of financial instruments that are carried at fair value according to level of the fair value hierarchy:

	Value of quoted price in active markets Level 1 S/000	Significant Observable Variables Level 2 S/000	Significant Non Observable Variables Level 3 S/000	Total S/000
As of September 30, 2024				
Financial Liabilities -				
Derivative financial instruments				
Foreign Currency				
Forward	-	4,566	-	4,566
Total financial liabilities	-	4,566	-	4,566
As of December 31, 2023				
Financial Liabilities -				
Derivative financial instruments				
Foreign Currency				
Forward	-	6,060	-	6,060
Total financial liabilities	-	6,060	-	6,060

Level 1 -

The financial instruments included in the Level 1 category are those that are measured based on quotes obtained from an active market. A financial instrument is considered as being quoted in an active market if prices are easily and regularly available from a centralized trading mechanism, agent, broker, industry group, price providers or regulatory entities; and said prices come regularly from transactions in the market.

Level 2 -

The financial instruments included in the Level 2 category are measured based on market factors. This category includes instruments valued using market prices of similar instruments, whether active or not, and other valuation techniques (models) in which all significant data are directly or indirectly observable in the market. The following is a description of how the fair value of the Company's main financial instruments included in this category is determined:

- Derivative Financial Instruments -

Derivatives designated as hedging instruments reflect the variation in the fair value of foreign currency futures contracts, designated as cash flow hedges to cover highly probable future purchases.

The fair values of swaps and forwards are measured using valuation techniques based on market data. Applied valuation techniques include swap valuation models, using present value calculations. These models take into account various factors, including the credit quality of the counterparties, currencies, forward rates, and interest rate curves.

The adjustment for credit valuation is applied to "over-the-counter" derivative exposures to take into account the risk of default of the counterparty when measuring the fair value of the derivative. A credit valuation adjustment is the cost of protection at the market price required to hedge the credit risk of the counterparty in this type of derivative portfolio. The credit valuation adjustment is calculated by multiplying the probability of default, the default value of loss, and the exposure expected at the time of default.

Level 3 -

The financial assets included in level 3 are measured using a valuation technique (internal model), based on assumptions that are neither supported by prices of observable transactions in the current market for the same instrument, nor based on available market data. The Company did not hold Level 3 instruments as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023.

Instruments Recorded at Amortized Cost -

In addition to the instruments recorded at fair value, the following is the disclosure of the comparison between the book values and the fair values of the Company's financial instruments that are recorded at amortized cost in the statement of financial position. The table does not include the fair values of non-financial assets and liabilities:

	Significant Observable Variables Level 2	Liabilities at amortized cost	Total countable value	Total reasonable value
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
As of September 30, 2024				
Financial Liabilities -				
Liabilities for financial derivative instruments	4,566	-	4,566	4,566
Bonds issued and debts and loans that accrue interest	-	3,257,018	3,257,018	2,830,056
Financial lease liabilities	-	1,040,235	1,040,235	1,040,235
Other accounts payable to related entities	-	1,280,787	1,280,787	1,117,578
Total financial liabilities	<u>4,566</u>	<u>5,578,040</u>	<u>5,582,606</u>	<u>4,992,435</u>
As of December 31, 2023				
Financial Liabilities -				
Liabilities for financial derivative instruments	6,060	-	6,060	6,060
Bonds issued and debts and loans that accrue interest	-	2,552,884	2,552,884	2,062,958
Financial lease liabilities	-	1,208,516	1,208,516	1,208,516
Other accounts payable to related entities	-	734,103	734,103	731,166
Total financial liabilities	<u>6,060</u>	<u>4,495,503</u>	<u>4,501,563</u>	<u>4,008,700</u>

Available-for-sale debt instruments –

The valuation of corporate bonds, lease bonds, and public treasury bonds is measured by calculating the Net Present Value (NPV) through discounted cash flows using zero-coupon curves and taking into account observable and current market transactions. Other debt instruments are measured using valuation techniques based on assumptions supported by observable prices in current market transactions obtained through pricing providers. However, when prices are not determined in an active market, fair value is based on broker quotes and assets valued using models where most assumptions are market-observable.

The methodologies and assumptions used by the Company to determine the estimated market values depend on the terms and risk characteristics of the various financial instruments that are recorded at amortized cost and include the following:

(i) Assets Whose Fair Value Is Similar to Their Book Value -

For financial assets and liabilities that are liquid or have short-term maturities (less than three months), the book value is considered to be similar to its fair value, as trade accounts receivable or other account receivable. This assumption also applies to term deposits, savings accounts without a specific maturity and floating-rate financial instruments.

(ii) Fixed Rate Financial Instruments -

The fair value of financial assets and liabilities that are at a fixed rate and amortized cost is determined by comparing the market interest rates at the time of initial recognition with the current market rates related to similar financial instruments.

The estimated fair value of interest accruing deposits is determined by discounted cash flows using market interest rates for financial instruments with similar maturities and credit risks. For the issued quoted debt, fair value is determined based on quoted market prices. When market prices are not available, the discounted cash flow model based on the yield curve of the interest rate is used for the remaining term.

4 ESTIMATED AND CRITICAL ACCOUNTING CRITERIA

Preparing the financial statements per IFRS requires Management to make significant judgments, estimates, and assumptions in order to determine the reported figures of assets and liabilities, the exposure of contingent assets and liabilities on the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported figures of income and expenses for the nine-month periods ending September 30, 2024 and 2023.

In Management's opinion, these estimates were made on the basis of their best knowledge of the relevant facts and circumstances at the date of preparation of the financial statements. However, the final results may differ from the estimates included in the financial statements. Company management does not expect that the variations, if any, will have a significant effect on the financial statements.

In relation to the financial statements, Management considers the most significant estimates to essentially refer to:

- Estimation for doubtful accounts. See notes 2.6, 3.1(b), 7, and 8.
- Estimate for contractual assets and liabilities. See notes 2.22 and 9.
- Estimate for devaluation of inventories. See notes 2.8 and 10.
- The residual value, useful life, and depreciation of property, plant, and equipment. See notes 2.9 and 13.
- The useful life and amortization of intangible assets other than capital gains. See notes 2.10 and 14.
- Estimate for impairment of long-term assets. See notes 2.12, 13, 14, and 16.
- Deferred tax assets and liabilities. See notes 2.19 and 24.
- Estimated accrued income. See note 2.22 and 7(b).
- Provision for withdrawal of assets. See notes 2.9, 2.18, and 21.

- Provision for contingencies. See notes 2.18, 21, and 33(b).
- Cash flow hedging. See note 2.7.
- Provision for restructuring. See notes 2.18, 20, and 29.
- Estimation of uncertainties and judgments made in relation to lease accounting. See notes 2.23, 15, and 18.

Any difference in the estimates in the subsequent real results is recorded in the results of the year in which it occurs.

5 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

(a) Financial instruments by category –

The classification of financial instruments by category is as follows:

	As of September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	As of December 31, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Financial Assets at Amortized Cost per Statement of Financial Position:		
- Cash and cash equivalents	706,471	520,844
- Trade accounts receivable and other accounts receivable(*)	782,639	749,012
- Contract assets	<u>38,469</u>	<u>39,011</u>
	<u>1,527,579</u>	<u>1,308,867</u>
Financial Liabilities at Amortized Cost per Statement of Financial Position:		
- Financial obligations	3,257,018	2,552,884
- Financial liability for lease	1,040,235	1,208,516
- Trade accounts payable and Other accounts payable (*)	1,726,775	1,757,288
- Other accounts payable to related entities	<u>1,280,787</u>	<u>734,103</u>
	<u>7,304.815</u>	<u>6,252,791</u>

(*) Does not include advances or taxes.

The maximum exposure to credit risk as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is the book value of each class of financial asset mentioned above.

(b) Derivative financial instruments -

i) As of September 30, 2024, and December 31, 2023, derivative financial instruments are as follows:

<u>Type of hedging</u>	<u>Reference value</u>	<u>Maturity/ Settlement</u>	<u>Fair value</u>
	In thousands		S/000
As of September 30, 2024:			
Derivatives of financial transactions-			
Cash Flow hedging			
Cash flow hedge contracts - USD purchase	68,049	Until December 2024	1,901
Forwards, see note 3.1 (ii)			
Exchange rate forwards - USD purchase	239,662	Until December 2024	(10,578)
Exchange Rate Forwards - USD sales	15,978	Until October 2024	680
Exchange rate Forwards - EUR purchase	13,224	Until December 2024	3,431
Exchange Rate Forwards - EUR sales	-	-	-
			(4,566)

<u>Type of hedging</u>	<u>Reference value</u>	<u>Maturity/ Settlement</u>	<u>Fair value</u>
	In thousands		S/000
As of December 31, 2023:			
Derivatives of financial transactions-			
Cash Flow hedging			
Cash flow hedge contracts - USD purchase	28,460	Until December 2024	(54)
Forwards, see note 3.1 (ii)			
Exchange rate forwards - USD purchase	260,978	Until May 2024	(12,194)
Exchange Rate Forwards - USD sales	(20,237)	Until February 2024	242
Exchange rate forwards - EUR purchase	18,699	Until February 2024	5,515
Exchange Rate Forwards - EUR sales	(3,715)	Until February 2024	431
			(6,060)

ii) The following table presents the effect on the comprehensive income statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2024, and 2023, of the time value of options, the ineffective portion of hedging derivatives, and the results of trading derivatives. Additionally, the balance of the effective portion of derivatives is presented. The hedging portion maintained in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) as of September 30, 2024, and 2023:

	<u>As of September 30, 2024</u>		<u>As of September 30, 2023</u>	
	<u>Effects on Results income (expenses)</u>	<u>OCI income (expenses)</u>	<u>Effects on Results income (expenses)</u>	<u>OCI income (expenses)</u>
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Opex Derivatives				
Hedging derivatives	8,964	1,378	(3,227)	(121)
	8,964	1,378	(3,227)	(121)
Exchange rate derivatives				

Foreign currency forwards	(6,421)	5	(38,077)	1,242
	<u>(6,421)</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>(38,077)</u>	<u>1,242</u>

The effect on OCI does not include the deferred income tax resulting in S/579,000 and S/469,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2024, and 2023, respectively. Additionally, as of September 30, 2024, the effective portion of hedging derivatives, initially recorded in OCI, has been reclassified to affect the hedged item; primarily as a foreign exchange gain of approximately S/8,262,000 (an increase from a foreign exchange loss of S/3,644,000 as of September 30, 2023), see Note 31, and a reduction in inventory costs by approximately S/352,000 (an increase of S/230,000 as of September 30, 2023).

6 CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	of As December 31, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Fixed funds	313	314
Current and savings accounts (b)	205,335	258,785
Term deposits (c)	384,000	190,000
Trust fund (d)	<u>116,823</u>	<u>71,745</u>
	<u>706,471</u>	<u>520,844</u>

- (b) Current and savings accounts are held in local and foreign banks and are denominated in soles, US dollars, and euros. This balance includes current accounts held at the financial institution of the Telfisa Global B.V. Group; see Note 23(j). Savings accounts accrue interest at prevailing market rates.
- (c) Time deposits have original maturities of less than 90 days and may be renewed upon maturity. As of September 30, 2024 and as of December 31, 2023, these were composed of deposits in national currency in various national banks. These term deposits are remunerated at current rates in the market.
- (d) Includes the funds of the trust fund administered by La Fiduciaria S.A. (with the involvement of the Banco de Credito del Peru and Banco Santander), which consolidates the collection rights and the cash flows in such a way that the Company can better manage and give greater attention to direct payments that are required for the normal operation of their businesses. As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the trust includes only cash.
- (e) There were no restrictions on the balances of cash and cash equivalents as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023.

7 COMMERCIAL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE, NET

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Fixed business subscribers	3,216,113	3,135,027
Mobile business subscribers	2,845,174	2,659,742
Data and information technology	525,844	528,957
Distributors, agencies, and department stores	157,964	169,878
Wholesaler - Interconnection and Correspondents	143,099	137,146
Related companies. Note 23(d)	93,249	103,325
Other	95,438	86,357
	<u>7,076,881</u>	<u>6,820,432</u>
Less - Estimation for doubtful accounts (c)	<u>(6,421,986)</u>	<u>(6,187,690)</u>
	654,895	632,742
Less - Non-Current Portion (d)	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(509)</u>
Current portion	<u>654,894</u>	<u>632,233</u>

- (b) Commercial accounts receivable (net) generate interest after maturity. The items of internet, fixed and public telephony, cable television, mobile phone subscribers, distributors, agencies, and large stores are mainly billed in soles, while accounts receivable for data and information technology, interconnection, and foreign correspondents are mainly billed in US dollars.

As of September 30, 2024, trade receivables include estimates for unbilled services rendered for approximately S/71,684,000 (S/64,372,000 as of December 31, 2023). The Company's Management estimates that these items will be fully invoiced in the second quarter of 2024.

As of September 30, 2024, the Company had approximately 679,000 fixed and public telephone lines in service, 4,685,000 postpaid mobile telephony lines 1,483,000 connections in broadband service, and approximately 873,000 paid television accesses (837,000, 4,700,000, 1,661,000 and 949,000, respectively as of December 31, 2023).

- (c) The movement of the allowance for doubtful accounts as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is as follows:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Opening Balance	6,187,690	5,820,620
Provision for the period. Note 28(a)	283,195	425,527
Penalties	(48,605)	(42,754)
Net Exchange Differences	(294)	(15,703)
Final balance	<u>6,421,986</u>	<u>6,187,690</u>

In Management's opinion, the allowance for doubtful accounts is sufficient to cover the credit risk of these accounts at the date of the statement of financial position, in accordance with the Company's accounting practice, described in note 3.1(b).

- (d) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31 2023, they include accounts receivable for financed sales of mobile handsets and equipment related to internet, central, and paid television services, which mainly have maturities of up to 12 months. Contractually these accounts do not generate interest, but for accounting purposes, an implicit interest is estimated for contracts with maturities of over 12 months, which is deferred at the time of the sale and is recognized as revenue, depending on the duration of the contract.
- (e) In December 2023, the Company carried out two portfolio sales: i) The irrevocable assignment of collection rights related to mobile and fixed service sales to Asarum Finance Limited for US\$28,844,000 (S/106,866,000), generating a loss of S/6,463,000. The Company was also tasked with collecting the assigned rights. ii) The irrevocable assignment of collection rights related to mobile service sales to BCI (Trust Fund Assets for Institutional Investors BCI, managed by Acres Sociedad Titulizadora S.A.) for S/52,775,000, retaining risks amounting to S/1,478,000, recorded as "Other Financial Liabilities" (see Note 17.2), generating a loss of S/2,228,000. The Company was also tasked with collecting the assigned rights

8 OTHER ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE, NET

- (a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Grants receivable (b)	71,557	72,379
Guarantee Deposits	37,751	21,418
Collection rights for enforcement actions (c)	37,101	145,022
Tax credit of the general sales tax	18,620	21,883
Loans to personnel, Note 23(h)	9,683	9,803
Sale of assets	2,085	2,903
Other	<u>26,383</u>	<u>33,575</u>
	203,180	306,983
Less - Estimation for doubtful accounts (e)	<u>(47,133)</u>	<u>(162,099)</u>
	156,047	144,884

Less - non-current portion	<u>(55,268)</u>	<u>(63,200)</u>
Current portion	100,779	81,684
Financial assets classified as other accounts receivable	127,744	113,198
Non-financial assets classified as other accounts receivable	<u>28,303</u>	<u>31,686</u>
	<u>156,047</u>	<u>144,884</u>

- (b) Corresponds mainly to the Lambayeque Project: a contract signed on May 27, 2015, between the Company and the Peruvian State (represented by the Investment Fund in Telecommunications - FITEC in Spanish), for the non-reimbursable financing of the Project "Comprehensive Broadband Connectivity and the Social Development of the Country's Northern Area", which has been collected according to the established schedule.

In accordance with the Group's accounting practice, described in note 2.21, both the disbursement received and pending payments are presented under "Deferred income" in the statement of financial position. See note 22.

- (c) The collection rights from coercive executions primarily correspond to attachments without judicial rulings executed by various municipalities nationwide against the Company, claiming payment of licenses and fines for the unauthorized installation of external plants. Management continues to file legal actions and estimates that the attachments will be declared unlawful. Additionally, based on a collectability study, the respective allowances for doubtful accounts have been recorded. In 2024, attachments amounting to S/115,826,000 were written off, primarily executed between 2003 and 2005.
- (d) The other accounts receivable are denominated in national and foreign currency and do not generate interest.
- (e) The other accounts receivable were collectively evaluated to determine if there was objective evidence of impairment. However, the Company determined that the estimate of doubtful collections at the end of the period is sufficient, in accordance with the Company's accounting practice described in note 2.6. In 2024, attachments amounting to S/115,826,000 were written off, primarily executed between 2003 and 2005.

9 ASSETS AND LIABILITIES RELATED TO CUSTOMER CONTRACTS

The Company has recognized the following assets and liabilities related to contracts with customers.

(a) Contractual assets:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Sale of equipment, note 2.22	38,469	39,011
Less - non-current portion	(1,683)	(1,743)
Current portion	36,786	37,268

(b) Contract liabilities:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Fixed and mobile telephone services. Note 2.22	54,807	59,034
IRU access rights (c)	38,083	34,913
Business project	13,545	13,971
Deferred installation fee. Note 2.22	12,560	26,541
Other	1,353	1,419
	120,348	135,878
Less - non-current portion	(17,840)	(26,385)
Current portion	102,508	109,493

(c) Correspond to the irrevocable rights of unrestricted use (IRU) of certain Company assets granted to third parties for a period of up to 20 years.

10 INVENTORIES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Goods and supplies	162,696	206,476
Stock receivable	24,569	42,653
	187,265	249,129
Less - Estimate for inventory devaluation (c)	(35,444)	(7,993)
	151,821	241,136

Goods, supplies, and inventories receivable correspond mainly to mobile terminal equipment, as well as modems to provide internet service, decoders for pay television service, and fixed telephony equipment.

- (b) The cost of inventories sold for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2024, was approximately S/734,765,000 (S/783,252,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2023) and is presented under the "Cost of equipment sales" line item in the income statement.
- (c) The movement of the inventory devaluation estimate as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is as follows:

	As September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	of As December 31, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Opening Balance	7,993	11,723
Endowment/(Recovery) of devaluation of Inventories, net, note 28 (d)	<u>27,451</u>	<u>(3,730)</u>
Final balance	<u>35,444</u>	<u>7,993</u>

In Management's opinion, the estimate for inventory devaluation adequately hedges the estimated risk of loss on the dates of the statement of financial position.

- (d) As of September 30, 2024, S/23,017,000 was recognized as obsolescence, mainly related to Fixed Equipment dual-band cable modems, as the Company is transitioning to FTTH, and the use of these devices is decreasing. No commercial activity involving these devices is expected in 2025.

11 OTHER NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS

- (a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	As of December 31, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Client capture expenses (b)	620,242	548,440
Deferred costs of compliance obligation (c)	299,412	302,355
Maintenance of licenses and computer equipment	34,453	27,965
Equipment	26,389	13,585
Insurance paid in advance	9,277	5,689
Annual Royalty (d)	8,232	-
Advances granted to suppliers	5,328	4,299
Advance payments for right of access	2,086	2,385
Advertising paid in advance	100	332
Other	<u>90,084</u>	<u>86,183</u>
	1,095,603	991,233
Less - non-current portion	<u>(411,541)</u>	<u>(415,472)</u>
Current portion	<u>684,062</u>	<u>575,761</u>

- (b) Corresponds to expenses directly related to obtaining commercial contracts (sales commissions, expenses with third parties, among others), which are amortized for contracts for an undetermined term in the average life of the client, and for contracts with onerous permanence within that period, see Note 28(c).

- (c) This includes the costs of modem equipment for internet service and set-top boxes for Pay TV. These devices are provided to customers as part of the service and are deferred as performance obligations under customer contracts.
- (d) Corresponds to the non-accrued balance due to the use of the radio-electric spectrum, which is accrued during the year.

12 INVESTMENTS IN ASSOCIATED COMPANIES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

		Share Percentage in Equity		Share Value Equity	
		As September 30, 2024	As December 31, 2023	As September 30, 2024	As December 31, 2023
Activity		%	%	S/000	S/000
In associates:					
Internet para Todos S.A.C. (b)	Operate and provide all types of telecommunications services.	54.67	54.67	222,611	224,095
				<u>222,611</u>	<u>224,095</u>
Other Share Investments:					
Wayra Perú Aceleradora de Proyectos S.A.C.	Development and promotion of business projects based on innovative technology.	2.93	2.93	478	478
				<u>478</u>	<u>478</u>
				<u>223,089</u>	<u>224,573</u>

i) Internet para Todos S.A.C. -

In 2019, the Company reached agreements with other investors, JML International Limited, which is a subsidiary of Facebook, Inc. (Facebook), Andean Development Corporation (CAF) and Inter-American Investment Corporation (IDB) to regulate their joint investment and common share in the equity of Internet Para Todos S.A.C. (IPT), a company constituted and registered in Peru, in the following manner:

Company	%
Facebook	24.67
CAF	10.33
IDB	10.33

As a result of the agreement with the partners, the Company diluted its share to 54.67% of the IPT shares, losing control of the subsidiary, thereby becoming an associate.

The table below shows the summary of the main items of the associate's financial statements as of August 31, 2024 (closest information available) and December 31, 2023.

	<u>Ago 2024</u>	<u>Nov 2023</u>
	S/000	S/000
Assets	619,048	609,468
Liabilities	211,858	199,564
Equity	407,190	409,904
Profit for the year	3,511	(11,573)

13 PROPERTIES, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT, NET

(a) The movement and composition of the item as at the date of the statement of financial position are detailed below:

	<u>Land</u>	<u>Buildings and other constructions</u>	<u>Plant</u>	<u>Equipment and Other</u>	<u>Furniture and Goods</u>	<u>Works progress units to be received (b)</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Cost							
As of January 1, 2023	80,083	1,191,301	26,400,236	1,054,854	125,459	429,112	29,281,045
Additions	-	-	1,189	-	-	337,477	338,666
Transfers	-	1,394	672,339	15,105	378	(689,216)	-
Transfer of intangible assets. Note 14(a)	-	-	-	-	-	142,391	142,391
Transfers	-	16	(32,108)	(24)	-	45,106	12,990
Assets available for sale (c)	-	-	(698,986)	-	-	(2,894)	(701,880)
Withdrawals and sales. Note 30	<u>(413)</u>	<u>(8,034)</u>	<u>(127,255)</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(135,704)</u>
As of December 31, 2023	79,670	1,184,677	26,215,415	1,069,933	125,837	261,976	28,937,508
Additions	-	-	2,064	-	-	222,375	224,439
Transfers	-	192	187,731	648	89	(188,660)	-
Transfer of intangible assets. Note 14(a)	-	-	-	-	-	59,599	59,599
Transfers	-	-	(8,725)	-	-	8,725	-
Withdrawals and sales. Note 30	<u>(939)</u>	<u>(8,697)</u>	<u>(93,506)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(103,142)</u>
As of September 30, 2024	<u>78,731</u>	<u>1,176,172</u>	<u>26,302,979</u>	<u>1,070,581</u>	<u>125,926</u>	<u>364,015</u>	<u>29,118,404</u>
Accumulated Depreciation							
as of January 1, 2023	-	920,668	22,667,528	1,038,790	121,104	-	24,748,090
Depreciation for the Period	-	40,845	795,272	11,044	1,313	-	848,474
Transfers	-	-	44	(6)	-	-	38
Assets available for sale (c)	-	-	(253,249)	-	-	-	(253,249)
Withdrawals and sales. Note 30	<u>-</u>	<u>(5,726)</u>	<u>(126,607)</u>	<u>(1)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(132,334)</u>
As of December 31, 2023	-	955,787	23,082,988	1,049,827	122,417	-	25,211,019
Depreciation for the Period	-	28,565	695,552	5,899	739	-	730,755
Withdrawals and sales. Note 30	<u>-</u>	<u>(8,219)</u>	<u>(93,506)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(101,725)</u>
As of September 30, 2024	<u>-</u>	<u>976,133</u>	<u>23,685,034</u>	<u>1,055,726</u>	<u>123,156</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>25,840,049</u>

	Land S/000	Buildings And other constructions S/000	Plant S/000	Equipment and other S/000	Furniture and goods S/000	Works in progress and units to be received (b) S/000	Total S/000
Accumulated impairment							
As of January 1, 2023	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Impairment of the year (c)	-	-	64,661	-	-	-	64,661
Assets available for sale (c)	-	-	(64,661)	-	-	-	(64,661)
As of December 31, 2023	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
As of Seprember 30, 2024	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Value							
As of December 31, 2023	79,670	228,890	3,132,427	20,106	3,420	261,976	3,726,489
As of Seprember 30, 2024	78,731	200,039	2,617,945	14,855	2,770	364,015	3,278,355

- (b) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the works in progress mainly constituted the construction of networks and the transmission of data, as well as projects to expand coverage, improve the quality of signal reception, increase the capacity of the voice and data transmission network, and software under development, which Management expects to complete in the short term.
- (c) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company classified the fiber-to-the-home (hereinafter "FTTH") network services as assets held for sale, since the Company will sell these services to Pangeaco S.A.C. (hereinafter "Pangea"). The Company will also execute with Pangea agreements for the leasing over the infrastructure and the provision of complementary services and others linked to the operations.

The execution of the aforementioned operation was approved by the General Shareholders' Meeting held on September 27, 2023 and will take place in a context where Telefónica Hispanoamérica, S.A. (hereinafter "Telefónica Hispam"), the Company's main shareholder, executed in July 2023 agreements for share subscription with a subsidiary of Kohlberg Kravis Roberts – KKR & Co, Inc. (hereinafter "KKR") and with Entel Perú S.A. (hereinafter "Entel Perú"), which allowed both companies to acquire 54% and 10%, respectively, of share capital of Pangeaco S.A.C., a wholesale fiber optic Company in Peru; thus reducing Telefónica Hispam's share capital to 36%. The aforementioned transaction was approved in September by the competition regulator (National Institute for the Defense of Competition and Protection of Intellectual Property - INDECOPI).

For the reasons outlined above, the Company classified these assets as held for sale. These assets are measured at the lower of their carrying amount or their fair value less costs to sell. The Company has determined that the sale is highly probable; the asset group is available for immediate sale in its current condition, Management is committed to the plan to sell the asset, and the sale is expected to be completed within 2024.

	Telefonica Plant	Works Progress and Units to be received	in Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000
Cost			
As of January 1, 2023	-	-	-
Transfer of Property, Plant and Equipment (a)	698,986	2,894	701,880
Additions	-	14,448	14,448
As of December 31, 2023	<u>698,986</u>	<u>17,342</u>	<u>716,328</u>
As of September 30, 2024	<u>698,986</u>	<u>17,342</u>	<u>716,328</u>
Accumulated Depreciation and provision for devaluation			
As of January 1, 2023			
Transfer of Property, Plant and Equipment (a)	253,249	-	253,249
As of December 31, 2023	<u>253,249</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>253,249</u>
As of September 30, 2024	<u>253,249</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>253,249</u>
Net value as of December 31, 2023	<u>445,737</u>	<u>17,342</u>	<u>463,079</u>
Net Value as of September 30, 2024	<u>445,737</u>	<u>17,342</u>	<u>463,079</u>
Impairment			
As of January 1, 2023			
Additions	64,661	-	64,661
As of December 31, 2023	<u>64,661</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>64,661</u>
As of September 30, 2024	<u>64,661</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>64,661</u>
Total Net Value Available Assets for Sale			
As of December 31, 2023	<u>381,076</u>	<u>17,342</u>	<u>398,418</u>

As of September 30, 2024

381,076 17,342 398,418

- (d) The Company estimated to obtain S/ 398,418,000 plus VAT for the sale of the assets. This amount is within the range of fair value according to the report of an independent third party prepared by virtue of literal c) of article 53 of the Securities Market Law. As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the net carrying amount of the assets to be transferred amounted to S/ 463,079,000; consequently, an impairment of S/ 64,661,000 was recognized as of said date.
- (e) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company's Management has carried out an analysis of impairment indicators and determined that there is no impairment in the value of the assets. In their view, it was not necessary to establish any estimate as of the date of the statement of financial position.
- (f) During 2024, the Company reassessed the useful life of assets related to the satellite Pay TV service (DTH), resulting in an additional depreciation charge of S/71,000,000. Similarly, assets related to HFC internet technology, which are being replaced by fiber optics providing better performance, were reassessed. The migration of customers is expected to be substantially complete by the end of 2026, resulting in an additional depreciation charge of S/73,385,000.
- (g) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company maintained valid insurance policies to provide reasonable coverage for possible risks on fixed assets that may be affected by exploitation, including adequate limits and coverage, in accordance with the procedures established by the Management.

14 INTANGIBLE ASSETS OTHER THAN CAPITAL GAIN, NET

- (a) The movement and composition of the item, as of the date of the statement of financial position, are detailed below:

	Administrative concessions		Software in development	
	(b)	Software (c)	(d)	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Cost				
As of January 1, 2023	7,810,328	2,532,356	387,274	10,729,958
Additions	-	-	233,142	233,142
Transfer	-	249,292	(249,292)	-
Transfer to works in progress. Note 13(a)	-	-	(142,391)	(142,391)
Transfers, note 13(a)	-	(34)	(12,956)	(12,990)
As of December 31, 2023	7,810,328	2,781,614	215,777	10,807,719
Additions	-	-	101,906	101,906
Transfer	-	69,179	(69,179)	-
Transfer to works in progress. Note 13(a)	-	-	(59,599)	(59,599)
As of September 30, 2024	7,810,328	2,850,793	188,905	10,850,026

Accumulated amortization				
As of January 1, 2023	6,452,195	2,449,544	-	8,901,739
Amortization for the Period	156,794	131,388	-	288,182
Withdrawals	-	(38)	-	(38)
As of December 31, 2023	6,608,989	2,580,894	-	9,189,883
Amortization for the Period	117,595	92,061	-	209,656
As of September 30, 2024	6,726,584	2,672,955	-	9,399,539
Impairment				
As of January 1, 2023	-	-	-	-
As of December 31, 2023	-	-	-	-
Additions (e) and see note 16(b)	78,596	177,838	-	256,434
As of September 30, 2024	78,596	177,838	-	256,434
Net Value				
As of December 31, 2023	1,201,339	200,720	215,777	1,617,836
As of September 30, 2024	1,005,148	-	188,905	1,194,053

(b) The concessions include:

	Cost	Accumulated amortization	Impairment	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
TdP Licence (i)	6,065,502	(5,805,223)	(18,876)	241,403
Band C – Band 700 Mhz (ii)	1,059,131	(431,567)	(45,513)	582,051
Band A Concession 4G LTE (iii)	431,341	(235,440)	(14,207)	181,694
Other (iv)	254,354	(254,354)	-	-
	<u>7,810,328</u>	<u>(6,726,584)</u>	<u>(78,596)</u>	<u>1,005,148</u>

- (i) The difference between the share acquisition cost and the fair value of the respective net assets of the Company Peruana de Teléfonos SA (CPT), acquired by the Company in 1994, was allocated to the cost of the concession, which amortizes over a period of 33 years, due in December 2027.
- (ii) Block C, of the 700 Mhz band, in Lima and Provinces, signed with the MTC in July 2016, for US \$315,007,700, which is amortized over a 20-year period until 2036.
- (iii) Band A 1710-1730 Mhz and 2110-2130 Mhz (4G LTE) in Lima and Provinces, awarded by the MTC in July 2013 for US \$152,229,000, which is amortized over a period of 20 years until the year 2033.
- (iv) It includes the use of the B band of provinces, the use of the band in Lima and Callao, and the use of the 25 Mhz band corresponding to the 1,900 Mhz band.

- (c) The software includes the financial and accounting applications based on the SAP system, as well as the network licenses corresponding to platform software that support the commercial systems. The software activated during 2024, corresponds mainly to corporate systems for the commercial area, office applications, control equipment software, and network management, among others.
- (d) The software under development is mainly made up of support systems for commercial areas, as well as software for control and network management equipment.
- (e) As of September 30, 2024, as described in Note 16(b), an impairment test was performed, concluding that in addition to goodwill impairment, it was necessary to recognize a provision for intangibles amounting to S/256,434,000. As of December 31, 2023, no impairment of intangible assets was determined.

15 RIGHTS-OF-USE ASSETS

(a) The movement and composition of the item, as of the date of the statement of financial position, are detailed below:

	Rights of Land use and natural assets	Rights of use of Constructions	Rights of use of technical facilities and machinery	Other rights of use	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Cost					
As of January 1, 2023	748,002	747,840	222,197	42,717	1,760,756
Additions	12,502	50,295	47,389	-	110,186
Transfers	-	-	7,260	(7,260)	-
Withdrawals	(4,229)	(270)	-	-	(4,499)
As of December 31, 2023	756,275	797,865	276,846	35,457	1,866,443
Additions	28,571	30,581	5,272	3,700	68,124
As of September 30, 2024	784,846	828,446	282,118	39,157	1,934,567
Accumulated Depreciation					
As of January 1, 2023	300,977	342,389	108,629	31,297	783,292
Depreciation for the Period	82,039	92,298	41,480	912	216,729
Withdrawals	(2,540)	-	-	-	(2,540)
As of December 31, 2023	380,476	434,687	150,109	32,209	997,481
Depreciation for the Period	75,745	84,279	28,840	3,600	192,464
As of September 30, 2024	456,221	518,966	178,949	35,809	1,189,945
Net Value					
As of December 31, 2023	375,799	363,178	126,737	3,248	868,962
As of September 30, 2024	328,625	309,480	103,169	3,348	744,622

16 CAPITAL GAIN

(a) The movement for the item is as follows:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Opening Balance	959,137	959,137
Impairment loss	(959,137)	-
Final balance	-	959,137

(b) This corresponded to the higher value paid for the acquisition of 17.44% of shares of Telefónica Móviles Perú Holding SAA and the entire share in Telefónica Empresas Perú SAA, as well as of the subsidiaries Star Global Com SAC and Telefónica Multimedia SAC, over the share of the Company in the fair value of the respective net assets acquired and companies that were absorbed.

The Company as of September 2024 has reassessed its business plans, having considered a high competitive environment in which it works and the sector in which it operates. As a result of this evaluation, the recoverable amount has been determined using projections of cash flows derived from the financial budgets approved by Senior Management. The aforementioned cover three years (5) and perpetuity. The corresponding discount rate has been determined and is applicable to cash flow projections. Cash flows that go beyond the period indicated in the projections are extrapolated using a specific growth rate that is similar to the long-term average growth rate for the industry in which the Company operates.

As of September 30, 2024, the Company recorded an impairment provision of S/1,215,571,000, which resulted in the write-off of goodwill and a provision for intangibles; see Note 14(e).

Key assumptions used in calculations of value in use -

The calculation of the value in use for the unit evaluated is largely sensitive to the following assumptions:

- (i) Long-term EBITDA (Income before Depreciation and Amortization, Interests and Taxes) gross margin.
- (ii) Discount rate
- (iii) Market share and
- (iv) Perpetuity growth rate applied to extrapolate cash flows beyond the budget period.

Gross Margin -

It is based on the average values recorded in the three years prior to the commencement of the budget period and future projects of the Company. It increases during the budget period with the expected improvements in efficiency. In the long term, the Company's gross margin (EBITDA) remains at 23.4%, the margin of the last year of the Strategic Plan (2029), considering this margin to be more conservative.

Discount Rate -

It represents the current market assessment of the Company's specific risks, considering the time value of money and the particular risks of the underlying assets that were not included in the cash flow estimates. The calculation of the discount rate is based on the specific circumstances of the Company, and represents the average cost of capital. This average considers both debt and equity. The cost of equity is based on the return on investment that the Company's shareholders expect to obtain. The cost of the debt is based on the loans that accrue interest that the Company must pay. The specific segment risk is incorporated through the application of individual beta factors. Beta factors are evaluated annually on the basis of market information available to the public.

As of September 30, 2024, the pre-tax discount rate used was 13.19% and the after-tax discount rate was 9.30% and as of December 31, 2023, the pre-tax discount rate was 14.04% and the after-tax discount rate was 9.90%.

Market Share -

Using industry information to forecast growth rates is important because Management evaluates how the position of the unit could change in relation to its competitors during the budgeted period. Management expects the Company's market share to remain stable during the budgeted period.

Perpetual Growth Rate -

This rate is based on published market research and trends in the telecommunications sector. The growth rate as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, which has been considered for the following years is 2.40%.

Sensitivity to Changes in Assumptions -

The valuation is sensitive to the key assumptions used; the increase/decrease in the growth rate and the discount rate may result in maintaining the book value or further impairment. It is estimated that a 0.25% decrease in the growth rate assumption and a 0.5% increase in the discount rate assumption (keeping all other assumptions constant) would result in a decrease in the recoverable value for 2024 of S/160,072,000 and S/402,654,000, respectively, in which case it would generate an impairment in the value of the assets additionally to the registered one in September 2024. The assumptions used to estimate the value in use of the assets are considered prudent given the current circumstances; therefore no deviations in estimates are expected that could have a significant effect on the Company's financial statements as of September 30, 2024.

17 OTHER FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024			As of December 31, 2023		
	Current portion	Non-current portion	Total	Current portion	Non-current portion	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Bonds (17.1)	878,838	1,595,030	2,473,868	291,800	2,255,252	2,547,052
Promissory notes (17.2)	751,153	-	751,153	-	-	-
Short-term debt (17.3)	23,103	8,894	31,997	5,832	-	5,832
	<u>1,653,094</u>	<u>1,603,924</u>	<u>3,257,018</u>	<u>297,632</u>	<u>2,255,252</u>	<u>2,552,884</u>

(b) The movement of the other financial liabilities as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is as follows:

		Cash Flow			Changes that Do Not Produce Cash Flow		
	Opening Balance	New	Payment of	Payment of	Accrued	New	
		loans	loans	interest	interest	debt	Final balance
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
2024							
Other financial liabilities	2,552,884	1,083,142	(498,846)	(101,837)	175,730	45,945	3,257,018
2023							
Other financial liabilities	2,797,385	-	(308,116)	(175,189)	189,424	49,380	2,552,884

17.1 Bonds -

(a) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the following bond issues remain in effect:

Program	Issuance and series	Date of maturity	Authorized amount	Used amount	Total		Current portion		Non-current portion	
					As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
			S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Fourth (*)	19th. A	July, 2027	150,000	60,000	108,863	107,141	828	1,756	108,035	105,385
Fourth (*)	19th. B	April, 2028	(**)	49,000	85,622	82,932	1,069	454	84,553	82,478
Fourth (*)	19th. C	July, 2028	(**)	20,000	34,269	33,693	210	469	34,059	33,224
Fourth (*)	37th. A	May, 2028	200,000	48,000	83,426	80,754	918	269	82,508	80,485
Sixth	11th B	April, 2024	(**)	120,000	-	121,742	-	121,742	-	-
Sixth	15th A	October, 2024	135,000	135,000	138,425	136,556	138,425	136,556	-	-
Sixth	16th A	April, 2025	400,000	105,120	107,709	106,247	107,709	1,127	-	105,120
Sixth	17th A	May, 2030	250,000	60,000	77,799	75,314	892	293	76,907	75,021
First (f)	1st A	October, 2026	200,000	80,000	82,649	81,180	2,649	1,180	80,000	80,000
First (g)	Int. Issuance	April, 2027	1,700,000	1,700,000	1,755,106	1,721,493	626,138	27,954	1,128,968	1,693,539
Total					2,473,868	2,547,052	878,838	291,800	1,595,030	2,255,252

(*) Annual interest rate with constant update value.

(**) The authorized amount is unique for the series (A, B, or C) of the same issue.

- (b) The bonds in soles in force as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, accrue a respective weighted average annual interest rate of 7.15% and 7.05% respectively. Additionally, the weighted average spread of the VAC bonds in force as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is 3.21 %. Future interest payments amount to S/ 75,877 by 2024, S/ 125,829,000 by 2025, S/ 81,538,000 by 2026, S/ 34,297,000 by 2027, S/6,637,000 by 2028, S/2,735,000 by 2029 and S/1,397,000 thereafter.
- (c) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the bonds issued according to their contractual conditions have the following maturities:

Maturity Year	As of September 30, 2024			As of December 31, 2023		
	Interest	Principal	Total	Interest	Principal	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
2024	67,685	135,000	202,685	36,800	255,000	291,800
2025	-	671,730	671,730	-	671,730	671,730
2026	-	646,610	646,610	-	646,610	646,610
2027	-	674,815	674,815	-	665,704	665,704
2028	-	201,121	201,121	-	196,187	196,187
2029	-	-	-	-	-	-
2030 onwards	-	76,907	76,907	-	75,021	75,021
Total	67,685	2,406,183	2,473,868	36,800	2,510,252	2,547,052

- The resources raised in the bond issue were used to finance working capital, restructuring liabilities, making investments, among others. These bonds are guaranteed with the equity of Telefónica del Perú SAA.
- The amount authorized for the issuance of bonds is up to US\$1,650,000,000, in circulation or its equivalent in national currency, which was extended by the General Shareholders' Meeting of March 29, 2022, of Telefónica del Perú SA, until December 31, 2027.

Furthermore, within the same term, it may issue short-term instruments up to an amount of US \$550,000,000 outstanding with a maximum limit of US \$2,000,000,000 as a whole.

- In April 2019, the issuance of an International Bond maturing in April 2027 was finalized for an amount of S/ 1,700,000,000, at a nominal fixed annual interest rate of 7.38%. The resources raised in the placement will be used for the execution of investment projects in capital goods, the re-profiling of some debt operations and other corporate uses. Furthermore, this bond has a covenant not to carry out asset sales operations with subsequent leasing greater than 20% of the consolidated net assets, which are calculated as total consolidated assets excluding capital gain, trademarks, patents and rights, and licenses (without administrative concessions), net of current liabilities. The Company has been complying with this covenant.

17.2 Promissory notes

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

Bank	Date of maturity	Amount used	Total	
			As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
BCP	October, 2024	200,000	201,221	-
Scotiabank	October, 2024	164,000	172,090	-
Scotiabank	October, 2024	180,038	188,921	-
Scotiabank	October, 2024	180,038	188,921	-
			<u>751,153</u>	<u>-</u>

17.3 Short-Term Debt -

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	Currency Of origin	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
		S/000	S/000
Ministry of Transport and Communications (b)	S/	13,948	4,354
Supplier financing	S/	18,049	-
Sale of BCI Portfolio (note 7(e))	S/	-	1,478
		<u>31,997</u>	<u>5,832</u>
Composition of the debt			
Principal		31,736	5,832
Interest		261	-
		<u>31,997</u>	<u>5,832</u>

(b) Splitting of the mobile royalty for the period 2024, with monthly maturities until March, 2025.

18 FINANCIAL LEASE LIABILITIES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024		As of December 31, 2023	
	Foreign currency	Equivalent in national currency	Foreign currency	Equivalent in national currency
	US\$000	S/000	US\$000	S/000
Financial lease liability in foreign currency	89,211	331,330	99,754	370,387
Financial lease liability in national currency	-	708,905	-	838,129
		<u>1,040,235</u>		<u>1,208,516</u>
Less - non-current portion		<u>(646,176)</u>		<u>(791,856)</u>
Current portion		<u>394,059</u>		<u>416,660</u>

(b) Future payments under leases as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, are as follows:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of 31 December 2023
	S/000	S/000
2024	120,917	479,124
2025	372,286	223,290
2026	259,309	218,685
2027	223,384	174,518
2028	155,699	158,539
2029	33,898	69,417
2030 onwards	33,023	70,374
	<u>1,198,516</u>	<u>1,393,947</u>

(c) The current average annual rate of lease liabilities in soles as of September 30, 2024 is 6,14% and in dollars is 5.59% (6.72% and in dollars is 3.46% as of December 31, 2023).

(d) As of September 30, 2024, the Company also registered non-monetary additions of right-of-use assets for S/ 68,124,000 (S/110,186,000 for the year ended December 31, 2023); lease payments including capital and interest amortization amounted to S/ 218,228,000 and S/65,296,000, respectively (S/ 224,126,000 and S/67,051,000 for the year ended December 31, 2023); and accrued interests of S/46,535,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2024 (S/52,702,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2023), which are detailed in the financial expenses section.

19 TRADE ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Accrual of invoiceable related obligations	577,653	471,789
Related companies. Note 23(d)	413,607	259,542
National suppliers	351,443	479,675
Invoices negotiated by Financial Companies (c)	234,233	406,862
Foreign suppliers	126,725	117,523
Contractor security deposits	2,290	2,287
	<u>1,705,951</u>	<u>1,737,678</u>

(b) Trade accounts payable are denominated in soles, US dollars, and euros, do not accrue interest, have current maturities, are normally paid in an average period of 60 days, and no guarantees have been granted.

(c) These correspond to transactions involving the assignment of collection rights that have not led to changes in the commercial terms agreed upon with suppliers and the Company, thus maintaining

their classification as trade payables. As of September 30, 2024, and December 31, 2023, these include S/206,519,000 and S/377,252,000, respectively, in invoices assigned to Investment Funds, where TFP S.A.C. (a Company affiliate) provides the administrative services necessary for suppliers to access such transactions.

- (d) The book values of the commercial accounts are considered equal to their fair values due to their short-term nature.

20 OTHER ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Salaries and vacations (b)	117,476	106,321
Taxes payable. Note 24(h)	35,230	68,718
Guarantee Deposits	14,311	11,870
Derivative financial instruments (c)	4,566	6,060
Employee shareholding. Note 24(e)	428	13,381
Dividends and returns payable to shareholders, Note 2.25	-	2,679
Other	6,513	5,061
	<u>178,524</u>	<u>214,090</u>
Less - non-current portion (b)	<u>(22,116)</u>	<u>(22,238)</u>
Current portion	<u>156,408</u>	<u>191,852</u>
Financial liabilities classified as other		
Accounts payable	25,390	25,670
Non-financial liabilities classified as other		
Accounts payable	<u>153,134</u>	<u>188,420</u>
	<u>178,524</u>	<u>214,090</u>

(b) During 2023, the Management Committee approved an incentivized severance plan for certain executives, totaling S/18,364,000 (see Note 29), which concluded in the first half of 2024.

(c) As of September 30, 2024, the Company holds cash flow hedging and forward contracts to mitigate exchange rate risks for disbursements related to certain Opex and Capex operations and foreign exchange differences, with a nominal value of US\$323,690,000 and EUR13,224,000 maturing in 2024. As of December 31, 2023, hedging contracts amounted to US\$269,201,000 and EUR14,984,000. The cash flow hedge of future expected payments was assessed as highly effective. Amounts retained in the statement of other comprehensive income are expected to impact the income statement in each of the future periods up to their maturity dates.

21 OTHER PROVISIONS

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Litigation and risks, (c) and Note 33(b)	757,198	822,093
Withdrawal of assets, note 2.18	32,225	36,148
Other (d)	<u>25,871</u>	<u>25,377</u>
	<u>815,294</u>	<u>883,618</u>

Less - non-current portion	(417,929)	(421,150)
Current portion	<u>397,365</u>	<u>462,468</u>

(b) Item movement is detailed below:

	Litigation and Risks	Withdrawal of assets	Other	Total
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Balances as of January 1, 2023	836,506	72,600	25,849	934,955
Additions	197,198	215	418	197,831
Derecognition/rate change effect	(18,737)	(36,667)	(890)	(56,294)
Payments	<u>(192,874)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(192,874)</u>
As of December 31, 2023	822,093	36,148	25,377	883,618
Additions notes 29, 30 and 31	66,865	-	674	67,539
Derecognition/rate change effect	(21,484)	(3,923)	(180)	(25,587)
Payments	<u>(110,276)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(110,276)</u>
As of September 30, 2024	<u>757,198</u>	<u>32,225</u>	<u>25,871</u>	<u>815,294</u>

Includes, mainly, various provisions made by the Company in accordance with the practice described in note 2.18, based on its best estimates of the disbursement that would be required to settle obligations at the date of the statement of financial position.

(c) Management and its internal and external legal advisors consider that the provisions recorded are sufficient to cover the risks that affect the Company's business as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, as described in note 33(b).

(d) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, "Other" includes a provision for reinforcement of towers as a result of towers sold in previous years.

22 DEFERRED INCOME

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of December 31, 2023
	S/000	S/000
Grants, (b) and Notes 2.22 and 8(c)	31,171	36,071
Intégrame	80	418
Other	<u>18,185</u>	<u>18,215</u>
	49,436	54,704
Less - non-current portion	<u>(23,910)</u>	<u>(28,490)</u>
Current portion	<u>25,526</u>	<u>26,214</u>

(b) Deferred revenue from grants mainly includes:

- Lambayeque Project: corresponds to the contract signed on May 27, 2015, between the Company and the Peruvian State (represented by the National Telecommunications Program - PRONATEL), for the non-reimbursable financing of the Project "Integral Broadband Connectivity for Development of Social Activity in the Northern Part of the Country."

The balance for this project as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023 amounts to approximately S/30,146,000 and S/34,704,000, respectively. The Lambayeque project includes the construction of a transportation network for US \$11,613,000. The investment term was extended until 2027.

- Selva [Jungle] Project: corresponds to the contract signed on February 18, 2011, between the Company and the Peruvian State (represented by PRONATEL), for the non-reimbursable financing of the Project "Integration of Rural Areas and Places of Preferential Social Interest to the Mobile Service Network - Selva." The balance for this project amounts to approximately S/1,025,000 and S/1,367,000 as of September 30, 2024, and December 31, 2023, respectively.

The Company has the obligation to use such financing at its own risk for the design, acquisition of equipment, installation, commissioning, operation and maintenance of a system that will allow the provision of public telecommunications services in beneficiary localities, complying with the conditions foreseen in the technical specifications presented in the financing contract.

<u>Project</u>	<u>Lambayeque</u>	<u>Selva</u>
Investment term	14 months	12 months
Period of operation	120 months	50 months
End of the contract	Continue with the obligations stipulated in the respective concession contracts	
Amount	US\$ 47,626,000	US\$ 14,718,000

For the six-month period ended September 30, 2024 and 2023, the Company accrued income of S/4,628,000 and S/8,227,000 respectively. These are presented in the caption "Other operating income and expenses" of the consolidated income statement. See note 30(a).

23 TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED ENTITIES

- (a) During the three-month periods ended September 30, 2023 and 2022, the most significant transactions carried out by the Company with related entities were the following:

	As of September 30, 2024 S/000	As of September 30, 2023 S/000
Revenues with related companies for:		
Rural Traffic Services		
- Internet para Todos S.A.C.	12,788	15,812
Cybersecurity, cloud and other services		
- Telefónica Tech Perú S.A.C.	6,824	4,110
Expenses with related companies for:		
Cybersecurity, cloud and other services		
- Telefónica Tech Perú S.A.C.	(144,596)	(166,689)
Rural Traffic Services		
- Internet para Todos S. A. C.	(83,609)	(99,188)
Trademark use fee. Note 28(a)		
- Telefónica, S. A. (b)	(69,568)	(74,173)
Internet and fiber optic link services		
- Telxius Cable Perú S.A.C.	(66,589)	(64,572)
Fiber connectivity and services		
- Pangeaco S.A.C.	(94,239)	(41,657)

Other services		
- Pangeaco S.A.C.	(1,988)	-
Systems Service		
- Telefónica Global Technology S. A. U.	(17,037)	(17,761)
Transfer of management capacity. Note 28(a)		
- Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A. (c)	(22,284)	(19,318)

- (b) The Company has a contract with Telefónica, SA, whereby it pays a trademark fee equivalent to 1.6% of monthly income, excluding operations with related companies, unless the previous year had a negative balance when deducting depreciation, amortization, and CAPEX for that year from the operating income.
- (c) The Company maintains management capacity transfer agreements with Telefónica Hispanoamérica SA

(d) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company maintained the following trade balances with related entities:

	<u>As of September 30, 2024</u>		<u>As of December 31, 2023</u>	
	<u>Accounts receivable</u>	<u>Accounts payable</u>	<u>Accounts receivable</u>	<u>Accounts payable</u>
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Related companies:				
Telefónica Tech Perú S.A.C.	17,306	92,606	13,054	47,324
Pangeaco S.A.C.	16,271	40,636	6,125	21,304
Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A.	13,629	52,119	15,505	29,311
Telefónica Global Solutions Perú S.A.C.	9,756	7,873	7,189	12,294
Telefónica Global Solutions S.L.	6,959	12,345	10,642	17,187
Internet para Todos S.A.C.	5,602	20,820	9,433	17,050
Telxius Cable Perú S.A.C.	3,265	29,249	8,435	36,649
Media Networks Latin América S.A.C.	1,856	11,688	8,327	20,938
Telefónica, S.A.	1,589	96,072	1,784	26,578
Fundación de Telefónica del Perú	1,335	-	1,398	-
Telefónica Ingeniería de Seguridad S.A. Peru's branch	578	6,703	837	4,228
Telefónica Global Solutions USA	465	-	466	-
Telefónica Innovación Digital S.L.	68	7,156	780	4,447
Telefónica Gestión de Tecnología S.A.U.	-	5,035	-	723
Telefónica Compras Electrónicas	-	3,981	-	6,378
Other	14,570	27,324	19,350	15,131
Trade accounts receivable and payable related, (See Notes 7(a) and 19(a))	93,249	413,607	103,325	259,542

- (e) As of September 30, 2024, the Company maintains loans payable to Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A. amounting to S/1,273,188,000 (S/1,211,000,000 in principal and S/62,188,000 in interest). During the Board of Directors meeting on February 13, 2024, the capitalization of the loan balance with accrued interest, after deducting income tax, was approved, capitalizing an amount of S/717,693,000 on that date; see Note 25(a). As of December 31, 2023, the Company had loans payable to Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A. amounting to S/723,895,000 (S/684,381,000 in principal and S/39,514,000 in accrued interest as of December 31, 2023; see Note 31) with current maturity. These loans accrued an average interest rate of 9.41% and were primarily used to meet tax obligations related to the various proceedings pursued by the Company, as described in Note 33(b). During the Board of Directors meeting held on February 10, 2023, a loan of up to S/1,000,000,000 from Telefónica Hispanoamérica S.A. was approved, with the full amount received during 2023. These funds were capitalized through a resolution of the same Board, and, together with loans received in 2022 and accrued interest, the capitalization of S/717,693,000 and S/700,000,000 was approved as of September 30, 2024, and December 31, 2023, respectively; see Note 25(a).
- (f) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company had other accounts payable to Telefónica S.A. for an amount of approximately S/7,599,000 and S/10,208,000, respectively, corresponding to the fair value of the share options granted by the Parent company in Spain to certain executives of the Company, who may exercise their rights until 2025 at the latest. See Note 2.25.
- (g) As of December 31, 2023 the Company has accounts receivable from Pangeaco S.A.C for S/3,072,000, corresponding to the sale of materials that were cancelled on June 14, 2024.

(h) Loans to Staff -

The Company grants loans to its staff and officers for terms of up to 24 months. The interest rates applied are generally below the interest rates existing in the market; however, the other loan terms are substantially the same as those of the market. The balance of the loans to employees was approximately S/9,683,000 and S/9,803,000 as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, respectively. The loans are reported on the item "Other accounts receivable, net" of the statement of financial position. See note 8(a).

(i) Remuneration to the Board of Directors and members of Key Management -

Remuneration received by directors and key management personnel amounted to approximately S/17,741,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2024 (approximately S/19,180,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2023), including short-term benefits, compensation for time of service and others.

- (j) As of September 30, 2024, the company maintains current accounts in Telfisa Global, BV, for an amount of US\$10,216,000 equivalent to S/37,830,000 which are presented under the heading "Cash and cash equivalents." (As of December 31, 2023, the Company held current accounts in Telfisa Global, BV, for an amount of US\$12,413,000, equivalent to S/45,990,000, respectively).

Terms and Conditions of Transactions with Related Parties -

Sales and purchases with related parties are made under market conditions equivalent to those applied to transactions between independent parties. As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the Company had not recorded any estimate for doubtful accounts in relation to the balances owed by the related parties. This evaluation is performed annually by examining the commercial position of the related party and the market in which it operates.

In the opinion of Management, accounts receivable and payable classified as current as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, will be collected and paid in the short term.

24 TAX SITUATION

(a) Deferred Taxes -

The movement of the item is as follows:

	As of January 1st 2023	Credit (Charge) to the state of results	Credit (charge) of other comprehensive result	As of 31 December 2023	Credit (Charge) to the state of results	Credit (charge) of other comprehensive result	Credit (charge) to the state of financial situation	Impairment of temporary entries	As of September 30, 2024
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Deferred Assets / (Liabilities)									
Depreciation	333,315	(14,691)	-	318,624	48,390	-	(1,943)	(57,372)	307,699
Estimate for doubtful collection account	330,444	(12,069)	-	318,375	4,258	-	-	(291,050)	31,583
Provision for various contingencies	32,434	(1,438)	-	30,996	(1,441)	-	-	(23,267)	6,288
VAC Bonds	37,283	3,898	-	41,181	2,794	-	-	-	43,975
Personnel expenses	43,374	(7,753)	-	35,621	(5,727)	-	-	(29,894)	-
Provision for decommissioning of equipment	21,417	(8,672)	-	12,745	(794)	-	-	-	11,951
Other	45,877	17,803	-	63,680	(4,060)	-	-	(59,619)	1
Lambayeque project subsidy	35,070	(2,417)	-	32,653	(680)	-	-	(1,542)	30,431
Expenses with non-resident	55,916	4,408	-	60,324	5,264	-	-	(45,820)	19,768
Impairment of inventories and property, plant, and equipment	3,458	17,975	-	21,433	8,098	-	-	(29,531)	-
Financial lease	(295)	(66)	-	(361)	361	-	-	-	-
Other deferred income	3,858	-	-	3,858	-	-	-	(3,858)	-
Payroll capitalization for plant construction	(175)	-	-	(175)	-	-	175	-	-

	As of January 1st 2023	Credit (Charge) to the state of results	Credit (charge) of other comprehensive result	As of 31 December 2023	Credit (Charge) to the state of results	Credit (charge) of other comprehensive result	Credit (charge) to the state of financial situation	Impairment of temporary entries	As of September 30, 2024
	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000	S/000
Financial instruments	530	-	(402)	128	-	(579)	-	-	(451)
Amortization of software licenses	6,694	5,686	-	12,380	56,945	-	-	(1,085)	68,240
Cost attributed to fixed assets	(12,345)	-	-	(12,345)	198	-	1,768	-	(10,379)
IFRS 15	(134,141)	(40,669)	-	(174,810)	(21,411)	-	-	196,221	-
IFRS 16	108,877	(6,945)	-	101,932	604	-	-	(39,801)	62,735
Administrative concession	(398,968)	45,974	-	(352,994)	57,667	-	-	91,949	(203,378)
Deferred costs and income-Decos	(110,735)	2,195	-	(108,540)	8,139	-	-	100,401	-
Income from connection fee	7,174	(6,946)	-	228	(3,983)	-	-	3,755	-
Capitalized finance costs	(2,700)	749	-	(1,951)	601	-	-	1,350	-
Tax loss	8,921	98,697	-	107,618	73,638	-	-	(181,256)	-
Total Deferred Assets/(Liabilities), net	415,283	95,719	(402)	510,600	228,861	(579)	-	(370,419)	368,463

- (b) The deferred income tax is determined by its estimated reversal term as shown in the following detail:

	As September 30, 2024 S/000	of As of December 31, 2023 S/000
Deferred income tax asset:		
Expected reversal in the next 12 months	30,231	165,605
Expected Reversal after 12 months	338,232	344,995
Total, Deferred Income Tax	368,463	510,600

As a result of the reassessment of the Strategic Plan for the period 2025 to 2029, as described in Note 1.1, the projected financial results for the coming years indicate that the Company does not have the assurance of being able to recover, in subsequent periods, both activated tax losses and part of the temporary items. Consequently, a tax asset impairment of S/370,419,000 was recognized as of September 30, 2024.

- (c) The expense shown for the income tax in the profit or loss statement, is composed as follows:

	As September 30, 2024 S/000	of As of September 30, 2023 S/000
Current	(1,011)	(2,258)
Deferred	141,558	(53,937)
	140,547	(56,195)

- (d) The reconciliation of effective income tax rate with the tax rate was as follows:

	As September 2024 S/000	of 30, %	As September 2023 S/000	of 30, %
Pre-tax income	(2,393,197)	100.00	(388,657)	100.00
Income tax according to tax rate (income)	(705,993)	29.50	(114,654)	29.50
Tax Impact:				
Contingencies and taxes (non-deductible). Notes 21 and 33(b)	35,189	(1.47)	12,683	(3.26)
Tax refund interest	(334)	0.01	(82,384)	21.20
Previous-year taxes	16,426	(0.69)	83,977	(21.61)
Results in associates	438	(0.02)	(1,299)	0.33
Not-allowed expenses	120,562	(5.04)	15,717	(4.04)
Exempt interest unaffected	334	(0.01)	5,414	(1.39)
Debt forgiveness	7,716	(0.32)	5,995	(1.54)
Derivative instruments	(910)	0.04	9,604	(2.47)
Impairment of assets	282,945	(11.82)	-	-
Provision for recovery	382,169	(15.97)	-	-

Other, net	2,005	(0.08)	8,752	(2.25)
Expense/(Income) from Income				
Tax	140,547	(5.87)	(56,195)	14.47

- (e) The Company is subject to the Peruvian tax regime. The income tax rate as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is 29.50% on taxable income, after deducting the staff profit sharing that is calculated at a rate of 10% on the taxable profit.

Legal entities not domiciled in Peru and natural persons are subject to withholding of an additional tax on dividends received.

In accordance with Legislative Decree No. 1261, the withholding of an additional tax on dividends received as of 2017 is 5%, and whose distribution is made as of that date (until 2014, 4.1% and for the periods 2015 and 2016, 6.8%).

- (f) For purposes of the determination of income tax and general sales tax, the transfer prices of transactions with related entities and with companies resident in territories with low or no taxation must be supported by documentation and information on the valuation methods used, and the criteria considered for their determination. Based on the analysis of the Company's operations, Management and its legal advisors believe that, as a consequence of the application of these standards, no material contingencies will arise for the Company as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023.

As of September 30, 2024, the Company recorded a current tax related provision of S/76,473,000 (shield) which, net of instalment payments, claims against the National Superintendency of Customs and Tax Administration of Peru (SUNAT by its initials in Spanish) for S/108,628,000 and other provisions, resulted in a positive balance of S/509,332,000 (S/107,618,000 from income tax payable that, in its net effect of instalment payments, claims against SUNAT for S/108,628,000 and other provisions, resulted in a positive balance of S/162,845,000 as of December 31, 2023). The foregoing is thus presented as an asset. In 2023, the Company recognized an interest income of S/260,913,000 (see Note 31) related to the claims against SUNAT and, together with these, had offset them with the liability for income tax and general sales tax. Also, the Company paid S/456,825,000 related to income tax for the years 2000 and 2001. As of September 30, 2024, the Company, as a result of the reassessment of the Strategic Plan, recorded a provision for the recovery of tax assets amounting to S/370,419,000, which must be recovered over four periods. This is due to the projected results for the coming years being insufficient to recover the tax losses activated as of December 31, 2023, amounting to S/104,783,000, as well as the tax losses generated during 2024 amounting to S/76,473,000. Additionally, temporary entries that would be deductible in periods where tax losses could not be recovered were evaluated, resulting in an expense for the difference; see Note 16(b).

During the second quarter of 2024, the Company paid S/1,105,276,000 for tax contingencies related to income taxes for the years 2000 and 2001, which include S/347,550,000 corresponding to 1998, a year in which no taxes were due. The Company is currently requesting a refund for this amount, by honoring the payment of bank guarantees that secured these periods, for which financing was obtained. The Company believes that certain matters remain unresolved, and therefore, the balance will be paid once these are settled.

As required by IFRIC 23, uncertainties in the treatment of income tax, the Company has provisions recorded as of September 30, 2024 for S/2,069,040,000 in current income tax and S/50,308,000 in current long-term income tax. (S/2,706,896,000 in current Income Tax and S/48,724,000 in long-term current Income Tax as of December 31, 2023).

The current tax asset corresponds to the balance of the bank guarantee executed by SUNAT for the 1998 period. As mentioned earlier, the Company is requesting a refund, as no outstanding taxes were due that year. The non-current tax asset entirely corresponds to the protest payment made by the Company in October 2022 regarding the resolution issued by SUNAT related to income tax for

2014, amounting to S/354,640,000. Of this amount, various adjustments were reassessed and classified as probable, with S/38,239,000 charged to results.

The sworn declarations on the income tax and the general sales tax for the years 2018 to 2024 are pending inspection by the Peruvian Tax Authority. The tax authorities have the power to review and, if applicable, correct the taxes calculated by the Company.

The sworn declarations of the income tax and general sales tax of the Company's subsidiaries are subject to verification by the Tax Administration.

These subsidiaries are:

	<u>Period</u>
Servicios Globales de Telecomunicaciones S. A. C.	2018 to december 2021(*)
Telefónica Multimedia S. A. C.	January 2018 (*)
Star Global Com S. A. C.	January 2018 (*)

(*) Date on which these businesses were absorbed by the Company.

Due to the possible interpretations that the tax authorities may hand down on the current legal norms, and per the application of IFRIC 23, the Company has determined that no additional liabilities will result. Any eventual higher tax or additional payment that could result from the fiscal reviews will be applied to the results of the fiscal year in which it is determined. However, in the opinion of the Company's Management and its legal advisors, any possible additional tax settlement will not be significant for the financial statements as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023.

- (g) As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the item "Other accounts payable" includes other taxes for approximately S/35,230,000 and S/68,718,000 respectively.

In accordance with Supreme Decree No. 013-93-TCC, which approves the Single Ordered Text of the Telecommunications Law, and its Regulations, approved by Supreme Decree No. 020-2007-MTC, the Company, as a telecommunications service concessionaire, must pay the following rights and fees:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Beneficiary</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Calculation Basis</u>
Special right for the Program National Telecommunications (PRONATEL)	MTC	1.0	Income invoiced and received for carrier and final services for telephones.
Contributions for supervision	OSIPTEL	0.7	Income invoiced and received by the various services of telecommunications.
Trade operations rate of the Service	MTC	0.5	Services subject to Grant and/or authorization

The amount recorded as an expense for these items amounts to approximately S/63,199,000 and S/68,997,000 for the nine-month periods ending September 30, 2024 and 2023, respectively, and are included in the item "General and administrative expenses" of the consolidated profit or loss statement. See note 28(a).

Likewise, the aforementioned instruments create an annual royalty for the use of radio-electric spectrum.

- (h) Regulatory framework - Amendments to the Income Tax Law -

Below are the most relevant binding tax norms and jurisprudence applicable for the year 2024, published in 2023 or earlier:

- Law No. 31828 - Companies subject to the general income tax regime or the MYPE (Medium and Small Businesses) Tax Regime that hire one or more new workers may apply an additional deduction equivalent to 50% of the basic salary paid to the new worker for the determination of income tax for fiscal years 2024 and 2025, regardless of their work schedule or contractual modality, provided the following requirements are met:
 - The worker must be at least 18 years old and not older than 29 years at the time of hiring.
 - The worker must not be registered in the electronic payroll of one or more companies for a period of 12 months prior to the start date of employment.
 - The monthly basic salary of the new worker must not exceed S/1,700. For workers with variable or imprecise salaries, the requirement is considered met if the average monthly salary received during the months of January to December of fiscal years 2024 and 2025 does not exceed S/1,700.
 - The employer must register the new worker in the T-Registro of the electronic payroll within the established deadlines.
 - The number of workers registered in the employer's electronic payroll must exceed the base period employment level, as defined in the regulations.
 - The employment relationship must begin on or after January 1, 2024.
- Law No. 31893 - Exempts royalties received by national and foreign authors and translators, whether domiciled or non-domiciled in the country, from income tax for copyrights on books or related editorial products. This benefit is effective from January 1, 2024, and will remain in effect for three years.
- Law No. 31962 - Through this law, interest rates on refunds of overpaid or improperly paid taxes, uncredited VAT withholdings, and penalty adjustments are standardized. Consequently, the differentiation between the rate SUNAT applied for unpaid debts (0.9% monthly) and the rate for refunds of overpaid or improperly paid taxes (0.42% monthly) is eliminated. The moratorium interest rate (TIM), currently at 0.9% monthly, will be applied in all cases. The new rate will also apply to refund requests for overpaid or improperly paid taxes that are in process as of January 1, 2024.
- Legislative Decree No. 1524- This regulation establishes that taxpayers offering goods or services (including those making offers via e-commerce platforms, social media, websites, promotional emails, mobile applications, etc.) must include their TIN number as well as the name or trade name of the advertised subject in all documentation. Additionally, it incorporates the infraction under numeral 9 of Article 173 in the Tax Code, which defines the act of "not including the TIN in the documentation used to offer goods and/or services as required by tax regulations."

It should be noted that SUNAT's discretionary authority to refrain from applying penalties for non-compliance with this obligation was only valid until December 31, 2023. As of January 1, 2024, SUNAT may impose fines related to this obligation (e.g., for not including the TIN ranging from 15% of the UIT to 1 UIT).

- Supreme Decree No. 319-2023-EF, which regulates Legislative Decree No. 1532 - In December 2023, the Regulation for the Procedure to Attribute the Condition of Non-Operational Entities (SSCO) was published, as established by Legislative Decree No. 1532. With the publication of this Regulation, SUNAT may attribute the status of non-operational entity to certain taxpayers, with the following consequences: From the calendar day following the publication of the list including the SSCO taxpayer:
 - The SSCO may only issue sales receipts and credit or debit notes linked to them.

These must be issued electronically.

- Transactions with the SSCO do not grant the right to claim input VAT credits or justify costs or expenses for income tax (IT). This applies to Payment Vouchers and complementary documents issued from March 20, 2022 (the effective date of Legislative Decree No. 1532).

Key provisions of the cited Regulation include:

- Field verification: SUNAT may conduct immediate actions such as (i) inspection of premises occupied by the entity, (ii) obtaining statements from the entity or its representatives present during the intervention, (iii) taking inventory, and (iv) performing any other actions required to verify whether the entity has incurred one or more of the situations qualifying it as SSCO.
- SSCO attribution procedure: Begins on the date the subject is notified of the inspectors' introduction letter and the requirement to submit evidence to refute each of the communicated situations.
- Inspection procedure: Establishes the minimum information that the introduction letter, requirement, and its result must contain.
- Evidence limitation: Evidence submitted after the deadline will not be considered.
- List of identified SSCOs: This list will remain for a period of four years and include details of legal representatives, such as names, tax domiciles, TIN, among others. As of now, this SSCO list has not been published.
- Supreme Decree No. 320-2023-EF, which regulates Legislative Decree No. 1535 - The Regulation of Legislative Decree No. 1535, governing the classification of taxpayers based on compliance profiles, has been published. The Regulation states that compliance profiles will initially apply to taxpayers generating third-category income during the evaluation period, regardless of whether they are exempt from income tax (IR), the applicable tax regime, or the applicable income tax rate. The assigned profiles will be as follows:
 - Category A: Very high compliance level.
 - Category B: High compliance level.
 - Category C: Medium compliance level.
 - Category D: Low compliance level.
 - Category E: Very low compliance level.

It is important to note that for obligations where one of the parties is a subject classified by SUNAT under one of the two lowest compliance levels, the amount from which Payment Methods must be used will be equivalent to 30% of the agreed amount. It is worth mentioning that individuals included in the Good Taxpayers Regime referred to in Legislative Decree No. 912 will continue to apply the regulations granting specific treatment due to their status until the first compliance profile assignment, as regulated under the referenced Supreme Decree, takes effect.

- Legislative Decree No. 1540 - Starting July 1, 2024:
 - A new method will be introduced for maintaining the Sales and Income Ledger, applicable to electronic issuers of payment vouchers who maintain the Sales and Income Ledger electronically.
 - SUNAT will provide the information, and the taxpayer must confirm, correct, or supplement it. If no action is taken, the Tax Return will be considered submitted.
 - Details regarding the report will be approved through a Superintendency Resolution.
- Legislative Decree No. 1545 - Starting January 1, 2024:
 - Amends the first paragraph of Article 26 of the Income Tax Law (ITL) regarding the determination of deemed interest for tax purposes arising from loans (not subject to transfer pricing rules).
 - For loans in local currency, interest is accrued at a rate not lower than the TAMN (average lending rate in local currency) published by the SBS, multiplied by an adjustment factor of 0.42.

- For loans in foreign currency, interest is deemed to accrue at a rate not lower than the TAMEX (average lending rate in foreign currency) published by the SBS, multiplied by an adjustment factor of 0.65.
 - The MEF may update these factors through a Supreme Decree, which must be greater than zero and less than or equal to one. For this purpose, the active interest rates in the national financial market and historical relationships between these rates, if applicable, must be considered.
- Supreme Decree No. 137-2023-EF - This regulation establishes a new prevailing preferential rate for calculating income tax (IT) for non-domiciled legal entities earning interest from external loans. With the discontinuation of the LIBOR rate, the 30-day average SOFR rate plus four points is now considered the prevailing preferential rate, regardless of the loan's origin, currency, or agreed term.
- Supreme Decree No. 309-2023-EF- This regulation sets the value of the UIT (Applicable Tax Unit) at S/5,150 for 2024. The increase in the UIT results in, among other effects:
 - A higher income exemption threshold for individuals earning work income.
 - An increased minimum income requirement for eligibility in certain lower-tax regimes, such as the MYPE Tax Regime.
 - Broader income ranges for applying lower rates or determining exempt portions in property tax and transfer tax calculations.
 - A higher amount for determining the refund of excess withheld taxes.
 - Scenarios excluded from the Tax EBITDA.
 - The determination of thresholds for indirect transfers.
 - An increase in the maximum fine limits for certain tax infractions, such as those determined based on the infringer's net income, as well as for calculating fines imposed by SUNAT and SUNAFIL for detecting labor-related violations.
- Capital gains earned on the Lima Stock Exchange (BVL)- Starting in 2024, capital gains earned by individuals on the Lima Stock Exchange (BVL) are subject to income tax (IT) at a rate of 5%, as the exemption for these incomes has not been extended into 2024.

25 EQUITY

(a) Issued Capital -

As of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, the capital issued by Telefónica del Perú SAA is represented by 5,052,426,334 and 4,187,736,652 common shares, respectively, fully subscribed and paid, at a nominal value of S/0.36 and S/0.63 each one respectively. See note 32.

As described in Notes 1.1 and 34, on October 28, 2024, the Board of Directors, exercising the powers delegated by the General Shareholders' Meeting on January 19, 2023, approved the capitalization of loans provided by Telefónica Hispanoamérica, S.A. amounting to S/2,069,444,000. Of this, S/50,000,000 was allocated for share subscription, and S/2,019,444,000 was allocated as share premium, immediately remedying the equity situation as of September 30, 2024. Additionally, the preferential subscription process for minority shareholders was initiated.

During the Board of Directors meeting on April 25, 2024, it was agreed to reduce the share capital by S/1,364,155,000. The amount from the capital reduction was used to partially offset the accumulated loss balance recorded as of December 31, 2023. This reduction was achieved by decreasing the nominal value from S/0.63 to S/0.36 while maintaining the same number of issued shares.

During the Board of Directors meeting on February 13, 2024, it was agreed to capitalize the loan balance received, amounting to S/717,692,000 (after withholding non-resident income tax on accrued interest). Of this, S/544,754,000 was allocated to the issuance of 864,689,682 new Class B nominative shares

with a nominal value of S/0.63 each, and the remaining balance was allocated as share premium; see section (b).

At the General Shareholders' Meeting held on January 19, 2023, it was resolved to delegate to the Board of Directors the power to increase the share capital by the maximum amount of S/2,106,949,000, within a maximum period of five years, on the dates, amounts, terms, conditions and procedures established from time to time by the Board of Directors, without prior consultation with the General Shareholders' Meeting.

On February 10, 2023, the Board of Directors agreed to the partial capitalization of loans granted by its parent company which amounted to S/700,000,000, allocating S/531,325,000 to the issuance of 843,373,494 new registered Class B shares with a nominal value of S/0.63 each and the remainder sum to a share premium, see paragraph (b).

The capital structure issued as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, is composed of the following percentages of share classes:

	As September 30, 2024	of As December 31, 2023
	%	%
Class B		
Telefónica Hispanoamérica, S. A.	99.298	99.153
Other Minor Shareholders	0.698	0.843
Class C	0.004	0.004
	<u>100.000</u>	<u>100.000</u>

Class "B" and "C" shares enjoy the same rights, privileges, and restrictions, except for the provisions of article 27 of the Company's statutes, related to the appointment of directors, who may not be less than five (5) nor more than nine (9) in number. The class "B" shares choose as many directors as required to complete the number of members set by the General Shareholders' Meeting for each term while bearing in mind the director that, where appropriate, has the task of designating the shareholders for class "C".

Furthermore, class "C" shares, which correspond to Telefónica del Perú SAA employees, elect one (1) director, provided that they have reached an equity ratio of not less than 3 percent of the subscribed capital. If they do not reach this percentage by the time of the election, one more director of class "B" will be elected, thus completing the required number of Board members.

(b) Issue premiums -

During the Board of Directors meeting on April 3, 2024, it was approved to allocate S/341,613,000 of the share premium to partially offset the loss carried forward as of December 31, 2024.

As a result of the credit capitalization on February 13, 2024, noted in the previous section, the remaining S/172,938,000 was allocated to establish a capital premium.

During the Board of Directors meeting on February 10, 2023, as a result of the credit capitalization agreed upon on that date, the remaining S/168,675,000 was allocated to establish a capital premium.

(c) Other Equity Reserves -

These correspond mainly to net profits and losses due to changes in the estimated market value of the derivative financial instruments of cash flow hedges. The change in value is initially reflected in equity, and subsequently affects the profit and loss statement as per how it is influenced by the underlying hedge. During the nine-month period ending September 30, 2024 and 2023, the unrealized gain generated by the valuation of hedging derivatives amounts to S/1,962,000 and S/1,590,000, respectively. The net gain after tax effect amounts to S/1,383,000 (S/1,121,000 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2023); see Note 20(c).

26 FIXED BUSINESS INCOME

(a) The table below presents the breakdown of this item:

	As September 30, 2024	of as September 30, 2023
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Services	1,473,779	1,770,633
Data + TI	368,789	370,086
Wholesaler	137,952	129,376
Handsets	8,362	19,950
Other	65,425	40,675
	<u>2,054,307</u>	<u>2,330,720</u>

(b) As of September 30, 2024, the Company had approximately 679,000 fixed and public telephone lines in service, 1,483,000 broadband connections in service, and approximately 873,000 pay television accesses (837,000, 1,661,000, and 949,000, respectively, as of December 31, 2023).

27 MOBILE BUSINESS INCOME

(a) The table below presents the breakdown of this item:

	As September 30, 2024	of as September 30, 2023
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Postpaid	1,348,484	1,357,667
Terminals	620,900	668,927
Prepaid	315,765	348,085
Wholesaler	73,487	73,664
Other	114,118	97,373
	<u>2,472,754</u>	<u>2,545,716</u>

(b) As of September 30, 2024, the Company had approximately 4,685,000 postpaid mobile lines in service, as well as 5,218,000 prepaid mobile lines (4,700,000 and 5,178,000, respectively as of December 31, 2023).

28 GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	of As September 30, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Services provided by third parties (c)	2,413,954	2,260,383
Taxes and royalties (b)	93,208	115,922
Provision for doubtful accounts and for Impairment of Inventories. Notes 7(c), 8(e), and 10(c), Net of recoveries	311,892	350,839
Fee for brand use and transfer of management capacity. Note 23(a)	91,852	93,491
Various management charges	21,879	21,248
	<u>2,932,785</u>	<u>2,841,883</u>

(b) The item "Taxes and royalties" corresponds mainly to payments to OSIPTEL and MTC, note 24(g).

(c) Third-party services include:

	As September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	of As September 30, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Fees, commissions and services	1,152,041	1,042,142
Maintenance and repair	268,254	279,823
Television signals and circuits	246,911	261,300
Interconnection and correspondent expenses	137,449	152,649
Basic services	133,982	133,036
Fiber connectivity and services	94,239	41,657
Capacity services	86,439	91,152
Advertising	62,237	47,915
Transportation and storage	42,107	37,840
Rentals	28,606	32,864
Roaming expenses	11,985	15,267
Other	149,704	124,738
	<u>2,413,954</u>	<u>2,260,383</u>

29 STAFF EXPENSES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As September 30, 2024	of as September 30, 2023
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Remuneration	405,748	402,689
Security and Social Welfare	46,256	48,148
Compensation for Long Service	30,789	30,597
Other personnel expenses	15,785	15,213
Employee shareholding	3	32
Payroll capitalization for plant construction	(46,098)	(45,592)
	<u>452,483</u>	<u>451,087</u>

(b) The Company has 3,677 employees as of September 30, 2024 (3,681 as of December 31, 2023).

30 OTHER OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES

(a) The breakdown of the item is presented below:

	As September 30, 2024	of As September 30, 2023
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Other operating income		
Income from Asset Disposal (b)	23,849	25,571
Reversal of provision for retirement of assets	8,152	-
Government Grants. Notes 8(c) and 22(b)	4,628	8,227
Compensation	-	14,599
Other	3,777	3,393
	<u>40,406</u>	<u>51,790</u>
Other Operating Expenses		
Other provisions(b)	(16,081)	(31,920)
Other	(3,400)	(4,927)
	<u>(19,481)</u>	<u>(36,847)</u>

(b) As of September 30, 2024, and 2023, asset sales have been generated, resulting in a net gain, with the composition detailed below:

	As of September 30, 2024	As of September 30, 2023
	<u>S/000</u>	<u>S/000</u>
Net Profit from Disposal of Assets		

Income from Asset Sales	25,265	26,695
Net cost of assets sold	(1,416)	(1,124)
	<u>23,849</u>	<u>25,571</u>

- (c) The other provisions (See note 21) correspond to those made by the Company in accordance with the practice described in Note 2.18, based on its best estimate of the disbursement that will be required to settle the obligations at the date of the statement of financial position.

31 INCOME AND FINANCIAL EXPENSES

The table below presents the breakdown of this item:

	As of September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	As of September 30, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Financial income		
Interest on Accounts Receivable	13,809	1,466
Time Deposit Interest	10,477	5,084
Tax refund interest, see note 24(f)	-	279,244
	<u>24,286</u>	<u>285,794</u>
Financial Expenses		
Contingency interest, see note 33(b)	(178,723)	(183,847)
Bonds interest	(131,314)	(147,279)
Interest on leases, see note 18(b)	(46,535)	(52,702)
Promissory notes interest	(43,621)	-
Loss from Financial Instruments for Interest Rate Hedge	(14,683)	(34,393)
Interest on other debts	(1,102)	(2,385)
Other	(79,347)	(35,887)
	<u>(495,325)</u>	<u>(456,493)</u>
Net Exchange Differences		
Income from Exchange Differences	182,278	126,881
Loss Exchange Differences	(190,721)	(126,879)
Net gain/(loss) from exchange differences related to Derivative Financial Instruments	8,262	(3,644)
	<u>(181)</u>	<u>(3,642)</u>

32 LOSS PER SHARE

- (a) Earnings per basic and diluted share is calculated by dividing the net profit of the corresponding period to common shareholders by the weighted average of the number of ordinary shares outstanding at the date of the statement of financial position:

	<u>Shares in circulation</u>	<u>Days of validity during the period</u>	<u>Weighted average of shares</u>
Fiscal year 2023			
Balance as of January 1, 2023	3,344,363,158	270	3,344,363,158
Additions, see note 25	<u>843,373,494</u>	83	<u>259,259,259</u>
Balance as of September 30, 2023	<u>4,187,736,652</u>		<u>3,603,622,417</u>
Fiscal year 2024			
Balance as of January 1, 2023	4,187,736,652	270	4,187,736,652
Additions, see note 25	<u>864,689,682</u>	42	<u>134,507,284</u>
Balance as of September 30, 2024	<u>5,052,426,334</u>		<u>4,322,243,936</u>

- (b) The calculation of the loss per basic and diluted share of the controlling shareholding for the periods of nine months ending September 30, 2024 and 2023, is presented below:

<u>As of September 30, 2024</u>			<u>As of September 30, 2023</u>		
<u>Loss (numerator) S/000</u>	<u>Number of Shares (denominator)</u>	<u>Loss on shares S/000</u>	<u>Income (numerator) S/000</u>	<u>Number of Shares (denominator)</u>	<u>Income on shares S/000</u>
<u>(2,533,744,000)</u>	<u>4,322,243,936</u>	<u>(0.586210)</u>	<u>(332,462,000)</u>	<u>3,603,622,417</u>	<u>(0.092258)</u>

33 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

- (a) Commitments -

Letters of Guarantee -

The Company maintains letters of guarantee obtained for its normal commercial activity and guarantees for the different processes of awarding bids in spectrum concessions with third parties, and administrative appeal processes against the Tax Administration. As of September 30, 2024, these letters of guarantee amount to approximately S/492,604,000 and US\$80,388,000 (S/732,691,000 and US\$82,042,000 as of December 31, 2023).

Operating Lease Commitments - Company as Lessee -

The Company, as a lessee, has signed contracts with third parties. The contracts related mainly to leases of infrastructure, circuits, and spaces. The Company has lease commitments, including for a duration of up to 10 years. See notes 2.23, 15, and 18.

The future minimum payments, as per non-cancelable operating leases, as of September 30, 2024 and December 31, 2023, are as follows (these correspond to leases of less than one year and low-value amounts that are not recognized as part of the implementation of IFRS 16):

	as September 30, 2024 <u>S/000</u>	of As December 31, 2023 <u>S/000</u>
Less than one year	19,889	57,501
Between One Year and Less Than 3 Years	<u>153,423</u>	<u>13,185</u>
	<u>173,312</u>	<u>135,583</u>

(b) Contingencies -

In the normal course of its operations, the Group has been subject to various tax, civil, labor, administrative, regulatory, and customs claims, which are recorded and disclosed in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. See note 2.18.

In relation to tax processes, as of September 30, 2024, as set out in notes 2.18 and 2.19, the Company has recorded the provisions required for cases considered probable and that are mainly judicialized, leaving as possible contingencies an amount of S/658,672,000 (S/630,651,000 as of December 31, 2023). Management and its legal advisors consider that the provisions made are reasonable based on the information available to the Company.

The National Superintendence of Customs and Tax Administration (SUNAT), in the course of the audit activity corresponding to the years 1998 to 2010 and, 2012, has notified the Company of resolutions related to: (I) Income Tax, (II) General Sales Tax, and (III) Withholdings of Income Tax of non-residents.

In some proceedings, the Company has taken the decision to challenge certain decisions of the SUNAT and the Tax Court (TC). In other proceedings, the Company has proceeded to pay the amounts adjudicated on by the competent authorities; and, finally, there are other processes in which it has been SUNAT that has challenged the TC decisions that were favorable to the Company.

Here are the details of the main processes in fiscal matters:

I. Regarding disputes related to the Income Tax of Telefónica del Perú:

(a) Fiscal year 1998 -

Specific objections are discussed for concepts related to Internal Public Telephones ("IPT"), Doubtful Collections Provision ("DCP"), and Exchange Rate Difference.

In the administrative field, on July 21, 2011, the Tax Court through the Tax Court Resolution (TCR) No. 11450-5-2011 issued a pronouncement on the balance in favor of the Company for the 1998 fiscal year, for a sum of S/137,706,421.

SUNAT, in compliance with the aforementioned TCR dated October 3, 2011, notified the Company of Intendancy Resolution (IR) No. 0150150001023, for an amount of S/ 69,386,327 in favor of the Company.

The Company filed an appeal regarding the balance in favor determined by SUNAT, which was resolved by the TC (TCR No. 974-5-2012) in the following terms: (1) revoked the objection to S/252,989,493 for exchange difference considering that this is an accounting result to which the principle of causality is not applicable; (2) ordered SUNAT to evaluate the effect of the exchange gains with respect to the confirmed amounts in order to obtain a net result; (3) ordered SUNAT to

carry out a new determination of the DCP to the extent that there are cases that have not been validated by it that are valid collection procedures; and (4) guaranteed that the "under door" notifications can be considered as collection procedures.

In compliance with this resolution, SUNAT issued, in November 2012, IR No. 0150150001152 which determined a favorable balance for the 1998 financial year of S/137,706,421, thereby ending the administrative stage.

For its part, the Company calculated a balance in favor amounting to S/291,000,000.

Considering the difference in the amount of the balance in favor determined by the Company against that determined by SUNAT, in October 2011 the Company made a legal challenge to TCR No. 11450-5-2011 requesting partial nullity for the matters for which SUNAT upheld the objections to: (i) DCP; and (ii) receipts for leases of Internal Public Telephones (IPT).

On March 22, 2013, the Company was notified with the first instance ruling that in part upheld the Company's claim based on the challenges to: (i) DCP and (ii) IPT, which was subsequently partially upheld in the Superior Chamber. An appeal was filed against the second instance judgment, by virtue of which the Supreme Court (i) ordered that the High Court issue a new ruling regarding DCP, (ii) definitively and favorably upheld the objection to the IPT for the Company, thereby concluding this matter.

On July 31 2019, the Company was notified of the new judgement from the Superior Court, which declared the DCP objection founded only with respect to the telephone bill. On August 16, 2022, the Oral Report was held in the Supreme Court. On January 18, 2023, the supreme resolution was notified that declared the lawsuit unfounded in relation to the DCP aspect. On June 7, 2023 the Court ordered and instructed SUNAT to comply with the judgements of the Supreme Court. On June 12, 2023, we submitted a clarification request to the Court asking to require the TC to comply with the judgement instead of directly sending the compliance to SUNAT. On June 22, 2023, The court declared our request for clarification inadmissible. In compliance with the court's decision, on June 12, 2023, SUNAT submitted Compliance Management Resolutions No. 4070160000043, 4070160000044 y 4070160000042, and on July 10, 2023, declared its mandated fulfilled.

In the transfer acquittal of Compliance Office Resolutions previously mentioned, we informed that there were issues that should be addressed by the Tax Court in response to the appeal we filed in the administrative proceeding.

On July 25, 2023 SUNAT refused to process our appeal (filed in the administrative proceeding) and requested in the judicial process the redirection of the appeal filed against such Resolutions to be resolved by the court. On July 26, 2023, we requested the court to declare SUNAT's request inadmissible, and simultaneously, and we filed an appeal to the Tax Court for the failure to elevate the appeal. The Tax Court declined the jurisdiction to hear the appeal.

In parallel, to maintain the validity of the precautionary measure regarding the IPT and DCP objections, on January 24, 2023, the letters of guarantee corresponding to the period 2023-2024 were renewed. On January 27, 2023, SUNAT requested the execution of the letters of guarantee based on the outcome obtained in the Supreme Court. On February 13, 2023, we opposed SUNAT's request and on April 17, 2023, the Court informed the parties of the request for the execution of letters of guarantee, as well as the opposition request so that within a period within three business days we will rule on the matter. On June 6, 2023, the court ordered SUNAT to comply with determining the debt (prior to the execution of the letters of guarantee) in accordance with the resolution of the Supreme Court. On June 9, 2023, SUNAT appealed the resolution that conditioned the execution of the letters of guarantee to the determination of the debt. On June 22, 2023, the court (i) ordered that the debt be considered as stated in the amount settled by SUNAT in the report it presented(ii) informed us of the report prepared by SUNAT in which it determined the debt (without excluding moratorium interest applied beyond the legal deadline to resolve). On

June 28, 2023 we questioned the SUNAT report. On August 31, 2023, the Superior Chamber rejected SUNAT's appeal and confirmed the resolution that conditioned the execution of the letters of guarantee to what is resolved in the main case (taking into account the mandatory "MAXCO" precedent ordered by the Constitutional Court). On September 27, 2023, the file was sent back to the original Court to determine the tax debt and proceed with the execution.

On January 15, 2024, we presented the letters of guarantee for the period 2024-2025 and on the same date SUNAT presented a report with the debt settlement. By resolution of January 17, 2024, the court required us to record the difference between the amount for which the guarantee letters were renewed and the amount settled by SUNAT. On January 18, 2024, we requested an additional term of 5 business days. On the same day, SUNAT requested to correct the material error in the resolution to the extent that the debt was updated to 2024 and not to 2023. On January 19, 2024, we were granted an additional term and the resolution was corrected. . On January 23, 2024, we filed the letter of guarantee, depositing the difference required by the court and we reiterated our request for the return of the letters of guarantee in the file due to the existence of a balance in our favor. On January 29, 2024, the counter-guarantee is considered renewed.

On January 30, 2024, the accumulation of the notebooks was ordered. Likewise, the application of MAXCO and capitalization of interest is declared inadmissible, and the execution order is considered to be complied with. Finally, our appeals filed against the resolutions that consider the counter-guarantee and the additional request for a letter of guarantee as renewed were granted without effect. On February 2, 2024, we requested clarification of the resolution of the precautionary notebook indicating that the counter-guarantee was renewed and in case the request for clarification against the updated amount of the counter-guarantee is dismissed, to grant our appeal. On February 5, 2024, we requested to integrate the resolution that declares the application of the precedents inadmissible, requesting the return of the letters of guarantee and appealing the rejection of their application. On March 4, 2024 SUNAT requested the execution of the counter-guarantee and delivery of the letters of guarantee.

On March 13, 2024, the Court declared our clarification inadmissible and our request for integration. On June 26, 2024, the execution of the letters of guarantee and delivery to SUNAT was ordered, and SUNAT was ordered to update the tax debt.

On May 30, 2024, we requested the correction of the resolution that determined the tax debt because it was based on a SUNAT report that was outdated, since it should have considered Report No. 000004-2024, which concluded an amount liquidated by SUNAT itself that was lower than the amount the court was considering. On June 13, 2024, the court decided that there was no material error in the challenged resolution since, at the time of the issuance of the resolutions determining the debt, on that date it was the only report in the file; however, it modified the amount liquidated by SUNAT based on a subsequent report No. 000004-2024 and considered the counter-guarantee as renewed. Likewise, it declared inadmissible our request to refrain from delivering the letters of guarantee. On June 14, 2024, we requested the integration of the resolution to include that the amount of the counter-guarantee is lower, since it is 60% of the determined debt. On June 17, 2024, our request was declared inadmissible. On the same day, the Court ordered the execution of the counter-guarantee.

On June 19, 2024, the Court ordered the delivery of three letters of guarantee to SUNAT, and the return of one of them to the Company. On June 21, 2024, the Court informed that BBVA delivered a check to SUNAT for an amount lower than the amount delivered as letters of guarantee. On June 21, 2024, we filed an appeal against the resolution that ordered the execution of the letters of guarantee. On August 30, 2024, the resolution ordering the delivery of the letters of guarantee was revoked and it was resolved that, with respect to such tax period, there is no tax debt, and the letters of guarantee should be returned to Telefónica. On September 13, 2024, SUNAT filed a nullity action against such resolution. It is pending resolution.

Since the process was unfavorably resolved, the contingency was qualified to probable.

Against the supreme ruling issued in this case, on March 1, 2023, we filed a petition for amparo (protection), by which we requested the nullity of the supreme ruling notified on January 18, 2023 be declared. On September 6, 2023, the single hearing was held. The issuance of the lower-instance sentence is pending.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the rating of the contingency for this action is remote.

For its part, SUNAT, (i) filed a lawsuit with the purpose of also questioning TCR No. 11450-5-2011, but in terms of revoking the objection to the account of exceptional expenses. In the last instance, on April 24, 2019, the Supreme Court upheld declaring the claim as upheld. On November 11, 2019, SUNAT, in execution of that ordered by the Supreme Court, gave notification of IR No. 0150160000145 that (i) corrected the balance in favor of the Income Tax for the 1998 financial year, (ii) filed a lawsuit against TCR No. 974-5-2012, as it decided to revoke the objections to exchange difference of various accounts. The claim was declared unfounded in the first instance and upheld by the Superior Chamber on March 21, 2016. By means of the resolution of March 31, 2021, the Supreme Court declared SUNAT's lawsuit unfounded and, consequently, on May 19, 2021, the definite conclusion and filing of the process was ordered in this regard. Proceedings concluded.

Against what was decided by the Supreme Court regarding point (ii) above, SUNAT, on October 18, 2021 filed a request for amparo in order to declare the nullity of the supreme resolution in favor of the Company and, consequently, requested that the Supreme Court issued a new ruling, but, this time, in favor of SUNAT. SUNTAT claims the alleged violation of its right to equality, legal certainty, and prohibition of arbitrariness and motivation. On January 7, 2022, the Court considered the Company's lawsuit answered and declared the absence of the Judicial Power and the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Finance (MEF), while the scheduling of the single hearing is still pending.

Management believes, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as remote.

On the other hand, on June 16, 2023, we were notified with SUNAT'S compliance resolution, which was appealed within the deadline. To date, it is still pending to be addressed.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency is classified as remote.

(b) Fiscal Year 1999 –

With the TCR N°16603-4-2010 notified on December 23, 2010, the administrative proceeding corresponding to fiscal year 1999 was terminated. According to the TC, the statute of limitations for fiscal year 1999 prevented SUNAT from carrying out a new determination (ex officio), so the balance set by the Company in fiscal year 1999 is the one to be considered.

In this regard, on April 4, 2012, the Company requested the declaration of statute limitations for the tax year at issue. Said request was declared admissible through Resolution of Intendancy N°011-020-0000323/SUNAT.

(c) Fiscal years 2000 and 2001 -

On December 29, 2010, TCR No. 17044-8-2010 (clarified by TCR No. 1853-8-2011) was notified, which upheld the IR issued by SUNAT regarding the objections to Financial Charges (FC), DCP,

IPT, Overheads (costs of fixed assets deducted extra-accountingly as an expense) and Simple Re-organization between the Company and Telefónica Móviles. The total amount that SUNAT intended to collect amounted to S/2,379,000,000, which is composed as follows: (i) S/478,000,000 for tax; (ii) S/179,000,000 for fines, and (iii) S/1,722,000,000 for interest.

Against the TCR, on March 21, 2011 the Company filed an administrative contentious claim against SUNAT and the TC regarding the aforementioned objections, as well as against the interest corresponding to the payments on account for the year 2000.

In the first and second instance, the claim regarding the objection to IPTs was upheld. Secondly, the Company's appeal regarding the objections of (i) Simple Re-organization (ii) Overheads (iii) Financial Charges was declared unfounded.

In the case of the objection to the DCP, the High Court validated the collection management carried out by the Company, though only regarding the telephone billing insofar as that the indication of the outstanding debt is recorded, while dismissing the rest of the collection procedures carried out. Finally, the Court ordered that all bills be reviewed again.

The parties appealed against the decision of the High Court. The Supreme Court ruled in favor of SUNAT regarding the objections to Overheads, FC, and Simple Reorganization and, in favor of the Company insofar as the objection to the IPT. With regard to the objection to the DCP, the Supreme Court ordered the second instance to issue a new judgment. On July 29, 2020, the High Court issued a new judgment, declaring the objection to the DCP as unfounded; against this decision a new appeal was filed. On March 24, 2022 the cassation appeal was deemed admissible. On October 13, 2022, the oral report was conducted in the Supreme Court. On January 18, 2023, the Supreme Court's judgement declaring the objection regarding the DCP unfounded was notified. On June 9, 2023, the court ordered SUNAT to comply with the Supreme Court's resolutions. On July 2, 2023, we informed the Court that Compliance Office Resolutions No. 4070160000043, 4070160000044, and 4070160000042 issued by SUNAT had not been issued in accordance with the Supreme Court's orders with the provisions of the Constitutional Court in the amparo process resolved in our favor regarding the non-application of the moratorium interest beyond the legal deadline. Additionally, we informed the court that these resolutions contained issues that should be addressed by the Tax Court in relation to the appeal resolutions filed in the administrative proceeding.

On July 17, 2023, SUNAT refused to process our appeal (filed at the administrative headquarters) and requested in the judicial process the redirection of the appeal that we filed against the aforementioned resolutions to be resolved by the court. On July 26, 2023, we asked the court to declare SUNAT's request inadmissible and in parallel, we filed a complaint with the Tax Court for the failure to raise the appeal. The Tax Court abstained from hearing the complaint.

On August 18, 2023, we presented a document informing the complaint rejection at the administrative headquarters and requested the suspension of the execution until the constitutional process is resolved in which a favorable result was obtained through which the Constitutional Court determined that moratorium interest beyond the legal deadline for resolution should be excluded. On August 22, 2023, the Court dismissed our annulment and rejected SUNAT's request for redirection. On August 25, 2023, we requested clarification regarding the rejection of SUNAT's redirection concerning the matter to be executed. Furthermore, the resolution was declared null and void ex officio, and we filed an appeal specially regarding this aspect. On September 8, 2023, our request to suspend debt collection was declared inadmissible, our annulment was transferred to SUNAT, and the appeal was suspended until the annulment is resolved. On September 12, 2023, SUNAT cleared the transfer. On September 14, 2023, we requested the consolidation of records and appealed the dismissal of the suspension. On September 25, 2023, we presented our allegations. On September 29, 2023, our filed annulment was declared unfounded, and our appeal was granted without suspensive effect. On October 4, 2023, we appealed the resolution that rejected our request for accumulation of notebooks (main and precautionary), which was granted on October 5, 2023. On November 30, 2023, the Court declared null and void the

resolutions that we challenged (the resolution denying our request for consolidation of records (main and precautionary), suspension of execution of letters of guarantee until the debt is determined and the resolution declaring compliance with the resolution).

During the aforementioned proceedings, the Company obtained a partial precautionary measure, pursuant to which the suspension of the effects of the disputed resolutions was ordered regarding the following appeals: (1) FC, (2) DCP, and (3) IPT. For these purposes, the corresponding Letter of Guarantee was updated for the 2022-2023 period, for the amount of S/788,185,150 and was offered and delivered as an injunction bond. On January 26, 2023, SUNAT requested the execution of the letters of guarantee and on February 10, 2023, we filed a challenge to that request. On March 12, 2023, we filed the updated letters of guarantee to 2023 and we requested SUNAT to update the tax debt. Finally, on April 17, 2023, the Court brought the submissions to the attention of the parties and indicated that (i) the debt be recognized in the amounts stated by SUNAT in its update report for the renewal of the letters of guarantee, (ii) the execution of the letters of guarantee is conditioned to the issuance of the liquidation of the debt through the compliance resolution. On May 22, 2023 we filed an appeal against the aforementioned resolution with respect to point (i) while SUNAT filed an appeal with respect to point (ii). On June 8, 2023, our appeal was granted without suspensive effect while SUNAT's appeal was granted on June 26, 2023. On August 17, 2023, SUNAT's appeal was rejected and the resolution that ordered to wait for the resolution of the main record was confirmed. On July 31, 2023, the Court declared the resolution of debt liquidation and updating of the Letters of Guarantee. On September 11, 2023, the Court ordered the execution of the injunction bond and ordered that the amount that comes from the execution be allocated to the tax debt. On September 13, 2023, we requested to refrain from delivering the letters of guarantee to SUNAT and on September 14, 2023, we filed an appeal against the resolution ordering the execution of the injunction bond. On September 29, 2023, the Court granted our appeal without suspensive effect and, rejecting our request for abstention, ordered the delivery of the letters of guarantee to SUNAT for October 3, 2023. On October 2, 2023, we presented a written opposition to the delivery of the letters of guarantee. Likewise, we were informed about the content of the resolution issued by the Constitutional Court, which stated that it is the only competent body to rule on compliance with the Constitutional Court's sentence. On October 3, 2023, the Court issued an official letter to the Superior Court to forward the guarantee letters for delivery to SUNAT. On October 3, 2023 (i) we requested the ex officio correction of the letter of guarantee numbers, and (ii) SUNAT filed an appeal against the resolution that ordered the delivery of the guarantee letters. On October 4, 2023, the Court (i) reported that SUNAT officials did not collect the letters of guarantee (ii) granted SUNAT's appeals against the resolutions that ordered the delivery and execution of the guarantee letters. On the same day, the Court rejected the letter sent by the judge of the constitutional process through which she informed the Court that it does not have knowledge to rule on the default interest after the deadline to resolve. At the same time, on June 13, 2023, SUNAT filed an appeal against the resolution that ordered to wait for SUNAT to comply with determining the debt before executing the letters of guarantee. On June 22, 2023, SUNAT's appeal was granted and on June 26, 2023, the file entered the Superior Court. On July 5, 2023 we presented additional allegations. On August 17, 2023, SUNAT's appeal was rejected and the resolution that ordered waiting for the main record to be resolved was confirmed. On November 30, 2023, the Chamber declared void the resolutions that (i) consider the debt updated to 2023, and (ii) order the delivery of the expired letters of guarantee.

On 26 January 2024, it was ordered to integrate the main and precautionary file. In addition, our appeals against the information of IR No. 4070160000043 and the denial of the Amparo were declared inadmissible. On the same day, the execution order was deemed not to have been complied with and the execution was ordered to be carried out. In addition, the execution of the counter-guarantee with respect to the Letters of Guarantee is ordered and SUNAT's appeal is declared inadmissible. On January 29, 2024, we requested clarification of the execution resolution regarding the Letters of Guarantee. On January 30, 2024, SUNAT filed an appeal. On February 1, 2024, we filed an appeal on the point that the execution of the counter-guarantee must be made on the outdated Letters of Guarantee. On February 22, 2024, our appeal was granted and SUNAT's appeal without suspensive effect. On February 22, 2024, we requested the Court to

attend our request for clarification. On April 5, 2024, the Constitutional Court answered the letter sent by the enforcement court indicating that SUNAT complied with the ruling of the Constitutional Court through the Intendancy Resolutions of Compliance N°4070160000043 y 4070160000093. However, as a result of our request, on the same day it was issued a second official letter informing the court that the first resolution declaring SUNAT's partial compliance had been appealed and that the second resolution was still within the time limit to appeal. On the same day, we submitted a letter to the enforcement court informing about the issuance of the second official communication to the effect that it did not order the delivery of the letters of guarantee under liability because SUNAT's compliance resolutions were not final yet.

On April 9, 2024, the Court ordered the execution of the letters of guarantee for an amount less than the renewed amount (debt that includes the excess interest declared unconstitutional by the Constitutional Court). On April 10, 2024, the Court issued the official letters to the banks to proceed with the payment of the letters of guarantee to SUNAT. On April 12, 2024, the letters of guarantee were delivered to SUNAT. On April 15, 2024, we have filed an appeal against the order of execution and delivery of the letters of Guarantee.

On May 27, 2024, our appeals were declared inadmissible to the extent that the letters of guarantee had already been delivered to SUNAT. On July 5, 2024 the Court, based on the information provided by SUNAT, validated the imputation of the debt regarding the execution of the letters of guarantee for both the 2000 and 2001 debts, and considered the counter-guarantee as bound and, as a consequence, the precautionary measure cancelled, based on the fact that (i) SUNAT has already reported the execution of the letters of guarantee, (ii) it has delivered the letter of guarantee to the Company for the amount of S/41 million and (iii) based on the new liquidation, there is a balance in favor of S/66,000 for overpayment. Finally, the Court decided that the order of execution is deemed to have been complied with only with respect to the elimination of IPT's objection for the debt liquidation, which will be returned through an administrative refund process.

We filed an appeal against the court's decision. The Superior Court revoked the resolution that ordered the delivery of the letters of guarantee and resolved that, with respect to such tax period, there is no tax debt (as indicated by the court) and ordered the return of the letters of guarantee in our favor. On September 13, 2024, SUNAT filed a nullity action against such resolution.

On the other hand, on September 27, 2024, the Superior Court declared the nullity of the first instance resolution that considered the letters of guarantee executed and the counter-guarantee as destined and ordered the court to notify us of the last SUNAT report of May 2024, so that we can address the matter.

In the third quarter of 2019, the Company reassessed its contingencies in light of the resolution issued by the Supreme Court and, as a result, changed the rating from remote to probable with respect to the Letters of Guarantee, Simple Reorganization and Overhead repairs. The same happened with the DCP objection. As for the IPT objection, it maintained the rating as remote, to the extent that it was resolved in favorable terms for the Company.

Against the ruling detailed in the previous proceedings, on February 28, 2023, we filed a petition for amparo, by which we requested the nullity of the supreme ruling notified on January 18, 2023. A single hearing took place on September 4, 2023. Our claim was declared inadmissible in the first instance and on August 19, 2024, we filed an appeal. The scheduling of the hearing is pending.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the rating of the contingency for this action is remote.

Parallel to the process, on July 20, 2023, we requested a preventive measure, which was declared inadmissible on March 6, 2024. On March 12, 2024, we filed an appeal. Pronouncement is pending.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the rating of the contingency of this process is remote.

On July 19, 2023, we filed a request for protection against the letter from SUNAT that rejected the elevation of the appeal that we presented to the Tax Court to question the Intendency Resolutions N°4070160000043 and 4070160000093 issued in supposed compliance with the supreme resolutions. On September 18, 2023, the claim was admitted for processing. On October 8, 2023, we request that you join the Tax Court. On January 24, 2024, the request for inclusion of the Tax Court was denied. On April 10, 2024, the single hearing was held. On May 17, 2024, we requested the subtraction of the subject to the extent that the Tax Court issued a pronouncement regarding our appeal. On June 10, 2024, SUNAT granted the Company's request. On August 16, 2024, our request for subtraction of the matter was rejected, considering that the TCR N°4140-9-2024 was issued because of the precautionary measure, being necessary a pronouncement of the Court. Likewise, the first instance judgment was issued declaring our claim partially founded, stating that it was only appropriate to submit the file to the Tax Court regarding the capitalization of interest and the application of the CPI, excluding from its pronouncement the application of the judicial precedent MAXCO. On September 11, 2024, we appealed the first instance ruling.

Parallel to the process, on July 20, 2023, we requested a precautionary measure. On November 10, 2023, the precautionary measure was granted in the following terms: (i) suspend the effects of the letters that denied the processing of Compliance Resolutions N°1 and 2; (ii) raise the appeals filed against said mayoral resolutions; and, (iii) refrain from collecting the debt settled in said mayoral resolutions until said appeals are resolved by the Tax Court. On January 24, 2024, (i) SUNAT's request for clarification and integration against the precautionary measure was declared inadmissible, (ii) SUNAT's appeal was granted without effects, and (iii) the precautionary measure was submitted to the TC. The oral report was held on September 3, 2024, and the sentence is still pending.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it classified the contingency of this process as remote.

On the other hand, on September 5, 2023, we filed a contentious administrative lawsuit against TCR N°01873-Q-2023, by which the Tax Court refrained from resolving the complaints filed against Letters N°631-2023-SUNAT/ 7U4100, 652-2023-SUNAT/7U4100 and 651-2023-SUNAT/7U410 that reject the processing of the appeals filed against the Mayor's Resolutions No. 4070160000043, 4070160000044 and 4070160000042, considering that "they are not subject to appeal", and the same day the claim was declared inadmissible. On September 11, 2023, we filed an appeal against the inadmissibility. On November 30, 2023, the Superior Court confirmed the judgement that declared the lawsuit inadmissible. On December 20, 2023, we filed an appeal against the second instance judgement. On January 28, 2024, the Application was submitted to the Supreme Court. On May 17, 2024 we withdrew the appeal in cassation. On June 26, 2024, we were notified of the Supreme Court's resolution whereby the Supreme Court considered our appeal as withdrawn and the case file was closed.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it classified the contingency of this process as remote.

Finally, on December 5, 2023, we filed a request for protection against a letter from SUNAT that rejects our appeal against the new compliance resolution issued within the framework of what was ordered by the constitutional court in which a favorable result was obtained regarding the non-application of default interest. On March 19, 2024, the admissory order was deemed integrated and the claim requested by the Company was deemed modified. Thus, the Tax Court has been incorporated as a defendant. On May 23, 2024, the claim was deemed to be answered by the Tax

Court and a plea of lack of jurisdiction was filed. On June 18, 2024, we answered the defense and the plea of incompetence. The oral report scheduled for October 15, 2024 is pending.

According to management's judgment, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency of this process was remote.

As a consequence of the liquidations made by SUNAT, on August 1, 2024, the Company filed a contentious-administrative lawsuit against the TCR N°4140-9-2024 issued in compliance with the administrative appeals derived from the TI 2000-2001. By means of this lawsuit, the Company is requesting the nullity of the TCR regarding the application of late payment interest during the judicial process, as well as the application of capitalized interest. Likewise, a refund of the amounts paid for the said tax period is being requested. To date, the claim is pending to be admitted for processing.

According to management's judgment, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency of this process was remote.

SUNAT filed a contentious-administrative lawsuit against the same TCR N°4140-9-2024. On August 23, 2024, we were notified with the lawsuit requesting the nullity of the TCR because it is considered that the TCR (i) invalidated a precautionary measure that did not contain a mandate addressed to the Tax Court to resolve appeals, (ii) it was taken over pending cases in other jurisdictional bodies, (iii) it is contradictory with other resolutions issued by the Tax Court, (iv) it transgressed the limits established by the binding precedents (on capitalization), and (v) it ordered the revaluation of values for undue periods. On September 9, 2024, we filed our respond to the lawsuit. Pending to set a date for the oral report.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it classified the contingency of this process as remote.

In execution of the provisions of TCR No. 17044-8-2010, SUNAT (i) determined an alleged tax debt of S/2,379,451,375. SUNAT conditioned the admissibility of the appeal filed by the Company to the payment of S/1,627,950,109 (reduced by SUNAT to S/619,814,185 by virtue of the precautionary measure obtained). The Company filed a complaint and paid, under protest, the sum of S/134,353,008 that was coercively required. On January 27, 2012, the TC declared that there was no debt coercively enforceable and demanded through enforcement the payment of S/150,842,142 linked to the fine for Income Tax (Profit) for the year 2000. Again, the Company paid the amount under protest and lodged a complaint that was resolved favorably for the Company by means of TCR No.18209-1-2013.

On February 24, 2014, SUNAT through Coercive Resolution (CR) No. 0110070101757 annulled the coercive collection procedure, but without pronouncing on the payment made by the Company. On April 23, 2014, the Company requested the return of S/134,353,008 (paid in January 2012) and S/150,842,142 (paid in November and December 2013). SUNAT's Audit Management declared the Company's requests inadmissible and, the TC, upon resolving the appeal, ordered SUNAT, at the time of making the final settlement, to determine whether the return of the amounts paid is appropriate.

Regarding the carry-over of the balance in favor for the year 1999 applicable to the periods 2000 and 2001, the TC (i) upheld that resolved in the first instance by SUNAT and, consequently, considered the correction of the carry-over of the obligation of the tax periods that were prescribed as valid, and (ii) supported the Company's request by which it stated that SUNAT could not make a settlement until the file of payments on account for the year 2000 was resolved, as well as the files for the refund of payments made by coercive collection, as these are a component of the tax assessment.

Regarding point (i), the Company filed a contentious administrative claim requesting that it be declared null and void insofar as the points that uphold the objections: (i.1) balance in favor of

previous years and, (i.2) sale of the Nortel analog network. On March 23, 2015, in the first instance, the claim was declared unfounded, and upheld by the Superior Chamber. On May 25, 2021, the Supreme Court declared the lawsuit unfounded. Proceedings concluded.

The Company, considering the result in the Supreme Court, reevaluated its contingencies and therefore the qualification of this process changed from remote to probable. Such variation was made in the second quarter of 2021.

On May 12, 2014, SUNAT filed an administrative lawsuit against point (ii). This claim was declared inadmissible in the first and second instances. However, the Supreme Court upheld the cassation appeal filed by SUNAT and ordered the judge of first instance to re-qualify the application, which was admitted for processing. On December 14, 2021, the first instance judgment declared the SUNAT lawsuit unfounded, and was upheld by the Superior Chamber. On November 2, 2022, the cassation appeal filed by SUNAT was declared admissible. On June 22, 2023, the Oral Report was held at the Supreme Court. On November 29, 2023, SUNAT was notified of the Supreme Court's decision declaring SUNAT's claim to be admissible. On January 28, 2024, the File was sent to the Court. On January 28, 2024, the File was sent to the Court. On May 21, 2024, the Tax Court requested the remission of the administrative file to comply with the sentence.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency for this case is remote.

Subsequently, we were notified the resolution of the intendancy that reliquidates the income tax, which was challenged and resolved by the TCR N°08924-9-2022.

Subsequently, between June 19 and 21, 2023 we have been notified with the compliance resolutions corresponding to these years, which were challenged within the legal term and to date, are pending to be addressed.

(d) Amparo action derived from the Income Tax for the fiscal years 2000 and 2001:

On July 3, 2013, the Company filed an application for amparo, by which it requested that Article 33 of the Tax Code, which regulates the default interest on unpaid taxes, be declared inapplicable.

SUNAT, by means of IR No. 0150190000041, thus ordered to continue the collection of the thirteen (13) Determination Resolutions (DRs) and eleven (11) FRs, for the sum of S/2,163,333,920 (updated as of April 1, 2013). This amount includes 73 percent interest and capitalized interest (under the application of Article 33 of the Tax Code) of which a percentage corresponds to interest accrued within the legal term and other interest accrued in the time lapse in excess of the adjudication's legal term.

On February 22, 2021, the Constitutional Court ruled the claim founded and consequently ordered SUNAT to recalculate the interests outside the legal term and that both the tax administration and the Tax Court had to resolve the challenges presented by the Company. On May 22, 2023, we requested the Court to order SUNAT to comply with the judgment. On June 7, 2023, SUNAT was notified of the Court's request to comply with the requirement to determine the debt in accordance with the Constitutional Court's ruling. On July 4, 2023, we presented a document indicating that, in response to the Mayor's Resolution notified by SUNAT regarding the 2000-2001 Income Tax, SUNAT has failed to comply with the judgement of the Constitutional Court. On July 27, 2023, SUNAT requested the rechanneling of the administrative appeal filed against Compliance Intendancy Resolutions No. 4070160000043, 4070160000044 and 4070160000042. On August 2, 2023, the Constitutional Court sent the parties the Administrative Resolution of Compliance and what was indicated by SUNAT regarding the Company's appeal. On August 18, 2023, we complied with absolving the Resolution of the Compliance Administration and on August 31, 2023 we requested the rejection of the redirection and that the execution of the process be suspended until our appeal is resolved at the administrative headquarters. On September 29, 2023, the

Constitutional Court (i) rejected SUNAT's request for redirection, (ii) rejected our request for suspension of execution, and (iii) ordered SUNAT to properly comply with the liquidation of the debt, discounting the interest in excess of the legal term incurred in the claim stage. Likewise, it advised the court in charge of the execution of the 2000 and 2001 fiscal years to avoid contradictory pronouncements. On December 21, 2023, we complied with acquitting the transfer of Compliance Intendancy Resolution No. 4070160000093 issued in compliance with the provisions by resolution of September 29, 2023.

On February 2, 2024, the official letter was sent to the court in charge of the execution of the 2000 and 2001 fiscal years. A resolution was issued considering that the Constitutional Court's sentence had been complied with. Against this, on April 4, 2024, we filed an appeal pointing out that the Compliance Resolution No. 4070160000093 to date has been appealed by both SUNAT and the Company, thus it cannot be considered as a valid enforcement order. Finally, on April 5, 2024, the Court answered the letter sent by the enforcement court indicating that SUNAT complied with the ruling of the Constitutional Court through two Compliance Office Resolutions. However, as a result of our request, on the same day it was issued a second official letter informing the court that the first resolution declaring SUNAT's partial compliance had been appealed and that the second resolution was still within the time limit to appeal. On September 5, 2024, the Court informed the Superior Court of the verdict issued in the amparo proceeding.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency of this process as remote.

Against the judgment of the Constitutional Court, on November 9, 2021, we were notified with an application for amparo, initiated by the Tax Court. On November 22, 2021, we responded to the lawsuit. On September 6, 2022, the single hearing was held and on September 26, 2022, the Tax Court's claim was declared inadmissible. On November 29, 2022, the appeals of the Tax Court and SUNAT were raised to the Supreme Court. On July 10, 2024, the oral report was held in the Supreme Court. On August 7, 2024, the second instance ruling was notified, which confirmed the ruling that declared the claim inadmissible. The Tax Court did not question the superior decision. The filing of the proceedings is pending.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency for this case is remote.

Against the same Constitutional Court ruling, on May 20, 2022, we were notified with the inadmissibility of the lawsuit filed by SUNAT. On August 23, 2022, the case hearing was held and on November 16, the nullity of the first-instance resolution was declared, judging that the lawsuit filed by SUNAT was inadmissible and ordered that the lawsuit be qualified again. The lawsuit was admitted and on June 28, 2023 we filed a plea of *lis pendens* and answered the lawsuit. On April 8, 2024, the single hearing was held. On July 5, 2024, we were notified of the Court's decision declaring our *lis pendens* exception to be founded, and consequently, the protective proceeding was declared concluded.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the qualification of the contingency of this process is remote.

Payments on Account of 2000 fiscal year –

The Tax Court, through TCR N°17133-8-2010, notified on December 30, 2010 (clarified by TCR N°16430-8-2011) put an end to the administrative procedure corresponding to the payments on account of income tax for the year 2000.

SUNAT, on November 17, 2011, notified the IR N°0150150001039 by virtue of which an alleged tax debt of S/678,541,069 was quantified. The Company filed an appeal against IR and SUNAT, in order to grant the appeal, it required the payment of S/189,297,341.

By means of TCR N°06671-11-2012, extended by TCR N°08037-11-2012, the TC (i) validated that SUNAT reliquidated the fine resolutions as long as they had not been revoked; and (ii) ordered SUNAT to determine the debit balance, being able to modify the credit balance declared by the Company in 1999, thus disregarding the effects of the statute of limitations.

The TC in resolving the clarification presented by the Company stated that (i) the fines imposed by SUNAT were not left without effect; and (ii) the Company is entitled to invoke the statute of limitations for the fiscal year 1999 in case SUNAT modifies the determination of the tax debt corresponding to such year. However, it did not rule on the authority to modify the credit balance. Notwithstanding, the Company was notified with the TCR N°02569-11-2015, by which it was resolved that SUNAT is indeed authorized to modify the balance in favor of the fiscal year 1999 even though it is statute-barred. Subsequently, TC ordered the suspension of the administrative procedure by virtue of the precautionary measure obtained by the Company in court, which we will refer to in the following paragraphs.

On June 8, 2015, the Company filed an administrative contentious lawsuit against SUNAT and TC, requesting the nullity of the TCR by which it was resolved that SUNAT is authorized to modify the balance in favor of income tax for the year 1999 that should be applied to the payments on account of income tax for the fiscal year 2000.

In the first instance, the lawsuit was declared unfounded but was revoked by the Superior Court. On June 6, 2022, the supreme resolution declaring the Company's claim unfounded was notified. SUNAT requested the lower court to lift the precautionary measure and, consequently, the execution of the bank guarantee offered and delivered for S/45,948,058. On June 16, 2022, the Company opposed SUNAT's request, and the court granted SUNAT a period to rule on the Company's opposition. On July 5, 2022, the court ordered the execution of the letter of guarantee and on July 13, 2022, the delivery of the letter of guarantee to SUNAT was ordered. Proceeding concluded.

In the second quarter of 2021, taking into consideration the decision of the Supreme Court detailed in item (c), in the management's opinion, based on the opinion of its internal and external legal advisors, the Company changed the contingency rating from remote to probable.

In compliance with the decision of the Supreme Court in the contentious administrative proceeding detailed in point 4 above, the Tax Court issued the TCR N°05707-9-2022. On November 30, 2022, the Company filed a contentious-administrative lawsuit against this RTF in order to validate the computation of late payment interest for the periods in which SUNAT exceeded the legal deadlines to resolve the appeals and appeals and to issue a compliance resolution, with respect to the payments on account of income tax for the fiscal year 2002 filed by the Company.

Furthermore, the resolution of the Intendancy that reassessed the tax debt for payments on account for the fiscal year 2000 was notified. This resolution was challenged and resolved by means of TCR N°08115-9-2022.

In this case, we are questioning the application of interest derived from the payments on account of 2000. On March 8, 2023, the claim was declared inadmissible and on March 14, 2023, an appeal was filed. On June 6, 2023, the second instance hearing was held. On June 26, 2023, the second instance judgment was issued, which declared the first instance decision null and void and ordered the first instance judge to admit the claim. On August 16, 2023, we modified the claim, requesting the application of the MAXCO precedent with respect to the late payment interest outside the legal term to resolve in the judicial stage. On September 7 and 9, 2023, SUNAT and the Tax Court filed their answering briefs, respectively. The court dispensed with the single hearing and declared the claim inadmissible. We filed an appeal against the first instance ruling and on December 29, 2023, the superior court declared the resolution null and void. On February 12,

2024, we requested the order of reorganization. On June 17, 2024, the proceeding was deemed to be settled, and the disputed points were fixed. Likewise, the anticipated trial was ordered. On June 21, 2024, we requested the scheduling of the oral report.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualification of this process is remote.

Against the decision of the Superior Court in the previous proceeding, which declared the nullity of the first instance ruling and ordered the processing of our claim, on March 7, 2024, we were notified with an amparo lawsuit filed by SUNAT. On March 21, 2024, we responded to the claim. Pending to set a date for a single hearing.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualification of this process is remote

(e) Payments on account for the 2000 fiscal year –

The TC, through TCR No.17133-8-2010, notified on December 30, 2010 (clarified by TCR No. 16430-8-2011) ended the administrative procedure corresponding to the payments on account of the Income Tax for the year 2000.

SUNAT, on November 17, 2011, notified IR No.0150150001039 by virtue of which an alleged tax debt was quantified at S/678,541,069. The Company, filing an appeal against the IT and SUNAT to concede, demanded a payment of S/189,297,341.

By means of TCR No. 06671-11-2012, extended by TCR No.08037-11-2012, the TC (i) upheld that SUNAT resettle the fine resolutions as long as they had not been revoked; and (ii) ordered SUNAT to carry out the determination of the debit balance, modifying the balance in favor declared by the Company in 1999, and thus ignoring the effects of the lapsing.

The Tax Court, in resolving the clarification presented by the Company, pointed out that (i) the fines imposed by SUNAT were not rendered null and void; and (ii) the Company is entitled to invoke the elapsing of the 1999 financial year in the event that SUNAT modifies the determination of the tax debt corresponding to said financial year. However, it did not express an opinion on the power to amend the balance in favor.

However, the Company was notified with TCR No. 02569-11-2015, by which it is resolved that SUNAT is entitled to modify the balance in favor of the 1999 financial year despite it having lapsed. Subsequently, the TC ordered to suspend the administrative proceedings by virtue of the precautionary measure obtained by the Company in court, and to which we will refer in the following paragraphs.

On June 8, 2015, the Company filed an administrative lawsuit against SUNAT and the TC, requesting the nullity of the TCR, which adjudged that SUNAT is entitled to modify the balance in favor of the Income Tax (IT) of the 1999 financial year that must be applied for payments on account of the income tax for the year 2000.

In the first instance, the claim was declared unfounded, but it was revoked by the High Court. On June 6, 2022, the supreme resolution declaring the Company's claim unfounded was notified. SUNAT requested the court of first instance to revoke the precautionary measure and, as a consequence, the execution of the letter of bank guarantee offered and delivered for a sum of S/45,948,058. On June 16, 2022, the Company objected to the SUNAT's request and, the court granted SUNAT a period to rule on the Company's objection. The Resolution of July 5, 2022, ordered the execution of the surety letter, and on July 13, 2022, ordered the delivery of the surety letter to SUNAT. Proceedings concluded.

In the second quarter of 2021, taking into consideration that resolved by the Supreme Court detailed in point (c), and based on the opinion of its internal and external legal advisors, the Company changed the contingency rating from remote to probable.

In compliance with the Supreme Court's ruling in the administrative litigation proceedings detailed in point 4 above, the Tax Court issued TCR No. 05707-9-2022. Against said TCR, on November 30, 2022, the Company filed an administrative litigation lawsuit insofar as to validate the calculation of default interest for the periods in which SUNAT exceeded the legal deadlines in resolving the claims, appealing and issuing compliance resolutions regarding to the payments on account of the Income Tax for the 2002 fiscal year filed by the Company.

Furthermore, the Intendancy resolution that reliquidated the tax debt for advance payments for the 2000 fiscal year was notified. This resolution was contested and resolved through TCR No. 08115-9-2022.

In this case, we are questioning the application of interest derived from the 2000 payments on account. On March 8, 2023, the claim was declared inadmissible and on March 14, 2023, an appeal was filed. On June 6, 2023, the second instance hearing of the case was held. On June 26, 2023, the second instance judgement was issued that declared the first instance resolution null and void and ordered the first instance judge to admit the claim to processing. On August 16, 2023, we modified the lawsuit, requesting the application of the MAXCO precedent regarding default interest outside the legal period to resolve in the judicial stage. On September 7 and 9, 2023, SUNAT and the Tax Court presented their response to the claim, respectively. The court dispensed with the single hearing and declared the claim inadmissible. We filed an appeal against the first instance judgement and on December 29, 2023 The Superior Court declared the resolution null and void. On February 12, 2024, we requested that the order of reorganization be issued. On June 17, 2024, the proceeding was considered settled and the disputed points were fixed. Likewise, the anticipated trial was ordered. On June 21, 2024, we requested the scheduling of the oral report.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualification of this process is remote.

An amparo lawsuit filed by SUNAT against the decision of the Superior Court in the previous proceeding was notified on March 7, 2024. Said amparo declared the nullity of the first instance ruling and ordered to process our claim. On March 21, 2024, we answered the claim. On July 24, 2024, we were notified of the first instance judgment declaring SUNAT's claim inadmissible. On July 30, 2024, SUNAT filed an appeal, which was granted on August 9, 2024. Pending the scheduling of the oral report in the second instance.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualification of this process is remote.

(f) Amparo action derived from the Payments to Income Tax Account 2000 and 2001:

On July 3, 2013, the Company filed an application for amparo in which it requested the Court to declare non-application to the specific case of article 33 of the Tax Code that regulates the default interest of unpaid taxes. Through the amparo, the Company questioned the interest accrued during the time that the TC and SUNAT exceeded the deadlines for resolving the Company's appeal.

SUNAT thus, by means of IR No. 015150001202, ordered to continue collecting the eight (08) DRs and two (02) Fine Resolutions (FRs) for an amount of S/657,405,312 which includes 91% interest and capitalized interest (by virtue of the application of article 33 of the Tax Code).

In the first instance, the claim was declared partially founded and, subsequently, the Superior Chamber declared it inadmissible. The Company filed a constitutional complaint with the Constitutional Court. On December 13, 2021, the Constitutional Court declared the lawsuit

inadmissible, a decision against which an appeal for nullity was filed, which was subsequently declared inadmissible. Process Concluded.

In the first quarter of 2022, Management believes, based on the opinion of its internal and external legal advisors, the classification of the contingency of these proceedings changed to probable.

As the Constitutional Court did not rule on the merits of the dispute, we questioned the default interest applied outside the legal term through a contentious-administrative claim, the same as detailed in point (e) above.

(g) 2002 Fiscal year -

TCR No. 04831-9-2012: (1) revoked the objection related to the exchange difference considering that this is an accounting result to which the principle of causality does not apply; (2) revoked the objection related to financial interests and ordered that SUNAT carry out a new review of the documentation that supports the Cash Flow Statement, as well as of the reports of third parties presented by the Company; (3) partially upheld the objection to DCP, since it considered that the Company was obliged to present the information that supports the entire DCP even though SUNAT only required a sample; and (4) recognized only the collection management for termination notifications as valid, thus ordering SUNAT to carry out a new review. It should be noted that, unlike that resolved in the file for the years 2000 and 2001, the Tax Court does not recognize that the DCP that was modified in 2001; However, in 2002 it can be deducted for being greater than 12 months. Likewise, the TC confirmed the objections related to non-business IPT expenses, unsecured credit notes, among others.

On August 3, 2012 the Company filed a contentious-administrative claim against TCR No. 04831-9-2012 insofar as it upholds the objections to: (1) DCP; (2) undocumented expenses - submarine cable; (3) expenses unrelated to the business - judicial deposits; (4) deductions requested by the Company, and (5) IPT. On November 12, 2018, the judgment declaring the claim partially founded was served, and on July 5, 2019, the Company was served with the judgment of the High Court declaring the objections unfounded. Finally, it upheld the ruling of first instance regarding the non-validation of the procedure for calculating the interest used by SUNAT between December 25, 2016 and March 15, 2017. On May 11, 2020, the cassation appeals filed by the parties were declared admissible. On August 16, 2022, the Oral Report was held in the Supreme Court. On January 18, 2023, the majority supreme resolution of the Supreme Court upheld the Company's cassation in part, insofar as the IPT objection, while dismissing the objections of expenses without supporting documentation, expenses unrelated to the business, DCP, and deductions requested. Furthermore, it upheld the actions regarding default interest and capitalization of interest. On March 16, 2023, the Court ordered SUNAT and the TC to comply with the supreme ruling and, consequently, the TC to issue a new resolution for SUNAT to repay the debt. On June 14, 2023, the Court granted SUNAT's request for an extension, and SUNAT complied with the Supreme Court's orders. On December 28, 2023, we sent a pronouncement on SUNAT's writing whereby it complied with the supreme sentence. On June 11, 2024, SUNAT answered the transfer of the Company's writing.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the rating of the contingency for the IPT appeal is remote.

In view of the Supreme Court's ruling, the contingency related to the objections of expenses without supporting documentation, expenses unrelated to the business, DCP, deductions requested has changed to probable.

II. Value-Add Tax (VAT) for years 1998 to 2002 for Telefónica del Perú

The Company has been notified with the IR issued by SUNAT regarding the VAT for the years 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001. The Company made the payment corresponding to the SUNAT

determination, except for the case related to the General Sales Tax of 2001 (in which the Tax Court ruled in favor of the Company). Regarding the VAT for 2002, by means of Tax Court Resolution No. 04831-9-2012, the Tax Court upheld the objections submitted for this matter.

III. Withholdings from the Income Tax of non-residents of Telefónica del Perú:

The Company has been notified with DR and FR issued by SUNAT regarding withholdings from the years 1999 to 2004, corresponding to income of non-domiciled companies operating a satellite. SUNAT considers such income as income from Peruvian sources.

As a result, the TC revoked the resolutions issued by the SUNAT that declared the claims presented by the Company unfounded and, rendered them null and void. Against this ruling, SUNAT challenged the TCRs in court through five contentious claims for the years 1999 - 2000 (combined in a single process), 2001, 2002, 2003, and 2004.

The five processes initiated by SUNAT concluded unfavorably for the Company. However, as the resolutions issued by the Supreme Court violated the constitutional rights of the Company, five amparo proceedings were initiated to challenge said resolutions, which are explained in the following paragraphs. Furthermore, against the resolutions issued by the TC in compliance with the supreme resolutions, the Company initiated contentious administrative proceedings.

(a) 2002 Fiscal Year -

On October 6, 2020, the first instance judgment declared the amparo claim filed by the Company as unfounded, as it considered that constitutional rights had not been violated. On October 9, 2020, an appeal was filed. On June 27, 2022, the Superior Chamber scheduled the hearing of the case with an oral hearing, held on July 14, 2022. The Superior Court declared the lawsuit unfounded. Therefore, on January 4, 2023, the Company filed an appeal for constitutional tort. To date, an oral report is pending to be scheduled before the Constitutional Court.

In the course of the proceedings, the Company requested a precautionary measure aimed at suspending the effects of the supreme resolution on the administrative litigation proceedings SUNAT initiated. To guarantee the cautionary measure, the Company presented a surety letter for S/9,500,000, corresponding to 2022-2023. However, as of now the precautionary measure has been rendered ineffective as a result of the Court's judgement that resolved to extinguish the precautionary measure granted because a judgement was issued against the interests of the Company. On June 20, 2024, the final archiving of the precautionary notebook was ordered.

Management believes, based on the opinions of both its internal and external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as possible.

(b) 2004 Fiscal Year-

In this case, the Supreme Court followed the same criterion as in 2002 and, consequently, ruled in favor of SUNAT. Qualifying the provision of satellite capacity as a service provided in Peruvian territory and therefore, the Court argued it was appropriate that the supplier paid the tax and the Company withholds it. On August 16, 2019, the compliance TCR was notified, against which the Company filed an administrative litigation process since it considered that the TC failed to pronounce on what was ordered by the Supreme Court. On September 28, 2022, the Oral Hearing was carried out, and on March 3, 2023, we were notified with the judgment that declared the lawsuit unfounded. On March 13, 2023, an appeal was filed. On May 23, 2023, the oral report was presented in the Superior Court. On July 21, 2023, the second instance judgement was issued confirming the first instance judgement. On August 8, 2023, the parties filed an appeal. On August 23, 2023, the case was submitted to the Supreme Court. On March 8, 2024, a hearing was held to determine the merits of the appeals, which were declared inadmissible. On May 22, 2024, the Tax Court and SUNAT were requested to comply with the execution of the sentence

within 20 business days, discounting the excess time of the judicial process. Pending to be informed of the letter submitted by SUNAT.

Without prejudice to the judicial questioning, on October 9, 2019, the Company made a payment of S/24,864,916.

On October 29, 2019, the Company appealed IR No. 0150600000143 which was issued as a result of the TCR compliance. The TC declared the appeal unfounded. Consequently, on July 6, 2021, the Company filed a second lawsuit against the new compliance TCR insofar as that it provides for the suspension of interests in applying article 33 of the Tax Code and its clarification insofar as it establishes that the application of interest is not suspended for the period of the judicial process to which SUNAT took us. On May 17, 2022, the oral hearing took place. In the first instance, the court declared the claim unfounded. Against this resolution, we filed an appeal, which was declared unfounded by means of a resolution notified on November 11, 2022. On November 18, 2022, we filed an appeal against the second instance judgment. On December 6, 2022, the file was submitted to the Supreme Court. On May 3, 2023, our appeal was declared admissible. On August 29, 2023, the oral report was conducted in the Supreme Court and on September 26, 2023, the supreme court's decision was notified, declaring the Company's cassation appeal well-founded and consequently nullifying the appellate judgment, ordering the Superior Court to issue a new judgement. On January 3, 2024, the judgment of the Superior Court nullifying the first-instance judgement was notified. On January 29, 2024, the Court of origin suspended the process and the issuance of the sentence and ordered the parties to inform when the second started process regarding the 2004 period is settled. On February 5, 2024, we filed an appeal. On September 3, 2024, the oral report in the first instance was held. The issuance of the sentence is pending.

Finally, in view of the fact that the supreme resolution contained vices that affect its constitutional rights, the Company initiated an amparo action. On September 10, 2019, the oral report was carried out in the first instance, declaring the lawsuit unfounded. On December 6, 2022, the appeal was granted. On August 1, 2023, the oral report in the second instance was held and on August 9, 2023, the first-instance judgement that declared the claim unfounded was revoked and, modifying it, declared the claim inadmissible. On September 27, 2023, we filed a constitutional grievance appeal. On October 10, 2023, the file entered Chamber 2 of the Constitutional Court and on November 22, 2023, we requested that the process be heard by the full Constitutional Court and that a hearing date be set. The single hearing was held on September 18, 2024. Pending of sentence.

Management believes, based on the opinions of both its internal and external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as possible.

(c) 2003 Fiscal Year-

On June 3, 2019, the Company was notified with the compliance TCR pursuant to the Supreme Court's ruling. Against the aforementioned TCR, on June 24, 2019, the Company initiated an administrative contentious judicial action, alleging that the TC failed to pronounce itself in the terms of the provisions of the Supreme Court, and consequently did not make judgment on two arguments presented by the Company via administrative settlement, thus contravening the right to due administrative procedure. On November 11, 2021, the oral hearing was held in the first instance. On January 24, 2023, the judgment of first instance declaring the Company's claim unfounded was notified. On January 30, 2023, the appeal was filed. On May 18, 2023 the oral report in the second instance was held. On June 7, 2023 the judgment declaring the lawsuit unfounded was notified. On June 14, 2023, we filed a cassation appeal. On October 10, 2023, the admissibility hearing was held, and on December 18, 2023, the Company's cassation appeal was notified as inadmissible. On January 9, 2024, it was resolved to comply with the decision and send the proceedings to the definitive file. Process concluded.

As a result of the issuance of the compliance TCR, on July 9, 2019, the Company made a payment of S/11,212,971 considering the default interest at that date for fines.

In view of the fact that the supreme resolution contained vices that affect the constitutional rights of the Company, an amparo proceeding was initiated. Unlike the other two processes initiated by the Company in the periods 2002 and 2004, said proceeding incorporates and furthered the arguments on the application of Legislative Decree No. 1120 and Supreme Decree No. 22-2017-MTC. On November 9, 2020, the Company filed an appeal against the first-instance judgment that declared the lawsuit unfounded. On April 7, 2022, the oral report was carried out before the High Court. The Superior Chamber declared the Company's claim inadmissible and, on May 13, 2022, the Company filed a constitutional complaint against the aforementioned judgment. On May 30, 2022, the file entered the Constitutional Court. The oral report was held on June 28, 2023. On January 22, 2024, we filed pleadings and on April 4, 2024, we were notified of the Constitutional Court's decision that declares our amparo unfounded. Process concluded.

Management believes, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as possible.

(d) 1999 and 2000 Fiscal Years -

On November 14, 2019, the TC issued the compliance TCR, against which, on February 5, 2020, the Company initiated an administrative litigation judicial procedure. On April 28, 2020, the response to the lawsuit filed by SUNAT and the TC was notified. On December 29, 2021, the oral report was carried out in the first instance. On June 1, 2022, the Company's claim was declared unfounded. On June 8, 2022, the Company filed an appeal against the aforementioned judgment. On May 30, 2024, the lower-instance sentence declaring our claim unfounded was confirmed. On June 17, 2024, we filed an appeal in cassation. On June 25, 2024, the file was submitted to the Supreme Court. On August 29, 2024, we appeared in the Supreme Court.

As a result of the issuance of the compliance TCR, on November 26, 2019, the Company paid the sum of S/187,614,698 corresponding to the 1999 financial year, while for the 2000 financial year the sum of S/30,913,192 was paid.

In parallel, in view of the fact that the supreme resolution contained vices that affect its constitutional rights, the Company initiated an amparo action. On November 16, 2021, the oral hearing was held in the first instance and on July 19, 2022, the lawsuit was declared unfounded. We filed an appeal and on December 7, 2022, it was admitted. On January 18, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was carried out. On January 18, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was held and the Superior Court confirmed the lower-instance sentence. On February 8, 2024, we filed a constitutional appeal. On June 21, 2024, the file was submitted to the Constitutional Court. On July 24, 2024, we requested that this case be referred to the Plenary of the Constitutional Court.

Management believes, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as possible.

(e) 2001 Fiscal Year -

Against the TCR, issued in compliance with the Supreme Court's ruling, the Company filed an administrative litigation lawsuit. On July 29, 2020, the objection to res judicata and the response of the SUNAT were notified, as well as the response of the TC. On October 25, 2021, the oral hearing was carried out in the first instance, which declared the lawsuit partially founded. On April 18, 2023, the second instance hearing was held, and on May 9, 2023, the judgment declaring the claim unfounded was notified. On May 15, 2023, we filed a cassation appeal. On May 23, 2023, the appeal was submitted to the Supreme Court, and the proceedings were sent back to the lower instance. On January 17, 2024, the oral report was held in the lower instance and the sixth main claim was declared founded and the fourth and fifth main claims were declared unfounded. A

writing was filed requesting correction and integration. On January 31, 2024, we filed a partial appeal. On April 25, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was held. On April 29, 2024, the sentence was partially confirmed insofar as it declared the claim partially unfounded. On May 14, 2024, we filed a partial appeal in cassation.

On May 15, 2024, the appeal in cassation was granted, but its processing was reserved until the Court of origin issues a pronouncement regarding the points declared null and void. On May 21, 2024, the file was sent back to the Court of origin.

As a result of the issuance of the compliance TCR, on March 6, 2020, the Company paid S/12,982,365, considering the default interest at that date.

In parallel, in view of the fact that the supreme resolution contained vices that affect its constitutional rights, the Company initiated an amparo action. On May 13, 2021, the oral hearing was held in the first instance and on July 4, 2022, the lawsuit was declared unfounded. On August 31, 2022, we filed an appeal. On September 6, 2023 the case was heard in the second instance and on September 11, 2023, the Constitutional Chamber of the Supreme Court revoked the first instance and declared it inadmissible. On September 27, 2023, a constitutional grievance appeal was filed against the second instance judgment. On October 10, 2023, the file was submitted to the Constitutional Court and on November 22, 2023, we requested that the proceeding be heard by the full court and that a date be set for the hearing.

Management believes, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as possible.

IV. Income Tax and VAT for the years 2003 to 2010 and 2012 for Telefónica del Perú

In the administrative field, between 2008 and 2017, SUNAT resolved the claims filed by the Company for the years 2003 to 2010 and 2012. As we disagreed with the SUNAT ruling, we filed appeals for each of the fiscal years.

(a) 2005 Fiscal Year -

Regarding the VAT for the year 2005, on January 9, 2018, the TC ordered SUNAT to carry out a new settlement. Likewise, for the 2004 fiscal year, a decision was notified on November 14, 2018, with the new settlement pending. In both cases, the Tax Court decided to withdraw various objections and noted that it must take into account that resolved in the income tax files. Thus, the issuance of a compliance resolution is pending.

Management believes, based on the opinions of external legal advisors, the qualification of the contingency of both files should be probable.

(b) Income tax and VAT for the 2003 Fiscal Year –

Regarding Income Tax and Value-Added Tax for the 2003 fiscal year, on January 25, 2019, Tax Court Resolution No. 10820-4-2018 was communicated, ordering SUNAT to carry out a new settlement after revoking the following objections: i) excess depreciation for difference in computable cost, ii) amortization of training and maintenance, iii) expenses without reliable substantiating documentation, iv) Income Tax and VAT on unsecured credit notes, v) documents that do not support expenses, vi) DCP, vii) collection of interest and fines. On February 2, 2019, the administrative litigation filed by the Company was admitted for processing. In the first instance, the claim was declared unfounded and thus the Company filed an appeal. On September 2, 2022, the Superior Court declared the appeal filed by the Company unfounded and consequently, the judgment of first instance insofar as the DCP and other objections was upheld. With regard to the questioning of default interest, the judgment of first instance was declared null and void. On February 24, 2023, the Court received that of the Superior Chamber. On September 17, 2022, the

Company filed a cassation appeal, which was declared admissible. By resolution of September 19, 2022, the Supreme Court decided to reserve the cassation appeal until the court (first instance) issues a new resolution regarding the capitalization of late interest, since the Superior Court declared the nullity of this aspect of the first instance sentence. To date, a new first instance judgment is pending the issuance of a new ruling regarding the capitalization of interest in arrears. On December 19, 2023, we requested the issuance of the sentence and on February 2, 2024 we reiterated the request. On July 3, 2024, we requested again the issuance of the corresponding sentence. An oral report at first instance is scheduled for November 12, 2024.

According to Management's judgement and based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency related to the DCP objection has been classified as probable. As for the other objections, they have been classified as possible.

On the other hand, on April 14, 2019, we were notified with an administrative litigation lawsuit filed by SUNAT against the same TCR No. 10820-4-2018, but insofar as the objections adjudged in favor of the Company via administrative settlement: i) expenses for unsupported compensation, ii) DCP, iii) amortization of unsubstantiated intangibles, and iv) modification of the coefficient. On June 11, 2020, the claim was declared partially founded regarding the claims for expenses for unsubstantiated compensation and amortization of intangibles; Furthermore, the claim was declared unfounded in relation to the DCP claims and modification of the coefficient. On December 31, 2020, the hearing judgment declared SUNAT's claim founded only insofar as the objection to compensation without reliable substantiation was notified, declaring the DCP (sampling) and amortization of intangibles unfounded and favorable for the Company. The appeals of both parties were declared admissible. On January 19, 2023, the oral hearing was held in the Supreme Court. On March 9, 2023, we were notified with the supreme ruling that declared the cassation appeals of the Company and the Tax Court as unfounded, and upheld that of SUNAT, and consequently, (i) the objection to the DCP groundless. On March 15, 2023, we filed an appeal for nullity of the supreme ruling insofar as the DCP as it was based on a wrong fact. On March 27, 2023, SUNAT acquitted our request for nullity. On April 4, 2023, the request for nullity filed by TDP was declared inadmissible; the supreme ruling that declared the appeal filed by the TC unfounded was declared null and void, reserving the ruling on the objection of DCP regarding the use of the sample mechanism; they ordered the Superior Court to complete the omissions incurred in the judgment of hearing with respect to said objection. On May 8, 2023, the oral report was held before the Superior Court with respect to the objection of modification of the coefficient. On June 6, 2023 the second instance ruling was notified, which clarified the DCP's point regarding the use of the sample mechanism and confirmed the result issued against us, and declared SUNAT's claim unfounded regarding the objection of modification of the coefficient. Against the decision rendered by the Superior Court, on June 14, 2023 we filed a cassation appeal, which was deemed admissible. On March 6, 2024, a hearing on the merits was held in the Supreme Court. On June 6, 2024, we were notified of the Supreme Court's decision declaring the appeal of the Tax Court to be well founded and the second instance sentence null and void with respect to the referred objection. We requested the integration of the sentence insofar as it only declared the nullity of the first instance judgment, but not the second sentence that unfavorably rejects the objection of DCP. On June 21, 2024, the Supreme Court declared our request for integration inadmissible. On July 19, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was held. On July 31, 2024, SUNAT's claim regarding the DCP sample was declared well founded. We filed an appeal for cassation against such a decision, and its merits are still pending to be determined.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, believes that the contingency with respect to the unsubstantiated indemnity expense assessment is probable. With respect to the other claims, it is remote.

On March 1, 2023, a lawsuit was filed against the decision of the Supreme Court regarding the administrative contentious process initiated by SUNAT, detailed in the previous point, in order to declare the nullity of the supreme resolution that resolved against the Company the DCP

assessment (questioning the use of the sampling mechanism for the extrapolation of the result of the assessment). On May 5, 2023 the lawsuit was admitted and a single hearing was scheduled for September 22, 2023. On June 15, 2023, SUNAT was notified of the response and the defense of lack of standing to act filed by SUNAT. On September 22, 2023, the single hearing was held and the claim was declared inadmissible and the exception of lack of standing was deemed unfounded. SUNAT filed a cassation appeal, which was elevated to the Supreme Court on December 20, 2023. On June 24, 2024, the File was submitted to the Supreme Court. The scheduling of the oral report is pending.

In the opinion of management, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency is classified as remote.

On May 17, 2023 we were notified of the amparo lawsuit filed by SUNAT against the same Supreme Court ruling, and on May 31, 2023 we filed a plea of lack of standing to act and answered the lawsuit. On June 23, 2023 the exception of lack of standing to act that we filed was declared unfounded, however the claim filed by SUNAT was declared inadmissible. On August 22, 2023 SUNAT filed an appeal and on August 25, 2023 the appeal was granted with suspensive effect. On March 11, 2024, the File was submitted to the Supreme Court. The scheduling of the oral report is pending.

(c) 2010 Fiscal Year VAT -

Regarding the VAT for the 2010 fiscal year, on June 27, 2019, the Company filed an administrative litigation claim requesting the nullity of TCR No. 1858-1-2019 which upheld IR No. 0150140013079 which declares the claim made against DR Nos. 012-003-0072541 to 012-003-0072552 and FR Nos. 012-002-0028443 to 012-002-0028454, related to the VAT from January to December 2010, and for the commission of the infraction typified by clause 1 of art. 178 of the Tax Code. On June 27, 2019, the lawsuit was filed and subsequently admitted. The oral report was conducted on April 21, 2021. To date, the first instance judgment is pending. On March 7, 2024, we requested the issuance of the sentence.

Management believes, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as remote with respect to the fines. With respect to the statute of limitations claim, the contingency is probable; and with respect to the recreational over expenditure claim, it is possible.

(d) Income Tax for the 2009 fiscal year -

Regarding the Income Tax for the 2009 fiscal year, on January 16, 2020, the Company initiated an administrative contentious judicial process by means of which it requests the nullity of the TCR No. 7453-4-2019 insofar as the objections to (i) the lapsing of the term of the start of the collection power, and (ii) excess of recreational expenses. On May 23, 2022, the Company was notified with SUNAT's response. On June 2, 2022, the date for the hearing of the case has been set for July 20, 2022. On July 26, 2022, the judgment declaring the claim unfounded was confirmed and, on August 9, 2022, the Company filed an appeal for cassation, which was subsequently declared inadmissible. Process concluded.

Based on the Supreme Court ruling, the Company, together with its external legal counsel, reassessed the contingency and changed it to probable.

In execution of TCR No. 7453-4-2019, the Company was notified with the coercive resolution which demanded an amount of S/144,962, which was paid on November 6, 2019. Since the Company did not agree with that ordered by SUNAT, on January 20, 2020, an appeal was filed, which TCR No. 04088-4-2020 resolved upholding the SUNAT provisions.

Additionally, on August 6, 2020, the Company was notified with DR and FR for the months of March, July, August, September, November, and December. It should be noted that TCR No. 07453-4-2019 declared the values for said periods null and void.

In view of this, on September 3, 2020, the Company filed a claim against the values mentioned in the preceding paragraph, regarding the objection to the tax credit for imports from tax havens.

Then, on November 17, 2020, we were notified with Intendancy Resolution No. 0150150002575, by means of which the provisions of the TCR No. 04088-4-2020 are complied with.

In view of this, on December 4, 2020, we made a payment of S/ 1,932,798 corresponding to the Determination Resolutions and Fine Resolutions for the periods of the months of March, July to September, November, and December.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency for this case is remote.

(e) 2004 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

Regarding the 2004 fiscal year, on November 9, 2021, the Company filed an administrative litigation claim requesting the nullity of the TCR No. 06587-9-2021 (and the TCR No. 7846-9-202 that rejected the request for clarification and extension) to the extent that it upheld the objections to: (i) rental expenses not substantiated by payment vouchers that support their deduction, (ii) expenses for financial interests with related companies, (iii) FC, (iv) DCP, only to the extent that the TC maintained this objection to the universal subject of sampling, (v) excess depreciation for difference in the computable cost, and: (vi) amortization of activated maintenance expenses. The lawsuit also encompasses the questioning of the collection of default interest: a) during the periods of excess in the terms of attention of our claims and appeals, b) capitalization of default interest, which is prohibited in accordance with the precedents issued by the TC, and; c) the procedure for calculating default interest between December 25, 2006 and March 15, 2007. On September 13, 2022, the oral hearing was carried out in the first instance. The issuance of the judgment is still pending. On March 17, 2023, the Court indicated that the binding precedent MAXCO (on non-application of default interest for the delay in resolving the challenges presented to SUNAT and the TC levels) shall be applied, and thus requested the parties to inform (i) whether SUNAT and the Tax Court have applied default interest after the legal deadline to resolve the challenge appeals that we presented, and (ii) the current state of the tax debt. On March 27, 2023, the Company filed the compliance brief and SUNAT submitted an additional deadline to comply with the order, which has been granted. On May 18, 2023, the court notified us with SUNAT's compliance letter with respect to SUNAT's request and on June 15, 2023 we were notified of the first instance judgment that declared the claim unfounded in all its aspects with the exception of the objection of DCP with respect to the preliminary injunction as a collection mechanism. On June 21, 2023 SUNAT filed an appeal with respect to the objection of DCP with respect to the precautionary collection mechanism and on June 22, 2023 we filed an appeal with respect to the objections that were unfavorable to us. On August 31, 2023 the Superior Chamber revoked the first instance judgment and consequently declared the Company's claim on the statute of limitations for the collection of the tax debt as it considered that the Tax Court exceeded the term to resolve the appeal; therefore, the discussion of the merits regarding the objections is without effect. On September 22, 2023 the appeal filed by SUNAT was granted. On May 15, 2024, the oral report in the Supreme Court was held. The Supreme Court ruled in favor of SUNAT in the cassation appeal they filed, consequently, it declared our claim unfounded with respect to our argument on the statute of limitations for the collection of the tax debt, ordering the Superior Court to rule on the other points of the claim. On September 12, 2024, the oral report was held and on September 30, 2024, the Superior Court's judgment was notified, which declared the first instance judgment null and void, ordering the Court to issue a new resolution.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, with respect to the DCP assessment, the rating was reassessed as probable, and with respect to the statute of limitations assessment, it was also changed as probable. With respect to the IPT, amortization of maintenance expenses and default interest, the contingency is remote. Regarding the repairs, the contingency is possible.

Against the same TCR No. 06587-9-2021, on December 17, 2021, the Company was notified with a SUNAT lawsuit by means of which it requests the nullity insofar as that it decided to declare the TC resolutions null and void as rejecting the DCP was not the subject of the sample. On September 8, 2022, the oral hearing was carried out in the first instance. On May 16, 2023 the first instance judgment declaring SUNAT's claim unfounded was notified. On May 19, 2023 SUNAT filed an appeal and on June 26, 2023 the appeal was granted. On July 25, 2023 the oral report in the second instance was held. On August 31, 2023, the second instance judgement was notified, declaring the first- instance judgement null and void. On September 14, 2023, the file was sent to the court of first instance. The issuance of a new sentence is pending.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as remote with respect to all objections.

Regarding the same tax period, on December 17, 2021, the Company filed an administrative lawsuit requesting the nullity of TCR No. 7509-4-2021 to the extent that it confirmed the objections made by SUNAT: (i) depreciation and amortization of the cost of unsubstantiated assets of 2002; (ii) retail package commission - Account 632004; (iii) expenses of previous years invoiced by BeltSouth (company related to the Company); (iv) loss in exchange difference - Account 676001; (v) charges to income accounts for unsubstantiated credit notes; (vi) salaries abroad; (vii) deduction in the Income Tax of the 2004 period for objected expenses; and (viii) default interest. On June 25, 2024, the oral report in the lower instance was held. On August 12, 2024, the first instance judgment was notified declaring the claim unfounded. On August 19, 2024, we filed an appeal and on August 27, 2024, the appeal was granted.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency as remote with respect to the repairs of foreign salaries, late payment interest, prior years' expenses and loss on exchange difference. With respect to the other items, the contingency is possible.

In compliance with the resolution detailed in the previous point, TCR No. 02348-4-2022 was issued, against which, on July 18, 2022, we filed a new administrative litigation lawsuit. By means of this we are challenging the compliance TCR insofar as that it (i) incorrectly determines the amount to be paid for the reception of the Incentive Regime (including the payment of default interest); (ii) updates the debt by applying the Consumer Price Index for a non-corresponding period; (iii) violates due process and includes an undue Cause, by incorporating defects of non-existent cause. On July 19, 2022, the lawsuit was admitted for processing and on August 17, 2022, SUNAT presented its response. On April 13, 2023, the oral hearing was heard in the first instance. On April 23, 2024, we were notified of the first instance sentence declaring the Company's claim unfounded, for which reason we filed an appeal. On July 3, 2024, the superior court declared the nullity of the lower instance sentence and ordered the issuance of a new pronouncement.

Management believes, based on the opinions of its external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as remote for all objections.

(f) 2005 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

Regarding the 2005 fiscal year, on March 21, 2022, a lawsuit was filed requesting the nullity of TCR No. 10121-3-2021 regarding the 2005 TI, in which the elapsing of the power to demand payment was rejected. Likewise, IR No. 0150140009894 was revoked, which was favorable in 26 objections, obtaining an unfavorable final result in financial charges, DCP, reversal of provisions, among others. On May 15, 2019, the lawsuit was admitted for processing. SUNAT repoded to the claim. On November 17, 2023, the oral report was carried out in the first-instance. On May 31, 2024, we were notified with the lower-instance sentence, which declared our claim to be founded only with respect to the non-application of late payment interest for the delay in resolving the administrative case. The parties filed appeals. On June 18, 2024, the appeals were granted. On July 25, 2024, the oral report in the second instance body was held and on August 26, 2024, the second instance judgment was issued by which it upheld our argument of the statute of limitations to collect the tax debt and, consequently, declared our claim well founded. SUNAT and the Tax Court filed a cassation appeal.

In the opinion of the Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the qualification of the contingency for the DCP objection changed to probable, by virtue of the result downloaded from the files consultation system of the Supreme Court for the cassation appeals filed in the processes that are discussed in the TCR. Nos. 17044-8-2010 (2000-2001) and 11450-5-2011 (1998) as well as the statute of limitations. With respect to the financial burden assessment, the contingency has changed to possible. The contingency for the other objections remains remote.

Regarding the same period, on April 20, 2022, we were notified with a SUNAT lawsuit by means of which it requests the nullity of TCR No. 10121-3-2021 in the extreme that (i) it decided to declare the DR and FR null with respect to the objection to DCP that was not the subject of the sample verified by SUNAT during the audit procedure (ii) it revoked the IR with respect to the objections to: expenses accrued in previous years - Accounts 665110, tax amortization not accepted since it had not substantiated the price paid of the intangible, nor the application of credit for payments on account for the year. On May 4, 2022, the Company filed a response. On June 15, 2023 the oral report in the first instance was held. On November 16, 2023, the first instance judgement was notified that declares SUNAT's claim partly founded on the point of advance payments. On November 23 and 24, 2023, the parties filed an appeal. On April 1, 2024, the Superior Court declared the claim with respect to the DCP objection as well founded. The rest of SUNAT's claim was confirmed. On April 15, 2024, SUNAT filed an appeal and on April 16, 2024, the Company filed an appeal. On May 3, 2024, the File was submitted to the Supreme Court. The scheduling of the hearing for the qualification of the merits of the appeals is pending.

Management believes, based on the opinions of both its legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as remote.

(g) 2006 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

Regarding the 2006 fiscal year, on September 14, 2022, we filed an administrative lawsuit against TCR No. 04079-2-2022 regarding the following unfavorable objections: (i) Expenses that do not comply with the principle of causality (Expenses recorded in Account 669910); (ii) Unsubstantiated expenses; (iii) IPT; (iv) Expenses for financial interests with related companies; (v) Amortization of unaccepted intangibles (For maintenance expenses and training expenses that do not qualify as assets); (vi) Unaccepted depreciation for not substantiating part of the computable cost of fixed assets that have occurred (related to Financial Charges); (vii) Determination Resolutions; (viii) Fine Resolutions; (ix) Default interest and its capitalization; and (x) Statute of Limitations. On October 20, 2022, the lawsuit was admitted for processing. On September 28, 2023, the application of the Maxco precedent was declared inadmissible. On October 6, 2023, an appeal was filed. On March 26, 2024, we answered the request for suspension of the proceedings requested by SUNAT and the resolution that declared the request for application of the MAXCO precedent inadmissible was declared null and void. To date, the oral report scheduled for December 19, 2024 is pending.

In the opinion of the Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency for objections to statute of limitations, expenses that do not comply with causality and unsubstantiated expenses qualifies as possible. With respect to the other objections, the contingency remains remote.

For its part, SUNAT challenged the same TCR insofar as it revokes the Intendancy Resolution on the objections of (i) amortization of intangibles not accepted because it had not substantiated the paid price of the intangible, (ii) modification of the coefficient of payments on account, (iii) fine resolutions, and (iv) the request for the return of excess payments. On October 31, 2022, the Company responded to the lawsuit. To date, the scheduling of the first-instance oral report is still pending. On November 7, 2023, the oral report was carried out in the first instance. On July 3, 2024, we requested the issuance of the sentence. On September 19, 2024, we reiterated our request for the issuance of a judgment.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as remote for all objections.

(h) 2007 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

Regarding the 2007 fiscal year, on October 11, 2022, we were notified with a lawsuit from SUNAT by means of which it challenges TCR No. 04357-9-2022 insofar as it revokes the Intendancy Resolution on the objections of (i) amortization of intangibles unaccepted since it has not substantiated the price paid for the intangible; (ii) modification of the coefficient of payments on account, (iii) fine resolutions, and (iv) the request for the return of excess payments. On October 31, 2022, the Company responded to the lawsuit. To date, the scheduling of the first-instance oral report is still pending.

In management's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency with respect to the statute-of-limitations assessment was considered probable and the recalculation of payments on account was considered possible. With respect to the other objections, the contingency is remote.

(i) 2008 Fiscal Year Income Tax:

Regarding the 2008 period, on November 2, 2022, the Company filed an administrative litigation lawsuit against TCR No. 05258-1-2022 insofar as the objections to (i) statute of limitations, (ii) IPT, (iii) connection fee, (iv) payments on account, and (v) tax credit for excess recreational expenses. On November 3, 2022, the lawsuit was admitted and on November 22, 2022, the claim was considered as having been responded to. To date, the scheduling of the oral hearing is still pending.

In the opinion of the Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency for the objection of income unduly considered as deferred and IPT is viewed as remote. With respect to the statute of limitations claim, the contingency has changed to probable. With respect to the other objections, the contingency is remote.

Regarding the same period, on November 22, 2022, the Company was notified with a lawsuit filed by SUNAT against the same TCR in terms of the objections to (i) the modification of the coefficient of payments on account of IT January-December 2008, and (ii) the balance in favor of the previous compensable year. On December 6, 2022, the Company responded to the lawsuit. On May 28, 2024, the oral report in the lower instance was held. On May 30, 2024, the lower-instance sentence declaring SUNAT's claim unfounded was confirmed. On July 25, 2024, the oral report in the second instance body was held and, on July 30, 2024, the judgment declaring the nullity of the first instance judgment was issued. To date, a new resolution is pending to be issued.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it classified the contingency as possible with respect to the correction of the coefficient modification. The contingency is remote with respect to the correction of the balance in favor of the prior year.

V. Income Tax and VAT of Telefónica Móviles for the years 2000 to 2012:

As a result of the audits of Telefónica Móviles from 2000 to 2009, SUNAT has issued several resolutions for alleged omissions of income tax, income tax withholdings of non-domiciled persons, withholdings of fifth category income tax and VAT, in the latter case, until the year 2012.

In the cases in which Telefónica Móviles obtained unfavorable results in administrative proceedings, it filed appeals and, in other cases, it proceeded to pay the assessments received. Details of these processes are explained in the following paragraphs.

(a) Income tax for the year 2000

At the administrative level, on March 30, 2007, SUNAT issued the IR resolving the claim filed by Telefónica Móviles for the year 2000. Since it did not agree with the resolution, an appeal was filed before the TC.

In July 2020, the TC notified the TCR N°2275-5-2020 by which it declared null and void SUNAT's objection regarding the Nortel Analog Network. Despite this declaration of nullity, on August 3, 2020 SUNAT notified the IR without complying with the TC's order. Therefore, on August 24, 2020 a new appeal was filed, which is pending resolution at the TC.

On February 7, 2013, the TC notified the TCR N°20274-9-2012, by which (i) resolved unfavorably to the Company's interests, the following repairs: FC, DCP, Overhead, Expenses re-invoiced by TDP, Cellular Sales Commission; and, Voluntary Retirement Program (ii) revoked the objections related to unaccepted depreciations for fixed assets transferred in the patrimonial block, and loss due to exchange difference; and (iii) declared the nullity of the objections related to: Interconnection expenses, management fee; and, DCP regarding the months of February to June, August and October to December.

Against the last TCR, the Company filed an administrative litigation lawsuit, requesting the nullity of the objections resolved unfavorably for the Company: (i) DCP, (ii) Simple re-organization, (iii) Nortel Analog Network (regarding which the TC suspended the issuance of a ruling under the criminal proceeding related to the objection) (iv) FC, and (v) Overhead. In the first and second instance, the claim was declared unfounded. On July 4, 2019, the Supreme Court declared the second-instance judgment null and void regarding the objections of Nortel Analog Network, Simple Reorganization and DCP, and consequently ordered the High Chamber to issue a new judgment. Regarding the objections to Financial Charges and Overheads, the Supreme Court has adjudicated in favor of SUNAT. On September 30, 2019, the High Court issued the new judgment declaring the objection to unaccepted depreciations and DCP unfounded, the objection of withdrawal of assets of a majority shareholder (Nortel Analogical Network) as inadmissible. Against said judgment, on October 21, 2019, the parties filed a cassation appeal, which were declared admissible. On September 13, 2022, the oral hearing was held in the Supreme Court. On January 18, 2023, notification was received of the supreme resolution which (i) declared the nullity of the judgment of the Superior Court regarding the objection of unaccepted depreciations, and (ii) declared the objection to the DCP as being unfounded. On April 18, 2023, the oral report in the second instance was held regarding the objection of unaccepted depreciation and on April 27, 2023, the first instance ruling was declared null and void in this regard. An oral report was scheduled in the first instance for September 12, 2023, which was left without effect taking into account that on September 8, 2023, SUNAT requested the conclusion of the process without a declaration of merits due to straining the subject matter. On October 5, 2023, SUNAT's request was declared inadmissible. The Court annulled the oral report scheduled for January 16, 2024 to the extent that SUNAT has requested that this file is added to the contentious administrative

process in which we question the default interest applied when the compliance mayor's resolution was issued. SUNAT requested the accumulation with the file in which the TCR No. 03615-5-2022 is discussed, which was declared founded and consequently, it was ordered that the ACA 52 be accumulated to the present process. On May 23, 2024, we filed an appeal. The decision is pending.

As the FC and Overhead objections were resolved unfavorably for the Company, the contingency rating was changed to probable in the second quarter 2019.

In view of the Supreme Court's ruling regarding the objection to unaccepted depreciations, the contingency rating remains remote, while the contingency for the objection to DCP has changed to probable.

In compliance with the same TCR, on September 16, 2022, we filed an administrative litigation lawsuit against TCR No. 03615-5-2022, which pertains to the 2000 fiscal year. This resolution favors the interests of the Company insofar as the objection to Red Nortel; however, we challenge the following objections (i) DCP, (ii) difference in exchange, (iii) unaccepted depreciations, and (iv) default interest and its capitalization. The lawsuit was admitted on October 10, 2022. On November 29, 2022, the replies of SUNAT and the Tax Court were notified. On July 10, 23 the court notified us with the resolution that dispensed with the evidentiary hearing. On February 23, 2024, SUNAT requested the suspension of the proceeding. On March 26, 2024, we answered SUNAT's request. On June 25, 2024, since the request for accumulation of proceedings was declared well-founded, it was decided to remit this file.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisers, has reassessed the contingency qualification regarding the objection to DCP and changed it to probable, pursuant to the outcome of the appeals filed against TCR Nos. 17044-8-2010 (2000-2001) and 11450-5-2011 (1998). With respect to the late payment of interest, the contingency is remote. The contingency related to the remaining objections remains possible.

(b) Income Tax and VAT for the 2001 fiscal year:

As part of the administrative procedure, on October 22, 2007, SUNAT issued the IR resolving the claim filed by Telefónica Móviles. Since it did not agree with the resolution, an appeal was filed before the TC.

On January 20, 2017, the TC notified the Company the TCR N°11549-2-2016, whereby it resolved unfavorably the objections of (i) Management fee; and, (ii) amortization of intangibles.

Likewise, it ordered a new review of part of the DCP and of the exchange difference loss, pending a new pronouncement by SUNAT. On August 18, 2020, the IR was notified that complied with the order of the TC, a resolution that was appealed by the Company on September 8, 2020.

Likewise, the Company appealed the IR N°0150150002773 that declared the refund requests filed for the overpayments unfounded.

On April 19, 2017, the Company filed an administrative litigation lawsuit against TCR No. 11549-2-2016. On December 6, 2021, the judgment that declared the lawsuit in all its facets unfounded was notified, which was appealed on December 14, 2021. On April 5, 2022, the case was heard at a second instance. On January 19, 2023, the finding revoking the judgment of the first instance was issued insofar as that declared the lawsuit groundless regarding the objections to DCP, payments on account, and calculation of default interest, and thereby upholding the same. Likewise, it declares the lawsuit unfounded in the other instances. Against this judgment, the parties filed a cassation appeal. On March 27, 2023, the Superior Court rejected the cassation appeal that we filed in application of the full cassation proceeding that provided that the appeals

filed against decisions issued in the first and second instance in the same sense (double conformity) must be declared inadmissible. In view of this situation, we filed a complaint appeal against the decision that rejected the cassation. On June 26, 2023 the internal hearing of the complaint was held in the Supreme Court. On July 10, 2023, we were notified with the resolution that declared the complaint appeal well-founded. On September 23, the admissibility qualification hearing took place. On October 10, 2023, an enforcement action that annulled cassations because the hearing judgement did not have the necessary votes regarding DCP's objection was notified. On November 9, 2023, the first resolution hearing was held, and the deciding member agreed to declare the Company's claim unfounded. On December 28, 2023, the second resolution hearing was held, and the deciding member joined the votes to declare the Company's claim founded in terms of DCP and interest capitalization; and unfounded in the extreme of the protection of default interests. On January 18, 2024, we filed an appeal against the objection resolved unfavorably to the interests of the Company. To date, SUNAT's appeal has been declared admissible and those of the Tax Court and the Company have been declared inadmissible. On September 4, 2024, an oral report was held in the Supreme Court. On September 6, 2024, a pleading was filed.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, considering the result of the TCR No. 17044-8-2010, 17133-8-2010, and 01853-8-2011 in the second quarter 2019, the qualification of the process was modified to probable, to the extent of the Supreme Court's resolution in the administrative contentious processes of the years 2000 and 2001 of TDP and the year 2000 of TM regarding the objections of Overhead and Carveout It also rated as probable the objections of cost depreciation and undue depreciation.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, has reassessed the qualification of the contingency for the DCP objection and has changed it to probable by virtue of the result downloaded from the file consultation system of the Supreme Court for cassation appeals filed in the proceedings that are discussed in TCR Nos. 17044-8-2010 (2000-2001) and 11450-5-2011 (1998). Regarding the other objections, the contingency rating remains remote.

Regarding the same TCR, SUNAT filed an administrative litigation claim challenging the objections to (i) expenses without causation and (ii) expenses assumed by third parties. On April 9, 2019, the first-instance ruling was communicated, stating that the Tax Court Resolution was partially justified and partially invalid. The Tax Court was thus ordered to issue a new resolution, confirming Intendancy Resolution No. 0150140006682 insofar as to the objections to various expenses transferred by its related party for those that do not prove causality (a deduction referring to the payments undertaken) and for third-party taxes assumed by the taxpayer. On March 3, 2020, the second-instance judgment was notified, which upheld SUNAT's claim. On May 19, 2020, the Company filed a cassation appeal against the aforementioned judgment, and which was declared admissible. On October 11, 2022, the oral hearing was carried out in the Supreme Court and on December 13, 2022, the supreme resolution notified that SUNAT's lawsuit was upheld. On March 13, 2023, the Court informed the parties of the TCR issued in compliance with the provisions of the Supreme Court. On April 5, 2023, the Court considered the mandate fulfilled and ordered the termination of the proceedings. Process concluded.

Based on the opinion of its legal advisors and the result obtained, the Company has changed the qualification of the contingency to probable for all objections.

In compliance with the TCR discussed, the Tax Court issued TCR No. 6015-4-2022. Against said TCR, on December 13, 2022, we filed an administrative litigation lawsuit as it validated the calculation of default interest for the periods in which SUNAT exceeded the legal deadlines SUNAT had to resolve our claims, appeal, as well as to issue the compliance resolution. On January 13, 2023, SUNAT and the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Finance (MEF) were deemed to have answered the claim. On November 14, 2023, the first instance judgement declaring the claim unfounded was notified. On November 23, 2023, we filed an appeal, which was granted on December 18, 2023. On April 2, 2024, we were notified of the second instance sentence that

revoked the lower-instance sentence and declared our claim as founded. On April 16, 2024, SUNAT filed an appeal. On May 7, 2024, the File was submitted to the Supreme Court.

On the other hand, compliance resolutions were notified, which have been challenged through appeals. To date, these appeals are pending to be heard by the Tax Court.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as remote for all objections.

(c) Income tax and VAT for the 2002 Fiscal Year -

Within the framework of the administrative procedure, on January 23 2008, SUNAT issued the IR, thereby resolving the claim filed by Telefónica Moviles. As we disagreed with the decision, an appeal was submitted before the Tax Court.

In this regard, on September 15, 2017, TCR No. 12003-8-2016 was notified which withdrew the objections to: (i) management fee; and, (ii) amortization of intangibles; declared the partial nullity of the objection to the DCP, and ordered a new review of the objection related to financial interests (Carveout).

Against the aforementioned TCR, on December 15, 2017, the Company filed an administrative litigation claim regarding the unfavorable objections. On October 24, 2019, the oral hearing was carried out in the first instance. On June 19, 2024, we requested the issuance of a sentence. On July 3, 2024, we requested the following to be considered: (i) the statute of limitations of the Tax Administration's power to collect the debt and (ii) the non-application of late payment interest due to the excess of the legal term to resolve. The issuance of a sentence is pending.

In the opinion of the Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency of the DCP objection is classified as probable, and possible regarding the other objections.

On December 2, 2020, the Company was notified with IR No. 0150150002588, through which SUNAT, in alleged compliance with TCR No. 12003-8-2016, re-settled the Income Tax, the payments on account from January to December 2002, and the VAT for the periods of February, May, and September to December 2002, as well as the fine resolutions. • The Company appealed the IR, pending resolution by the TC.

Furthermore, related to the tax period of 2002, the Company filed an application for amparo seeking to stop calculating interest that exceeds the legal deadlines that the administration has for resolving the objections to and fines of the Income Tax for 2002. The judgment of first instance declared the lawsuit partially founded and, in second instance, the lawsuit was declared inadmissible and null and void. Against the decision of the Superior Court, the Company filed a constitutional complaint before the Constitutional Court, which to date is pending a ruling.

Management, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, and the last results obtained in the Constitutional Court, the rating was changed to probable.

SUNAT also judicially challenged TCR No. 12003-8-2016 regarding the objections that were resolved in favor of the Company. In the first instance, the claim was upheld and thus the Company filed an appeal. On May 13, 2022, the oral hearing was carried out in the second instance where SUNAT's claim was upheld. On September 26, 2022, we filed an appeal for cassation, which was declared inadmissible on March 28, 2023. On May 9, 2023, the Court, in compliance with the ruling, sent the file to the Tax Court for the issuance of a new RFT. On June 9, 2023, at the request of the Tax Court, an additional period of 15 days was granted for the issuance of a new TCR. On

July 10, 2023 we were notified with the resolution that put us on notice of the compliance TCR. To date, the process is concluded.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, has reassessed the contingency rating for the DCP objection and has changed it to probable by virtue of the result downloaded from the file consultation system of the Supreme Court for cassation appeals filed in the proceedings that are discussed in TCR Nos. 17044-8-2010 (2000-2001) and 11450-5-2011 (1998). Likewise, the Company has done the same for third-party tax relief assumed by the taxpayer. As for the rest of the objections, the contingency rating is possible.

On the other hand, on October 24, 2018, SUNAT legally challenged TCR No. 05108-1-2018 by means of which the request for a reimbursement of S/35,000,000 filed by the TDP was declared inadmissible. On December 24, 2019, the Company was notified with the judgment of first instance that declared SUNAT's claim founded, however, in second instance the claim was declared inadmissible. Therefore, SUNAT filed a cassation appeal. On January 27, 2022, SUNAT's appeal was declared admissible. On October 6, 2022, the Oral Report was held in the Supreme Court. On November 23, 2022, the supreme resolution that declared SUNAT's cassation appeal unfounded was notified. Process concluded.

Due to the result obtained in the Supreme Court, the Company, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency rating has changed to probable.

On May 15, 2023, SUNAT filed an amparo lawsuit against the Supreme Court's decision regarding the administrative contentious process (linked to TCR N°12003-8-2016) initiated by SUNAT, in order to declare the nullity of the supreme resolution that ruled against the Company. On October 16, 2023, the single hearing was held. On May 9, 2024, we requested the issuance of the corresponding sentence. To date, the issuance of the first instance judgement is pending.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its external legal advisors, the contingency is classified as remote.

(d) 2004 Fiscal Year Income Tax and VAT -

Within the framework of the administrative procedure, on August 29, 2009, SUNAT issued the IR resolving the claim filed by Telefónica Móviles for the 2004 fiscal year Income Tax and VAT. As the Company disagreed with the decision, an appeal was submitted before the Tax Court.

On January 29, 2018, the TC notified TCR No. 10997-1-2017, by means of which (i) the DCP claim was declared null and void by the party that was not subject to SUNAT's review, (ii) the objection to interest on debt with the Company was revoked, so that a new review of the evidence was carried out, (iii) the objection to amortization of intangibles was revoked, and (iv) and the TC ordered that SUNAT recognize the requested deductions, if applicable and upheld for other matters. Currently, the issuance of the IR that complies with the provisions of the TC is pending.

Regarding the objections that were upheld unfavorably for Company interests: i) DCP, ii) expenses recorded by credit line granting contracts Account 671110, iii) depreciation of cost of assets, and (iv) modification of the coefficient of the payments on account, on April 27, 2018, an administrative litigation lawsuit was filed. In the first instance, the claim was declared: (i) unfounded regarding objections to the DCP, expenses recorded by contract for the granting of credit line Account 671110, depreciation of the cost of assets and calculation of default interest between December 25, 2006 and March 15, 2007, and (ii) inadmissible insofar as the objection to modifying the coefficient of payments on account.

The High Court declared the complaint regarding the objection to the DCP to be founded, only insofar as the telephone bills. Against the decision of second instance, the parties filed cassation

appeals. On September 13, 2022, the oral hearing was held in the Supreme Court. On January 18, 2023, a supreme ruling declared the Company's lawsuit regarding DCP, expenses for credit line contracts, cost depreciation, default interest between December 25, 2006 and March 15, 2007, and modification of the coefficient of payments on account as all being groundless. Process concluded.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, has reassessed the contingency rating for the DCP objection and has changed it to probable by virtue of the issued by the Supreme Court regarding the cassation appeals filed in the proceedings that are discussed in TCR No. 17044-8-2010 (2000-2001) and 11450-5-2011 (1998).

On February 14, 2020, the Company was notified with TCR No. 10982-9-2019, by means of which the objection to financial expenses by credit line granting contract is maintained, while the objection to financial expenses for loan contract was ordered to be resolved per the terms of TCR No. 10997-1-2017, as cited above.

As a result of the foregoing, on February 27, 2020, the Company was notified with Coercive Execution Resolution No. 01100-0059983, which required the Company to pay S/3,052,111, which was paid on March 4, 2020.

After the payment made by the Company, on October 1, 2020, SUNAT notified the Company with IR No. 0150140015607, and in alleged compliance with TCR No. 10982-9-2019, declared the appeal filed by Telefónica Móviles against FR No. 012-002-0015547 as unfounded, issued by the alleged commission of the offense typified in numeral 1 of article 178 of the Tax Code regarding the VAT for the period of June 2004.

Since the Company disagrees with that resolved in the IR, on October 21, 2020, the Company filed an appeal, which was resolved by TCR No. 03230-9-2021, declaring it unfounded.

In relation to the last TCR No. 03230-9-2021, on March 12, 2021, the Company was notified with an administrative litigation lawsuit filed by SUNAT which disputes the partial nullity of the TCR insofar as SUNAT decided to revoke IR No. 0150140009010 in relation to the objection to the tax credit for "Financial expenses due to mutual contract" (Carveout) in the DR and related FR. Both the TC and the Company responded. On February 2, 2024 the process in the case discussed during 2000 - 2001 was filed. A resolution was issued stating that SUNAT has complied with the sentence of the Constitutional Court by issuing the compliance resolutions. Against this, on April 4, 2024, we filed an appeal pointing out that the compliance resolution to date has been appealed by both SUNAT and the Company, thus it cannot be considered as a valid enforcement order. Finally, on April 5, 2024, the Court answered the letter sent by the ACA 1 enforcement court indicating that SUNAT complied with the ruling of the Constitutional Court through two Compliance Office Resolutions. However, as a result of our request, on the same day it was issued a second official letter informing the court that the first resolution declaring SUNAT's partial compliance had been appealed and that the second resolution was still within the time limit to appeal. In response to SUNAT's lawsuit, the Company filed an exception for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. On August 12, 2021, the Court upheld the exception of the Company filed on the failure to exhaust the administrative means and, consequently, ordered the closure of the procedure. Process concluded.

On April 23, 2018, SUNAT made a legal challenge to Tax Court Resolution No. 10997-1-2017, questioning the following modifications: i) DCP (as they were not sampled) (S/9,603,804), ii) Expenses recorded by mutual contract Account 671110 - TDP debt interest (S/ 717,765) (interest on debt transferred by TDP using the Carveout method) (S/22,273,212), and iii) Modification of the coefficient of payments on account of Income Tax for January and February 2004. On March 8, 2019, notification was made of the ruling of first instance that deemed the claim filed by SUNAT as invalid. On July 27, 2019, the judgment upheld the SUNAT claim based only on the objection to the Carveout, and unfounded regarding the objections to the DCP and coefficient modification. Against the judgment, the parties filed cassation appeals, which was finally resolved as follows:

(i) favorably for the objection to the modification coefficient, (ii) unfavorably for Carveout (orders the TC to rule on the causality and not on loans and assets), and (iii) for DCP, declared the nullity of the decision issued by the Superior Court. On May 13, 2022, the case was heard in the second instance. On October 6, 2022, the ruling was notified with the following result: two votes upholding the judgment of first instance; that is, the lawsuit by SUNAT regarding the DCP is declared unfounded; and one vote because the objection to the DCP is declared unfounded. On October 14, 2022, the final decision hearing was held. On December 14, 2022, a second instance judgment declared SUNAT's claim unfounded insofar as DCP objection (in which the dispute refers to the sample, which was not verified by SUNAT during the audit procedure). SUNAT filed an appeal against the second instance judgment, which was rejected on March 16, 2023. Against this decision, SUNAT lodged an appeal in order to be granted the cassation appeal that they raised, which was declared founded. On July 3, 2023, the file entered the Supreme Court. On October 4, 2023, the admissibility hearing took place. On November 29, 2023, the substantive hearing was held. On December 22, 2023, the supreme executive order was notified declaring SUNAT's cassation unfounded at the end of the DCP sample. On January 10, 2024, the file was forwarded to the lower-instance court. The order was deemed to have been complied with and the proceeding was filed. Process concluded.

The Company, on the basis of the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency for the DCP objection (insofar that they were not sampled) as remote. The objection to Carveout is rated as probable, and with respect to the coefficient modification objection, the contingency is remote.

For the same tax period (2004), the Company initiated an amparo action on the inapplicability of the interests that exceed the legal deadlines that the administration has to resolve the objections and fines on the Income Tax. On January 10, 2020, the Company received notification of the judgment of first instance that declared the lawsuit filed by the Company partially founded, stating that there is a violation of the reasonable period. On April 26, 2021, High Court upheld the exception of non-exhaustion of the administrative means presented by SUNAT. Consequently, the lawsuit was inadmissible and all actions were null and void. On May 10, 2021, the Company filed a constitutional complaint for the Constitutional Court to rule on the Company's lawsuit. On December 28, 2021, Chamber 1 of the Constitutional Court resolved to declare the Company's lawsuit as inadmissible. The Company filed an appeal for annulment against the decision of Chamber 1 of the Constitutional Court, which was declared inadmissible. Process concluded.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as probable.

VI. Income Tax and VAT of Telefónica Móviles for the 2003 to 2010 Fiscal Years:

As a result of the audits on Telefónica Móviles for the years 2000 to 2010, SUNAT issued various resolutions for alleged Income Tax omissions, withholdings of the Income Tax of non-residents, withholdings of the Income Tax of the fifth category and VAT, in the latter case, until the year 2007. In some cases, Telefónica Móviles filed appeals and, in other cases, proceeded to pay the tax calculations received, which are explained below.

(a) Income tax and VAT for the 2003 Fiscal Year –

Regarding the Income Tax for the 2003 fiscal year, on October 30, 2010, Telefónica Móviles filed a lawsuit requesting the nullity of TCR No. 5018-1-2019 in relation to the following objections: (i) for maintenance and repair of equipment and booths - Account 634003, (ii) the objection to Account 635001 "Rental of office properties", (iii) Objection to the depreciation and/or amortization of assets, (iv) Rejection of challenge to the carry-over loss, (v) Rejection of recognition of the payments made against the IR of VAT - February and March 2003, (vi) Undue calculation of default interest, (vii) Objections to the expense accounts 621002, and (viii) Non-application of interest for justified delay. The court of first instance declared the Company's claim as unfounded. The Supreme Court, following the oral report made on June 10, 2021, declared the judgment of first instance null and void, and ordered that the court of first instance issue a new judgment. On September 26, 2023, the oral report was held in the first instance. On October 4, 2023, the first

instance judgement was notified, which declared the claim founded on the point of capitalization and excess of time on appeal, and unfounded on the other points (it does not rule on MAXCO). On October 11, 2023, an appeal was filed. On December 12, 2023, the case was heard in the second instance and on December 28, 2023, the second instance judgement was notified, declaring the first instance judgement null and void. On January 19, 2024, the file was submitted to the lower-instance court. On July 22, 2024, the oral report in the first instance was held. On the same day, the first instance judgment declaring the claim partially founded was notified. On August 19, 2024, an appeal was filed, which was granted on August 27, 2024.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency as probable with respect to the objections to maintenance and to salaries from abroad. Regarding the objections to rental expenses, the contingency was qualified as remote, while the objections to depreciation of assets and carry-over of losses were qualified as possible. The contingency remains remote for the other objections.

Additionally, on April 4, 2022, the Company received notification of TCR No. 2097-1-2022 that recalculates and complies with the TCR No. 5018-1-2019, which contains: (i) the incorrect ruling on the lapsing of the tax debt, noting that it is not lapsed, (ii) the undue rounding of the amounts of the arrears interest update, as the tax regulations do not contemplate the same, (iii) the validity of the Compliance IR, which violates the right to the due motivation of the administrative resolutions, with respect to the debt update procedure, and (iv) the serious defects of motivation incurred by the TCR. Subsequently, on July 4, 2022, a contentious-administrative lawsuit was filed regarding the objections detailed above, and which was admitted. An oral report is scheduled for April 10, 2025.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the statute of limitations contingency as probable. With respect to the other objections, the contingency is possible.

Furthermore, regarding the Income Tax and VAT for the 2003 fiscal year, on February 7, 2020, the Company challenged TCR No. 6575-10-2019 judicially, questioning the objections to: (i) depreciation of the cost of assets and assets without documentary support, (ii) DCP, (iii) loss of difference in exchange, (iv) fines linked to those objections, and (v) default interest that were resolved against the Company in the first instance. On June 10, 2021, the oral report was carried out in second instance. On March 22, 2022, the appeal filed by the Company was granted. The High Court declared the judgement of first instance null and void and ordered a new judgement to be issued. On March 24, 2023 we complied with documents submission required by the Court to the parties in response to the MAXCO precedent (inapplication of interest outside the legal term to resolve by SUNAT and the Tax Court). On May 2, 2023, SUNAT complied with the court's orders. On October 2, 2023, a first instance judgement was issued, declaring the claim for capitalization justified and unjustified in all other aspects (no ruling on MACO). On October 9, 10 and 11, 2023, the parties filed an appeal. On December 12, 2023, the trial hearing took place, and on December 28, 2023, the second-instance judgement was notified, declaring the first-instance judgement null and void. On June 11, 2024, the oral report in the lower instance was held. The issuance of the sentence is pending.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency to probable with respect to the interest adjustment on the transferred debt and with respect to the adjustment of the modification of the ratio as possible. With respect to the other claims, the contingency is remote.

Furthermore, on January 10, 2020, we were notified of a SUNAT claim questioning the objections discussed on the VAT for the 2003 fiscal year: (i) Management fee, (ii) Expenses for management services and business management consultation, (iii) Intangibles deducted and not paid for the 2003 period, (iv) DCP, (v) Financial expenses recorded in Accounts 637110 and 671110; (vi) Loss

of exchange difference from forward contract and mutual contract, (vi) Recovery of DCP, (vii) Modification of the coefficient applicable to payments on account, (viii) Objection to the tax credit for management expenses and business management consultation, and (ix) Objection to the tax credit for reimbursement of interest expenses, ruled on by the Tax Court in TCR No. 6575-10-2019. On January 24, 2020, we submitted our response to the lawsuit. The court of first instance declared SUNAT's claim as founded. The High Court declared the judgement of first instance null and void and ordered a new judgement to be issued. On November 17, 2022, the oral hearing was held in the first instance. On March 17, 2023, the Court indicated that the binding precedent issued by the Constitutional Court MAXCO case (on non-application of interest for the delay in resolving) will be applied, and requested the parties to inform (i) whether SUNAT and the Tax Court have applied default interest after the expiration of the legal term to resolve administrative appeals, and (ii) the current state of the tax debt. On March 24, 2023 we complied with documents submission required by the Court to the parties in response to the MAXCO precedent (inapplication of interest outside the legal term to resolve by SUNAT and Tax Court). On May 2, 2023 SUNAT complied with the court order. On October 2, 2023, a first instance judgement was issued declaring the claim for capitalization justified and unjustified in all other respects (no ruling on MAXCO). On October 9, 10 and 11, 2023, the parties filed an appeal. On December 12, 2023, the hearing of the case took place, and on December 28, 2023, the second instance judgement declaring the first-instance judgement is null and void was notified. On June 11, 2024, the oral report in the lower instance was held. The issuance of the sentence is pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, has reevaluated the contingency qualification with respect to the DCP objection and changed it to probable, by virtue of the result downloaded in the Supreme Court file consultation system of the appeals in cassation filed in the processes discussed in the TCR No. 17044-8-2010 (2000-2001) and 11450-5-2011 (1998) as well as those regarding the statute of limitations. Regarding the rest of the objections, the qualification of the contingency remains remote.

Subsequently, on March 2, 2021, the Company received notification of IR No. 0150150002700 by means of which SUNAT allegedly complies with TCR No. 6575-10-2019. However, as the Company did not agree with the ruling of the SUNAT, on March 23, 2021, filed an appeal, which is pending resolution.

(b) 2010 Fiscal Year Value-Added Tax –

Regarding the VAT for the 2010 fiscal year, on December 23, 2010, the Company challenged TCR No.7639-9-2010, by which the following objections were resolved unfavorably: (i) lapsing of the term of commencement of the collection power of DR Nos. 012-003-0076597 to 012-003-0076608 and FR No.012-002-0029133, and (ii) excess recreational expenses that are not deductible according to Income Tax rules. On October 1, 2021, the oral report was heard in the first instance. On March 7, 2024, we requested the issuance of the sentence.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the contingency has changed to probable with respect to the statute of limitations. With respect to the overexpenditure assessment, the contingency is possible. With respect to the assessment of fines, the contingency is remote.

(c) 2009 Fiscal Year Income Tax -

On February 27, 2020, the Company received notification of TCR No. 12147-4-2019, by means of which the TC withdrew the following objections: (i) expenses accrued in the year invoiced and/or received extemporaneously, (ii) roaming services supported by payment vouchers received extemporaneously, and (iii) deduction not accepted for operations not accrued according to the IAS, while the TC maintained the following objections: (i) unsubstantiated credit notes linked to the El Pacifico Peruano - Suiza Cia - others, (ii) expenses for ITF that does not comply with the

principle of causality, and, in addition, (iii) incidence of objections made to Telefónica Móviles for depreciation of fixed assets. The Company, in not agreeing with that resolved by the TC, on May 27, 2020 filed an administrative litigation lawsuit. On September 9, 2021, the oral submission was heard. On January 5, 2023, the judgment of first instance was notified, declaring the claim partially founded regarding the objection to ITF Expenses that does not comply with the principle of causality, and unfounded insofar as the other objections. On January 12, 2023, an appeal was filed. On April 11, 2023, the hearing of the case was held, and is pending the issuance of the second instance ruling. On June 22, 2023 we were notified of the second instance judgment declaring the claim unfounded. On July 7, 2023, we filed an appeal in cassation. The hearing to determine the merits of the appeal was held on January 12, 2024, and our appeal was declared inadmissible. The court considered the sentence to have been complied with and declared the process to be closed. Process concluded.

In Management's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the contingency as possible with respect to the credit note objections, modification for income and modification of the coefficient. With respect to the ITF expense assessment, the contingency is remote. Regarding the depreciation of assets, the contingency is remote.

(d) 2004 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

Regarding the Income Tax for the 2004 year, on May 24, 2020, the Company filed an administrative litigation claim requesting the partial nullity of the TCR No. 10982-9-2019 insofar as that it decided to revoke IR No. 0150140009010 in relation to: (i) inadmissibility of the review appeals Nos. 012-003-0019178 and 012-003-0019180; (ii) tax credit linked to the expenses recorded by a credit line contract (with a base of S/51,072); and (iii) default interest; which were unfavorable to Company interests. On October 28, a judgment declaring the lawsuit for default interest founded and the tax credit as groundless was notified. On June 9, 2022, the Supreme Court declared the cassation appeals filed by both parties to be admissible. On April 27, 2023 the oral report before the Supreme Court was held. On August 28, 2023, the Company was notified of the Supreme Court decision declaring the appeal filed by the Company to be well-founded. Process concluded.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency as probable for the objection to financial expenses for the credit line contract, and possible for the objection to admissibility of the determination resolutions. With respect to the other objections, the contingency remains remote.

Regarding the same year, the TC notified the Company of TCR No. 02663-1-2020 by means of which: (i) it declared the appeal filed regarding the application regarding elapsing as unfounded, and (ii) it upheld the IR insofar as the objection to the tax credit for excess recreational expenses. On October 22, 2020, the Company filed an administrative lawsuit against the aforementioned TCR. On August 24, 2022, the oral report was heard in the first instance. On April 18, 2023 the Court requested SUNAT to submit a report on the default interest, in application of the MAXCO precedent. On June 20, 2023 SUNAT submitted the compliance. On July 19, 2023, it was resolved to take into account the MAXCO document when sentencing, and the request for non-application of the moratorium interest was deemed inadmissible as it was considered an enlargement of the lawsuit. On July 27, 2023, an appeal was filed. On November 24, 2023, the inadmissibility of the application of the Constitutional Court's judgment regarding the Paramonga prescription and non-application of interest was declared null. To date, the scheduling of the oral report in the lower-instance is pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency for the objection of depreciation of the cost of assets as probable and possible insofar as the objection to the determination resolutions. With respect to the other objections, the contingency remains remote.

(e) 2005 Fiscal Year Income Tax -

On October 16, 2020, the Company filed a lawsuit against the declaration of nullity of TCR No. 01849-1-2020, which resolved the following objections unfavorably to Company interests: (i) expenses for unaccepted depreciation related to the cost of works in progress and other fixed assets transferred by the Company, (ii) DCP that was not the subject of the sample analyzed by SUNAT, (iii) interconnection expenses originating from calls with prepaid cards 147, and (iv) payments on account. On October 16, 2020, the Company filed an administrative lawsuit. On May 28, 2021, SUNAT responded to the lawsuit. The oral hearing was carried out in the first instance on June 23, 2022. On March 7, 2024, the issuance of the sentence was requested. To date, the issuance of the lower-instance sentence is pending.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, rated the contingency as probable for the objection to the statute of limitations and possible for the objection to excess recreational expenses. With respect to the other objections, the contingency remains remote.

Regarding TCR No. 01849-1-2020, SUNAT filed an administrative litigation lawsuit regarding the objection of DCP that was not the subject of the sample. On October 1, 2021, the oral submission was carried out in the first instance. On March 7, 2024, the issuance of the sentence was requested. To date, the issuance of the lower-instance sentence is pending.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as remote.

(f) 2002 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

On October 6, 2021, the Company filed an administrative lawsuit against TCR No. 5586-4-2021 insofar as it dismissed the objections to: (i) expenses for "Depreciation and undue amortization" for allegedly not having credited the value of the cost; (ii) expenses for payments for commission services of sales of telephones, refunds and related reclassifications, included under the expense "Retail Package Commission"; (iii) expenses for payments for miscellaneous services, refunds, and related reclassifications, included under the expense "Services provided by third parties - deduction for INTERCON"; (iv) maintenance and repair of equipment and cabins; and (v) miscellaneous local fees, local and other expirations, fixed monthly charge, requested deductions, VAT for expenses that do not comply with causality. On December 6, 2021, SUNAT answered the claim. On June 27, 2023, the oral report was held in first instance. On March 18, 2024, the sentence was issued declaring our claim to be partially founded only with respect to the non-application of late payment interest. On March 27, 2024, we filed an appeal. On May 23, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was held and, on July 3, 2024, the second instance sentence was issued declaring the lower instance sentence null and void. The remission of the file to the lower instance is pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency as remote for the objections to non-application of interest and to capitalization of interest. With respect to the statute of limitations, the contingency is probable. With regard to other objections, the contingency is rated as possible.

Furthermore, on March 28, 2022, the Company received notification of TCR No. 1863-4-2022 that recalculates and complies with TCR No. 5586-4-2021, which: (i) unduly applies the legal regime applicable to the acceptance of the incentive regime and incurs various errors in the calculation of the debt to be paid (uncontested debt), required as a condition for said acceptance, (ii) breaches the

legal regime established for updating debts by applying the Consumer Price Index (CPI), and (iii) also incurs various defects of due process and motivation. In that sense, on June 28, 2022, a contentious-administrative claim was filed and SUNAT responded to the lawsuit. On January 15, 2024, the oral report in the lower-instance was held. On January 31, 2024, the sentence declaring our claim unfounded was notified. An appeal was filed. On April 23, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was held. On May 2, 2024, the nullity of the lower instance sentence was declared. The remission of the file to the lower instance for the issuance of the new sentence is pending.

The Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as remote for all objections.

(g) 2005 Fiscal Year Income Tax –

On November 30, 2021, the Company filed a contentious administrative lawsuit against TCR No. 7061-3-2021 that upheld the following objections: (i) interim payments; (ii) modification of the coefficient; (iii) recognition of payments made in excess; and (iv) Fine Resolutions. On December 20, 2021, the exception of non-exhaustion of administrative means filed by SUNAT was notified, which was declared unfounded. On September 15, 2022, the oral submission was heard in the first instance. A decision is still pending. On March 13, 2023, the Court requested SUNAT to report within 10 working days on the conduct or bad faith of the person under scrutiny for the application or not of the binding precedent issued (constitutional case MAXCO (on the non-application of excess interest), which was complied with. To date, the scheduling of the oral report in the first instance is pending. On April 2, 2024, we were notified of the lower-instance sentence declaring our claim to be founded only with respect to the non-application of late payment interest and its capitalization. On April 4, 2024, we filed an appeal. On June 19, 2024, the oral report in the second instance was held and the claim was declared unfounded. On July 18, 2024, we filed a cassation appeal against the second instance sentence. The scheduling of the oral report before the Supreme Court is pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency as remote for the objections of capitalization of interest and for default interest. With respect to the statute of limitations, the contingency is probable. With regard to other objections, the contingency is rated as possible.

With regard to the same TCR, SUNAT filed a complaint against the objections that were resolved unfavorably: (i) modification of the coefficients applied for the determination of the payments on account of the Income Tax from January to December 2005, and (ii) recognition of the overpayments made in the months of March to May 2005, applied against the Income Tax of 2005, as well as the related fines. On December 31, 2021, the Company responded to the lawsuit. On October 13, 2022, the oral submission was heard in the first instance. On September 19, 2023, SUNAT's lawsuit was declared unfounded in the main claim and the ancillary claim was deemed inadmissible as it falls under the jurisdiction of another court. On October 19, 2023, SUNAT's appeal was granted. On November 28, 2023, the trial was held, and on November 30, 2023, the first-instance judgement was declared null. On January 29, 2024, the suspension of the proceeding was ordered, and on February 6, 2024 we filed an appeal against the suspension. On April 4, 2024, the Court granted our appeal and the file was sent to the Superior Chamber. On May 31, 2024, the resolution that declared the suspension of the process was declared null and void. To date, a new resolution is pending to be issued. In Management's opinion, upon the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the contingency as remote for the fine resolutions and the statute of limitations issue as probable.

Regarding the same fiscal year, 2005, on January 4, 2022, the Company filed an administrative lawsuit against TCR No. 8157-4-2021 regarding the following objections: (i) unaccepted depreciation expense

referring to the cost of the assets (with registration date as of December 31, 2000) transferred by the Company and not substantiated by documents; and (ii) unaccepted tax depreciation expense referring to the cost of the assets not substantiated by documents, transferred by the Company. Additionally, requesting the declaration of the elapsing of the collection action in application of that resolved in the constitutional judgment of the case of Industrial Paramonga SAC. The Company also requested the non-application of default interest capitalized for the excessive period that it took the tax administration and the Tax Court to resolve. On May 31, 2022, SUNAT responded to the lawsuit. On October 11, 2022, the oral hearing was carried out in the first instance. The issuance of the sentence is pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency for the statute of limitations objection as probable. In relation to the other objections, the contingency rating remains remote.

For its part, with respect to the same period, on February 4, 2022, the Company was notified with a SUNAT lawsuit by which it requests the nullity of TCR No. 08157-4-2021 corresponding to IT 2005 - TM, insofar as the decision to revoke IR No. 0150140009387 of November 30, 2020, as regards DR No. 012-003-0020174, regarding the objection to "Amortization of intangibles not accepted." On May 18, 2022, the exception of lack of legitimacy to act that we filed was declared unfounded. On May 24, 2022, the Company filed an appeal against the resolution that rejects the exception of lack of legitimacy to act. On March 6, 2023, the oral hearing was carried out in the first instance. On September 6, 2023, the first instance judgement was issued declaring SUNAT's claim unfounded in all its aspects. On October 17, 2023, SUNAT's appeal is granted. On December 15, 2023, the case was heard and on December 22, 2023, the first instance judgement was declared null and void. An oral report in the lower instance scheduled for August 15, 2024 is pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency for the objection to intangibles as remote and the objection to the statute of limitations as probable.

For its part, SUNAT filed an administrative claim against TCR No. 1097-4-2022 regarding the IT for the year 2006. On May 20, 2022, the lawsuit was answered and an exception was filed for lack of legitimacy to act actively by SUNAT insofar as the claim of full jurisdiction. On October 5, 2023, the case was heard in the second instance and on October 31, 2023, the first instance judgement that declared the claim unfounded was partially confirmed and the amortization clause was revoked. On November 16, 2023, the appeal of SUNAT and the TC is raised. On December 12, 2023, the file was submitted to the Supreme Court. On October 2, 2024, the oral report was held in the Supreme Court.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency for the objection to amortization of intangibles as remote and the objection to the carry-over loss of previous fiscal years as possible.

With regard to IT 2007, on November 22, 2022, the Company was notified with a contentious administrative claim from SUNAT, via which SUNAT requests the nullity of TCR N° 4443-1-2022 insofar as (i) deduction not accepted for amortization of intangibles discharged in 2005 whose cost has not been credited, (ii) calculation of the coefficient of payments on account of March-December 2007, (iii) balance in favor of the compensable previous year, (iv) statute of limitations. On December 6, 2022, we responded to the lawsuit. On March 23, 2024, the oral report in the lower instance was held and a judgment was issued declaring SUNAT's claim to be founded only in the extreme of the statute of limitations of the collection action. On March 27, 2024, we filed an appeal. On May 31, 2024, the second instance sentence was issued confirming the lower instance sentence. On June 12, 14, and 17, 2024 the parties filed appeals in cassation. The file is in the Supreme Court.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency for the objection to unaccepted deductions as remote. With respect to the statute of limitations, the contingency is probable. With regard to other objections, the contingency is rated as possible.

Regarding payments on account of IT 2009, on January 9, 2023 we were notified with a lawsuit from SUNAT by which it requests the nullity of TCR No. 06392-9-2022 which provides for revoking the Intendancy Resolution insofar as the objection to modification of the coefficients of the payments on account of the Income Tax of January and February 2009, as well as their related fines. On January 31 we answered the lawsuit. A lower-instance oral report was held on April 19, 2024. On April 30, 2024, the lower-instance sentence declaring SUNAT's claim unfounded was issued. On April 19, 2024, the first instance oral report was held. On April 30, 2024, the first instance judgment was issued declaring SUNAT's claim unfounded. On July 19, 2024, the second instance oral report was held. On July 26, 2024, the Superior Court declared the nullity of the first instance judgment. The scheduling of the new date for the oral report in the court is pending.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as possible for all objections.

Regarding payments on account of IT 2008, on January 9, 2023, we were notified with a lawsuit from SUNAT by which it requests the nullity of TCR No. 06867-9-2022 which provides for revoking the Intendancy Resolution insofar as the objection to modification of the coefficients of the payments on account of the Income Tax of January to December 2008, as well as their related fines. On January 31, we responded to the lawsuit. On October 10, 2023, the oral report was held. On November 17, 2023, the first instance judgement was notified declaring SUNAT's claim unfounded and its claim for full jurisdiction inadmissible. On November 24, 2023, the parties filed an appeal. On December 19, 2023, appeals are granted. On March 21, 2024, the case was heard in the second instance. On April 15, 2024, the second instance sentence was issued declaring the nullity of the lower instance sentence.

The Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, qualified the contingency as possible for all objections.

Regarding the Income Tax for the year 2003, on April 3, 2023, we were notified of a lawsuit by SUNAT requesting the annulment of TCR N°08644-5-2022 corresponding to TM 2003 in the aspects that revoke Resolution of Intendancy No. 150150002700 dated March 2, 2021, Determination Resolutions and Fine Resolutions, linked to the Income Tax for the year 2003, modification of the coefficient of their advance payments from January to December 2003 and their associated fines. On April 19, 2023 we responded the lawsuit. On December 19, 2023, the oral report was held in the first instance. As of now, the issuance of the first instance judgement is pending.

In the Company's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it classified the contingency as possible with respect to all objections.

Regarding the Income Tax for the year 2002, on April 19, 2023, the lawsuit filed by SUNAT against TCR N°07839-8-2022 was notified, through which it requests its nullity in the end of the intendancy resolutions and fine resolutions. On May 3, 2023, we answered the lawsuit. An oral report in the lower instance has been scheduled for October 20, 2025.

In the opinion of the Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the contingency as possible with respect to all objections.

Regarding the Income Tax for the year 2004, on May 22, 2023, SUNAT was notified of the lawsuit filed against TCR N°09335-1-2022 in which it requests nullity regarding the resolutions of intention and penalty resolutions. On June 5, 2023 we responded to the lawsuit. As of now, the oral report in the first instance scheduled for October 17, 2024 is pending.

In the Company's opinion, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the contingency as possible with respect to all objections.

Regarding the Income Tax for the year 2005, on August 8, 2023, the lawsuit filed by SUNAT against TCR N°9344-1-2022 was notified, which it requests nullity regarding the resolutions of intention and

penalty resolutions. On August 15, 2023, we filed exceptions of lack of legitimacy to act regarding the claim of full jurisdiction. On August 22, 2023, the lawsuit was answered. An oral report in the first instance is scheduled for December 28, 2025.

In the opinion of the Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the contingency as possible with respect to all objections.

Regarding the Income Tax for the year 2004, on October 27, 2023, the lawsuit filed by SUNAT against TCR N°01064-2-2023 was notified, in which nullity regarding the resolutions of intention and penalty resolutions. On November 13, the lawsuit was answered. On March 26, 2024, a request was made to reorganize the process. As of now, the scheduling of the oral report in the first instance is pending.

In the opinion of the Company, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, it qualified the contingency as possible with respect to all objections.

VII. Mobile Royalties:

On February 27, 2012, the Ministry of Transport and Communications (MTC) notified the Company of the settlement of the annual payment of the mobile royalties for the use of the radioelectric spectrum corresponding to that year per the General Scheme, arguing that the Special Regime, which Telefónica Móviles acquired at the time, was no longer applicable given its temporary nature.

As a consequence of the MTC's claim to apply the General Regime, the Company proceeded to: (i) file a claim before the MTC arguing that the Special Regime was the one that legally applied; and (ii) submit a complaint to INDECOPI, arguing that the calculation methodology contained in the General Regime constituted a bureaucratic barrier.

The appeals lodged by the Company for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014 before the MTC were dismissed. Consequently, the Company filed appeals before the Tax Court, which are pending determination. The MTC is reluctant to make the files available. Likewise, for the 2015 and 2016 fiscal years, the Company filed claims with the MTC, which concluded in an unfavorable manner, which is why we filed an administrative litigation claim. On January 17, 2024, we were notified with the lower-instance sentence declaring our claim to be well founded. On June 18, 2024, MTC's appeal was granted with suspensive effect. On September 12, 2024, the oral report was held in the second instance body, and, on October 2, 2024, the Superior Court declared the nullity of the first instance sentence and ordered the Court to issue a pronouncement.

The claim of bureaucratic barriers was decided on in the last administrative instance on February 19, 2016, declaring that the calculation methodology contained in the General Scheme constituted a bureaucratic barrier and therefore was inapplicable for Telefónica. This determination applies not only for the year 2012, but for subsequent fiscal years.

In the case of the mobile royalties corresponding to the years 2012, 2013, and 2014, the Company made the payments under protest according to the calculations formulated by the MTC. While the payments corresponding to the years 2015 and 2016 were not made as a precautionary measure was obtained in the procedure of bureaucratic barriers and, as a consequence of the definitive determination from INDECOPI, respectively.

However, on January 18, 2017, the Company received notification of the requirement formulated by the MTC for the payment of the royalties corresponding to the years 2015 and 2016, based on the existence of a precautionary measure ordering INDECOPI to suspend the effects of the ruling that declared that the calculation of the royalties as per the General Scheme constituted a bureaucratic barrier, specifying in the requirement that if the payment was not made within the term granted the respective coercive collection actions would proceed.

On January 27, 2017, the Company responded to the aforementioned requirement stating that (i) as at that date, it had not been notified of any precautionary measure; (ii) it had not been notified with any

INDECOPI resolution that ordered the suspension of the effects of its determination; and (iii) pursuant to the provisions of Articles 136 and 115 of the Tax Code, Article 16, subparagraph e) of the Coercive Enforcement Procedure Act, and paragraph 28, article III4 of the Directive approved by Supreme Decree No. 036-2010-MTC, it was not possible to initiate any coercive collection action as the Company had submitted claims with the MTC for the years 2015 and 2016 within the established legal deadlines.

On 7 March, the coercive enforcer suspended the enforcement proceedings. However, in order to avoid a possible allegation by the Ministry that Telefónica would not be paying the royalties and thus starting a procedure to lose the concession, Telefónica proceeded to pay the 2015 and 2016 periods for the corresponding amounts of S/ 50,787,968 and S/ 52,107,136.

On September 24, 2020, the Supreme Court upheld the MTC's appeal for annulment, and consequently the judgment of the Superior Court was null and void. On February 3, 2021, a Superior Court judgment declared the claim as unfounded. On February 18, 2021, we learned that the MTC filed a cassation appeal (we have not been notified yet). On September 1, 2022, the oral submission was made in the Supreme Court and on December 28, 2022, the supreme resolution declaring the appeal of the MTC founded was notified and ordered that INDECOPI issue a new resolution in the procedure of bureaucratic barriers. On February 14, 2023, INDECOPI, in compliance with the resolution of the Supreme Court, decided to revoke the resolution of first instance, declaring the complaint of barriers as unfounded.

Against the supreme ruling detailed in the previous proceedings, on February 8, 2023, a petition for amparo was filed by requesting the nullity of the judgment. On March 21, 2023, the Superior Chamber admitted the lawsuit. On April 21, 2023, the judgment was issued declaring our claim inadmissible and dispensing with the hearing. On May 8, 2023 we filed an appeal, which was granted on May 23, 2023. On June 20, 2023 the case file was submitted to the Supreme Court.

In the opinion of the Management and based on the opinion of its external advisors, the qualification of this contingency was changed to possible.

VIII. Telefónica Multimedia Income Tax for Fiscal Years 2007, 2010, 2012, 2013, and 2014:

As a result of the audits on Telefónica Multimedia for the years 2007, 2010, 2012, 2013, and 2014, SUNAT issued various resolutions at the administrative level for alleged omissions of the IT of the aforementioned periods.

Since it disagreed with SUNAT's decision, Telefónica Multimedia filed an objection and, in other cases, proceeded to pay the tax calculations received.

(a) Income Tax for the 2012 Fiscal Year –

As for the 2012 fiscal year, on June 17, 2015, SUNAT issued DR Nos. 012-003-0059229 to 0059241 and FR Nos. 012-002-0025995 to 0025997. The main objection made by SUNAT corresponds to the expenses that are supported with documentation that were received after the submission of the sworn statement. Not agreeing with what was resolved in the DR and FR, Telefónica Multimedia filed an appeal which was declared unfounded.

In resolving the appeal filed by the Company, the TC: (i) voided the main objection, linked to the expenses that are substantiated by receipts received after the sworn statement, and (ii) the rest of the objections were confirmed, and is awaiting the issuance of SUNAT's compliance resolution

On December 7, 2020, the Company filed a lawsuit. Both SUNAT and the Tax Court responded to the lawsuit. On March 28, 2023, the oral report was heard in the first instance. The decision is still pending.

Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, classified the contingency as possible with respect to the objection to payments on account. In relation to the other objections, the contingency remains remote.

Subsequently, within the same administrative procedure, on December 1, 2020, the Company received IR No. 0150150002586, issued in compliance with the TCR detailed previously. However, as the Company disagreed with SUNAT, an appeal was lodged with the TC. Consequently, on July 21, a payment amounting to S/8,745,678 was made.

Management believes, based on the opinions of both its internal and external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as remote.

(b) Income Tax for the 2014 Fiscal Year -

Regarding the 2014 financial year, on April 24, 2019, the Company filed an administrative litigation claim challenging TCR No. 149-4-2019 by which IR No. 0150140014378 was upheld. The IR declared the claim made against payment orders No. 011-001-0123981 to 011-0123989 drawn for the payments on account of the Income Tax from January to July, October and December 2014 to be unfounded.

On November 2, 2020, the judgment of first instance declared our claim founded and consequently the TCR null. As such, the payment of late interest due to modification of the coefficient is not applicable. However, the Superior Court reversed the judgment of first instance and declared our claim unfounded. On January 12, 2021, we filed an appeal against said judgment. On January 13, 2023, the Supreme Court ruled that the lawsuit was unfounded. Proceedings concluded.

In the opinion of Management, based on the opinion of its legal advisors, the rating of the contingency for this action is probable.

(c) Income Tax for the 2007 Fiscal Year -

On October 21, 2020, Telefónica Multimedia was notified with the lawsuit filed by SUNAT, requesting the nullity of the TCR No. 018491850-1-2020 by which questions the Tax Court Resolution on the objections for financial expense for execution of the surety letter and expenses for payment of metal promotion 2005-2006-2007. On January 13, 2022, the oral report was heard in the first instance. The ruling is still pending. On August 2, 2022, the judgment of first instance was notified that upheld the lawsuit. The same that was upheld by the Superior Chamber on October 28, 2022. On April 3, 2023, the appeal was declared inadmissible. Proceedings concluded.

Management believes, based on the opinions of both its internal and external legal advisors, the contingency qualifies as remote.

Furthermore, the Company has several legal (labor, civil and administrative), regulatory, and customs lawsuits that are being undertaken by its external and internal legal advisors. As of September 30, 2024, as stated in note 2.19, the Company has recorded the necessary provisions for the cases considered probable, leaving as possible contingencies at an amount of S/862,152,000 (S/945,390,00 as of December 31, 2023). See note 21. Management and its legal advisors estimate that the individual outcome of the claims should not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

30 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

From September 30, 2024 to the date of the approval of the financial statements (October 24, 2024), the Company has received disbursements of S/859,000,000 of the loan from Telefónica Hispanoamérica, S.A. A sum of S/1,1529,000,000 was approved in July 2024, which as indicated in the following paragraph has been capitalized at that date.

As indicated in note 1.1 and 25(a), on October 28, 2024, the Board of Directors, in use of the powers delegated by the General Shareholders' Meeting of January 19, 2023, agreed to

capitalize loans made by Telefónica Hispanoamérica, S.A. for S/2,069,444,000 (including accrued interest to date), thus immediately remedying the equity situation generated in September 2024, as well as opening the preferential subscription process for minority shareholders.

Between September 30, 2024, and the date of approval of the financial statements, no other significant subsequent events have occurred that in the opinion of the Company's management require any additional disclosure or any adjustment to the balances presented in the financial statements.