

Goodman Australia Finance Pty Limited
(ACN 127 959 951)

Announcement to Singapore Exchange



29 September 2022

JPY12,500,000,000 3.32% NOTES DUE APRIL 2023
EURO500,000,000 1.375% NOTES DUE SEPTEMBER 2025

ANNOUNCEMENT OF GOODMAN GROUP SUSTAINABILITY REPORT AND 2022 ANNUAL REPORT

We attach Goodman Group's Sustainability Report and 2022 Annual Report as sent to Securityholders and announced to the Australian Securities Exchange.

Yours sincerely,

For Goodman Australia Finance Pty Limited
Carl Bicego
Company Secretary

Goodman Group

Goodman Limited | ABN 69 000 123 071
Goodman Funds Management Limited | ABN 48 067 796 641 | AFSL Number 223621
as responsible entity for Goodman Industrial Trust | ARSN 091 213 839

The Hayesbery, 1-11 Hayes Road, Rosebery NSW 2018 | GPO Box 4703, Sydney NSW 2001 Australia
Tel +61 2 9230 7400 | Fax +61 2 9230 7444

Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited | Company No. 1700359 | ARBN 155 911 149 | a Hong Kong company with limited liability
Suite 901, Three Pacific Place, 1 Queen's Road East, Hong Kong | Tel +852 2249 3100 | Fax +852 2525 2070

info-au@goodman.com | www.goodman.com

29 September 2022

Dear Securityholder

At Goodman, we believe the ability to evolve in a changing world is essential in delivering our long-term strategy. In FY22 we demonstrated the resilience of our strategy and our team to deliver another strong result for all our stakeholders.

The enclosed documents provide you with an overview of the year as well as an opportunity to join us either physically or virtually at our Annual General Meetings in November.

FY22 Annual report

The enclosed annual report includes consolidated financial reports for Goodman Limited (being for the entire consolidated Goodman Group), Goodman Industrial Trust and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited. It provides detailed information on our strong performance in FY22.

FY22 Sustainability Report

The theme of this year's sustainability report is Response Ability, where we demonstrate:

- How we're building a highly responsible and sustainable business
- Why we've integrated ambitious and tangible ESG targets into our organisation and KPIs, and
- The action we're taking to make a tangible difference to the planet and the people on it.

Our 2022 Sustainability Report is fully electronic and can be accessed at www.2022sustainabilityreport.goodman.com. Alternatively, you can also scan the QR code below which will take you directly to the report.


Annual General Meetings

The Annual General Meetings for the Goodman Group entities will be held at 10.00am on Thursday, 17 November 2022 at the Times on the Park Room, Sheraton Grand Sydney Hyde Park, 161 Elizabeth Street, Sydney. The meeting will be a hybrid event giving you the option to attend in person or online. Details of how to participate can be found in the Notice of Meetings.

Thank you for your ongoing support.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "G Goodman".

Gregory Goodman
Group Chief Executive Officer





Introduction	03
Performance highlights	04
Leadership statements	05
– Chairman's letter	
– Group CEO's letter	
About Goodman	15
– About us	
– Own/Develop/Manage	
– Our strategy	
– Global network	
– Our values	
– Our purpose	
– Our structure	
– Corporate governance	
Live. Shop. Build. Renew	23
– Sustainability approach	
– Sustainability overview	
– Our material issues	
– Engaging our stakeholders	
Sustainability approach	32
– 2030 Sustainability strategy	
– Our pillars	
– Addressing the UNSDGs	
Sustainability results	40
Sustainable properties	45
People and culture	70
Corporate performance	87
Goodman Foundation	94
ESG metrics	110
– Overview	
– Energy and carbon emissions	
– Goodman global workforce, health and safety	
– GRI table	
– ESG affiliations and partnerships	
Corporate information	120
– Board of directors	
– Five year financial summary	
– Corporate directory	
– Disclaimer	

Strengthen action

A responsible organisation needs to be future focused, authentic and agile. At Goodman, the ability to evolve in an ever-changing world is essential in delivering our long-term strategy.

That's why we're building a highly responsible and sustainable business. One that makes a tangible difference to the planet and the people on it.

We think innovatively about the key issues that matter to all of us – supporting more sustainable supply chains, improving workplaces for people, and developing cleaner, greener, more compact cities.

We all have a role to play. That's why we've integrated ambitious and tangible ESG targets into our business – to help us live up to our purpose of making space for greatness.



FY22 performance highlights

Financial	OPERATIONAL	SUSTAINABILITY	
\$1.5bn Operating profit ¹	\$73bn Total AUM	SBT Science-based target	Targets for reducing carbon emissions validated by SBTi ⁷
81.3c Operating EPS ²	\$13.6bn Development work in progress	Carbon Carbon neutral operations	Addressing embodied carbon and on track for carbon neutral operations
\$3.4bn Statutory profit ³	98.7% Occupancy ⁶	US\$500m Sustainability-linked bond (SLB)	Establishment of Sustainable Financing Framework and issue of SLB
8.5% Gearing ⁴	3.9% NPI growth ⁶	203MW Solar installations and commitments	More than halfway to 400MW target of solar PV globally
\$20.9bn Available liquidity ⁵	\$8.5bn Revaluation gains	\$11.6m Charity contributions	Contributions from the Goodman Foundation and Goodman team

1 Operating profit comprises profit attributable to Securityholders adjusted for property valuations, derivative and foreign currency mark to market and other non-cash or non-recurring items.

2 Operating EPS is calculated using operating profit and weighted average diluted securities of 1,879.0 million which includes 15.3 million LTIP securities which have achieved the required performance hurdles and vest in September 2022 and September 2023.

3 Statutory profit includes the Group's share of valuation gains, non-cash items and derivative and mark to market improvements.

4 Gearing is calculated as total interest bearing liabilities over total assets, both net of cash and the fair values of certain derivative financial instruments included in other financial assets of \$133.3 million (30 June 2021: \$134.1 million). Total interest bearing liabilities are grossed up for the fair values of certain derivative financial instruments included in other financial liabilities of \$79.6 million (30 June 2021: \$62.3 million).

5 Includes available liquidity across the Group and Partnerships. Partnership investments are subject to Investment Committee approval.

6 Partnership industrial and warehouse assets (excludes office properties which have been earmarked for redevelopment) and represents 97% of Partnership assets.

7 Science Based Targets initiative.



Artist's impression of Green Dock Logistics Centre, Paris, France

CHAIRMAN'S LETTER



Stephen Johns

Independent Chairman

This year we've continued to prove our capabilities in all areas of Goodman's business.

We have a robust strategy to deliver essential infrastructure for the digital economy with an experienced and passionate team. We seek to realise our vision of making positive change to the environment and communities we operate in.

Throughout the year, we have progressed our sustainability initiatives across all aspects of the Environmental, Social and Governance areas. You will see throughout this report that our focus is on both short-term programs that we can implement and measure today, while establishing long-term strategies that will have an enduring impact on our business – and that of our customers – and stakeholders into the future. This includes the Group's Long Term Incentive Plan which provides alignment with securityholders and incorporates environmental and sustainability targets in assessing performance for all employees.

Investing in sustainable innovation

Over several years, the Group has been working to expand its innovation strategy. We aim to facilitate and invest in products that provide sustainable solutions to customers across property technology, supply chain, transport, construction and manufacturing. Examples include smart metering, carbon neutral building products, on-site safety management and the use of robotics and electric vehicles to assist with logistics and transportation.

Our investment strategy across these technologies provides the Group with greater access, visibility and insight into the technological trends affecting real estate. Our focus is on evaluating how these can be applied to our own portfolio to improve sustainable outcomes for all.



Sustainability governance

From 1 October, we will be establishing a new Sustainability and Innovation Committee to support the management team, and to enhance the Board's visibility and efficacy on our ESG initiatives. This Committee will be Chaired by Chris Green with Phil Pryke and newly appointed Directors Hilary Spann and Vanessa Liu as members. Chris, Hilary and Vanessa are all New York-based, actively work in the sustainability and innovation space in their day-to-day businesses, and therefore are expertly positioned for these roles. Phil has experience in the green energy field, is the Chair of the Remuneration Committee and plays an important role in setting and reviewing the aforementioned LTIP targets.

In summary, the Committee will have responsibility in relation to overseeing the Group's:

- + Sustainability strategy – including reviewing and monitoring performance, assessing material drivers and risks, making recommendations, and reporting on performance
- + Innovation strategy – including reviewing innovation and developments that may impact the Group's strategy and assets, and monitoring the performance of investments or potential collaborations.

[Sustainability and Innovation Committee Charter](#)

Board progression

This year we have made significant progress to deliver on our strategy to maintain a diverse Board with the appropriate mix of skills, gender and geographic representation. To this effect we have appointed two US-based Directors.

In April we appointed Hilary Spann, a highly experienced global real estate executive with an extensive background in public and private equity markets, both in the US and globally, with a particular focus on real estate. She is currently a senior executive at NYSE-listed Boston Properties, Inc.

Vanessa Liu was appointed in May. She's an experienced technology innovator, business leader and digital media entrepreneur. Vanessa is currently Co-Founder and CEO of SaaS technology company Sugarwork, and an Independent Director of ASX-listed artificial intelligence company, Appen Ltd.

Both Hilary's and Vanessa's experience and insights are well aligned to our long-term strategy and values, while bringing greater diversity to the Board and helping continue to shape Goodman as a forward-thinking company.



OUR SUSTAINABILITY INITIATIVES ARE
ENTRENCHED INTO THE GROUP'S
STRATEGY AND WILL CONTINUE TO
REMAIN A KEY PRIORITY FOR THE
BOARD AND MANAGEMENT.

Demonstrating action

This report demonstrates Goodman's continued determination to deliver tangible outcomes that will have a measurable and meaningful impact on the business and the wider community. Our Sustainability initiatives are entrenched into the Group's strategy and will continue to remain a key priority for the Board and management.

Sincerely,

Stephen Johns
Independent Chairman



Goodman Commerce Center Eastvale, Los Angeles, USA.

GROUP CEO'S LETTER

[Greg Goodman](#)

Group CEO

Goodman Group takes a long-term view. As providers of essential infrastructure for the digital economy, we recognise the impact that our business has on the planet and communities that we operate in.

Through our location choices, sustainable building approach, and focus on relationships, we work to make a tangible difference today, and long into the future. We integrate sustainability throughout our business. It's embedded in our values, our investment strategy and our key performance indicators for executive remuneration.

With \$73 billion of assets under management and \$13.6 billion of developments in progress around the world, we are one of the largest developers of industrial property globally. This scale comes with enormous responsibility. We acknowledge that our actions today can have significant implications in the future, so we lead by example.

Our properties add value to local communities and the wider economy and we know it's important that we continue to operate in a responsible and sustainable way. We continue to assess the most material issues for our business and have refined our 2030 sustainability strategy as the roadmap for our wide range of ESG activities.

Our strategy creates a framework to deliver on our sustainability targets that minimise our adverse impacts and maximise positive outcomes for people and planet. It shapes the operation of our core business, and we focus on the areas where we have the greatest control and influence, including the development and management of a global portfolio of sustainable industrial properties.

Through our strategy, Goodman is proactively responding to the global challenges of climate change. We're taking action now by reducing carbon emissions, using renewable energy and developing greener buildings. We're also adopting circularity, regenerating infill sites, building more equitable supply chains, and partnering with community groups. You'll read more about these activities and more throughout this report.

We believe that allocating financial resources and human capital to reduce potential impacts now makes commercial sense over the long term. By integrating sustainability throughout our business, we also achieve our financial goals as our properties and services will be more attractive to customers and investors well into the future.



Setting science-based targets

Reducing our carbon emissions is vital on the path to Net Zero.

Goodman is on track to maintain its carbon neutral status for its global operations for the second consecutive year. We continue to work on decarbonising our development projects, taking into account upfront embodied emissions and designing for efficiency throughout the whole building's lifecycle.

We are ready to go further. This year we developed science-based targets that will see us significantly reduce our global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2030. We are aiming to reduce absolute Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 42% and relative Scope 3 emissions by 50% from an FY21 base year. These targets are approved by the Science Based Targets initiative and are aligned to the Paris Agreement's objective to keep global warming below 1.5 degrees. Meeting these goals, particularly Scope 3 goals, will require collaboration with our stakeholders including both suppliers and customers.

Increasing our investment in sustainability

Achieving these targets won't be easy. It will require genuine commitment, and significant investment in time, money and resources. However, we see both a moral and a financial business case for sustainability.

While we've been investing in the sustainability space for a number of years, our future commitment has increased to approximately \$820 million from \$700 million across the Group and Partnerships. This investment will fund our sustainability initiatives to 2025.

While it includes standard programs such as solar installations, LED lighting upgrades, water saving features, carbon reduction and renewable energy, we're also pushing well beyond these programs. We are investing in technology and innovation that will drive significant long-term change.

Goodman Business Park, Greater Tokyo, Japan.



Generating our own carbon offsets

Our first priority is to reduce our carbon emissions. Where we're unable to reduce our operational and embodied emissions, we purchase carbon offsets. As part of our approach, Goodman has recently made a strategic investment in an integrated carbon credit and regeneration platform – Wyuna Regenerative Agriculture.

Investing alongside Australia's Clean Energy Finance Corporation, this innovative project responds to multiple UN Sustainable Development Goals (UNSDGs), operating at the intersection of land regeneration, sustainable food production and carbon markets. It's focused on regenerating large areas of land driving biodiversity value and sustainable red meat production, together with the creation of carbon credits.

WE BELIEVE BUILDINGS THAT
AREN'T SUSTAINABLE AND ADAPTABLE,
WILL BECOME OBSOLETE OVER TIME.

Collaborating to reduce carbon in building products

Achieving carbon neutral buildings that require little to no offsets requires low-carbon, innovative building products and close collaboration. That's why we're working with material suppliers, industry groups and experts in the field to support the development of materials with lower embodied carbon.



Maximising property efficiency

We're also investing in innovative ways to get more productivity and operational efficiencies out of our buildings for customers. One solution is to invest in PropTech or other specialist technology companies to help them develop and grow. In return, we learn more about the future of these technologies and what they mean for our customers and industry. Examples include drone delivery technology and infrastructure through Skyports, parking management and traffic management systems and smart meters for energy and water.

Another way we help improve the efficiency of buildings is by optimising land use. Goodman's focus is on infill locations, close to consumers, where we can regenerate existing sites and optimise space by building multi-storey facilities.

Artist's impression, multi-storey development, Sydney, Australia.



Aligning with our customers' goals

A growing number of our customers are now on their own sustainability journeys. By providing the sustainable infrastructure they need, we're helping them achieve their own sustainability goals.

Although our sustainability investments are significant, they're prudent. Our customers increasingly require a minimum standard of sustainability features. For many, we help them go beyond that.

The benefits are twofold. While our actions drive positive customer relationships they make financial sense too – we believe buildings that aren't sustainable and adaptable, will become obsolete over time.

Highbrook Business Park, Auckland, New Zealand.



Generating financial sustainability

For a business to be truly sustainable, it needs to be financially sustainable. Goodman's consistent and prudent financial management provides the resources we need to carry out our sustainability vision. Capital markets endorsed this approach, so we created a Sustainable Finance Framework which provides us with financial flexibility to issue sustainability-linked bonds and loans.

This year, the Group issued a \$US500 million sustainability-linked bond. Additionally, several of our Partnerships have entered into sustainability-linked finance instruments. This is just one element of a capital management strategy which sees Goodman with available liquidity of \$20.9 billion across the Group and our Partnerships.

WE HAVE THE STRATEGY IN PLACE
TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE AND THE
COMMITTED CAPITAL, PEOPLE AND
RESOURCES TO EXECUTE IT.

Progressing our Reconciliation Action Plan

Goodman recognises the importance of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities in Australia. We also recognise the need for reconciliation and this year we've moved through the Reflect stage of our Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP).

We have created economic empowerment through charitable and business opportunities, as well as created cultural learning occasions for our people. We move forward through our RAP process with a strong determination to take action and make a difference.

Smoking Ceremony and Welcome to Country, The Hayesbery, Sydney, Australia.



Supporting workforce wellbeing

This year we continued to play close attention to the health and safety of our own people as well as the workforces of our customers and contractors. Goodman has embraced a flexible work environment that helps retain and attract the best staff globally. This flexibility supports our diverse and inclusive team by improving wellbeing, morale and productivity.

For our customers, we continue to evolve our designs to provide spaces that encourage wellbeing across their own workforce, such as providing more natural light, outdoor fitness equipment, stylish staff amenities and creating more breakout areas. This focus has led to Goodman being the first logistics real estate company in Hong Kong to achieve the WELL Health-Safety Rating from the International WELL Building Institute.

Stepping up the impact of our Foundation

In another challenging year, the Goodman Foundation made a tangible and sustainable difference to the communities we operate in, facilitating \$11.6 million in donations. We also extended beyond our established pillars, responding to emergencies including the humanitarian crisis in the Ukraine and the floods in Europe and Eastern Australia.

In addition to the worthy programs we support around the world, we also increased our support of the Australian Indigenous community.

UNICEF Blue Dot Hub.



Looking forward

Goodman has taken meaningful action and is making significant progress in achieving our sustainability targets. I'd like to thank our people, customers, investors and all other stakeholders for their continued support and contribution to Goodman's sustainable growth.

We have the strategy in place to make a difference and the committed capital, people and resources to execute it.

Sincerely,

Greg Goodman
Group Chief Executive Officer



About Goodman

Goodman is a global industrial property specialist group. We own, develop and manage high-quality sustainable properties that are close to consumers and provide essential infrastructure for the digital economy.

We have 410 properties located in key consumer markets in 14 countries across the Asia Pacific, Europe, the UK and the Americas. With \$73 billion of assets under management, we are the largest property group listed on the Australian Securities Exchange and invest significantly alongside our capital partners in our investment Partnerships.

But we're more than our properties. We're a collaborative team who work together to make a tangible difference for our customers, our people and communities we operate in. We believe in innovation, determination, integrity and sustainability – and we strive to make space for greatness in everything we do.

\$73BN

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

29

OFFICE LOCATIONS ACROSS ASIA PACIFIC,
EUROPE, THE UK AND THE AMERICAS

410

PROPERTIES

OUR BUSINESS INTEGRATED MODEL



Goodman's Own Develop Manage model focuses our business on our customers' current and future needs.

We own and maintain high-quality properties close to consumers, we develop sustainable properties, and we manage our global investment portfolio to the highest standards. We work alongside our capital partners, which include sovereign wealth, pension and large multi-manager funds. In each market, our dedicated local teams take care of all aspects of property asset and investment management, ensuring a high level of customer service.

OUR

STRATEGY

Providing essential infrastructure for the digital economy

Urbanisation, globalisation, demographics, digitisation, sustainability and an increased focus on health and wellbeing have all changed the way people live, work and consume. These structural shifts have increased the importance of industrial properties in the global supply chain.

Globally, the logistics and warehousing sectors are now considered essential infrastructure for digital economies, and key to the efficient distribution of products to consumers. As industrial property specialists, Goodman's long-term strategy is built on supporting our customers to operate in the most productive, sustainable and efficient way possible. We focus on key markets and concentrate our portfolio where we can create the most value for customers and investors.



Goodman Tsuen Wan West Centre, Hong Kong SAR, China.



Proximity to people

Our properties are located close to consumers, in urban infill locations where barriers to entry are high and supply is limited. Choosing locations close to large consumer populations helps our customers meet rising delivery and service expectations. It also provides greater resilience for customers' supply chains.

Accessibility

Properties located close to major transport infrastructure, like motorways, ports and airports, enable efficient deliveries and reduce supply chain costs and transport-related emissions for our customers. They also make commuting to work easier for our customers' workforce.

By positioning our portfolio in these key locations we are fulfilling our customers' needs for sustainable properties that provide efficiency and faster speed to market. In doing so, we are building a sustainable business for our investors.

STRATEGIC LOCATIONS

OUR NETWORK

\$73.0BN

AUM

410

PROPERTIES

14

COUNTRIES

1,000+

PEOPLE

EUROPE/UK

\$11.9bn

AUM

132

PROPERTIES

100%

OCCUPANCY

130+

PEOPLE

CITIES

Amsterdam
Birmingham
Brussels
Düsseldorf
Hamburg
London
Luxembourg
Madrid
Milan
Munich
Paris

ASIA

\$22.8bn

AUM

71

PROPERTIES

98%

OCCUPANCY

300

PEOPLE

CITIES

Beijing
Chengdu
Chongqing
Guangzhou
Hong Kong
Osaka
Shanghai
Shenzhen
Tokyo

AUS/NZ

\$29.8bn

AUM

179

PROPERTIES

99%

OCCUPANCY

370+

PEOPLE

CITIES

Auckland
Brisbane
Melbourne
Sydney

THE AMERICAS

\$8.5bn

AUM

28

PROPERTIES

96%

OCCUPANCY

100+

PEOPLE

CITIES

Los Angeles
New Jersey
Pennsylvania
San Francisco
São Paulo



As a global industrial property specialist, We aim to be the best at what we do, rather than the biggest. Our properties are strategically located in major consumer markets, providing our customers with faster speed to market and the opportunity to reduce transport costs and related carbon emissions. When considering new locations, our focus is on acquiring urban infill assets or brownfields sites that can be redeveloped into sustainable properties, allowing us to minimise our carbon footprint. With higher density of land use in these locations, our customers are looking to maximise their supply chain efficiency with greater utilisation of automation and increased sustainability features.

OUR

VALUES



Goodman's values reflect who we are today
and who we want to be long into the future.

OUR

PURPOSE

Oakdale West Industrial Estate, Sydney, Australia.



Goodman's purpose of making space for greatness recognises our stakeholders' needs and drives us to help them reach their full potential.

Here's how we make space for greatness for each of our stakeholders.

Customers

Our customers come from a wide range of industries including e-commerce, logistics, retail, consumer goods, automotive, pharmaceutical and technology. Regardless of their sector, they all need the right properties in the right locations. Proximity to their end consumer is key: increasing speed to market, and reducing transport costs and related emissions. Our customers are increasingly taking a strategic approach to their infrastructure decisions. They're boosting their investment in our buildings to maximise both supply chain efficiency and overall capacity as the digital economy grows.

To help our customers make space for greatness, we create sustainable logistics spaces in high-quality locations. Here, the greatest ambitions of our customers can flourish as we give them the space and services they need to reach their goals.

Securityholders and investment partners

At Goodman, we manage the investment portfolio alongside our investment partners – some of the world's largest pension and sovereign wealth funds. We have a patient and long-term approach to managing capital and focus on growing a sustainable business over time.

This long-term approach guides our decision making. By owning, developing and managing high-quality sustainable properties in key locations, we provide both short-term and long-term benefits for our customers while simultaneously delivering sustainable returns for our Securityholders and investors.

Community partners

We work with local charities and community partners in all our markets to make a positive difference. Whether it's working with organisations on emergency response, community and community health, children and youth, or food rescue and the environment, the Goodman Foundation provides tangible assistance that translates to real support where it's needed most.

We make space for greatness in the communities we operate in by taking a multi-year partnership approach with the organisations the Foundation supports. We share our resources, knowledge and experience to make a tangible difference and improve the quality of life, standard of living and health of people in our communities.



OUR TEAM OF 1000+ IN 29 OFFICES
AROUND THE WORLD ARE KEY TO OUR
LONG-TERM SUCCESS.



Our people

Our team of around 1,000 people in 29 offices around the world are key to our long-term success. Skilled and diverse, team members use their expertise across the range of cultures and locations we operate in to deliver strong results. There are many opportunities for our people to get involved, to learn and to build rewarding careers with us. Our sustainability strategy and actions help with attracting new talent and retaining our people.

Goodman encourages innovation. We look for people who want to realise their ambitions, challenge our thinking, drive change and develop new ideas that deliver a sustainable business, making a tangible difference today – and long into the future. Through Goodman's long-term incentive program, our team have a stake in our business, which motivates them to work hard and take a long-term strategic approach to decision making.

Supply chains

We acknowledge that providing a high-quality sustainable offering to our customers is a team effort, requiring relationships built on integrity. Our network of suppliers extends from our general building contractors who we work with closely to build facilities for our customers, to the providers of our office supplies.

In each case, we respect the needs of our suppliers. This ranges from implementing strategies against modern slavery to treating our suppliers as part of our team, keeping them safe and paying them fairly and on time. In return, we expect our suppliers to abide by our high standards and communicate these requirements within their own supply chains.



HOW WE'RE STRUCTURED

Goodman works as one integrated team, executing our global strategy on a local level.

Our specialist property management, development and investment management teams within countries work alongside our corporate services. By everyone focusing their skills we can provide a quality industrial property offering that best serves our customers and investors alike.

Our corporate governance statement provides more information on our corporate governance framework.

The Boards and Committees

Goodman Group is a triple stapled entity comprised of: the Australian company, Goodman Limited (GL); the Australian trust, Goodman Industrial Trust (GIT); and the Hong Kong company, Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (GLHK). The Boards of GL and Goodman Funds Management Limited, as the responsible entity of GIT, comprise the same Directors while GLHK has a distinct Board with some overlap. Together they are referred to as the Boards.

The Goodman Boards and management team are committed to the highest standards of governance and recognise that an effective corporate governance culture is critical to the long-term performance of the business.

Goodman's corporate governance framework underpins our commitment to maximise long-term sustainable value for Securityholders through:

- + Effective controls, risk management, transparency and corporate responsibility
- + Strategic planning and alignment of the interests of employees with those of securityholders and other stakeholders
- + Meeting stakeholder expectations of a global ASX-listed entity through acting lawfully and responsibly while prudently managing both financial and non-financial risk.

Reflecting the increasing importance of sustainability issues and the continuing rate of change through technology and innovation, the Board has also agreed to establish a new Sustainability and Innovation Committee drawing on the skills and experience of Chris Green (Committee Chair), Vanessa Liu, Phil Pryke and Hilary Spann. The new Committee will commence from 1 October 2022.



Goodman's corporate governance framework



[View Goodman's 2022 Corporate Governance statement online](#)

[View Goodman's corporate governance framework documents \(including Charters and Policies\) online](#)

LIVE. SHOP. BUILD. RENEW.



As our climate changes, every action we take to mitigate its effects can have an impact on the future. Green buildings are only part of the solution.

Sustainability needs to be woven through every aspect of our lives, and sustainability thinking is vital. It's a perspective we need to apply to how we design, build and live in our cities, how we shop and even where we source our food.

We spoke to global industry thought-leaders to learn more about the big picture. Their take? The key to a cleaner, greener world is developing the ability to do more without requiring more. Here are their tips on where our attention needs to go.

[Watch the highlights video](#)

LIVE.



City living is on the rise. But what we think of as a successful city today – a place with high density office jobs in the centre, housing on the outside, and tourist attractions, amenities, services, and public space throughout – is fast becoming a thing of the past. Those attributes are still important, but there's something else making way for us to live more sustainably in these environments.

"Digitisation," says Professor Greg Clark, Group Adviser, Future Cities and New Industries for HSBC, "is revolutionising the way our cities work. Firstly, digitisation is making the systems of our cities more efficient. Transport, buildings, commerce, entertainment – so much more happens in an omni-channel way using digital platforms".



"
**DIGITISATION IS
REVOLUTIONISING
THE WAY OUR
CITIES WORK**
"

Greg says that the abundance of jobs in technology-led sectors is a development that allows city dwellers to work in ways that are connected to other parts of the planet. But digitisation is also shaping these individuals' lives every day. "It's giving our citizens a new set of choices about how they work, where they live, when they travel and how they consume."

During the industrial period land use in our cities was highly separated. But one of the effects of new, clean energy and buildings is that we're able to remix cities in ways we couldn't 100, 200 and 300 years ago.

"We now see it's perfectly possible," Greg says, "for clean industries to exist side-by-side with residential areas, schools, hospitals and more."

Creating efficiency with our land and building use means we're able to accommodate more people in our cities without the effects of overcrowding. One way to optimise the limited space we do have is to reclaim and re-utilise brownfield locations, or urban sites that have already been developed, and to move them from single static use, to mixed use, plus flexible formats. "Agile land use," says Greg, "is a very important new ingredient for cities."



The switch to digital cities

According to Clark, the digital city will be a place “that optimises its space, and also the time of its citizens, by making access and connectivity instant, predictable and seamless.” It will shape cities that, over time, will become cleaner, greener and more compact.

Cities are attracting more people than ever before. Today, 57% of us – a total of 4.4 billion people – live in cities. This percentage is trending upwards. By 2050, the World Bank says almost 70% of us will be city dwellers.

“The shapes and sizes of our cities have actually been changing for some time,” says Clark. “Because we can now do so many things these days online, cities have to switch focus and specialise on things that are best done face-to-face, or, face-to-place.”



It’s true our cities are already starting to feel different. There are more delivery vans in the city during the day, buses and trains are less full than pre-pandemic but are getting fuller, and office buildings can be busy some days but not others. “Cities are in a great adjustment process,” says Clark, “trying to manage the road and the transport system to be able to accommodate the changes in patterns of travel, delivery and distribution.”

Offices too are reinventing themselves as places of creativity and connection, and employees have new expectations of what a safe, healthy and flexible working environment is.

As our cities change around us, if we want to achieve Net Zero, we need to change the behaviours of those living in them. As Clark points out, given our burgeoning urban populations, cities are an essential part of a sustainable future for all. “Without cities and the people in them, and the ability of cities to trigger behaviour changes, and spur new innovations,” Clark says, “we can’t decarbonise the planet.”



SHOP.

Consumers know what they want and today, they want more than ever before. As the retail industry scrambles to reconfigure its offering for the digital era, consumers are raising their expectations. One of the fastest growing expectations is that their next purchase will be a sustainable one.

“The retail sector has undergone seismic structural shifts,” says Natalie Berg, retail analyst, trend forecaster, and founder of retail consultancy, NBK Retail.

Those personal shopping devices (aka: phones) we each have in our pockets, Natalie explains, do more than allow us to be digitally connected when we walk into a physical store. They empower us to shop on our own terms.

“We’re seeing an acceleration in the demise of mediocre retail,” says Natalie. “COVID has exacerbated the many challenges the industry was facing, and retailers are finding that if they weren’t relevant before the pandemic, they’re certainly not relevant now.”



“

**WHEN WE THINK
ABOUT THE STORE
OF THE FUTURE
IT HAS TO BE
FRICTIONLESS**

”

Natalie predicts that in the future, retail will consist of fewer but better-quality stores, and that investing in e-commerce and digital experiences will be crucial to meet customers wherever they are.

More than ever before, retailers will need to be agile. The path to purchase is no longer linear and there is a plethora of choice when it comes to how shoppers access retail brands. We’re experiencing a convergence of our online and offline worlds and, according to Natalie, it will soon be hard to know where one world ends and the other begins.

“When we think about the store of the future it has to be frictionless,” says Natalie.

Ironically, delivering that level of experience in a physical store in the 21st century relies heavily on technology. According to Natalie, the challenge for retailers is working out how to do it so they offer customers an experience that is seamless, integrated and holistic.

“Retailers have to give shoppers a compelling reason to ditch their screens and offer a sensory-filled, memorable experience,” she says.



Supporting conscious consumers

Today's consumers want more from retailers than ever before. But environmentally speaking, they want their impact to be low. The shift to conscious consumerism becoming mainstream means retailers need to consider sustainability in every aspect of their businesses to remain competitive.

Transparency is also part of the picture. There's significant pressure on retailers to become transparent around their sustainability initiatives. Why? Consumers want assurances that the products and services they're buying are not damaging to people or the planet. Even one bad experience can be detrimental to a retail brand.

"We're entering an era where our own values will trump monetary value," says Natalie. In other words, consumers are looking for more than just a financial exchange for goods or services. Physical stores are quickly becoming representative of an experience; one of community, discovery, curation, human touch and emotional connection.



Behind the scenes, the increased focus on decarbonising distribution chains to support the boom in online purchases means price wars are being replaced by delivery wars. But with more and more delivery vans on our roads, there's a growing need for delivery that is sustainable as well as fast and reliable.

"Big retailers are recognising that they have a duty to improve the way that they do business," says Natalie. "And this is only going to continue with pressure coming directly from consumers."

Overall, consumers might be calling the shots, but innovative retailers are rising to the challenge. Whatever form our future purchases take, it will certainly be a richer experience.

BUILD.



37% of energy-related carbon emissions come from the built environment. It's a term that, doesn't just refer to buildings, it's also the infrastructure that sits around them.

Catriona Brady, Director of Strategy and Development at the World Green Building Council, says there are some estimates that the equivalent of a city the size of Paris is being built every single week. "The raw materials and the energy being used for that is absolutely monumental," she says.

In contrast, we could have an equally significant impact – this time positive – by rethinking the global approach to building policies and procedures. Large-scale actions like this are vital, Catriona points out, as she emphasises the urgency to both sustainably retrofit existing buildings and to ensure new buildings are not locking in emissions for decades to come.

“

37% OF ENERGY-RELATED CARBON EMISSIONS COME FROM THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT

”

Goodman Huiyang Industrial Park, Huiyang, China.



Currently the way we use our building materials is fairly linear. "We take, we make, we use, and we dispose," says Catriona. We need to shift to a circular economy to keep value in materials for as long as possible. "Individuals want to have a long life," she says. "We want our materials to have a long life too."

Circularity within the built environment means creating a closed loop so that whatever we design, and build can essentially be dismantled – without being contaminated with chemicals. It's then reused or adapted for use in the future.

There are challenges with implementing a circular economy in any industry, but the scale of our built environment creates additional complexity for the sector.

"We're calling for new design methods, new construction practices and different materials," says Catriona. While these added levels of complexity can bring higher upfront financial costs, the biggest challenge is probably the commitment required from stakeholders across every stage of the value chain.

Roma Road Estate, Auckland, New Zealand.



The ongoing life cycle of circular

A building that's designed to be circular and then gets demolished or sent to landfill is not a circular building. "It has to be about how it's treated throughout its whole life cycle," says Catriona. "And that's a real challenge because it requires engagement from every single person or stakeholder organisation that's participating in the lifespan of the building."

We also need to take into account the whole life carbon emissions of an asset, not just the emissions when it's operational. "We need to change our thinking," says Catriona. "How can we make sure that the building will have as sustainable an impact as it can on the planet, from beginning to end?"

As complex an endeavour as this might sound, there are changes we can make right now by retrofitting existing buildings. There's a real business case to be made for creating a lean, clean and green building, and the key ingredient is people. "I think it's important for us to frame the business case for today in the context of society as it exists right now," says Catriona. "The business case for a sustainable building now is influenced by the fact that people want to work in a healthy space. They want to know their health is being protected, and that the building has net positive environmental benefits."



Once the case is made, the concepts of lean, clean and green can be rolled out. "Lean is all about being efficient in your energy use," she says. "Clean is all about the systems that are being used – making sure they're as energy efficient as possible, clean in their power requirements and use." And lastly, "Green is all about the production and consumption of renewable energy. How can we use green technologies as far as possible? How can we innovate and use green renewable energy requirements to generate clean power onsite?"

The good news for the sector is that this type of change is not just good for the planet. Compared to the standard alternative, sustainable buildings represent a more resilient investment too.



RENEW.

If we're going to meet the targets set out in the Paris Agreement, we need to rethink the way we use and decarbonise the buildings, assets and spaces we already have.

As our cities evolve, our buildings are increasingly becoming multi-purpose, performing different functions at different times of day, or different days of the week. We are also starting to see buildings service dual industries side-by-side.

Urban farming, the practise of cultivating, processing and distributing food in urban areas, is one welcome trend that's solving multiple sustainability issues in the heart of our cities. It offers extensive partnership opportunities for the industrial property sector.

Sarah Msika, cofounder of Plantation Paris, one of Europe's largest urban rooftop ecosystems, is passionate about bringing agriculture into the city and reducing the carbon impact of our end produce.



There are many different types of urban agriculture, but Sarah's approach is to try and use vacant rooftop spaces.

Utilising a 7,000 sqm logistics rooftop, Plantation Paris is a greenhouse and garden project located high above the city of Paris in the 18th arrondissement. It offers a myriad of benefits, including that it creates opportunities to build large-scale farms close to the people who will consume the produce. By partnering with industrial and logistics property developers, the project provides opportunities to access sustainable heat sources. In the case of Plantation Paris, this heat comes from a data centre located directly beneath its greenhouse.

"It's very important to have the lowest carbon footprint on all the aspects of what we are doing," says Sarah. "For example, if we want to grow tropical varieties, we need to do it with a secured sustainable source of heat, like our project does with a data centre."



Plantation Paris produces microgreens and herbs in its greenhouse and 70 different varieties of vegetables and herbs in its permaculture garden. There are also edible flowers, which otherwise travel from the Netherlands. In a few short years the site has been completely transformed. “Urban farming has a very big role to play in biodiversity,” says Msika, who reports seeing many kinds of bees, birds and wildlife in the area. “Which is huge,” she says. “There was no wildlife when we arrived and now, we have a whole wildlife ecosystem, including falcons.”

Today, Plantation Paris supplies tonnes of fresh food all over the city but for Sarah the social impact is more important than the volumes produced. People want to know where their food is coming from and being so close to the city means it can be delivered environmentally. “We won’t be able to sustain the whole city,” says Sarah. “But people can see it’s possible to buy their produce the same day it was harvested.”

A number of Michelin hatted restaurants regularly collaborate with Sarah’s team. They discuss growing options and menu ideas. Some even harvest ingredients themselves on a weekly basis. “Urban agriculture,” says Sarah, “is giving chefs the chance to work directly with farmers, and for the farmers to see the produce grown a few Metro stations away from their kitchens.”

“
**THERE WAS NO
WILDLIFE WHEN WE
ARRIVED AND NOW,
WE HAVE A WHOLE
WILDLIFE ECOSYSTEM,
INCLUDING FALCONS.**”



As well as the greenhouse and garden, Plantation Paris has a communal event space with a full view of the sky, an unusual feature for Paris. “It’s important to have a place where you can just go and feel well and our garden is a good place for that,” says Sarah. “This space is where yoga courses, meditation sessions, plant-based cuisine workshops and private events are held: a place where the urban farm becomes a place for daily inspiration.

Urban agriculture is a positive example of the community-led greening of the built environment Catriona says our cities desperately need. “We need whole communities to be green,” she says. “We want them to be physically green, we want to see nature, we want to be able to encourage rewilding the return of biodiversity and ecosystem services.”

To hear more from these global thought leaders, watch the [Goodman Thought starters series](#)



Sustainability approach

A responsible and sustainable strategy

ESG is an integral part of Goodman's business strategy. We make investment decisions based on strategic long-term thinking and operate with sustainability goals front of mind.

As Goodman has grown to become Australia's largest listed-property company, our commitment to ESG principles continues to shape our operations.

Our role in providing essential infrastructure for supply chains means we are uniquely positioned to identify and adapt to global trends. As the world begins to work more actively on climate mitigation, Goodman continues to seek and embrace opportunities to become a truly sustainable business. In doing so we're not only aiming to meet the expectations of our stakeholders, but we're acting in alignment with our own company values.

Chifley Business Park South, Melbourne, Australia.



Material and ambitious targets

Under our 2030 Sustainability Strategy, Goodman has committed to making change across a range of ESG activities. This includes working towards measurable and ambitious sustainability targets, to help make a tangible and positive difference in the world.

The breadth of our targets means we're able to embrace the strategic opportunities currently available to those willing to make real change in their organisations and supply chains. It also mitigates against key ESG risks which come with inaction.

Our strategy includes actions that will reduce carbon emissions and increase the use of renewable energy across our portfolio. We're also very active in our development of greener buildings, an action which not only helps the planet, but leads to healthier work environments with greater amenity for our customers.

People are integral to our strategy too. We're creating diverse leadership teams, building more equitable supply chains, and partnering with community groups who deliver life changing support in our communities.

We don't consider this activity 'additional' to our day-to-day. Instead, it's embedded within our core business. We also firmly believe our approach will help deliver sustainable returns and positive outcomes for our stakeholders.

Local teams, global network

Operating across a global network presents both challenges and opportunities for our people. While we've set ourselves global sustainability targets, achieving these requires contribution from local teams in each of our markets.

While we have a relatively small global workforce of around 1,000 people, a large number of people have been with the business for many years. Our team is motivated and incentivised to demonstrate our corporate values of determination, innovation, integrity and sustainability. The people at the top of our business aim to lead by example as they demonstrate these values in their behaviours and decision making. Our values are also part of how we assess the performance of our people.



Goodman Interlink, Hong Kong SAR, China.



Although our global business allows us to share knowledge and best practice across jurisdictions, it also highlights the disparity between the policies and opportunities across the markets where Goodman operates. Our ambition to use 100% renewable energy is one example of how great this challenge is. In some markets, this commitment is in line with customer expectations and market trends; while in others, availability of renewable energy options makes the transition more difficult.

Regardless of the location however, we're determined to find pathways to achieve the sustainability targets we've set for ourselves. The challenge requires our team to think strategically, collaborate with market leaders and create opportunities to overcome hurdles.



The material issues which shape our sustainability strategy

In 2019, Goodman set its 2030 Sustainability Strategy based on six material ESG priorities. We believed each was not only critical to our business and ESG performance but would also be an area where we could make a tangible and positive impact.

It's important that these material priorities are dynamic and are reviewed regularly. We start by considering them alongside global sustainability trends to gain an understanding of where and how they interconnect. Next, they're influenced by our stakeholders, customers and investment partners. Lastly, we factor in the broader economic and social context.

This approach aligns our sustainability strategy with our operational drivers to address shared global challenges.

With the above in mind, we reviewed our material ESG priorities in 2022.

We partnered with leading sustainability advisors BWD Strategic for the review. The process was rigorous and involved a series of interviews with external stakeholders as well as an assessment of the effectiveness and suitability of our strategy. The review also considered how our measurable targets respond to a suite of current and emerging global ESG trends.

The result saw us keep our original six material issues and add two more. The updated list of material ESG issues for Goodman includes:

- + Actively contributing to the Net Zero transition
- + Owning strategically located properties close to consumers in key global markets
- + Developing and adapting innovative, efficient and flexible properties with a future focus
- + Demonstrating Goodman's values and promoting diversity, inclusiveness and social equity
- + Investing responsibly with defined governance and sustainable capital structures
- + Promoting workplace safety and wellbeing for all
- + Delivering resilient assets that support human health and value natural capital
- + Influencing the sustainability practices of our value chain.

We consider these priorities to be essential to Goodman's continued success and have shaped our sustainability strategy accordingly.





Sustainable assets

Our buildings are fundamental to Goodman's business strategy.

Goodman's developments increasingly include a range of sustainable design features and innovations that improve energy efficiency, water efficiency and the wellbeing of our customers.

Sustainability also plays a role in our choice of locations. Our strategy of prioritising properties in urban infill markets that are close to large consumer populations is gaining momentum.

These properties (often re-purposed existing buildings in older industrial precincts) are in high demand. Not only do they present opportunities for our customers to improve efficiency and reduce transport-related emissions and costs, but they can also unlock positive social outcomes. We've found that revitalising underused and outdated precincts quickly provides new opportunities for employment and other community benefits.



While sustainable building design is prioritised on every Goodman property, we look well beyond the design itself. We find opportunities to innovate with materials and onsite energy generation, taking a building lifecycle approach. This is helping us transition to carbon neutral developments, and to meet growing market demand for reducing carbon emissions.

As our properties have emerged as essential infrastructure for the storage and movement of goods around the world, we've seen automation become a priority for many supply chains. This global trend is impacting the design of our buildings. We are developing buildings that enable our customers to incorporate automation and technology.

Partnerships like this shift the value proposition for well-located and well-designed logistics properties. Often, they result in longer lease periods, which aligns with Goodman's long-term strategy.

Responding to climate risk

Climate risk presents a real and unfolding challenge to Goodman's business and properties.

Goodman's Boards and leadership teams are fully committed to taking pragmatic action to identify, mitigate and respond to this risk, including designing and building properties which are resilient in the face of climate-related disruptions.

This year, Goodman reinforced its support of the Paris Agreement by developing an emissions reduction target, which aligns with the Science Based Targets initiative, to limit global warming to 1.5 degrees. The process was an extension of our 2021 carbon neutral operations achievement and involved an in-depth review of our global carbon emissions and the opportunities to reduce them.



Our targets consider our Scope 1, Scope 2 and Scope 3 emissions. We look at our design and construction processes and actively engage with our supply chain to encourage the supply of low carbon materials.

For the time being, carbon offsets are part of our transition to a lower carbon business. However, based on conversations we're having with investors and our supply chain, new technologies will play a greater role in enabling us to achieve our sustainability goals and rely less on offsets.

Our commitments to solar and renewable energy targets remain resolute. They're an essential piece of our low carbon future and a vital element to reduce global emissions.

Our commitments to solar power are also a very tangible way to make a positive difference. This aligns well with the ambitions of many of our large global customers, who are increasingly setting their own carbon reduction targets.

Engaging our stakeholders

Meeting the needs of our stakeholders is paramount to Goodman's ongoing success. Regular engagement with our stakeholders and nurturing of long-term relationships helps us understand their priorities and anticipate their needs. This way we can better support their ambitions and deliver sustainable returns for our investors.

We prioritise to the effective disclosure of our ESG performance to our stakeholders. These include our customers, investors and securityholders, our employees, suppliers and local communities.

We disclose through multiple channels and provide regular updates via Goodman's website, our Sustainability and Annual Reports, and the ESG reporting benchmarks we work towards.



Our customers

Goodman has a diverse range of global and local customers within the ecommerce, logistics, retail, consumer goods, automotive, pharmaceutical and technology industries. Our business is focused on their current and future needs.

We work with our customers to gain a deep understanding of their real estate needs. We also look for where our sustainability goals align. This provides new and ongoing opportunities to collaborate.

Most of our top 10 global customers have set significant carbon emissions reduction targets and renewable energy commitments. As a result, they're increasingly looking for partners who can help them take action and make significant progress towards their targets.

We're gaining momentum too, when it comes to the quality and number of conversations we're having with our customers about aligned sustainability goals. These discussions usually centre around the future of green buildings and focus on opportunities, such as onsite power generation, where we can innovate to fast-track our shared and individual goals.

Top 20 customers by rent

Amazon	8.1%
Deutsche Post (DHL)	2.5%
Equinix	2.0%
BMW Group	1.8%
JD.com	1.5%
SF Express	1.3%
DB Schenker	1.2%
Coles Group	1.2%
A.P. Moller – Maersk	1.1%
Iron Mountain	1.0%
Kuehne + Nagel	1.0%
Global Express	0.9%
DSV	0.9%
Relativity Space, Inc.	0.8%
Australia Post	0.7%
Linfox	0.7%
Omlog	0.7%
New Zealand Post	0.6%
Woolworths	0.6%
Metro AG	0.6%
Total	29.2%



Long-term investment partners

Through our managed Partnerships, Goodman has developed longstanding relationships with several of the world's largest and leading pension and sovereign wealth groups.

Engagement with our investment partners about our ESG strategy has increased this year, as well as become broader and more detailed. Many of our equity partners are increasingly intent on working with companies with clearly articulated ESG strategies. Goodman's strong ESG approach is integral to their decision to do business with us.

Many of our investment partners operate according to their own dynamic ESG policies. This in turn has an influence on Goodman's development and management activities. We regularly seek feedback from our investment partners during our investor updates and customer meetings. This feedback helps us to review our material sustainability priorities and impacts of our business.

In the last few years, we've increased our use of video conferencing and online communication tools to communicate with a wide group of investors, without the need to travel. We see this as a long-term trend that aligns well with our own sustainability goals.

Finally, we participate in several ESG benchmarks and ratings, including GRESB, MSCI and Sustainalytics. These platforms review the non-financial ESG performance aspects of our operations and provide value to the investment sector.

Goodman's global team

With a global workforce of more than 1,000 employees and a relatively flat organisational structure, constant engagement and communication across our global teams is vital. It is one of the many ways we remain focused on our strategy, performance, and the direction of our business.

The health and wellbeing of our people remains a significant priority for Goodman. Programs such as the (good) life aim to support our people to find a productive work life balance. We survey our employees periodically. Throughout the COVID period we increased communication as well as online team engagement.

We induct new employees using e-learning modules and one-on-one sessions during their early months at Goodman. Ongoing training is generally delivered online and is available to all Goodman employees.

Half yearly and annual performance reviews help us understand which people are suitable for role progression and a training plan. This allows us to identify succession opportunities, career aspirations and boost diverse leadership.

We prioritise the rewarding and advancing of our people's careers, on skills development and the provision of challenging work opportunities. We believe our high retention rate is partly due to programs like our long-term employee equity plan which gives our people a stake in the business.



Communities

The Goodman Foundation utilises our people, properties and resources to address disadvantage in the world. Together, they make a tangible and sustainable difference to people's lives. By partnering with likeminded charities and funding projects with clearly defined timelines and outcomes, we're able to provide real support where it is needed most.

For the broader community, Goodman provides a comprehensive overview and regular updates on its ESG performance. We do this through our website, media releases and social media platforms including LinkedIn, Twitter and Instagram.

We also respond to enquiries through our website and emails to our investor relations team.

The global supply chain

Our supply chain consists of small, medium and large-scale suppliers who we partner with to help deliver our global property development, property management and funds management activities.

We've established, extensive supply chain relationships across each of our operating regions. We engage with these partners at every stage, from initial development through to operation and management.

We also actively engage with suppliers and organisations who can help us make progress on our sustainability targets. This work includes engineering organisations and associations such as the Materials and Embodied Carbon Leaders Alliance (MECLA), whose members are driven to reduce embodied carbon in the building and construction industry.





2030 Sustainability Strategy

Goodman's 2030 Sustainability Strategy shapes all aspects of our business and is one important way we measure our success. Our strategy builds on our work to date, aligns with our purpose and approaches the future proactively. It's helping transition our business into a truly resilient and low-carbon company.

Our pillars

The three pillars of our strategy capture the sustainability commitments and goals for our global business. They set the context for our specific long-term and short-term targets based on material ESG issues which we track, measure and report against annually.

SUSTAINABLE PROPERTIES

Our sustainably designed, energy-efficient and professionally managed properties are strategically located and designed to meet our customers' business needs and to remain resilient to tomorrow's global challenges.

[Read more on page 45](#)



PEOPLE AND CULTURE

Our workplaces promote the health, safety and wellbeing of our people, our contractors and our customers. Our people are recruited and rewarded based on their commitment to our values, long-term strategic thinking, expertise and performance.

[Read more on page 70](#)



CORPORATE PERFORMANCE

Our capital structure is sustainable, and we have a positive impact in our global communities through the Goodman Foundation. We promote strong leadership and governance, engage regularly with our stakeholders, and measure and disclose our financial and community impact.

[Read more on page 87](#)





Supporting the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals

The UN Sustainable Development Goals (UNSDGs) are a call to action to address the world's most significant challenges including climate change, poverty, gender equality and good health and wellbeing for all.

Goodman's 2030 Sustainability Strategy and ESG targets are in alignment with 9 of the 17 SDGs which are most relevant to our operations. Our progress towards these targets helps towards solving some of the world's biggest challenges.



GOOD HEALTH AND WELLBEING



GENDER EQUALITY



AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY



DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH



INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE



SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES



RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION



CLIMATE ACTION



LIFE ON LAND

Goodman Kunchi East China, Shanghai, China.



Sustainable properties

As a leading owner, developer and manager of industrial real estate globally, we recognise the role our properties play in our customer's value chain. Our preference for strategic infill development sites provides not only opportunities for our customers to be close to transport hubs and consumers, but is also a chance to rejuvenate and reposition existing brownfield sites into modern business precincts.

Our sustainably designed, energy-efficient and well managed properties are strategically located to meet the business, health and wellbeing needs of our customers. We collaborate to provide the properties they need today, and the resilience to withstand the global challenges of tomorrow. Sustainability shapes our commitment to reduce carbon emissions from our developments and create buildings that are energy efficient and help generate their power.

We measure the embodied carbon emissions of our developments and continue to collaborate with our supply chain partners to support developments in lower-carbon materials and designs.



Goodman Huiyang Industrial Park, Huiyang, China.



Material drivers

- + Actively contributing to the Net Zero transition
- + Owning strategically located properties close to consumers in key global markets
- + Developing or adapting properties to be innovative, energy-efficient, and flexible
- + Delivering resilient assets that support human health and value natural capital

Progress against targets

TARGET	FY22 ACTIVITY	PROGRESS
400MW of solar PV capacity in operation by 2025  	A further 78 MW of solar PV installed or committed to during FY22. Taking Goodman's global installations to approximately 203MW.	
100% renewable energy use within our operations by 2025  	Achieved 100% renewable energy use Australia in FY22 and increased to approximately 65% globally. Continued investing in solar to increase energy use across our portfolio.	
Carbon neutral operations by 2025  	Maintaining carbon neutrality for our global operations in FY22. This includes emissions within our operational control and excludes embodied emissions from our developments and our customers' emissions. We will continue to lower our operational emissions and increase our use of renewable energy.	
Maintain >95% overall occupancy rate  	Achieved 98% occupancy rate.	

These targets are incorporated into Goodman's performance review process from financial year 2022.

SUSTAINABLE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

Sustainable design approach

Goodman's properties are designed to be efficient and highly functional both today and into the future. We achieve this by combining sustainable design fundamentals with the use of innovative materials and building automation.

Our experience plays a key role too. Goodman's designs have been shaped by our many years developing cutting-edge logistics properties. Our designs also incorporate wellbeing initiatives that positively impact our customers and their workforce.

Goodman Business Park, Greater Tokyo, Japan.



Chifley Business Park South, Melbourne, Australia.



Strategic assets


Extensive engagement with our customers is at the core of our design approach. We look to include emerging technologies so we can better support design priorities.

We view our properties as a strategic part of our customer's supply chain. Using this lens we take a lifecycle approach with our designs, developing flexible and adaptable properties we know will be in demand in the years to come.

Although we incorporate many sustainable design features as part of our standard designs, we also work closely with customers to see where, together, we can push boundaries.

The long-term relationships we build with our customers are reflected in our high occupancy levels, sustained rental growth and strong level of development activity.

Sustainability property design features



Actively adapting

Our efforts to improve resilience across Goodman's portfolio are an important part of our strategy. We aim to develop properties that are flexible with greater resilience to intensifying climate-related events. They also need to adapt to shifting customer and market dynamics.

The locations of our properties and accessibility for customers remain integral to Goodman. We understand the value of strategically-located properties and our preference for sites in these locations supports our long-term approach to real estate.

Highbrook Business Park, Auckland, New Zealand.



Our commitment to reduce carbon in the development process and provide buildings that generate energy has greatly influenced our design process. The priorities support the broader commitments that Goodman, as well as many of our customers and investment partners, have made towards reducing emissions.

Our environmental targets will be achieved through collaboration and alignment across the entire value chain. We're pleased that the conversations we're increasingly having with our stakeholders show that we're moving in the right direction.

We're also continuing our transition to carbon neutral buildings. We've established processes for calculating embodied emissions consistently across our global operations, and this is now a standard part of our development approach.

Our work to decarbonise our developments by supporting the take up of lower carbon materials is progressing. As part of this commitment, our participation in industry movements such as the Materials and Embodied Carbon Leaders Alliance (MECLA) provides a channel for organisations to work together to reduce embodied carbon emissions in the building and construction industry.

DESIGN IN

ACTION

MULTI-STOREY DEVELOPMENT IN SPAIN

As land is becoming scarce in Barcelona the answer for logistics companies is increasingly: 'go up'. Making use of limited land is vital when the vacancy rate in the Catalan logistics market is very low – less than 2%.



Multi-storey warehouses like the Goodman Castellbisbal Logistics Centre provide a sustainable solution for our Spanish customers. Featuring two units of 13,200 sqm, the multi-storey facility lies at an intersection of the two most important highways in Spain, just 28 km from the centre of Barcelona. This strategic location allows our customers to be close to Barcelona's 5.5 million consumers and maximise last mile operations.

Goodman first introduced multi-storey logistics centres to Spain in 2021. Now Goodman Castellbisbal Logistics Centre is Goodman's second multi-storey real estate logistics project in the country. Multi-storey facilities are a sophisticated solution to land scarcity and urbanisation challenges. They streamline delivery processes and reduce traffic – benefiting cities and communities. They reduce the distance of the last mile and provide flexibility for our customers.

Goodman has been developing multi-storey facilities globally since 2012. We have now worked on 46 of these properties around the world.

SUSTAINABILITY EXCELLENCE IN ACTION IN MILAN

In East Milan, Goodman's new Pioltello Logistics Centre has achieved one of the highest BREEAM rating scores for a logistics asset in Italy. The property achieved BREEAM New Construction Excellent certification with an outstanding score of 79.5%.



The 10,000 sqm property is a great example of sustainability applied to logistics real estate. A Life Cycle Analysis provided insight into the overall carbon impact of the building over the long term, and it was designed and developed adhering to the highest sustainability standards.

Achieving the excellence rating from BREEAM required the Pioltello Logistics Centre to rank strongly across nine categories: management, energy, pollution, health and wellbeing, land use and ecology, transport, materials, waste and water.

Water management was the top performer, with a 100/100 score. This is in large part due to its design that minimises flood risks and reuses rainfall.

BREEAM wasn't the only certification achieved for this property. The building's energy efficiency features including high performing HVAC also earned the building an A4 energy performance certification – the highest available in Italy.

Features include:

- + High-performance insulation
- + LED lighting with motion and daylight sensors
- + 470kWp of rooftop solar providing 52MWh per year
- + 54 EV charging stations with another 200 ready to be installed when needed.

As with all our developments, strategic location is key. Pioltello Logistics Centre provides easy access to a large consumer market with 2.5 million people accessible in a 30-minute drive time.¹

STRATEGIC LOCATION AND SUSTAINABILITY MEET AT FULLERTON

In a former paper factory just 20 miles from the Port of Los Angeles/Long Beach, Goodman Logistics Center Fullerton has both strategic location and environmental credentials in its favour.



This LEED registered, modern facility feels worlds apart from its origins. Today, 13.5 million people live within a one-hour drive of the four-building industrial campus, which reduces delivery times and transport emissions for our customers servicing the local market. There's another benefit too: Fullerton's connectivity to the greater Los Angeles areas and direct access to I-91 and other major motorways means 20 million customers fall into its same-day delivery zone.¹

The brownfield development was built with 95% of recycled materials already on site and incorporates a number of sustainability features such as electric vehicle charging stations, motion detected lighting and a solar ready roofing system.

When it comes to wellbeing, Goodman Logistics Center Fullerton offers various employee amenities encouraging a healthy and active workforce including breakout areas outside with enabled Wi-Fi, and a greenscaped walking trail for midday walks. The site is also close to world-class restaurants, shopping, Disneyland Resort, Downtown Fullerton, Honda Center, Anaheim Convention Center, Angel Stadium and California State University, Fullerton – making it an attractive destination for people to work.

To see more examples of sustainable design in action, go to www.goodman.com/sustainability/casestudies

¹. Source: Michael Bauer Research

RESPONDING TO CLIMATE RISK

Goodman's Boards have committed to addressing climate risk at the highest level of the organisation. We work to maintain our understanding of current potential exposure to risks, gauge their impact on the business and identify meaningful mitigation responses.

Our view of risk goes beyond the direct physical risks to our assets posed by climate change. It also includes transitional risks to our business that relate to shifts in policy, regulatory and disclosure obligations, insurance impacts, and the market broadly.

Redbank Motorway Estate, Brisbane, Australia



This year, we finalised our 2030 science-based emission reduction targets, which are considered ambitious and aligned with a 1.5°C pathway.

Our first scenario-based climate-risk assessment aligned with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) guidelines was completed in 2020 and is reviewed annually. This assessment examined the key physical and transitional risks in our major regions and determined the following to be the most material:

- + Increasing temperatures and heatwaves
- + Extreme precipitation events
- + Windstorms (tropical and extra tropical)
- + Severity of hailstorms
- + Sea level rise.

The 2020 assessment process provided Goodman with an effective method of communicating risks and opportunities to our investors. It also led to us setting ambitious targets around reducing emissions, increasing renewable energy, and achieving carbon neutrality.

We continue to evaluate the risks posed by extreme weather events and update our due diligence, design guidelines and property management operations to help mitigate our risks.

[View our TCFD Statement](#)

CARBON

EMISSIONS

Goodman recognises the risk changing climate presents to our business. How we respond to these risks influences how we operate, develop and manage our properties now and in the future. Managing climate risk is incorporated into Goodman's broader risk management framework and our responses are strategic and based on long-term outcomes.

Science-based approach

During the year, we finalised our science-based emission reduction targets. Using 2021 as our baseline year, our 2030 targets have been validated by the SBTi as being aligned with the UN Paris Agreement's goal of 1.5°C.

Our science-based target commitments include:

- + 42% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions. These include Goodman's electricity, fuel and refrigerant emissions
- + 50% sqm intensity reduction of Scope 3 GHG emissions, including indirect emissions generated during the use of our stabilised and sold assets.

Our targets were derived in collaboration with greenhouse gas accounting specialists using the SBTi methodology. As our ability to control our Scope 3 emissions is limited, collaboration with suppliers and customers will be important. We will also need to remain focused on factors like efficient design and the location of our properties, supply of onsite renewable energy, EV infrastructure and our ability to influence our customers' own emission reduction commitments.



GoodNature, vegetable garden at Interchange Park, Sydney, Australia.



Sustainability-linked finance

This year Goodman created a Sustainability-Linked Bond Framework (Framework) to outline how Goodman Group will link our financing strategy with our sustainability strategy. The Framework uses Goodman's science-based targets for our Scope 1 and Scope 2 reduction as performance criteria.

After developing the framework, we issued our first US\$500 million of Sustainability-Linked Bonds (SLB) into the Rule 144A / Reg S market with a scheduled maturity of 2032. They reflect the Group's focus on operating sustainably as well as our commitment to reducing emissions aligned with 1.5°C science-based targets. Failure to meet our targets has a financial consequence under the terms of the SLB.

Maintaining carbon neutral certification

We're on track to maintain our carbon neutral certification for our global corporate operations under the Climate Active Carbon Neutral Standard. We remain focused on reducing our operational emissions through efficiencies and increasing our use of renewable energy.

Our carbon inventory includes Goodman Scope 1 and 2 emissions. It also encompasses Scope 3 emissions from operational activities.

Importantly, the boundary of our carbon neutral certification excludes the embodied emissions from our developments and the emissions of our customers from the spaces they lease from Goodman. However, our solar PV and efficient building designs help support our customers' Scope 1 and 2 emissions reductions targets.

Carbon neutral developments

Embodied carbon is a key issue for Goodman as building materials and construction account for approximately 11% of global energy-related carbon emissions.

Through our design approach, we reduce our customers' emissions by including features like solar PV, EV charging stations, energy-efficient LED motion-sensor lights, passive lighting and electric heat pumps.

To tackle the challenge of reducing embodied emissions, we are collaborating with our supply chain partners to encourage lower carbon materials in our building designs.

As part of this work, we recently introduced a process to measure and offset the embodied emissions of our new developments. Our approach uses Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) and helps us create carbon baselines for our developments across each of our regions. These can be used to forecast emissions.

This process to measure embodied emissions enables us to place a value on carbon. It also provides a framework for investing in carbon reductions, including offsets. Over time, we anticipate that the availability of low carbon materials which we can use will increase, further reducing our embodied emissions.

Goodman Logistics Center Fontana II, Los Angeles, USA.



Investing in carbon offsetting

We realise investing in carbon offsets is necessary to support the world transition to Net Zero. We invest in carbon offsets both to meet Goodman's Climate Active Carbon Neutral certification, and as part of our transition to carbon neutral developments.

This year we refined our process and criteria for purchasing carbon offsets. This will help mitigate risks and help us procure quality offsets.

We prefer nature-based projects and if feasible, projects occurring in the regions where Goodman operates. We partner with leading carbon offset suppliers to procure offsets which meet our high standards. These suppliers provide additional due diligence on carbon offset projects and guidance on the additional social and biodiversity benefits of different projects.

ORIGINATING CARBON OFFSETS

As part of our procurement of quality carbon offsets, Goodman has made a strategic investment in an integrated carbon credit and regenerative platform in Australia – Wyuna Regenerative Agriculture.



Investing alongside Australia's Clean Energy Finance Corporation, this project assists land regeneration, sustainable food production and land-based solutions to climate change.

Carbon credits for the scheme will be produced using the Clean Energy Regulator's 'Human Induced Regeneration' methodology. It deploys technology and improved land management practices that result in the growth of vegetation to deliver carbon sequestration.

RENEWABLE ENERGY



We are reducing emissions across our business by decreasing energy demand in our buildings and transitioning towards renewable energy sources. This includes energy which we generate ourselves through our solar PV installations and electricity we purchase.

At Goodman it's now standard practice to incorporate sustainability into our developments at the design stage. We continue to increase our knowledge and awareness of new products and technologies so that we can develop cutting edge energy efficient buildings.

Some examples of our approach include the use of carbon neutral cladding and insulation in the UK, efficient heat pumps for heating in Continental Europe, and deploying building management systems in our properties around the world (see Sustainable design section above for more information).

We also enhance the operational energy efficiency of our stabilised assets and invest in upgrades to keep improving. These opportunities include energy-efficient LED motion-sensor lights, and electric heat pumps and energy monitoring systems.



Generating clean energy

To help our customers reduce their emissions and to reduce the demand on electricity grids, we continue to build our own capacity to generate clean energy. This year, in collaboration with our customers, we installed and committed to 78MW of new solar PV capacity on both our developments and stabilised assets. This takes us up to 203MW of solar PV installed globally; on track to meet our 400MW target by 2025.

Shifting to renewable energy

Where our properties cannot generate enough energy to cover their consumption, we are increasingly looking to source 100% renewable electricity from accredited suppliers. Around 65% of Goodman's global electricity consumption (in Goodman's controlled areas) is generated from renewable energy sources and we remain on track to meet our 100% renewable energy target by 2025.

LARGE SCALE SOLAR – ROTTERDAM I LOGISTICS CENTRE

At the Rotterdam I Logistics Centre in the Netherlands, connection to the future is obvious. The 65,926 sqm of first-generation warehouse space is equipped with smart meters, efficient lighting and EV charging stations.



But it's the rooftop that really shows Goodman's commitment to a sustainable future. This year, we installed 7MW of solar panels on the roof. This complex project required over 17,000 panels to be spread across a space roughly the size of five football fields.

Rotterdam I Logistics Centre has the largest solar installation on one building in our global network. It's also currently the largest solar roof in South Holland – providing enough green energy to support the electricity needs of the equivalent of 1,934 Dutch households per annum.

Key statistics

7MW of solar capacity

17,000+ solar panels

1,934 Dutch households' equivalent average electricity use p.a.

LATEST SOLAR AT GOODMAN BUSINESS PARK WEST BUILDING

At Goodman Business Park, Greater Tokyo, our 500,000 sqm master planned business and technology precinct now hosts another of Goodman's largest solar installations globally.



The latest installation is a 3MW solar array on the recently completed Goodman Business Park West Building. For this installation, building and customer energy needs are prioritised with remaining power sold to the grid.

While the solar array at Goodman Business Park, Chiba, is impressive, it's only one of the sustainability features on a site renowned for its design and customer amenities. This multi-level, carbon-neutral development features EV chargers, LED sensor lighting, rooftop urban gardens and break-out spaces. Customers and the local community can also take advantage of the amenities in the Park, including a child care facility, a gym, restaurants, and a coffee shop – all in a strategic location with excellent access to downtown Tokyo.

Our next major development in Japan will also feature a large solar array with Joso 1 planning 4.52MW of solar in addition to plans for battery storage.

Key statistics

3MW of energy capacity

9,940 solar panels

14.9MW across the entire estate


Solar progress

400MW

TARGET BY 2025

203MW

REACHED IN 2022



78MW

THIS YEAR

GASLESS HVAC IN EUROPE


Goodman has mandated that from FY22 all new developments and renovations in Europe will no longer use gas as a heating or cooling source. Transitioning from gas to gasless heating sources is an important climate-driven decision. However, it has gained momentum with the geopolitical issues in the region impacting oil and gas prices and availability.



There are several heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) technologies in the market, but not all are technically and economically viable. The diagram below shows some of the gasless heating technologies currently commercially available.

Goodman will use the right system for each individual property and operational requirement.

The preferred gasless heating technology uses electric HVAC systems coupled with onsite renewable energy production. In our recently completed Halle VI Logistics Centre development in Germany, the warehouse and office space are 100% heated and cooled by electric heat pumps.



Goodman Europe’s gasless strategy

- + New developments and renovations will solely consider electric HVAC systems and on-site renewables.
- + For stabilised assets, a roadmap for HVAC replacements is being developed.

BROWNFIELD DEVELOPMENTS

Repurposing adaptive properties

More than 50% of our global development program occurs on brownfield sites. These are previously developed properties that are no longer in use or out of date and require regeneration or remediation by specialists like Goodman.

Brownfield sites are integral to Goodman's sustainability strategy and support Goodman's commitment to circularity by reducing, recycling and reusing existing resources for as long as possible.

Brownfield developments offer Goodman opportunities to use our expertise to regenerate existing sites, reuse finite materials and reduce waste, improve natural habitats and enhance biodiversity, and reduce our construction emissions while providing logistics facilities in strategic locations.

By replacing or repurposing outdated buildings found on brownfield sites, we can improve the quality and performance of existing building stock without requiring additional land. In a world with a growing population, urbanisation and land scarcity, this is particularly important.

Brownfield sites are close to transport infrastructure and large populations, providing our customers with opportunities to find efficiencies within their logistics operations. Transforming these industrial areas into modern, efficient and sustainable commercial precincts also unlocks additional labour benefits for our customers, as brownfield sites are often close to a large workforce.

The social value we add serves local communities too. Our new industrial precincts often include cafes and fitness and recreation facilities. Goodman developments such as Goodman Business Park Chiba, in Greater Tokyo Japan, and Highbrook Business Park in Auckland, New Zealand are recent examples.

(Before) Goodman Commerce Center Santa Fe Springs, Los Angeles, USA.



(After) Goodman Commerce Center Santa Fe Springs, Los Angeles, USA.



REGENERATION AT AUCKLAND'S ROMA ROAD

Regeneration is underway at the former Foodstuffs distribution centre on Roma Road in Auckland.

Artist's impression of Roma Road Estate, Auckland, New Zealand.



As we target a 5 Green Star Built rating, our company-wide commitment to circularity is paying off. Around 96% of demolition material from Roma Road was diverted from landfill. We recycled steel framing and roofing, large volumes of concrete and masonry were crushed onsite and retained for construction base, and local stone masons used the volcanic rock we uncovered.

All four new warehouses will use sustainably sourced materials where possible and energy-efficiency will feature prominently. Customers will have LED lighting, EV charging systems, and low emission refrigerants for HVAC.

Roofs have a role too. They'll generate solar energy and harvest 80,000 litres of rainwater. An urban ngahere (forest) will be planted where native plants will replace exotics, attracting birds and enriching the environment for the workforce.

NZ Post will anchor the new development. The last mile location will mean fewer trucks and lower transport emissions for its increasing number of deliveries as e-commerce grows in New Zealand.

DESIGNING CIRCULARITY INTO DECONSTRUCTION – REUSING MATERIALS AT TREMBLAY-EN-FRANCE

At Tremblay-en-France, 30km from the centre of Paris, Goodman was able to reuse, recover or recycle 99.1% of waste from the old buildings on this brownfield site.



Approximately 44 tons of materials, including partition walls, fire doors and raised floors were re-used locally – within an average of 60km from the site. We also recycled 7,900 tons of materials like glass, carpet, ceramics, plaster and steel.

In the extensive pre-deconstruction stage, which included cleaning, sorting and on-site repairs, specialist local SMEs and circular economy start-ups helped identify the right channel for each resource. At one stage, 16 types of waste were stored across the Tremblay-en-France property.

The deconstruction process required specific methods, tools and machinery. It also required more people on site – a 20% increase over the standard demolition workforce. We worked closely with our contractors to train their people in the new techniques.

Learnings from Tremblay-en-France will be employed across future Goodman projects.

REVITALISING OUR PORTFOLIO

Not all brownfield developments are newly acquired. Our practice of buying strategically located sites means we already own some older sites ripe for redevelopment. This is particularly the case in Australia, where we've operated for almost 30 years.



One example is in the Sydney suburb of Smithfield, just 24 km from the CBD. Easy access to Sydney's motorway network meant customers had long coveted the strategic location of the old Smithfield Distribution Centre, but the property had reached the end of its economic life.

This year we completed the transformation of this outdated warehouse into a flexible, modern multi-unit estate. Now, Gateway@Smithfield houses eight customer units across two buildings, providing 46,455 sqm GLA.

As part of our sustainability commitment, we installed 1.14MW of solar for the new development. We also included provisions for EV charging on 10% of the car spaces and LED lighting with daylight harvesting capabilities in all warehouse spaces, 10% translucent roof sheeting and 5kL rainwater collection tanks.

Brownfield redevelopments are complex, and Smithfield was no exception. The timing of the regeneration project meant that strict lockdowns were in place during some of the process, while the team also had to contend with sustainably managing contaminated materials during the demolition and building process.

The site is now fully leased to a range of customers who appreciate the easy access and proximity to 4.5 million consumers within a 60-minute drive time¹.

BIODIVERSITY

Beehives on rooftop, Senlis Logistics Centre, Paris, France.



GoodNature – vegetable garden at Interchange Park, Sydney, Australia.



Using circularity to support biodiversity

Nature provides many of the essential resources we rely on to survive. Yet, global biodiversity and the health of ecosystems are under threat. Scarcity of resources and demand for more materials are creating the need for businesses to challenge themselves to respond to these threats. We can design for circularity by identifying new strategies to operate more efficiently, design out waste through reuse and recycling, and where possible use fewer resources. In this light, circularity can help reduce biodiversity loss.

Circularity, or the circular economy approach, is a blueprint for action, and it's gaining traction. Consumers, investors, companies and governments are recognising the importance of circularity and how it can be used to respond to global challenges like climate change, reducing pollution and declining biodiversity.

Goodman has a responsibility to do what it can to preserve and improve biodiversity across its global operations. Our ambition is to deliver resilient assets that support human health and value natural capital, and our customers and investment partners are looking to us to lead on these efforts. We are certain that disclosure of natural capital and biodiversity management will increase, highlighted by the global launch of the Taskforce for Nature-Related Financial Disclosures (TNFD) framework.

Our preference for re-developing brownfield sites, and when needed, rehabilitating contaminated industrial environments, supports the circularity approach. It offers Goodman the opportunity to enhance the local environment and improve biodiversity as well as make other positive improvements. This work is underway. We've already begun establishing urban forests, linking wildlife corridors, installing gardens with beehives and expanding conservation areas at our sites.

BIODIVERSITY KEY TO BEDFORD COMMERCIAL PARK

Set in a landscaped park environment, Bedford Commercial Park will offer green open spaces and attractive surroundings for our customers and their employees to enjoy.



The Park includes a network of landscaped ponds, designed to support local wildlife and protect natural habitats. The Park is complemented by a community orchard, extensive woodland planting, and a series of pedestrian footpaths and cycle routes which link the Park with its natural surroundings. It will also be the home to a future canal link between Bedford and Milton Keynes.

Since we completed Phase 1 in 2019, we've worked with the local charitable trust, the Forest of Marston Vale, to increase tree canopy cover in the area by 30%. More than 950 native trees have been planted across the Park, with Goodman people also volunteering their time to help.

Once complete, Bedford Commercial Park will include four acres of ponds and close to seven acres of new and existing woodland.

IMPROVING BIODIVERSITY BY SUPPORTING BEES

Bees help support biodiversity across the globe. Without them, many ecosystems would cease to exist. But today's global bee populations face a multitude of threats, including habitat destruction, disease and the use of agricultural chemicals.



To help, Goodman is colonising wild bees by planting wildflower meadows with nesting sites on the grounds at some of our German properties in Pforzheim, Nuremberg, Stuttgart and Hamburg. The project, supported by bee experts and beekeepers at Pforzheim III Logistics Centre in Germany, will help these threatened species as we improve biodiversity in the area. It will also provide wellbeing benefits to the local workforce, as they will be able to enjoy the improved natural surroundings.

Another way we support biodiversity is through the 100-plus beehives now installed across our European sites. In Germany, our honeybees produced almost 600 kilograms of honey this year. Some of it came from the beehives located at our logistics sites in Nuremberg, Eppertshausen, Leipzig and Pforzheim, where our customers are partnering with us to support the bees.

INNOVATIONS AND SUSTAINABILITY IN OUR LANDSCAPING

Preserving and maintaining our natural assets is important to Goodman. Innovation – both in technology and in our thinking – has helped us make big leaps in sustainable landscaping practices in Australia.



Through pilot projects, collaboration with suppliers and trials of new technologies, we have been able to reorient our landscaping operations with sustainability front of mind.

Technology-led solutions to reduce water waste

Last year we installed 384 potable water meter devices – at least one on each Australian property. These meters track the 1,468 megalitres of water used across our portfolio every year. Their real time data helps customers understand their water usage and identify leaks or wastage so that they can be repaired well before a bill arrives.

We also deployed smart irrigation across Goodman's Australian portfolio. This technology-led solution is so smart that individual garden beds can be remotely controlled, reducing water use at some properties by 54%.

Making our landscaping practices more sustainable

We used new technology to reduce the chemicals used to tackle weeds. After comparing various low-toxic weed control options, we trialled new equipment combining saturated steam and boiling water. This solution helps nurture soil and use less of the chemical Glyphosate. We are working closely with the manufacturer to make it more portable so we can use it more widely.

From December 2022 all our landscaping contractors will need to use lithium battery-powered blowers, hedge trimmers and other handheld landscaping equipment. These are lighter, quieter and easier to handle than combustion options – and don't rely on fossil fuels for power.

A sustainable approach to trees

There are 21,000 trees across the Australian portfolio. We have a maintenance plan in place which helps maintain optimum health and longevity. Soon, when our trees are trimmed, the branches will be broken down into mulch, soil or compost and then reused. A green waste recycling pilot project on several Goodman properties in Sydney processed an estimated 500 cubic metres of green waste in just six months. This project will soon roll out nationally.

1. Source: Esri and Michael Bauer Research



People and culture

Our people are our greatest asset. Their determination and innovation combined with the strong relationships they build with our customers and partners, push our business forward. That's why we work hard to create a culture that enables our people to realise their ambitions. It's integral to who we are and what we stand for. We encourage innovation and we offer skills development and challenging work opportunities that advance people's careers.



Team approach

Goodman people work as a collaborative and engaged team. We strongly believe that using a team-based approach, where our people, customers, partners and contractors work together, is the way we can all perform at our best.

To support and retain our people and attract new talent, we foster a diverse and inclusive culture. Our people participate in the Group's long term incentive scheme, in part to build collective responsibility, and to align with the interests of our stakeholders.

Goodman actively supports a strong culture in many ways. To keep our people healthy, connected and motivated, we provide regionally specific online and interactive health and wellbeing initiatives. These initiatives have become even more important during the pandemic. Incorporating health and wellbeing features into our properties for our customers is also a focus. These include facilities like recreational and fitness facilities, break-out spaces and garden areas.

We focus on our safety standards and monitoring to prioritise the safety of each team member and contractor. And we are seeking to protect human rights by mitigating the risk of modern slavery in our global supply chains, and continuously improving our business ethics and processes.

We operate a hybrid working model globally. Our commitment to flexibility allowed us to adapt and successfully work through lockdowns, travel restrictions and home schooling, while continuing to meet our customers' needs during the pandemic.

Our remuneration strategy is designed to attract the best talent and retain our people. We invest in retaining our people through our unique long-term employee equity plan. This gives all our people a material stake in the business.

We value and welcome diversity. We recognise the need to increase our female senior management representation and to reach our target of 40% by 2030. We are actively working towards this target through succession planning, promotions, recruitment and employee development. We are also providing this cohort with increased direct involvement with large customers and investors, and additional talent management opportunities.





Material drivers

- + Promoting workplace safety and wellbeing for all
- + Demonstrating Goodman's values and promoting diversity, inclusiveness, and social equity
- + Group and regional leadership
- + Influencing the sustainability practices of our value chain.



Progress against targets

TARGET	FY22 ACTIVITY	PROGRESS
Safe working environment 	In FY22, zero fatalities occurred on construction projects under the control of our Principal Contractors. This achievement was supported through the launch of a Return to Zero program for our contractors which increased operational monitoring of safety standards. We also developed specifications for minimum contractual safety standards on construction projects for Principal Contractors.	
Improve supply chain business ethics 	Development of our Sustainable Sourcing Framework, including updates to our Supplier Code of Conduct. These commitments will assist us in protecting human rights within our supply chain and to mitigate the risk of modern slavery. A comprehensive modern slavery training module was launched in FY22.	
Gender ratio of 50/50, with 40% female senior executives by 2030 	We reached a gender ratio of 44% female and 56% male. Goodman maintained 30% female senior executives.	
100% of employees assessed as demonstrating Goodman's values	As of September 2022, 98% of employees had been rated as at least 'demonstrate' or above of the Group's values.	

LIVING

OUR VALUES



Sustainability, innovation, determination and integrity – Goodman's values are integral to the success of the business. They shape our culture and focus our teams on delivering high-quality service, and innovative property and investment solutions over the long term. As part of the annual performance review process, our people are assessed on their demonstration of the Goodman values.

SUPPORTING OUR PEOPLE

Our wellbeing offering evolved during the year to respond to the ongoing nature of the pandemic. Our people in some regions took part in anonymous mental health surveys with the results helping our managers better understand the indicators of mental health in our people, and how to support their team members across the globe.

We encouraged our managers to have more regular check-ins with their team members to better support them throughout the pandemic and beyond. We continued to provide counselling for our people and their families, as well as tailored online educational support on a range of health and wellbeing issues. In some regions these programs were run by an expert external provider.

Goodman continues to offer flexibility and remote working. We are strong supporters of flexible work and the benefits it brings. Plus, our people like the flexibility and we want to support them in this. Now, our people typically work in a hybrid arrangement depending on their commitments. Both options have benefits for our people, customers, relationships and our culture.



Goodman's office at The Hayesbery, Sydney, Australia.



People first design

Our offices are designed for collaboration, health, wellbeing, connectivity and sustainability. We provide a mix of quiet spaces and areas to connect and collaborate – all in contemporary and sustainable surroundings.

Where possible, we design our workspaces to maximise natural light and fresh air. We use natural non-toxic materials and incorporate plants and trees to lower stress and improve mental clarity. Several of our offices have yoga rooms, gyms, multi-faith rooms and end-of-trip facilities.

Our workplaces also allow for limited physical contact, which helps prevent the spread of illness. We have touchless entry to our buildings, and our people use their own technology equipment. There is also plenty Connected wellbeing of physical space between people in the office.

Connected wellbeing

Flexibility allowed Goodman to adapt and successfully work through lockdowns, travel restrictions and home schooling, while continuing to be productive and meet our customers' changing needs in a time of global disruption.

Where the pandemic impacted our people's work and home lives, we offered region-specific online and interactive health and wellbeing initiatives to keep them physically and mentally healthy, connected to their colleagues and motivated. These included virtual fitness sessions, karaoke competitions, walking groups, cooking competitions, book clubs, guided meditations, wellness talks, and other initiatives.

In China and Hong Kong, we provided additional support for our people during the extended lockdowns through tutoring services for children who were unable to attend school, and other opportunities for regular communication with management and colleagues across their region.



HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT



The value of diversity

At Goodman, we are committed to enhancing diversity and encouraging inclusion. We each bring unique perspectives, experiences, knowledge, backgrounds and thinking. Diverse teams foster a rich mix of differing views and ideas, all of which challenge our thinking and result in better decision making and business performance.

Diversity allows our people to know they genuinely belong and feel included. Diversity of thought is greatly valued at Goodman. Our success depends on it.

Our diversity policy supports:

- + Workplaces free of harassment or unlawful discrimination
- + Recruitment and promotion based on merit
- + Equal access to personal development, management skills and career opportunities
- + Cultural differences
- + The needs of individuals with family and carer responsibilities
- + Customers' diversity and their expectations
- + An inclusive work environment where ideas and opinions are shared
- + A range of philanthropic channels for community contributions
- + Increased career advancement opportunities for women.

In FY22, Goodman undertook several diversity and inclusion initiatives to enhance our workplaces. These included:

- + Conducted training and other initiatives in various regions on inclusion and diversity, as well as specific inclusive leadership training
- + As part of the Group's support of Our Watch, Goodman worked closely with the organisation to establish the Our Watch Institute ([for more information see page 94](#)). Starting in FY23, gender equality training will be rolled out to all Goodman employees, commencing in Australia. This training is designed to reinforce the message within Goodman about the importance of gender equality in the wider community, recognising it as the primary cause of violence against women. Participant feedback will help assist Our Watch refine training content in preparation for rollout across other workplaces. As part of the support from Goodman, valuable communication and marketing advice will help to implement the program with other corporates, and ultimately the wider community
- + Important corporate messages translated into local languages when needed to improve understanding and demonstrate to our people that the Group is cognisant of language differences
- + Parental leave entitlements were reviewed and increased in the UK, New Zealand and Australia. We also introduced online tools, such as Circle In and Enboarder in Australia and NZ, to assist in the transition to and from parental leave and improve communication with our people on parental leave
- + A flexible work policy was introduced in Japan, an employment market that traditionally has not embraced flexible work to the same extent as some others
- + In Continental Europe and New Zealand, we implemented an improved (voluntary) approach to capture workplace demographics, in order to assist with planning and target setting
- + In support of FY22 International Women's Day (IWD), various offices around the world participated in activities to enhance gender equality
- The Goodman Hong Kong business undertook the Women Build 2022 project in conjunction with Habitat for Humanity Hong Kong ([for more information see page 94](#))
- Goodman NZ recognised IWD by launching an updated parental leave policy. The enhancements support the Group's commitment to reduce bias and improve representation across genders through providing practical and financial support to parents and caregivers. Also, employees attended an IWD "Break The Bias" webinar hosted by the Property Council NZ
- Also in NZ, a refreshed diversity and inclusion survey was launched which for the first time included a question on identifying as part of the LGBTQI+ community. The section of the Circle In platform was also utilised by an employee (who is part of the LGBTQI+ community) who shared their parenting story with the Goodman and wider Circle In community
- In Australia, Patty Kinnerseley, CEO of Our Watch, presented at a Goodman staff web conference to outline the importance of gender equality and addressed the key features of the training program
- + A steering group was established in Australia to support the development of a comprehensive and meaningful Diversity and Inclusion strategy
- + Also in Australia, the development of a Reconciliation Action Plan is underway to drive awareness and reconciliation with First Nations peoples. (See Advancing reconciliation with Australia's First Nations people section below for more detail)
- + We continue to support the Property Council of Australia's 500 Women in Property Mentoring Program. Goodman's Australian business also sponsors the Women in Industrial Program, which promotes female participation in the industrial property sector
- + Within the Australian business, internal group mentoring is available to some of our people by senior leaders.

WE EACH BRING UNIQUE
PERSPECTIVES, EXPERIENCES,
KNOWLEDGE, BACKGROUNDS
AND THINKING.

Developing leadership

Building an inclusive workplace at Goodman starts with our leaders. They lead by example when creating the culture of our business.

In Continental Europe, our management and reporting structure continue to evolve to strongly encourage teamwork. In Australia, we facilitated leadership workshops that focused on the value of inclusion.

This is important long-term work. We know by leveraging diverse thinking and enriching our capabilities, we can create a positive work and team culture, improve collaboration and positively impact the performance of our global business. The result of this work creates a culture where our people realise their potential and are empowered to pursue leadership.

We have a global workforce of approximately 1,000 people and a relatively flat organisational structure. So when evaluating if an employee should be categorised as a senior executive, we consider:

- + Proximity of the employee on the Group's organisational chart to the Group CEO or regional CEOs
- + Scope of the role
- + Potential commercial impact
- + Accountability for risk factors
- + Total remuneration level.

Encouraging leadership styles that recognise the importance of inclusion, supports our aim of creating a more diverse workplace by lifting the representation of females in leadership roles. We are committed to 40% of females in the senior executive category by 2030. In FY22, this was maintained at 30% through targeted recruitment, talent reviews and promotions. We also continued to upskill senior female employees, where opportunities become available, by giving them more responsibility and exposure to major customers, investors or corporate activity.



The (good) life

To foster engagement, promote a greater work-life balance and enhance productivity, Goodman provides a range of activities for our people around the world.

In Australia, Goodman's health and wellbeing program, the (good) life, supports our people through six pillars:

- + the (social) life
- + the (healthy) life
- + the (balanced) life
- + the (energetic) life
- + the (informed) life
- + the (beneficial) life

In the last few years we have expanded this program so that our people can take part while working from home with a focus on exercise and education.



People resources and skills

Goodman is proactive about identifying and managing human capital risks. As our business evolves, this risk management approach includes identifying potential gaps in available human resources. A recent example includes the recruitment of specialist personnel with experience managing Life Cycle Carbon Assessments.

Goodman operates with global mandates to provide technical expertise and alignment across the Group. For example, the Group Technical Director, Group Insurance Manager and Group Safety Manager engage with our regional teams. Goodman's Group Head of HR reports to the Remuneration Committee on any material employee relations and HR issues, along with gender equity and related matters. The Nominations Committee oversees the Group's succession plan at senior levels.

Building capacity

Online learning provides an effective platform for learning and training for Goodman's global teams. However, we also consider it a positive sign that there was a return to more face-to-face learning for key technical requirements in FY22.

Goodman's Continental Europe business ran the Goodman Academy again this year, where internal subject matter experts present to peers on technical aspects of their roles. These sessions provide employees the opportunity to present and engage within the business.

These types of peer-to-peer training sessions are highly valued by Goodman, and we run similar programs in other regions, such as the 'In Focus' program in Australia.

This year we also launched a global communications training program, facilitated by an external writing expert. The program has been rolled out in Australia, New Zealand, Continental Europe and North America – with remaining regions to be trained in FY23.

Goodman's people can also access a comprehensive catalogue of LinkedIn Learning content via the Group's global learning system, Aspire. Aspire is the gateway to a range of professional development and skills training relating to compliance, technical and management skills. It's the backbone of Goodman's human capital skills offerings. All content is kept current and it remains a popular method of self-paced learning among our employees.

During FY22, 69% of Goodman's global workforce accessed LinkedIn learning to view 9,565 hours of training across both internal and external courses. The most popular online modules were communication and negotiation, personal coaching, and leadership.

Goodman's Greater China team has developed its own competency framework designed to assist employees identify what skills and experiences they require to progress their development and learning pathways.



Managing our team

Goodman's human resources team manages our human capital including the global performance management process in place for our workforce.

We do background checks on new employees where relevant and ongoing checks on employees where required by regulatory bodies. Our Code of Conduct covers all employees and regular governance compliance training takes place to boost awareness, among employees, of their obligations.

We look at human capital metrics including gender representation, gender pay equity, remuneration, diversity, learning, turnover and absenteeism. Voluntary turnover across Goodman Group is around 6.4% per annum.

Across the Group, we prioritise equal fixed remuneration for employees who do similar work within the same region. Differences in total remuneration, including incentives, are based on performance.

These performance-based incentives are a large portion of our remuneration. All employees globally participate in our LTIP and it is a critical element of the Group's remuneration philosophy. The structure and long-term nature of the LTIP is fundamental in generating the type of culture that we want for long-term success. It creates significant long-term alignment between the sustained financial and operational outcomes of the business delivered and the returns to securityholders.



Career direction

It is important to Goodman that we recruit, engage, develop and retain the best people in the market.

We focus on providing development opportunities for our people throughout their career with Goodman. This includes setting clear performance expectations from the outset. We induct new employees with face-to-face and e-learning modules during their early months at Goodman.

Crucial to the development of our people is monitoring performance and providing competitive remuneration and benefits. To develop careers, we offer learning and development opportunities that help build new and existing skills. We prioritise talent and use succession planning to make sure we build a strong workforce and leadership team for the future.

Employee development plans are reviewed each year with managers. These focus on career aspirations, goals, and potential training. Our people are offered incentives to study during their career at Goodman. This includes study support schemes, which in some regions includes an allowance of up to AU\$8,000.

The annual performance review and development process helps identify and plan for role progression and identify what specialised management training is required. This training includes peer-to-peer engagement with Goodman's senior leadership team and management committees, which helps identify succession opportunities and creates a diverse leadership team for the longer term.



Sustainable movement

Our holistic approach to sustainability not only focuses on Goodman's business but also supports sustainability actions for our people.

To help our people access more sustainable transport we continued offering electric vehicle (EV) incentives. Our people who purchase an EV are offered a financial subsidy by the Group, with the popularity of this scheme gaining momentum each year.

We are also transitioning Goodman's global vehicle fleet to electric, with programs in place in Australia, Continental Europe and New Zealand to upgrade their fleets. In addition, Goodman's developments globally increasingly feature EV bays with battery charging stations.

ADVANCING RECONCILIATION WITH FIRST AUSTRALIA'S NATION'S PEOPLE

Reflect: Our Reconciliation Action Plan

Goodman sees it as our responsibility to listen to, learn from and amplify First Nations voices where we work and live in Australia.

We are at the beginning of realising our ambitions in this space. Our focus this year has been on moving through the Reflect stage of our Reconciliation Action Plan (RAP). A RAP is designed to provide tangible and substantive benefits for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples around the core pillars of relationships, respect and opportunities. Like anything we do, it's important that our first RAP is authentic and ambitious – we're determined to make a difference.

Relationships

We are actively engaging with Indigenous organisations to assist us with our Reflect RAP, including cultural immersion and building relationships with Local Land Councils in the areas where we operate.

To understand the right training and immersion opportunities to offer, we surveyed our people and offered senior leadership briefings on reconciliation and the RAP process. Both will enable our people to be a part of our engagement with First Nations peoples.

Respect

Acknowledging Country at Goodman's official investor events such as the AGM and results presentations is an important mark of respect. For new developments, we conduct a Welcome to Country and smoking ceremony officiated by Local Land Councils.

During NAIDOC Week and National Reconciliation Week we worked to further facilitate greater understanding and respect through cultural immersions, communication, Indigenous movie screenings and food workshops.



Smoking Ceremony to mark the start of construction at Proximity@Botany, Sydney, Australia.



Opportunities

Goodman is engaging with Indigenous suppliers where possible and seeking opportunities to employ indigenous people within our Australian team.

Through the Goodman Foundation we are committed to education support programs like the Clontarf Foundation and other culturally safe initiatives as with the Stepping Stone House Bawaga program.

More information about our broad indigenous support can be found in the Goodman Foundation section ([see page 94](#)).

NAIDOC WEEK

NAIDOC Week is a time to celebrate the history, culture and achievements of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples. It seemed the right moment to conduct a Welcome to Country and smoking ceremony at Goodman's flagship workspace, The Hayesbery in Sydney's Rosebery.

Uncle Allen Madden from the Metro Local Aboriginal Land Council conducted the Welcome on behalf of the Gadigal people.

Smoking Ceremony and Welcome to Country, The Hayesbery, Sydney, Australia.



“ABORIGINAL CULTURE IS EASY TO UNDERSTAND. IT’S ALL ABOUT SHARING AND CARING, AND RESPECT. THAT’S SOMETHING THAT’S ALWAYS IN YOUR HEART AND MIND.”

Uncle Allen Madden

SYSTEMS FOR SAFETY

Goodman is a global business and our commitment to safety is consistent across regions. Our global safety framework helps us implement our approach to safety management for construction and maintenance activities in our portfolio.

The major risks for life safety, and a key area of our focus, are in the development and construction of our properties on sites under control and supervision of Principal Contractors.

Goodman is committed to positively influencing high safety standards in our contracted workforce. We also actively monitor safety standards to minimise incidents and achieve our objective of zero fatal accidents in our supply chain.

Crossways Commercial Park, London, United Kingdom.



Major safety initiatives

During FY22 our priority was achieving zero fatal accidents on construction projects controlled by Principal Contractors. We launched a 'Return to Zero' program to directly influence contractors and increase operational monitoring of safety standards.

We developed specifications for minimum contractual safety standards on construction projects for Principal Contractors. The standards outline our expectations for contractors as they provide control measures for high-risk activities. During FY22 we proactively monitored the implementation of these contractual safety standards during construction.


During our 'Return to Zero' program, we conducted frequent safety inspections and issued non-conformance reports and stop work orders to Principal Contractors. This not only enforced our standards but also served to influence contractor safety standards overall.

We also developed a program to provide increased powers for our site safety representatives and initiated penalties for contractors deemed to be non-compliant with Goodman expectations. Our combined approach helped us achieve zero fatal accidents on contractor-controlled projects this year.

CUSTOMER WELLBEING

Our commitment to sustainable properties means we develop and own buildings that are great places to work in and support the health and wellbeing needs of our customers.

We believe that our commitment to providing healthy, sustainable buildings makes it easier for our customers to attract their own workforce to our properties. In turn, our business benefits from high occupancy levels and sustained rental growth.



WELL HEALTH-SAFETY RATING FOR 10 HONG KONG PROPERTIES

In Hong Kong, urban density means our properties are central hubs and home to bigger workforces than many other locations.

The globally-recognised WELL Health-Safety Rating, from the International WELL Building Institute (IWBI), guides, validates and recognises the efforts of building owners and operators on critical health and safety issues. This year Goodman submitted 10 of our Hong Kong properties for the rating. We became Hong Kong's first logistics developer in our asset class to achieve it.

The WELL rating assesses more than 20 features across five core areas:

- + Cleaning and sanitisation procedures
- + Emergency preparedness programs
- + Health service resources
- + Air and water quality management
- + Stakeholder engagement and communication.

Health, safety and wellbeing is a priority at Goodman. Now, when customers, suppliers or our team walk into our Hong Kong properties and see the WELL certification logo, they can be reassured this remains a key commitment.

Goodman Yuen Long Logistics Centre, Hong Kong SAR, China.





Corporate performance

We are proactive about ESG at Goodman. It is a people-focused approach that achieves positive outcomes for our business, people, stakeholders and the communities where we operate, in the long term.

Goodman's Boards are committed to managing ESG matters with the highest priority. The Boards monitor progress towards our 2030 Sustainability Strategy and targets. They recently established a Sustainability and Innovation Committee to support the management team. The new Committee, along with Goodman's Risk and Compliance Committee, will enhance the Board's visibility and oversight of our ESG initiatives.

ESG has been further embedded into our business recently through our remuneration structure. Meeting environmental and sustainability targets is now a further performance assessment for vesting of our LTIs linked to operational outcomes, and is measurable and disclosed as part of our remuneration structure. It means our people have a long-term financial interest in the environmental and sustainability performance of our business.

Maintaining sustainable sources of capital requires demonstratable governance of ESG priorities. During the year, Goodman established a Sustainability Linked Bond Framework. This provides a structure to link financing with our sustainability strategy and the achievement of our sustainability goals.

Our reputation is important so we act in line with our Code of Conduct (the Code) and comprehensive suite of governance policies, and with integrity wherever we do business. Together, these documents set the standard of professional and ethical behaviour expected from all of those who represent us. They are available on Goodman's global website. The Code was updated during the year to better align with our revised values.



Crossways Commercial Park, Greater London, UK.



Effective and regular disclosure of our ESG performance with stakeholders helps maintain trust in Goodman's governance and leadership teams. We participate in several ESG ratings and benchmarks that our investment partners value, and we use these as tools to identify where we can improve. The benchmarks/ratings we participate in are set to grow in FY23. The list currently includes:

- + Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- + MSCI ESG Index
- + Sustanalytics benchmark.

In 2022, 10 Goodman partnerships participated in the GRESB, with results due over the coming months. Last year, we achieved excellent results including our Japanese Core Partnership being awarded Sector Leader in the industrial distribution warehouse category for the fourth consecutive year.




- + Sustainable operations and results
- + Effective disclosures of ESG performance
- + Investing responsibly with defined governance and sustainable capital structures
- + Protecting human rights and sourcing sustainably
- + Supporting the community through the Goodman Foundation

TARGET

PROGRESS

Continued to meet financial targets to underpin capital sources and retain credit rating. Maintained credit rating at BBB+ (S&P) and Baa1 (Moody's).



Adopt the TCFD guidelines for climate risk assessment and disclosure by 2022


Adopted Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) guidelines in 2020 and updated in 2022.



\$50 million in social investment by the Goodman Foundation by 2030

The Goodman Foundation contributed more than \$10.6 million in cash, plus another \$1 million in staff fundraising and in-kind contributions. This takes our total investment to \$37.3 million since our 2030 sustainability strategy came into effect in 2019.

302 Goodman people contributed 2,196 volunteering hours to our community efforts.



Governance of ESG issues

At Goodman, we are committed to the highest standards of corporate governance and recognise that an effective corporate governance framework is critical to the long-term performance of the business. This extends to governance relating to ESG matters, which we believe is essential to maximise long-term sustainable value for securityholders.

The Sustainability and Innovation Committee will focus on Goodman's sustainability strategy, responding to material drivers such as climate risk, as well as our performance on our ESG targets. The Committee will also oversee and monitor Goodman's investment in innovation and review the impact of innovation and technological developments on the business.

Goodman's team will provide quarterly updates to the Sustainability and Innovation Committee as it does for the Risk and Compliance Committee. These committees provide strategic insights to Goodman's Boards.

We believe the overall long-term sustainability of our business requires long-term strategic operational objectives. These must sit alongside a remuneration system which builds a culture of ownership for our employees as we focus performance on long-term success rather than short-term gains.



Goodman's remuneration structure is integrated into the business to encourage sustainable financial results alongside improvement in all areas of ESG over time. This includes sustainability targets which are incorporated into the performance reviews and remuneration structure for Goodman's management teams.

In particular, for LTI awards made since 2021 the Board has discretion to apply a reduction to the number of performance rights that vest where it considers that management's performance is not sufficient against sustainability targets. For the awards made in September 2022, these targets will include:

- + Renewable energy
 - Increasing our global renewable energy usage to include 70% of electricity generated from renewable sources (including the allocation of renewable energy certificates) as we progress to our long-term target of 100% renewable energy use by 2025
- + Solar Power
 - 65MW of new solar PV installations or commitments (on-site and off-site sources) as we progress to our 2025 400MW target*
- + Carbon
 - Maintaining carbon-neutral operations (within our direct operational control) by reducing emissions and using verified carbon offsets
 - Embodied carbon emissions of new developments are calculated and considered in investment papers. This will be done on a globally consistent basis for carbon neutral developments
 - A reduction in the Group's Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 2030, against 2021 baseline, in line with 1.5°C Paris Agreement pathway as validated by SBTi (this is a new target for FY22)
- + TCFD
 - Maintaining and updating our climate disclosures in line with the TCFD guidelines
- + Occupancy
 - Maintaining a >95% occupancy rate.

Inclusion of these assessments provides real financial impact to our people if they materially underperform on these long-term objectives.

*Subject to government, local authority and network operator approvals, and meeting community or social issues.



Code of conduct

At Goodman we believe in conducting ourselves and our business with integrity. Maintaining our reputation is critical.

These beliefs underly our Code of Conduct (the Code), which clearly sets out the standards of professional and ethical behaviour expected from those who represent us.

Goodman updated its Code during the year to better align with our values. The updated Code is more comprehensive and links directly to our corporate governance policies. Our corporate governance policies were also reviewed during the year.

The Code is endorsed by Goodman's Boards and extends to anyone who works for Goodman, including non-executive directors (Directors) as well as employees and contractors (team members). It also informs how we interact with everyone we encounter at work, including customers, suppliers, partners, regulators and securityholders.

At Goodman, we have zero tolerance for any improper or unethical conduct, including bribery and corruption. All team members complete ethical behaviour training, which includes bullying, harassment, anti-bribery and corruption.

The Code has nine guiding principles to preserve Goodman's reputation of doing the right thing by our customers and team members. The principles are:

- + Act in a professional manner
- + Work as a team and respect others
- + Treat stakeholders fairly
- + Value honesty and integrity
- + Follow the law and our policies
- + Respect confidentiality and do not misuse information
- + Support our sustainability strategy and targets
- + Manage conflicts of interest
- + Strive to be a great team member.

These principles operate alongside Goodman's values, policies and procedures and everyone is expected to follow them when representing Goodman.

[View our full Code of Conduct](#)

[View our Governance Policies and Charters](#)

[View Goodman's Corporate Governance Statement](#)



Human rights and modern slavery

Goodman supports the protection of human rights, and equity and fairness within our supply chains. We acknowledge that modern slavery is a global risk and that managing this risk is an ongoing responsibility. Modern Slavery is a serious form of exploitation present in global supply chains, that can take place through labour rights abuses including forced labour, debt bondage and child labour. It can come about through the use of coercion, threats or deception to exploit and deprive people of their freedoms, rights and access to safe and fairly compensated employment.

Modern slavery spans industries, but an estimated 18% of modern slavery victims are in the property and construction industry. Additionally, 22% of forced labour occurs in the manufacture and production of raw materials – key suppliers to the property and construction industry.

Goodman is not immune to the risk of modern slavery. The risk of modern slavery is most likely to be present within our supply chain which includes over 8,000 suppliers (as opposed to our direct employees where we have strong controls in place).

Our Sustainable Sourcing Framework and our robust, risk-based approach to modern slavery both enable us to respond better to these risks, and work with suppliers to mitigate them. We have also committed to developing and implementing global operational and supplier standards and guidance on modern slavery to assist our teams involved in procurement in FY23. Together, these actions represent a strong signal about business ethics that will spread along our operations and through our supply chain.

[View our Modern Slavery Statement](#)

Sustainable sourcing

This year Goodman developed its Sustainable Sourcing Framework. The Framework provides direction to achieve Goodman's overarching sourcing and supply chain objectives globally. We recognise we have an opportunity to influence the sustainability outcomes of a value chain that consists of over 8,000 suppliers. The Framework will help us to protect human rights within our supply chain and to mitigate the risk of modern slavery, as well as respond to the social, environment and governance targets in our 2030 sustainability strategy.

We have developed a roadmap to successfully implement the Framework from FY23.

The first step of the roadmap is engaging with our internal and external stakeholders to build awareness of our sourcing and supply chain objectives and expectations. Internal working groups have been established to support this task, and to help with implementation of the Framework in each of the regions where we operate.



Goodman recognises the impact of procured products and services on the environment and people. As a result, this year we expanded our procurement policies to support the new Framework – they now prioritise environmental, social and governance objectives.

We aim to adopt procurement strategies which avoid unnecessary consumption and, where possible, benefit society, while considering environmental impact and lifecycle.

We anticipate our new Framework and procurement decision making will see us increase the number of our suppliers that prioritise social, environmental and governance factors. We look forward to working with like-minded suppliers.

We recognise that our suppliers operate in a variety of legal frameworks and cultural environments around the world. However, we believe that engaging consistently with our supply chain on our Framework will lead to broader positive change in our sector.

ESG benchmarking

ESG performance and rating benchmarks allow Goodman to measure and communicate our ESG efforts to our stakeholders. They also allow us to assess opportunities for improvement. We participate in several global benchmarking programs, including the Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB), the MSCI ESG Index, and the Sustainalytics benchmark.

One of the leaders in sustainability assessments in real estate is GRESB, which helps our stakeholders contextualise our sustainability performance. Over the decade Goodman has been a participant in GRESB, we have continually improved our scores and increased our number of participating entities. This year, 10 of our partnerships made submissions, with the results due for release late 2022.

To date Goodman has achieved some excellent GRESB results within our peer groups. While 2022 results are due later this year, 2021 highlights include:

- + Goodman Group maintained its 'A' rating for its public ESG disclosure
- + Goodman Japan Core Partnership achieved 5 Stars and Sector Leader for the fourth consecutive year
- + Goodman Australia Partnership achieved joint overall Regional Sector Leader
- + Goodman UK Partnership was ranked First in the Development benchmark.

We were also active in other benchmarks this year. In March 2022, Goodman received an ESG Risk Rating of 9.5 from Sustainalytics, where we were assessed as being at 'Negligible' risk of experiencing material financial impacts from ESG factors – a strong result.

The Group was also upgraded by MSCI to 'AA' from 'A', reflecting our robust corporate governance and anti-corruption controls.

Crossways Commercial Park, Greater London, UK.



Investment grade credit rating

Maintaining a sustainable and strong balance sheet with available liquidity and ongoing access to capital is important to our business. Increasingly, our finance partners are valuing ESG performance and using their investments to influence sustainable outcomes like those which Goodman supports.

Goodman Group has longstanding relationships with some of the world's largest pension and sovereign wealth groups through our managed Partnerships. Our approach to sustainability, and the targets we set ourselves, are one way we can build trust with our stakeholders, including our investment partners.

Many of our investment partners operate with their own dynamic ESG policies. As a result, these relationships have supported Goodman's investment teams to adopt sustainability principles in our own development and management activities. This has contributed to the sustained improvement in Goodman's external ESG benchmarks.

We measure our financial sustainability and strength through our credit ratings of BBB+ (S&P) and Baa1 (Moody's). These ratings reflect our sustainable operations and results, capital stability and approach to responsible investments.

During the year, Goodman developed its Sustainability Linked Bond Framework (framework). The framework outlines how Goodman Group can link our financing strategy with our sustainability strategy, helping to deliver on our sustainability goals. Following the development of the framework, Goodman closed its first US\$500 million Sustainability Linked Bond into the US144A/Reg S market.



The Goodman Foundation brings together our people, properties and resources to address disadvantage in the world and make a tangible and sustainable difference to people's lives. We partner with like-minded charities and fund projects with clearly defined timelines and outcomes, to provide real support where it's needed most. We are proud that across the world, our people are increasingly engaged with the Foundation and living our values through these efforts.

The Clontarf Foundation, Tennant Creek Primary Academy in Northern Territory.



A changing world

With another year of global challenges, the Goodman Foundation continued to support our charity partners as the world changed around them yet again. While demand for charitable services soared, capacity to deliver or implement crucial fundraising activities remained compromised. Working in partnership with local charities who know best what their communities need, the Goodman Foundation helped them respond strategically and scale their impact as required.

We stayed generous and flexible, our doors open, whether we were responding to disasters like floods or helping those impacted by the war in the Ukraine. We also remained acutely aware of the new and pressing challenges COVID was creating for our communities.

This year, our support for the Indigenous community in Australia increased and we expect will continue to increase in coming years. We worked closely with existing partners to find ways to contribute to their Indigenous programs, such as Meals for the Mob through FareShare. Plus, we sought new partnerships to help us work towards the objectives of our Reconciliation Action Plan.

Across the year our support totalled \$10.6 million in cash, plus another \$1 million in staff fundraising and in-kind contributions. This takes our total investment to \$37.3 million since our 2030 sustainability strategy came into effect in 2019.

Thread Together, Sydney, Australia.



How we help

The Goodman Foundation partners with charities in three key areas: children and youth, community and community health, and food/product rescue and the environment.

Our focus is on providing real support where it's needed most, so emergency response became a significant part of our program this year. By integrating our support into an organisation's strategy, we enable growth and help them make a bigger impact.

Our support is offered as:

Cash grants

Funding for projects with defined outcomes (usually over one to three years) that enable charities to scale or make a bigger, tangible impact.

Do good

Goodman people volunteering or fundraising for charities.

Give back

Workplace giving schemes that equally match contributions from Goodman people.

In-kind

Donations of our expertise, space, office furniture, computers and other critical items.

THE GOODMAN FOUNDATION
PARTNERS WITH CHARITIES IN
THREE KEY AREAS: CHILDREN AND
YOUTH, COMMUNITY AND COMMUNITY
HEALTH, AND FOOD/PRODUCT RESCUE
AND THE ENVIRONMENT.

EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Disasters like floods, fires or droughts now happen on a size and frequency not seen in our lifetime. When they affect the work of our charity partners or the communities we operate in, we step up to help them respond at speed or at scale.

In emergency situations, like the humanitarian crisis in Ukraine, providing support is just the right thing to do, despite us not having a presence in that market. Here, we turn to local experts to find ways to assist.

In either situation, our people play an important role – mainly by raising funds.

Ukraine humanitarian crisis

Responding to the humanitarian crisis in Ukraine and surrounding countries, the Foundation committed €1 million to support emergency response and ongoing aid.

Nearly two-thirds of Ukraine's children are now displaced. For those crossing the border to safety, many find sanctuary within a [Blue Dot Hub](#) – safe spaces along migration routes for children and families.

Blue Dot Hubs provide critical information, emergency supplies, medical and psychological first aid, logistics and supplies for the onward journey, child-friendly spaces and activities, and more. Part of Goodman's larger donation to UNICEF has been used to support the initial set-up and running costs of a Blue Dot Hub.

This year the Goodman team also wanted to support the people of Ukraine. Our fundraising efforts boosted Goodman's total contribution towards the Blue Dot Hub initiative to more than \$400,000.

As it became obvious that rapid medical relief was required on the ground, the Goodman team began discussions with one of our European Foundation partners, Universitair Ziekenhuis Brussel (UZ Brussel). We worked together to send medicine and medical equipment to hospitals in Ukraine with the assistance of local non-government organisations (NGOs).



Goodman funded a convoy of minivans loaded with medical supplies, including anaesthesia for surgeries. The vans made the 1,800-kilometre trip from Belgium to the small town of Medyka on the Poland-Ukraine border.

Once supplies were delivered, transport was offered to Ukrainians wishing to depart for safe haven in Brussels. In April, 19 refugees, mostly families of women and children, took up this offer to travel to safety.

The program has adapted as needs have been revealed. For example, when Goodman learned many of the children had no means of communication, we stepped in to provide phones or laptops.

We have also allocated funding to UZ Brussel for future dedicated Ukrainian support, with a focus on settlement needs for the Ukrainian refugees in Belgium.

The impact of this humanitarian crisis will be felt for some time. That's why the Foundation is currently working to identify medium-term projects that will provide tangible and sustainable benefits for the people of Ukraine, now and into the future.

Perinatal Centre in Kharkiv, Ukraine, supported by UNICEF.



RFS Helicopter donated by Goodman, assisting with flood rescue in NSW, Australia.



Flood relief in Europe and Australia

As climate change takes hold around the world, major floods devastated two of our communities in the last year. In Europe and Australia, we saw tens of thousands of people struggle with the after-effects of these events.

In Europe, major floods hit the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany in July 2021, killing hundreds and devastating local communities, households and the environment. The Red Cross stepped in to coordinate a response, and Goodman donated to support its efforts.

The European floods left the region's rivers filled with debris and plastic. After the floods subsided, 32 of Goodman's European team joined Belgian environmental organisation River Cleanup in its fight against plastic pollution. The team spent a day removing rubbish from local rivers, with Goodman covering the cost of all clean-up materials.

The Goodman Foundation also turned its attention to floods in Australia.

A state-of-the-art helicopter, donated to the NSW Rural Fire Service by Goodman in 2021, had its first deployment helping local authorities in the State's west after flooding in Forbes.

The helicopter has done over 190 hours since coming into service in October 2021, participating in over 130 operations.

Goodman took further action when floods in Queensland, New South Wales and Central Australia left thousands without housing, income or clothing. We turned to our partners and their networks, asking how we could best help them provide the emergency response needed on the ground.

One of these partners, Good360 Australia, has been working hard throughout the Australian floods. Good360 connects the right goods to the right people at the right time during all stages of a disaster, supporting affected communities with the essential items they need. Our funding in previous years helped Good360 establish disaster recovery capabilities. Since February 2022, our funding has helped provide over 618,000 items to 194 flood affected communities.

Goodman team assisting in River CleanUp following European floods.



We are also a founding partner of Thread Together, which has been incredibly active after the Australian floods. Thread Together works with 1,000 brands and retailers nationally. It provides new clothing to those experiencing homelessness, escaping domestic violence, or surviving natural disasters.

Increased support from the Goodman Foundation helped Thread Together expand its operations into flood-affected communities. For many impacted community members, the clothes provided by Thread Together are the only outfits they now own.



OZ Harvest food hampers prepared with help from Goodman.



Continued response to COVID

In this second year of the COVID pandemic, Goodman increased support to charity partners in many parts of the world, including Australia, New Zealand, China, Hong Kong and Germany.

Lockdowns impacted livelihoods, education and mental health. When strict lockdowns were in place in Sydney, Australia, Goodman's donation to Good360, Australia helped this matching charity get personal protection equipment into heavily impacted communities in South-west Sydney.

For social enterprise, the Bread and Butter Project café closures meant it lost most of its income from wholesale bread supply, so we made a significant donation to keep its refugee staff in work. We also provided two of our warehouses to OzHarvest, which gave its teams of volunteers space to build more than 300,000 food hampers. And we funded the supply of more than 800,000 meals to families in the most impacted Sydney suburbs before Christmas.

We found extended lockdowns were affecting the mental health of young people. With our support, Raise Foundation helped 280 at-risk youth in 18 schools, receive access to youth mentors – some of them were our own people.

COMMUNITY AND COMMUNITY HEALTH

Charity organisations in the community and community health space support those living with a condition, illness or disability. Or their efforts help to create a more inclusive and equitable community.

Our Watch

Violence against women is a serious problem globally. In Australia, on average one woman a week is murdered by her current or former partner. Our Watch, an Australian organisation that works to prevent violence against women and their children, has undertaken evidence-based research which demonstrates gender inequality is at the heart of the problem for violence against women. Our Watch works to embed gender equality and prevent violence where Australians live, learn, work and socialise.

To expand the reach of its services and effect real change across the country, Our Watch is partnering with the Goodman Foundation to establish the Our Watch Institute. The Institute's mission is to inspire and support Australians to end violence against women by doing their part to promote gender equality. Through training and practical tools, individuals and organisations will be equipped to lead prevention work in their own communities and spheres of influence.

Nationally there's limited workplace training, expertise and evidence-based resources on preventing violence against women. There's also limited understanding of the difference between workplace activities that prevent violence against women and those that respond to experiences of violence. The Institute will address these gaps by providing expert, tailored and trusted advice and support to advance gender equality in workplaces and inspire and support them to end violence against women.

The Goodman Foundation has committed \$1 million towards the establishment and launch of the Institute and will commit a further \$700,000 over the coming years to assist the operations. For the first phase in 2023, the Institute will focus on corporate Australia and workplaces. Over time we plan for the Institute to inspire and support organisations, workplaces, education institutions and sporting clubs to collectively put an end to domestic violence in Australia.

To learn more, watch: [Let's change the story: Violence against women in Australia – YouTube](#)



Habitat for Humanity, Hong Kong

On International Women's Day this year, the Goodman Foundation announced a new partnership with Habitat for Humanity, Hong Kong, to support its Women Build 2022 campaign – supporting disadvantaged women and children's groups in Hong Kong.

Goodman provided funding to renovate three facilities for disadvantaged women and children across two local NGOs on the Women Build project and utilised its team's expertise to provide support in the planning and design.

Mother's Choice provides a Child Care Home for children without families and pregnant teenagers who cannot be adequately cared for by their families. Goodman and Habitat renovated the outside areas at the Child Care Home, creating a safer and more welcoming environment for the people living there.

PathFinders operates a community centre and a shelter for some of Hong Kong's 390,000 migrant domestic workers. Goodman funded an upgrade to the community centre to make space for additional facilities and provide a more functional, welcoming environment for existing counselling, education and healthcare services.

Funding also helped provide new furniture and fittings for the PathFinders shelter, which offers emergency and temporary accommodation for migrant domestic workers and their new-born babies.

Through this project, we hope to make a tangible difference in achieving gender equality and supporting women in need in Hong Kong.

Kris Harvey, Goodman Greater China CEO, at the opening of three renovated facilities provided to Habitat for Humanity.



Sternebrücke Children's Hospice, Germany.



Sternebrücke Children's Hospice, Germany

In the west of Hamburg, the Sternebrücke Children's Hospice cares for terminally ill children and their families. Since it opened in 2003, around 700 families have already been guests.

Families can stay at the children's hospice not only in the final stages of the young people's lives, but also for 28 days a year, allowing them to gain new strength and recuperate during this difficult time. Even after the child dies, the organisation continues to support their family through their grief.

Physical distancing requirements and the increased demand for Sternebrücke's service have led to new space constraints. As part of our participation in the 19th Hamburg Logistics Days in mid-May, Goodman donated funds towards construction of a winter garden. The conservatory will serve as both a dining and recreation room and will visually connect families with the beautiful Klövensteen forest area surrounding the hospice.

Sport dans la Ville, France.



Sport dans la Ville, France

For over 20 years, French charity Sport dans la Ville has built sports facilities in underprivileged urban areas. Sport is used to support change. It's also a precursor to structured experiences like cultural exchanges, academic support and employment mentoring.

Goodman's support this year will allow Sport dans la Ville to build a football pitch in Île Saint Denis and run twice-weekly free classes for at risk youth. In this disadvantaged district within the Parisian community, 26% of 16-to-25 year-olds are out of school and unemployed. Sport offers connection and a chance to build self-confidence and other soft skills.

Sport dans la Ville, France.



Paediatric Intensive Care Unit, UZ Brussel, Belgium



UZ Brussel, Belgium

The staff of the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) in UZ Brussel in Belgium, have a saying: "We want to care, not scare."

Built in 1984, and treating 400 children a year, the unit is outdated in both size and function. 150 children a year are turned away for lack of space. There are other problems too. The tubes, machines, blank ceilings, tiny rooms and excessive noise aren't child-friendly or welcoming.

That will change with the build of a new paediatric intensive care unit, funded in part by Goodman. By increasing to 10 beds, they will be able to treat up to 550 children a year. The unit will feature leading technology that helps alleviate the wires and beeps that can scare children and cause them distress. It also includes sofa beds for parents to stay with their children and much welcomed space to gather in. Video chat in each room means kids can stay in contact with friends and family while undergoing lifesaving care.

CHILDREN AND YOUTH

Charity organisations in the children and youth space help protect, nurture and support children or young people.

The Goodman Mais Education Program, Brazil

Brazil faces a raft of issues stemming from serious social inequality. As the country faced a huge burden from COVID, unemployment in the world's eighth-largest economy soared. Now, inflation is the highest it's been for 20 years.

Goodman's team in Brazil understand the depth of the country's challenges. They thought long and hard about how their contribution through the Goodman Foundation could make the most impact in their community.

The team decided to focus on education, given its capacity to improve so many aspects of a person's life. In 2019, more than 36.5% of Brazilians under 19 didn't finish high school, while in 2018 almost a third of the country's 15-to 17-year-olds dropped out of school to earn income for their families.

Together with experts from the education sector and other partners, our project team worked through the pandemic to bring the Goodman Mais ('More') Education Program to life.

The bespoke program has been carefully designed to build skills and confidence and support its graduates to find jobs in the challenging Brazilian market.

Over a number of months, our team carefully selected 30 energised, motivated young adults from disadvantaged areas of Sao Paulo. These young people have become the Goodman Mais Education Program's first cohort.

Program organisers remained flexible, providing support as unanticipated challenges arose: some students had no access to laptops – so were provided one. For others, the cost of bus travel to and from school was a barrier – monthly bus passes are now built into the program.



Participants in the Goodman Mais program with the Goodman team, São Paulo, Brazil.



Formal studies in Environment Management, delivered by training provider Senac, will provide participants with an impactful technical qualification. Additionally, regular presentations from employers across the sector will inspire the students, revealing how to apply their upcoming qualification in future employment. Towards the end of the program, the goal is for many of these employers to also provide students with valuable work placements.

The Goodman team will play a crucial role. Each student has been assigned a personal mentor to meet with monthly across the life of the program. It's here that the team's commitment to the success of Goodman Mais speaks volumes with almost 50% of our people in Brazil having already signed up as mentors.

Stepping Stone House, Australia

About 45,000 young people in Australia are homeless. It's a statistic New South Wales organisation Stepping Stone House has spent 33 years working hard to change.

By providing safe places for children and young people at risk or experiencing homelessness, the charity helps them begin to heal, build resilience and become the best they can be.

Many of the young people at Stepping Stone House have a childhood background of trauma. Government funded services in NSW will only support children until they are 18, but they often need help for much longer. That's why the community at Stepping Stone House, which is independently funded, provides long-term accommodation and support until they are 24 years old.

This people-centred approach has already helped over 450 young people become independent adults. The educational outcomes alone are significant. Stepping Stone House graduates have school attendance figures of 87%. They also have 100% employment rates on graduation from the program.

Goodman, a long-term partner of Stepping Stone House, significantly increased its support to the organisation this year. Multi-year funding supported its Bawaga Indigenous program through the employment of Indigenous support workers for the home. It also provided cultural awareness training for staff.

As the charity's programs have grown, activities like career workshops, workplace dressing, cooking and sewing classes have outgrown the spaces available in Stepping Stone's residential houses. The answer is a new Community Hub housed within a Goodman site in Sydney's Alexandria. The Hub will become a home for these important ancillary programs, and a place for the Stepping Stone community to meet.

Goodman provided the fit-out and building works for the new space, and members of our team also designed and sourced items for the Community Hub's welcoming interior.

There's been a lot happening in this partnership – Goodman's cars are used to help Stepping Stone House residents learn to drive, and we're a major partner of its key Sleeping Under the Stars fundraiser.

The charity is currently working on a strategy to integrate and scale its support model, to replicate its success across Australia. Doing so, it says, would halve youth homelessness figures, increase education outcomes, and provide a myriad of short and long-term community benefits.

Stepping Stone House Community Hub, Sydney Corporate Park, Australia.



Hope in a Suitcase, California, USA.



Hope in a Suitcase, USA

Every year in Southern California, 30,000 kids enter the foster care system. Especially in emergency situations, they often enter the system with nothing but the clothes on their backs or with just a few personal belongings that they carry from home to home in a rubbish bag. Throughout the year, Hope in a Suitcase helps change the situation for many of these children by providing suitcases and duffel bags filled with new clothing and other essentials.

At its (free of charge) 'Shopping Events' Hope in a Suitcase provides an opportunity for foster children to stock up on clothes, shoes and other essentials. Volunteers walk with the participants to help them shop as they fill their bag with brand new items. For foster youth who are frequently at the mercy of other people's decisions, the ability to select the items that THEY want and need is particularly empowering.

Over the past two years, the Goodman Foundation has donated funds to help Hope in a Suitcase purchase the new items. The events have also seen growing numbers of Goodman volunteers. Many eagerly await these events, where the excitement of the young attendees is contagious.

Shanghai Sunrise, China

Disadvantaged students were especially affected by COVID lockdowns in Shanghai.

This year Goodman began an annual commitment to support 20 disadvantaged students in secondary and tertiary education through local charity, Shanghai Sunrise. In its 25 years of operation, Shanghai Sunrise has helped educate more than 3,200 students.

Goodman's team was also keen to provide short-term support for each of the 100 students through the Shanghai Sunrise program. Activities included webinars and mental health support, reading camps and annual online book subscriptions. Additionally, 30 technology scholarships helped students buy laptops or printers so they could engage with home education.

Shanghai Sunrise, Shanghai, China.





The Clontarf Foundation, Australia

The Clontarf Foundation, founded in 2000, aims to help close the gap for young Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander males. Today the organisation partners with 152 schools across Australia, to help improve school attendance and graduation rates for over 10,400 at-risk teenage boys.

Participants in Clontarf's programs experience a wide variety of activities, environments and social settings to help broaden their thinking about life's possibilities and their future.

In partnership with teachers, parents and each community, local staff work as mentors. They also assist graduates to find employment or help them transition into further study or training.

Having supported Clontarf academies in Western Sydney in the past, this year Goodman began working with the Clontarf Foundation to fund a new Academy in the NSW community of Lake Cargelligo. Our annual contribution will directly cover costs for 40+ Indigenous students to participate in the holistic program which focuses on education, leadership, employment, health and wellbeing.

THE CLONTARF FOUNDATION HELPS
IMPROVE SCHOOL ATTENDANCE AND
GRADUATION RATES FOR OVER 10,400
AT-RISK TEENAGE BOYS.

FOOD AND RESCUE ENVIRONMENT

Charity organisations in the food rescue and environment space reduce waste and support those in need by redistributing fresh food or useful items that would otherwise go to landfill.

UKHarvest, United Kingdom

UKHarvest is on a mission to eliminate hunger and reduce food waste. It's work that has become increasingly challenging this year as inflation has jumped to a 40-year high, impacting communities right across the UK.

A combination of factors has led to soaring prices for food, fuel and energy. For many families this new environment can result in impossible choices. Should they turn on the heat or feed their family?

It's against this backdrop that the impact of this charity, which rescues and redistributes 75,000 meals every week, has become increasingly important – supplying fresh food, dry goods hampers and pre-made meals that make a real difference.

About 50% of the food eaten in the UK comes from Europe. A fact that has caused havoc with the UK's food supply this year – the first since it exited the European Union. For many farmers, the new (and largely untested) import procedures that came as a result of Brexit saw some farmers' seeds stuck in limbo for so long that they missed their entire planting season.

Nourish Hub, London, UK.



Her Majesty The Queen Consort and a UK Harvest volunteer, London, UK.



As founding partner of UKHarvest, the Goodman Foundation increased its support by committing to funding for the next three years. The extra funds will help UKHarvest continue to scale up operations and feed more people finding it tough.

Despite the challenges thrown at them, UKHarvest has remained determined and stayed innovative, providing new solutions for communities, such as pop-up pantries.

Eight pop-up pantries were implemented this year, which allow 50 to 100 families to visit each pantry, fill up a bag of fresh produce for £2.50, and access wraparound care from services like a Citizen's Advice Bureau and housing support. At the same time, visitors to the pantries also left with new skills. Education is a big part of UKHarvest's mission and families are offered classes in reducing food waste or cooking well for less, while they are on site.

At the charity's Nourish Hub in London's Shepherd's Bush, an eclectic mix of diners visit for the daily 'pay what you can' lunch. Volunteers also prepare catering for those vulnerable people who are housebound. On-site food education classes run for everyone including refugees, including recent refugees from Afghanistan and Ukraine, while school holidays are used to teach disadvantaged youth how to become food waste warriors and to combat school holiday hunger. In addition, 40 days of sessions ran throughout UKHarvest over the summer holidays in a variety of venues. These reached thousands of young people.

[Watch the Nourish Hub video](#)

Refettorio, Sydney, Australia. Photo credit Nikki To.



OzHarvest, Australia

A founding partner of OzHarvest since it began in 2004, the Goodman Foundation continued its support of Australia's leading food rescue organisation this year.

In addition to the Food Hamper support outlined earlier, another project Goodman contributed to this year was a unique community space, Refettorio OzHarvest Sydney.

This social impact collaboration between OzHarvest and one of the world's leading culinary figures, chef Massimo Bottura, was an Australian first. Since 2015, using his charity Food for Soul, Bottura has dedicated himself to reducing food waste and forming community projects across the globe.

The result includes Refettorios – physical, zero-waste spaces designed as community hubs to inspire and empower human potential. By day, Refettorio OzHarvest Sydney (on Crown Street in Surry Hills) opens its doors to the most vulnerable people in the local community, providing a nourishing meal and a place to connect.

By night OzHarvest uses the space to host Neighbourhood Dinner, open to anyone keen to support the Refettorio and experience its delicious food and warm service. It's a great way to raise awareness and funds, and within three years the restaurant aims to be self-sustaining.

Goodman was one of a handful of partners helping to fund the operational costs of this unique social enterprise initiative.

In addition to our support of special projects that help OzHarvest scale its impact, the Goodman Foundation continues to provide cash grants for the charity's annual operational costs.

Refettorio, Sydney, Australia. Photo credit Nikki To.



Fare Share Community Garden, Moorabbin, Victoria, Australia.



FareShare, Australia

FareShare operates charity kitchens in Melbourne and Brisbane, transforming quality rescued and donated food, together with vegetables in its own kitchen gardens, into millions of delicious and healthy meals for people who are struggling to put food on the table. Together with Moorabbin Airport Corporation, Goodman Foundation has supported FareShare since 2016, providing a 3,000 sqm kitchen garden on airport land. Our team has also actively volunteered with harvesting produce and maintaining the garden.

With the continuing pandemic, rising cost of living pressures and natural disasters, the demand for FareShare's free meals has never been greater. To assist, Goodman provides multi-year funding for a farmhand on FareShare's Baguley farm site. The support will help the charity harvest nearly 100,000kg of vegetables a year from this location.

Goodman has also committed to help scale up a new program by FareShare called Meals for the Mob. The program provides healthy meals – cooked by First Nations peoples for First Nations peoples – and transports them to remote communities. Our multi-year funding will employ a chef to oversee the program, empowering Meals for the Mob to expand.

Second Harvest Food Bank of Orange County, USA

Finding enough affordable food is an ongoing struggle for many in Orange County, California, with one in seven children experiencing food insecurity.

Second Harvest Food Bank of Orange County is driven by its purpose to end hunger in the local community. The organisation collaborates with over 250 food pantries and partners that all work towards this goal.

Harvest Solutions Farm was developed in partnership with the UC South Coast Research and Extension Center and A.G Kawamura, Chairman of Solutions for Urban Agriculture. This local sustainability farming pioneer manages 45 acres of property and has provided space for the non-profit to grow its own food.

This year, Goodman Foundation donated money for an electric/hybrid farm vehicle to help transport produce from the farm with greater ease and make a huge difference to the farm's efficiency. Volunteers work hard, having helped harvest over 53 truckloads of fresh produce in the last 12 months.

Second Harvest Food Bank of Orange County volunteers, California, USA.



LIFE Community, New Zealand.



LIFE Community's Christmas Box initiative, New Zealand

The charitable trust, LIFE Community, is behind the Christmas Box initiative, providing families in need with Christmas hampers made up of donated food and other goods. Last Christmas, volunteers helped pack hampers in Auckland, Kaikohe, Whangārei, Hamilton, Tauranga, Rotorua, Palmerston North, Wellington, Christchurch and Dunedin.

The Goodman Foundation was pleased to play a part, providing warehousing space at M20 Business Park in Wiri for this annual event. Operating as a distribution hub for the other centres, over 260 tonnes of food was processed with around half of this packed into 12,000 hampers for the wider Auckland region. In total, 25,000 boxes were distributed to families in need, from Kaitia to Bluff.



ESG metrics

GOODMAN GROUP GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS



Reporting boundary

Goodman Group calculates its global greenhouse gas emissions from its operations using the GHG Protocol. Goodman reports its operational carbon inventory annually to Climate Active as part of our commitment to maintaining our carbon-neutral organisation certification.

Our emissions boundary is based on operational control. Our inventory includes emissions generated from Goodman's corporate activities and managed portfolio emissions, which relate to properties and areas where we are involved in the day-to-day operations. For example, electricity emissions generated by common area lifts or carpark lights of an estate would be included, as Goodman oversees the operating and maintenance schedules.

We take responsibility for 100% of these emissions, regardless of the Group's equity share in the Investment Partnership that owns the property. For our Climate Active carbon-neutral organisation certification, our boundary excludes emissions generated by our customers' activities within leased areas. However, our science-based targets, discussed below, include customer emissions.

Carbon inventory

The below table details our FY22 operational emissions used for Climate Active certification. During the year, we saw a significant decrease in Scope 2 emissions due to the purchase of 100% GreenPower across Goodman's Australian offices and properties.


GHG Scope	Emissions Source	2021 tCO ₂ -e ¹	2022 tCO ₂ -e
Scope 1	Natural Gas	1,001	899
	Fuels	898	846
	Refrigerants	1,414	1,360
Scope 2	Electricity	37,065	11,637 ²
	Energy	4,251	748
	Waste	3,073	2,975
	IT	1,388	1,320
Scope 3	Marketing	999	1,141
	Corporate travel	474	590
	Employee commuting	292	438
	Working from home	132	169
	Other expenses ³	1,974	1,605
Total		52,962	23,728

1 Due to the availability of more current data, previous data has been updated, where applicable.


2 Market-based emissions. Scope 2 location-based emissions are equivalent to 29,765 tCO₂-e.

3 Accommodation, water use, advertising, cleaning, telecommunications, other expenses.

2022 OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS (tCO₂-e)



ANNUAL OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS (tCO₂-e)



Science-based targets

This year Science Based Targets initiative validated Goodman's 2030 emissions reduction commitments. Using a 2021 baseline, our targets include reducing our absolute Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions by 42% in total.

Our Scope 3 targets include reducing emissions by 50% per square metre, including both downstream leased and sold assets. Our progress is detailed below.

GHG Scope	Unit	2021	2022	2030 target
Scope 1+2	tCO ₂ -e	40,379	14,742 ¹	23,420
Scope 3	kgCO ₂ -e/m ² leased assets	39.9	37.8	20.0
Scope 3	kgCO ₂ -e/m ² sold assets	502.5	442.6	251.2

1 Market-based emissions. Scope 1+2 location-based emissions are equivalent to 32,870 tCO₂-e.

Sustainability-linked bond

This year Goodman created a Sustainability-Linked Bond (SLB) Framework using Goodman's science-based targets for our Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions as the performance criteria. After developing the Framework, Goodman issued its first SLB into the Rule 144A / Reg S market with a scheduled maturity of 2032.

Our annual progress can be seen in the below table. Note that the large reduction in Scope 2 emissions is predominantly due to the uptake of 100% GreenPower in our Australian business.

GHG Scope	2021 tCO ₂ -e ¹	2022 tCO ₂ -e ²	2030 target
Scope 1	3,314	3,105	
Scope 2	37,065	11,637 ³	
Total	40,379	14,742	23,420

1 Validated by the Science Based Targets initiative

2 Externally verified under ASRS 4400 by a qualified, independent reviewer

3 Market-based emissions. Scope 2 location-based emissions are equivalent to 29,765 tCO₂-e.

GOODMAN GLOBAL WORKFORCE



WORKFORCE	FY20	FY21	FY22
Total number of contractor employees (fixed term/temporary)	< 5%	< 5%	< 5%
Number of employees at end of year global	963	922	950
Australia	288	287	314
NZ	63	58	61
Europe	214	187	202
UK	35	33	37
China	212	192	171
Japan	63	63	64
US	56	58	70
Brazil	32	33	31
Total workforce by age			
<20	1	0	2
20–29	166	139	135
30–39	357	331	317
40–49	299	289	296
50–59	102	129	144
> 60	36	34	36
Total workforce by gender			
Female	43%	44%	44%
Male	57%	56%	56%
Governance bodies			
Non-executive Directors on Goodman Boards	70%	70%	72%
Female Directors on Goodman Boards (Non-executive)	28.5%	28.5%	27%
Parental leave			
Total number of employees entitled to parental leave	All employees in accordance with local regulations	All employees in accordance with local regulations	All employees in accordance with local regulations
Number of employees who took parental leave through the year	23 Male 11 Female	27 Male 22 Female	12 Male 38 Female
Number of employees who returned from parental leave	24 Male 12 Female	27 Male 22 Female	10 Male 25 Female
Number of employees who returned from leave still employed 12 months later	24 Male 11 Female	26 Male 19 Female	13 Male 12 Female
Learning and development			
Percentage of employees who had regular performance and career development reviews	93%	93%	96%



HEALTH AND SAFETY

HEALTH AND SAFETY	FY20	FY21	FY22
Goodman employees			
Employees in Goodman controlled premises covered by the Goodman Safety System	100%	100%	100%
Number and rate of employee workplace fatalities	0	0	0
Number and rate of lost time injury frequency ¹	0	0	0
Total number of employee hours worked	c.2 million	c.2 million	c.2 million
Development projects – Principal Contractor Controlled Sites²			
Number of contractor fatalities	1 contractor 1 visitor	4 contractors	0
Number and rate lost time injury frequency rate ¹	1.35	0.59	0.49
Number and rate total recordable injury frequency rate ¹	4.00	1.96	1.84
Total number of worker hours worked (Development Principal Contractors)	c.5.3 million	c.12.1 million	c.17.6 million

1. Frequency rates are standardised to 200,000 hours.

2. Development data is taken from sites under the control of Principle Contractors.



GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE (GRI) INDEX

DISCLOSURE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION OR DIRECT RESPONSE
GRI102: General Disclosures		
102-1	Name of the organisation	Goodman Group which comprises of three entities: Goodman Limited Goodman Funds Management Limited, as the responsible entity for Goodman Industrial Trust Goodman Logistics (HK)
102-2	Activities, brands, products, and services	Goodman.com/What we do
102-3	Location of headquarters	1-11 Hayes Road, Roseberry NSW 2018, Australia
102-4	Location of operations	Goodman Sustainability Report, Strategic Locations - page 18
102-5	Ownership and legal form	Goodman.com/About us
102-6	Markets served	Goodman.com/About us
102-7	Scale of the organisation	Goodman.com/About us
102-8	Information on employees and other workers	Goodman Sustainability Report, Human capital management - page 75
102-9	Supply chain	Modern slavery statement
102-10	Significant changes to the organisation and its supply chain	Annual Report – page 24
102-11	Precautionary Principle or approach	Goodman's risk management process includes evaluation using the precautionary principle. This means Goodman's actions are based on potential ESG impact, instead of proven impact, as a precaution.
102-12	External initiatives	Goodman Sustainability Report, ESG affiliations and partnerships - page 119 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, SBTi, TCFD, GRESB, Climate Leaders Coalition, Sustainalytics, MSCI, GRI and ISO Standards
102-13	Membership of associations	Goodman Sustainability Report, ESG affiliations and partnerships - page 119 Various global relationships including Property Council of Australia, Green Building Council of Australia, Australasian Investor Relations Association, GRESB, ANREV and INREV plus various professional memberships
102-14	Statement from senior decision-maker	Goodman Sustainability Report, Chairman's Letter – page 05 Goodman Sustainability Report, CEO's letter – page 08
102-15	Key impacts, risks and opportunities	Goodman Sustainability Report, CEO's letter – page 08 Goodman Sustainability Report, Sustainability approach - page 32 TCFD Statement – pages 4-8
102-16	Values, principles, standards and norms of behaviour	Diversity and inclusion policy – page 1 Code of Conduct – page 3 Statement of Business ethics – page 2 Workplace bullying and harassment policy – page 3 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 3
102-17	Mechanisms for advice and concerns about ethics	Ethical concerns Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 3 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 4 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7



GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE (GRI) INDEX

102-18	Governance Structure	Goodman Sustainability Report, How we're structured – page 22 Corporate Governance Statement – page 2, Principle 1 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 2 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 3 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 4 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7
102-20	Executive-level responsibility for economic, environmental, and social topics	Corporate Governance Statement – page 4
102-21	Consulting stakeholders on economic, environmental, and social topics	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 6
102-22	Composition of the highest governance body and its committees	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 2 Corporate Governance Statement – page 5, Board composition
102-23	Chair of the highest governance committee	Goodman Sustainability Report, Board of Directors – page 120 Board of Directors
102-24	Nominating and selecting the highest governance body	Corporate Governance Statement – page 2, Principle 1 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 2
102-25	Conflicts of interest	Conflicts of interest – pages 2-3 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 2 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 3
102-26	Role of highest governance body in setting purpose, values, and strategy	Goodman Sustainability Report, The Boards and Committees - page 22 Board of Directors Corporate Governance Statement – page 2, Principle 1
102-27	Collective knowledge of highest governance body	Goodman Sustainability Report, Board of Directors – page 120 Board of Directors Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 2 Corporate Governance Statement – page 7, Board skills
102-28	Evaluating the highest governance body's performance	Corporate Governance Statement – page 2, Principle 1
102-29	Identifying and managing, economic, environmental, and social impacts	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7
102-30	Effectiveness of risk management processes	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7
102-31	Review of economic, environmental, and social topics	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7
102-32	Highest governance body's role in sustainability reporting	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 3 Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7
102-33	Communicating critical concerns	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 7
102-35	Remuneration policies	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 8 Remuneration Committee Charter
102-36	Process for determining remuneration	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 8 Remuneration Committee Charter
102-37	Stakeholders' involvement in remuneration	Corporate Governance Statement – page 3, Principle 6 Remuneration Committee Charter



GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE (GRI) INDEX

102-40	List of stakeholder groups	Goodman Sustainability Report, Our Purpose – page 20 Goodman Sustainability Report, Engaging our stakeholders – page 36
102-41	Collective bargaining agreements	Goodman is not opposed to collective bargaining although the relationship we have with our employees means we have no single global collective bargaining agreement
102-42	Identifying and selecting stakeholders	Goodman Sustainability Report, Engaging our stakeholders – page 36
102-43	Approach to stakeholder engagement	Goodman Sustainability Report, Engaging our stakeholders – page 36
102-44	Key topics and concerns raised	Goodman Sustainability Report, Sustainability approach – page 32
102-45	Entities included in the consolidated financial statements	Annual Report
102-46	Defining report content and topic Boundaries	This report has been prepared in accordance with the GRI standards core option. Our report includes FY22 performance highlights from across Goodman's global operations. Goodman.com/Corporate governance
102-47	List of material topics	Goodman Sustainability Report, Sustainability approach – page 32
102-48	Restatements of information	No restatements have been made
102-49	Changes in reporting	No changes. Reporting is aligned with GRI standards: Core option
102-50	Reporting period	Reporting period is for 12 months: 1 July 2021 – 30 June 2022
102-51	Date of most recent report	December 2021
102-52	Reporting cycle	Annual
102-53	Contact point for questions regarding the report	james.vesper@goodman.com
102-54	Claims of reporting in accordance with the GRI standard	This report has been prepared in accordance with the GRI Standards: Core option
102-55	GRI content index	This document represents the company's content index
102-56	External assurance	External assurance is provided over financials and selected carbon emissions data is independently verified
GRI 201 Economic Performance		
201-1	Direct economic value generated and distributed	Annual Report
201-2	Financial implications and other risks and opportunities due to climate change	TCFD Statement – pages 4-8
GRI 205 Anti-corruption		
205-1	Operations assessed for risks related to corruption	Anti-bribery and corruption policy – page 3 Ethical concerns – page 2
205-2	Communication and training about anti-corruption policies and procedures	Code of Conduct – page 3 Anti-bribery and corruption policy – page 3
205-3	Confirmed incidents of corruption and actions taken	There were no instances of corruption identified during this period
GRI 206 Anti-competitive behaviour		
206-1	Legal actions for anti-competitive behaviour, anti-trust, and monopoly practices	No current legal actions are recorded



GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE (GRI) INDEX

GRI 304 Biodiversity		
304-4	IUCN Red List species and national conservation list species with habitats in areas affected by operations	Ecologists are engaged to research, identify and report on threatened species, terrestrial or aquatic, on development sites
GRI 305 Emissions		
305-1	Direct (Scope 1) GHG emissions	Goodman Sustainability Report, Carbon inventory – page 111
305-2	Energy indirect (Scope 2) GHG emissions	Goodman Sustainability Report, Carbon inventory – page 111
305-3	Other indirect (Scope 3) GHG emissions	Goodman Sustainability Report, Carbon inventory – page 111
305-5	Reduction of GHG emissions	Goodman Sustainability Report, Carbon inventory – page 111
GRI 306 Water		
306-3	Significant spills	No significant spills were identified
306-4	Transport of hazardous waste	Goodman does not transport hazardous waste as part of day-to-day operations. If remediation is required, Goodman appoints principal contractors to complete works in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. Under its lease obligations, Moorabbin Airport , a subsidiary of Goodman Limited, manages legacy obligations relating to historical use PFAS at the airport.
GRI 307 Environmental Compliance		
307-1	Non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations	No significant breaches of environmental laws
GRI 401 Employment		
401-1	New employee hires and employee turnover	6.4% voluntary turnover rate
401-2	Benefits provided to full-time employees that are not provided to temporary or part-time employees	Part-time employees receive the same benefits on a pro-rated basis or eligibility as full-time employees. Employees on a fixed term or casual basis do not participate in the Goodman long-term incentive plan.
401-3	Parental leave	Goodman Sustainability Report, ESG metrics, Goodman global workforce – page 112
GRI 403 Occupational Health and Safety		
403-1	Occupational health and safety management system	Goodman Sustainability Report, Systems for Safety - page 84
403-2	Hazard identification, risk assessment, and incident investigation	Goodman Sustainability Report, Systems for Safety - page 84
403-3	Occupational health services	Goodman Sustainability Report, Systems for Safety - page 84
403-4	Worker participation, consultation, and communication on occupational health and safety	Goodman Sustainability Report, Systems for Safety - page 84
403-5	Worker training on occupational health and safety	Goodman Sustainability Report, Systems for Safety - page 84
403-8	Workers covered by an occupational health and safety management system	Goodman Sustainability Report, ESG metrics, Health and Safety – page 113
403-9	Work-related injuries	Goodman Sustainability Report, ESG metrics, Health and Safety – page 113



GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE (GRI) INDEX

GRI 404 Training and Education

404-2	Programs for upgrading employee skills and transition assistance programs	Goodman Sustainability Report, Human capital management – page 75
404-3	Percentage of employees receiving regular performance and career development reviews	Goodman Sustainability Report, Human capital management – page 75

GRI 405 Diversity and Equal Opportunity

405-1	Diversity of governance bodies and employees	Goodman Sustainability Report, Goodman global workforce – page 112
-------	--	--

GRI 407 Freedom of Association and Collective Bargaining

407-1	Operations and suppliers in which the right to freedom of association and collective bargaining may be at risk	Goodman does not prohibit or restrict freedom of association
-------	--	--

GRI 413 Local Communities

413-1	Operations with local community engagement, impact assessments, and development programs	Goodman Sustainability Report, Goodman Foundation - page 94 Goodman Sustainability Report, Reconciliation Action Plan - page 81
-------	--	--

GRI 418 Customer Privacy

418-1	Substantiated complaints concerning breaches of customer privacy and losses of customer data	There were no reported incidents
-------	--	----------------------------------

ESG AFFILIATIONS AND PARTNERSHIPS



TCFD
The TCFD aims to guide companies in incorporating the considerations of the effects of climate change into business and financial decisions to help facilitate the transition to a more sustainable, lower carbon economy.



MSCI
The MSCI ESG Ratings are designed to measure the long-term resilience of a company by investigating ESG performance and industry-specific material risks not identified through conventional financial analysis.



GRESB
GRESB globally benchmarks the ESG performance of commercial real estate and infrastructure companies to provide investors with standardised and validated data for informing investment decision making.



GREENPOWER
GreenPower is 100% renewable energy available for households and businesses through most energy retailers in Australia.



CLIMATE ACTIVE
Climate Active certification is awarded to businesses and organisations that have credibly achieved carbon neutrality. The Climate Active initiative and Climate Active Carbon Neutral Standard provide a framework for businesses to measure, reduce and offset their carbon emissions.



RECONCILIATION AUSTRALIA
Reconciliation Australia is a not-for-profit foundation that advances the national focus for reconciliation between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Australians.



SUSTAINALYTICS
Sustainalytics' ESG Risk Ratings measure and assess a company's managed and unmanaged industry-specific ESG risks.



MECLA
Driving reductions in embodied carbon in the building and construction industry.



SCIENCE BASED TARGETS
The Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) drives ambitious climate action in the private sector by enabling organisations to set science-based emissions reduction targets.



CLIMATE LEADERS COALITION
CLC is a group of cross-sectoral Australian corporate CEOs supporting the Paris Agreement commitments and setting public decarbonisation targets.

Corporate information

BOARD OF DIRECTORS



Stephen Johns
Independent Chairman
Appointed 1 January 2017



Greg Goodman
Group Chief Executive Officer
Appointed 7 August 1998



Chris Green
Independent Director
Appointed 28 April 2019



Mark G. Johnson
Independent Director
Appointed 1 June 2020



Vanessa Liu
Independent Director
Appointed 1 June 2022



Rebecca McGrath
Independent Director
Appointed 3 April 2012



Danny Peeters
Executive Director, Corporate
Appointed 1 January 2013



Phillip Pryke
Independent Director
Appointed 13 October 2010



Anthony Rozic
Deputy Group Chief Executive
Officer and
Chief Executive Officer,
North America
Appointed 1 January 2013



Hilary Spann
Independent Director
Appointed 4 April 2022

Five year financial summary

INCOME STATEMENT	2018 \$M	2019 \$M	2020 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M
Gross property income	150.4	114.6	115.9	112.4	138.0
Management income	316.5	469.7	511.2	383.9	511.4
Development income	1,115.8	1,134.3	882.6	1,492.0	1,441.6
Net gain/(loss) on disposals of assets	108.1	27.9	56.3	42.7	86.3
Net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties	71.1	146.8	45.2	63.1	260.1
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	910.9	1,132.5	1,022.2	1,708.9	2,718.2
Distributions from investments	–	–	–	–	0.8
Total income	2,672.8	3,025.8	2,633.4	3,803.0	5,156.4
Property expenses	(36.4)	(40.2)	(36.4)	(32.8)	(33.7)
Development expenses	(808.9)	(727.3)	(443.4)	(862.3)	(554.9)
Employee expenses	(180.7)	(191.9)	(203.7)	(210.8)	(258.9)
Share based payments expense	(125.6)	(196.6)	(164.0)	(268.8)	(257.6)
Administrative and other expenses	(68.7)	(75.8)	(88.6)	(83.2)	(90.4)
Net finance costs	(267.3)	(49.3)	(80.2)	74.9	222.8
Total expenses	(1,487.6)	(1,281.1)	(1,016.3)	(1,383.0)	(1,418.3)
Profit before income tax	1,185.2	1,744.7	1,617.1	2,420.0	3,738.1
Income tax expense	(82.4)	(116.8)	(113.0)	(108.1)	(324.1)
Profit for the year	1,102.8	1,627.9	1,504.1	2,311.9	3,414.0
Profit attributable to other non-controlling interests	(4.6)	–	–	–	–
Profit attributable to Securityholders	1,098.2	1,627.9	1,504.1	2,311.9	3,414.0
OPERATING PROFIT RECONCILIATION (NON-IFRS)					
Operating profit	845.9	942.3	1,060.2	1,219.4	1,528.0
Adjustments for:					
Property valuation related movements	639.0	871.7	621.3	1,308.5	2,326.3
Fair value adjustments and unrealised foreign currency exchange movements related to liability management	(174.4)	17.0	6.8	55.0	(191.4)
Other non-cash adjustments or non-recurring items	(212.3)	(203.1)	(184.2)	(271.0)	(248.9)
Profit attributable to Securityholders	1,098.2	1,627.9	1,504.1	2,311.9	3,414.0
Operating profit per stapled security (cents)¹	46.7	51.6	57.5	65.6	81.3
Dividends/distributions per security (cents)	28.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0

1. Fully diluted for performance rights.

Five year financial summary

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION	2018 \$M	2019 \$M	2020 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M
Cash and receivables	3,088.7	1,981.4	2,172.5	1,529.2	1,447.2
Property assets	2,732.6	2,966.1	3,081.4	3,279.0	4,148.0
Equity accounted investments	6,585.5	8,452.4	9,370.8	10,660.0	14,379.6
Intangible assets	816.7	840.0	845.8	822.6	795.4
Other (including derivative financial instruments)	235.9	672.9	667.7	576.2	662.2
Total assets	13,459.4	14,912.8	16,138.2	16,867.0	21,432.4
Payables, provisions and contract liabilities	918.4	944.1	988.3	1,009.3	1,032.2
Interest bearing liabilities	3,081.5	2,975.0	2,938.5	2,060.3	2,832.2
Other (including derivative financial instruments)	285.8	471.2	690.8	635.9	1,143.2
Total liabilities	4,285.7	4,390.3	4,617.6	3,705.5	5,007.6
Net assets attributable to Securityholders	9,173.7	10,522.5	11,520.6	13,161.5	16,424.8
Net tangible assets per security (\$)	4.64	5.34	5.84	6.68	8.37
Gearing ratio (%)	5.1	9.7	7.5	6.8	8.5
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY					
Total equity at the beginning of the year	8,622.2	9,173.7	10,522.5	11,520.6	13,161.5
Total comprehensive income for the year	1,304.9	1,795.4	1,467.7	2,027.1	3,581.1
	9,927.1	10,969.1	11,990.2	13,547.7	16,742.6
Contributions of equity, net of transaction costs	–	–	–	64.7	109.7
Distributions provided or paid	(504.2)	(544.2)	(548.5)	(554.2)	(560.1)
Other transactions with equity holders	82.4	97.6	78.9	103.3	132.6
Movements in other non-controlling interests	(331.6)	–	–	–	–
Total equity at the end of the year	9,173.7	10,522.5	11,520.6	13,161.5	16,424.8
CASH FLOW STATEMENT					
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,161.2	827.5	1,156.9	1,114.7	841.0
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	(26.5)	(818.2)	(306.4)	(549.9)	(1,001.5)
Net cash used in financing activities	(799.2)	(849.2)	(660.9)	(1,349.1)	299.7
Net increase/(decrease) in cash held	335.5	(839.9)	189.6	(784.3)	139.2
Cash at the beginning of the year	2,095.1	2,406.8	1,607.1	1,792.8	920.4
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held	(23.8)	40.2	(3.9)	(88.1)	(3.6)
Cash at the end of the year	2,406.8	1,607.1	1,792.8	920.4	1,056.0

Corporate directory

GOODMAN GROUP

Goodman Limited
ABN 69 000 123 071
Goodman Industrial Trust
ARSN 091 213 839
Responsible Entity of
Goodman Industrial Trust

**Goodman Funds
Management Limited**
ABN 48 067 796 641
AFSL Number 223621

**Goodman Logistics
(HK) Limited**
Company No. 1700359
ARBN 155 911 149

REGISTERED OFFICES

The Hayesbery
1-11 Hayes Road
Rosebery NSW 2018
Australia
GPO Box 4703
Sydney NSW 2001
Australia

Telephone
1300 791 100
(within Australia)
+61 2 9230 7400
(outside Australia)

Facsimile
+61 2 9230 7444

Suite 901
Three Pacific Place
1 Queen's Road East
Hong Kong

Telephone
+852 2249 3100

Facsimile
+852 2525 2070

Email
info-au@goodman.com

Website
goodman.com

OTHER OFFICES

Amsterdam
Auckland
Beijing
Birmingham
Brisbane
Brussels
Chengdu
Chongqing
Düsseldorf
Guangzhou
Hamburg
Hong Kong
London
Los Angeles
Luxembourg
Madrid
Melbourne
Milan
Munich
New Jersey
Osaka
Paris
Pennsylvania
San Francisco
São Paulo
Shanghai
Shenzhen
Tokyo

DIRECTORS

**Goodman Limited and
Goodman Funds
Management Limited**

Stephen Johns
Independent Chairman

Greg Goodman
Group Chief Executive Officer

Chris Green
Independent Director

Mark G Johnson
Independent Director

Vanessa Liu
Independent Director

Rebecca McGrath
Independent Director

Danny Peeters
Executive Director

Phillip Pryke
Independent Director

Anthony Rozic
Executive Director

Hilary Spann
Independent Director

Company Secretary
Carl Bicego

**Goodman Logistics
(HK) Limited**

Stephen Johns
Independent Chairman

David Collins
Independent Director

Danny Peeters
Executive Director

Company Secretary
Goodman Secretarial
Asia Limited

SECURITY REGISTRAR

Computershare Investor
Services Pty Limited
Level 5, 115 Grenfell Street
Adelaide SA 5000
Australia
GPO Box 1903
Adelaide SA 5001
Australia

Telephone
1300 723 040
(within Australia)
+61 3 9415 4043
(outside Australia)

Facsimile
+61 8 8236 2305

Email
investorcentre.com/contact

Website
computershare.com

ASX CODE

GMG

AUDITOR

KPMG
Level 38, Tower Three
International Towers Sydney
300 Barangaroo Avenue
Sydney NSW 2000
Australia

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared by Goodman Group (Goodman Limited (ABN 69 000 123 071), Goodman Funds Management Limited (ABN 48 067 796 641; AFSL Number 223621) as the Responsible Entity for Goodman Industrial Trust (ARSN 091 213 839) and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (Company No. 1700359; ARBN 155 911 149)). It is not intended to be relied upon as advice to investors or potential investors and does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or needs of any particular investor. These should be considered, with professional advice, when deciding if an investment is appropriate. This document is not an offer or invitation for subscription or purchase of securities or other financial products. It does not constitute an offer of securities in the United States. Securities may not be offered or sold in the United States unless they are registered under the US Securities Act of 1933 or an exemption from registration is available. This document contains certain "forward-looking statements". The words "anticipate", "believe", "expect", "project", "forecast", "estimate", "likely", "intend", "should", "could", "may", "target", "plan" and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Indications of, and guidance on, future earnings and financial position and performance as well as expectations, objectives and assumptions in our climate change and sustainability related statements are also forward-looking statements. Due care and attention have been used in the preparation of forecast information. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of Goodman Group, that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. There can be no assurance that actual outcomes will not differ materially from these statements. All values are expressed in Australian currency unless otherwise stated. September 2022.



RESPONSE ABILITY

Contents

Chairman's letter	4
Group CEO letter	6
Corporate Governance 2021	8
Goodman Limited and its controlled entities	9
Appendix A – Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited and its subsidiaries	154
Securities information	207
Glossary	208
Corporate directory	209

Chairman's letter

Sustained vision

2022 saw Goodman deliver another very strong result with operating earnings per security increasing by 24%, well ahead of the initial guidance to the market of 10%. Significant contributions were made from all areas of the business. The resilience of the Group's business strategy and that of our team has been tested in a challenging environment, and Goodman's management has demonstrated their ability to adapt and grow with changing market conditions.

We remain focused on the long-term sustainability of the business and are cautious and prudent in our approach to capital management, retaining significant resources and liquidity to manage through uncertain economic environments.

Throughout the year, we have progressed our sustainability initiatives across all aspects of the Environmental, Social and Governance areas. Our focus is on both short-term programs that we can implement and measure today while establishing long-term strategies that will have provide enduring benefits for our business – and for all our stakeholders – well into the future.

This includes the Group's Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) which both incentivises management to achieve superior results and provides alignment with the interests of securityholders. The Plan also incorporates environmental and sustainability targets in assessing performance for all employees.

Our people are our strength and attracting and retaining talent in all our markets is critical to our success. Given our track record and global expertise, this has become increasingly difficult as competitors seek to recruit our high-performing people around the world. Goodman's remuneration strategy, featuring a long-term incentive plan that includes the whole team, has been a key driver of our success, and ability to retain talent globally.

Investing in sustainable innovation

Over several years, the Group has been working to expand its innovation strategy. We aim to facilitate and invest in products that provide sustainable solutions to customers across property technology, supply chain and transport and construction and manufacturing. Examples include smart metering, carbon neutral building products, on site safety management and the use of robotics and electric vehicles to assist with logistics and transportation.

Our investment strategy across these technologies provides the Group with greater access, visibility and insight into the related trends affecting real estate. Our focus is on evaluating how these can be applied to our own portfolio to improve sustainable outcomes for all.

Sustainability governance

Our Sustainability initiatives are entrenched into the Group's business strategy and continue to remain a key priority for the Board and management. To support the management team and to enhance the Board's visibility and efficacy on our ESG initiatives, we are in the process of establishing a new Sustainability and Innovation Committee which will commence its activities in October of this year. This Committee will be Chaired by Chris Green with Phil Pryke and newly appointed Directors, Hilary Spann and Vanessa Liu, as members. Chris, Hilary and Vanessa are all New York-based and actively work in the sustainability and innovation space in their day-to-day businesses and are expertly positioned for these roles. Phil has experience in the green energy field and, as the Chair of the Remuneration Committee, plays an important role in setting and reviewing the LTIP targets.

The Sustainability and Innovation Committee charter can be found here: <https://www.goodman.com/-/media/files/sites/global/who-we-are/corporate-governance/charters/Sustainability-and-Innovation-Committee-charter-2022.pdf>

Board progression

This year we have made significant progress to deliver on our strategy to maintain a diverse Board with the appropriate mix of skills, gender and geographic representation.

Hilary Spann, appointed in April, is a highly experienced global real estate executive with an extensive background in public and private equity markets. She is currently a senior executive at NYSE-listed Boston Properties, Inc, based in New York.

Vanessa Liu was appointed in May. She is an experienced technology innovator, business leader and digital media entrepreneur. Vanessa is currently Co-Founder and CEO of SaaS technology company Sugarwork, and an Independent Director of ASX-listed artificial intelligence company, Appen Ltd.

Both Hilary's and Vanessa's experience and insights are well aligned to our long-term strategy and values, while bringing greater diversity to the Board and helping continue to shape Goodman as a forward-thinking company.

At this year's AGM, Hilary and Vanessa will be standing for election to the Board. Independent Directors Phil Pryke and Chris Green together with Executive Director Anthony Rozic will be standing for re-election.

Rebecca McGrath has notified the Goodman Board of her intention to bring forward her retirement from the Board from the 2024 AGM to 28 February 2023 to accommodate her expanding commitments with other companies. Since joining the Goodman Board in 2011, the Group has undergone substantial growth and changed markedly. – Rebecca has played an important role particularly through her chairmanship of the Risk and Compliance Committee. – On behalf of the Board and management, I thank Rebecca for her significant contribution over the last 11 years.

In light of the appointment of our two new directors and the forthcoming replacement for Rebecca, the Board has asked long standing director, Phil Pryke, the Chair of the Remuneration Committee, to stand again for re-election at this year's AGM. This will help preserve knowledge and experience on the Board. It is intended that Phil would retire from the Board during his next term after facilitating a smooth transition for a new Remuneration Committee Chair.

Demonstrating action

At Goodman we remain focused on our long-term sustainable business model. With a strong and determined global team, we are working with our customers to address the needs for more efficient and sustainable supply chains and for our investors to achieve long term, sustainable returns. At the same time, we are focused on providing our own people with opportunities and workplaces that enable them to be challenged and grow. I believe we have the right strategy and culture to achieve these objectives.

On behalf of the Board, I sincerely thank our customers and investors for their continued support and the Goodman team for their considerable efforts in making 2022 a most successful year for the Group.

Sincerely



Stephen Johns
Independent Chairman

Group CEO letter

Goodman Group delivered a strong result for FY22, reflecting the continued demand for industrial space in our markets. Our customers' need for more productivity and sustainability from their supply chains continues to drive this demand. By focusing our portfolio and development workbook on key infill locations, we have seen accelerating market rental growth, significant valuation uplift and subsequent outperformance of our Partnerships.

Key financial highlights:

- + Operating profit was \$1.5 billion, up 25% on FY21,
- + Operating EPS was 81.3 cents, up 24% on FY21
- + Statutory profit was \$3.4 billion, up 48%.

All business areas have contributed to the Group's solid performance with:

- + Investment earnings up 20%
- + Management earnings up 28%
- + Development earnings up 34%.

Assets under management have grown 26% to \$73 billion, with an average total return of 21.4% for our Partnerships. We continue to be prudent and patient with our capital. Over the year \$1.8 billion in third party equity was raised and we completed \$8.5 billion of debt refinancing across the Group and Partnerships.

As a result, the Group's balance sheet remains well positioned with low gearing at 8.5%, \$2.8 billion of available liquidity, and \$18.1 billion available across the Partnerships.

Optimising operations

The structural changes driving demand for industrial property remain. The digital economy continues to grow alongside our customers' need for greater supply chain efficiency and sustainable properties close to consumers.

We're working closely with our customers to optimise space, leverage technology, and provide strategic locations that lower transport requirements, costs and delivery times.

Property fundamentals remain strong in our markets. With stabilised occupancy at 98.7%, organic growth through our \$13.6 billion development book continues to provide our customers with a source of quality properties in key locations. Our developments were 99% leased on completion and the high level of customer demand, coupled with low levels of supply have seen market rental growth accelerating at a rapid pace.

Consistent execution of our development workbook, and value-add across our sites, is contributing to strong margins, and offsetting cost pressure from increased construction costs globally.

Taking action on climate

Goodman is proactively responding to the global challenges of climate change and delivering on our ESG commitments. We're taking action by reducing carbon emissions, regenerating infill sites, using renewable energy, developing greener buildings and creating more equitable supply chains. Through the Goodman Foundation, we're partnering with community groups, and have facilitated \$11.6 million of charity contributions throughout the year. We have increased our sustainability initiatives and commitments have grown from \$700 million to approximately \$820 million through to 2025.

Working with our customers and reducing our carbon emissions remains a priority. We've had our carbon reduction targets validated by the Science-Based Targets initiative and the Group is more than halfway to our 2025 target of having 400MW of solar PV installed across our global portfolio. Goodman is also on track to maintain carbon neutrality for our operations, while importantly, calculating and addressing the embodied carbon in our developments and investigating low carbon materials.

Outlook for FY23

Goodman is forecast to deliver another good performance in FY23, off the back of a strong year. We have a significant development workbook underway, continued underlying structural demand from our customers, and a robust capital position across the Group and Partnerships. As a result, we expect FY23 operating EPS growth to be 11%.

Focused team

Goodman's agility, locational strategy and strong balance sheet, mean we are well positioned to continue to adapt to ongoing market challenges. Our global team remains focused on providing value and operational excellence for our customers and investors.

I'd like to thank our people who have continued to live our values of integrity, determination, innovation and sustainability. They have worked together to deliver an excellent result for our securityholders and investors. I would also like to thank you, our securityholders, as well as our customers, and all other stakeholders for your continued support and contribution to Goodman's sustainable growth.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'G Goodman', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Gregory Goodman

Group Chief Executive Officer

Corporate Governance 2022

Goodman Group (Goodman or Group) is a triple stapled entity comprised of the Australian company, Goodman Limited (GL), the Australian trust, Goodman Industrial Trust (GIT) and the Hong Kong company, Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (GLHK). The Boards of GL and Goodman Funds Management Limited as the responsible entity of GIT comprise the same directors while GLHK has a distinct Board with some overlap. Together they are referred to as the Boards.


The Goodman Boards and management team are committed to the highest standards of corporate governance and recognise that an effective corporate governance culture is critical to the long-term performance of the business.

Goodman's corporate governance framework underpins our commitment to maximise long-term sustainable value for Securityholders through:

- + Effective controls, risk management, transparency and corporate responsibility
- + Strategic planning and alignment of the interests of employees with those of Securityholders and other stakeholders
- + Meeting stakeholder expectations of a global ASX listed entity through acting lawfully and responsibly while prudently managing both financial and non-financial risk.

The diagram below shows an overview of Goodman's Corporate governance framework.

Goodman's Corporate Governance Framework



Reflecting the increasing importance of sustainability issues and the continuing rate of change through technology and innovation, the Board has also agreed to establish a new Sustainability and Innovation Committee drawing on the skills and experience of Chris Green (Committee Chair), Vanessa Liu, Phil Prkye and Hilary Spann. The new Committee will commence from 1 October 2022.

Goodman's Corporate Governance Statement can be viewed on our website at goodman.com/who-we-are/corporate-governance Goodman's core corporate governance framework documents including Charters and Policies are available at goodman.com/who-we-are/corporate-governance. Additional information for securityholders is available at the Goodman Investor Centre at goodman.com/investor-centre

Goodman Limited and its controlled entities

Consolidated financial report for the year ended 30 June 2022

CONTENTS

Directors' report	10	Capital management	
Lead auditor's independence declaration	78	15 Net finance (expense)/income	119
Consolidated statements of financial position	79	16 Interest bearing liabilities	120
Consolidated income statements	80	17 Other financial assets and liabilities	123
Consolidated statements of comprehensive income	81	18 Financial risk management	124
Consolidated statements of changes in equity	82	19 Dividends and distributions	133
Consolidated cash flow statements	84	20 Issued capital	134
Notes to the consolidated financial statements		Other items	
Basis of preparation		21 Notes to the cash flow statements	136
1 Basis of preparation	85	22 Equity attributable to Goodman Limited and non-controlling interests	139
Results for the year		23 Controlled entities	141
2 Profit before income tax	87	24 Related parties	143
3 Profit per security	90	25 Commitments	145
4 Segment reporting	91	26 Auditors' remuneration	146
5 Taxation	95	27 Parent entity disclosures	147
Operating assets and liabilities		28 Events subsequent to balance date	148
6 Property assets	98	Directors' declaration	149
7 Receivables	110	Independent auditor's report	150
8 Contract balances	111	Appendix A – Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited financial report for the year ended 30 June 2022	154
9 Assets held for sale	112		
10 Payables	113		
11 Provisions	113		
12 Property, plant and equipment	114		
13 Leases	114		
14 Goodwill and intangible assets	115		

Directors' report

The directors (Directors) of Goodman Limited (ABN 69 000 123 071) and Goodman Funds Management Limited (GFML), the responsible entity for Goodman Industrial Trust (ARSN 091 213 839), present their Directors' report together with the consolidated financial statements of Goodman Limited and the entities it controlled (Goodman or Group) and the consolidated financial statements of Goodman Industrial Trust and the entities it controlled (GIT) at the end of, or during, the financial year ended 30 June 2022 (FY22) and the audit report thereon.

Shares in Goodman Limited (Company or GL), units in Goodman Industrial Trust (Trust) and CHESS Depositary Interests (CDIs) over shares in Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (GLHK) are stapled to one another and are quoted as a single security on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX). In respect of stapling arrangements, Australian Accounting Standards require an acquirer to be identified and an in-substance acquisition to be recognised and accordingly GL is identified as having acquired control over the assets of GIT and GLHK. The consolidated financial statements of GL therefore include the results of GIT and GLHK.

As permitted by the relief provided in Australian Securities & Investments Commission (ASIC) Instrument 20-0568, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present both the financial statements and accompanying notes of Goodman and GIT. GLHK, which is incorporated and domiciled in Hong Kong, prepares its financial statements under Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards and the applicable requirements of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance and accordingly the financial statements of GLHK have not been included as adjacent columns in the consolidated financial statements. The financial statements of GLHK have been included as an appendix to this financial report.

GFML, as responsible entity for the Trust, is solely responsible for the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial report of GIT, in accordance with the Trust's Constitution and the *Corporations Act 2001*.


OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW

About Goodman

Goodman is a specialist global industrial property group. We own, develop and manage high-quality, sustainable properties that are close to consumers and provide essential infrastructure for the digital economy.

Goodman and its Partnerships have 410 properties located in key consumer markets in 14 countries across Asia Pacific, Europe and the Americas. With \$73.0 billion of assets under management, Goodman is the largest property group listed on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX) and invests significantly alongside our capital partners in our Partnerships.

Our integrated business model



Goodman's Own Develop Manage model focuses the business on its customers' current and future needs.

We own and maintain high-quality properties close to consumers, develop sustainable properties, and manage our global investment portfolio to a high standard. Goodman works alongside our capital partners, which include sovereign wealth, pension and large multi-manager funds. In each market, our dedicated local teams take care of all aspects of property asset and investment management, ensuring a high level of customer service.

Our strategy

Maximise returns by providing essential, sustainable infrastructure for the digital economy.

- + Urbanisation, globalisation, demographics, digitalisation, sustainability and an increased focus on health and wellbeing: all have changed the way people live, work and consume. These structural shifts have increased the importance of industrial properties in the global supply chain
- + Globally, the logistics and warehousing sectors are now considered essential infrastructure for digital economies, and key to the efficient distribution of products to consumers. As industrial property specialists, Goodman's long-term strategy is built on supporting our customers to deliver in the most sustainable and efficient way possible. Goodman focuses on key markets and concentrates our portfolio where the most value can be created for customers and investors.

Our values

As a specialist global industrial property group, we aim to be the best at what we do, rather than the biggest. Goodman's values reflect who we are today and who we want to be long into the future.

These core values are:

1. **Innovation** – New ideas push our business forward. We focus on the future, proactively looking for new opportunities and improved solutions for our stakeholders that will make the world a better place for all of us
2. **Determination** – Determination gets things done. We are motivated by excellence and work hard to achieve it, actively pursuing the very best outcomes for our stakeholders
3. **Integrity** – We have integrity, always. We work inclusively and transparently, balancing the needs of our business and our people, with the needs of the community and those we do business with
4. **Sustainability** – We are building our business for the long term. That is why we consider the planet and all the people on it in everything we do. Our initiatives demonstrate our ongoing commitment to having a positive economic, environmental and social impact on the world.

Directors' report

Operating and financial review (continued)

\$3,414.0M		PROFIT ATTRIBUTABLE TO SECURITYHOLDERS \$2,311.9 million in FY21 Increase of 47.7% 183.2c Statutory profit per security (FY21: 125.4c)
\$1,528.0M		OPERATING PROFIT \$1,219.4 million in FY21 Increase of 25.3%
81.3c		OPERATING PROFIT PER SECURITY (OPERATING EPS)¹ 65.6c in FY21 Increase of 23.9%
30c		DIVIDENDS/DISTRIBUTIONS PER SECURITY 30c in FY21 Stable, in line with financial risk management objective to sustainably fund future investments 1,863.7 million weighted average number of securities on issue
\$8.37		NET TANGIBLE ASSETS PER SECURITY \$6.68 in FY21 Increase of 25.3% 1,868.2 million securities on issue
\$73.0BN		TOTAL ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT \$57.9 billion in FY21 Increase of 26.1%
\$13.6BN		DEVELOPMENT WORK IN PROGRESS² \$10.6 billion in FY21 Increase of 28.3%
8.5%		GEARING³ 6.8% in FY21
\$2.8BN		LIQUIDITY \$1.9 billion in FY21
36.7X		INTEREST COVER⁴ 63.7 times in FY21 6.2 years weighted average debt maturity (FY21: 6.3 years)

1. Operating profit per security (operating EPS) is the operating profit divided by the weighted average number of securities on issue during FY22, including securities relating to performance rights that have not yet vested but where the performance hurdles have been achieved. Operating profit comprises profit attributable to Securityholders adjusted for net property valuations gains, non-property impairment losses, net gains/losses from the fair value movements on derivative financial instruments and unrealised fair value and foreign exchange movements on interest bearing liabilities and other non-cash adjustments or non-recurring items e.g. the share based payments expense associated with Goodman's Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP). As it is closely aligned with operating cash generation, the Directors consider that Goodman's operating profit is a key measure by which to examine the underlying performance of the business, notwithstanding that operating profit is not an income measure under International Financial Reporting Standards.

2. Development work in progress (WIP) is the projected end value of active developments across Goodman and its investments in associates and joint ventures (referred to as Partnerships).

3. Gearing is calculated as total interest bearing liabilities over total assets, both net of cash and the fair values of certain derivative financial instruments included in other financial assets of \$133.3 million (2021: \$134.1 million). Total interest bearing liabilities are grossed up for the fair values of certain derivative financial instruments included in other financial liabilities of \$79.6 million (2021: \$62.3 million).

4. Interest cover is operating profit before net finance expense (operating) and income tax (operating) divided by net finance expense (operating). The calculation is in accordance with the financial covenants associated with the Group's unsecured bank loans and includes certain adjustments to the numerator and denominator.

Overview

Goodman has delivered a strong operating performance during FY22 with operating profit increasing by 25.3% to \$1,528.0 million. This equates to an operating EPS of 81.3 cents, up 24.0% on FY21.

The business environment is changing, with increased interest rates, inflation, geopolitical risks and the ongoing impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic; however, the long-term structural drivers of demand for our assets have not changed. Tight supply and strong customer demand continue to support leasing across our stabilised portfolio and developments, with high occupancy in the markets the Group has chosen to invest in. Our customers continue to intensify their warehousing in order to enhance their ability to service their customers in urban locations. There has been an increase in the use of automation and technology to optimise delivery and improve supply chain efficiency and the Group aims to continue to facilitate this with the selection of assets in the portfolio.

We have continued to successfully execute our strategy, which is providing our customers with essential locations and offering productivity improvements to help absorb cost and time. It is also delivering to the Group and Partnerships a portfolio of assets with consistently strong risk adjusted returns. This is reflected in both the Group's property investment earnings and management earnings.

Development activity has again been a significant contributor to the operating performance. Construction costs are increasing globally but the Group has been able to manage these impacts successfully. However, by delivering increased productivity and value from our sites and development execution, Goodman has provided productivity benefits to customers which has assisted in the maintenance of strong returns.

The investor and customer demand for industrial space has led to another strong property valuation result, especially in the first half of the financial year. Rising interest rates have meant that the pace of the capitalisation rate compression, and therefore valuation growth, slowed in the second half of the financial year; however, growth in rental income that is occurring in Goodman's portfolio has contributed an increasing proportion of the valuation result. While transactional activity has slowed in the last quarter, transactions that have completed show that valuations for good quality real estate in the right locations remain supported. The total property uplifts (net of tax), including the Group's share of Partnerships, for FY22 was \$2,326.3 million and the weighted average capitalisation rate for the stabilised assets in the portfolios contracted from 4.3% at 30 June 2021 to 4.0% at 30 June 2022.

The operating performance and property valuation results have contributed to Goodman's statutory profit attributable to Securityholders for FY22 increasing by \$1,102.1 million to \$3,414.0 million. The statutory profit is reported net of the accounting expense of the Goodman LTIP of \$257.6 million and a loss of \$191.4 million from derivative fair value movements. These items, as well as the property valuation gains, are excluded from the calculation of operating profit.

Goodman's capital position remains sound. At 30 June 2022, gearing was 8.5% and the cash and undrawn bank lines available to the Group were \$2.8 billion. In April 2022, the Group closed a US\$500 million Sustainability Linked Bond into the US144A/Regulation S market. Dividends and distributions relating to FY22 were maintained at 30 cents per security, equivalent to 37% of operating profit. The cash retained for future investment in the business enables the maintenance of the balance sheet and capital position that is consistent with the financial risk management targets and is considered appropriate given the significant development activity and the commensurate growth in investments that is expected in the near term.

Over the year, progress on Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) objectives was met or exceeded. Please refer to the FY22 Group Sustainability Report for more detail. This is expected to be released before the 2022 Annual General Meeting (AGM).

Key operational highlights for FY22:

Property investment:

- + Property investment earnings of \$494.6 million (2021: \$411.5 million)
- + \$73.0 billion (2021: \$57.9 billion) of total AUM, of which the Group owns a whole or a part share
- + 3.9% like for like growth in net property income (NPI) in Partnerships
- + 98.7% occupancy across the Partnerships.

Management:

- + Management earnings of \$588.4 million (2021: \$459.1 million)
- + \$68.7 billion of external AUM in Partnerships
- + Partnerships reported 21.4% weighted average total return on net assets.

Development:

- + Development earnings of \$960.7 million (2021: \$717.9 million)
- + \$13.6 billion of development WIP (by estimated end value)
- + 85% of WIP is currently conducted within, or pre-sold to, Partnerships or third parties.

Directors' report

Operating and financial review (continued)

Analysis of performance

Goodman's key operating regions are Australia and New Zealand (reported on a combined basis), Asia (Greater China (including the Hong Kong SAR) and Japan), Continental Europe (with the vast majority of assets located in Germany and France), the United Kingdom and the Americas (principally North America and including Brazil). The operational performance can be analysed into property investment earnings, management earnings and development earnings, and the Directors consider this presentation of the consolidated results facilitates a better understanding of the underlying performance of Goodman given the differing nature of and risks associated with each earnings stream.

Property investment earnings consist of gross property income (excluding straight lining of rental income), less property expenses, plus Goodman's share of the operating results of Partnerships that is allocable to property investment activities which excludes the Group's share of property revaluations and derivative mark to market movements. The key drivers for maintaining or growing Goodman's property investment earnings are increasing the level of AUM (subject also to Goodman's direct and indirect interest), maintaining or increasing occupancy and rental levels within the portfolio, and controlling operating and financing costs within Partnerships.

Management earnings relate to the revenue from managing both the property portfolios and the capital invested in Partnerships (management income). This includes performance related revenues but excludes earnings from managing development activities in Partnerships, which are included in development earnings. The key drivers for maintaining or growing management earnings are activity levels, asset performance, and increasing the level of AUM, which can be impacted by property valuations and asset disposals and is also dependent on liquidity including the continued availability of third party capital to fund both development activity and acquisitions across Goodman's Partnerships.

Development earnings consist of development income, plus Goodman's share of the operating results of Partnerships that is allocable to development activities, plus net gains or losses from disposals of investment properties and equity investments that are allocable to development activities, plus interest income on loans to development JVs, less development expenses. Development income includes development management fees and also performance related revenues associated with managing individual development projects in Partnerships. The key drivers for Goodman's development earnings are the level of development activity, land and construction prices, property valuations and the continued availability of third party capital to fund development activity.

The analysis of Goodman's performance and the reconciliation of the operating profit to profit for the year attributable to Securityholders for FY22 are set out in the table below:

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Analysis of operating profit			
Property investment earnings		494.6	411.5
Management earnings		588.4	459.1
Development earnings		960.7	717.9
Operating earnings		2,043.7	1,588.5
Operating expenses		(349.3)	(294.0)
		1,694.4	1,294.5
Net finance expense (operating) ¹		(39.3)	(16.4)
Income tax expense (operating) ²		(127.1)	(58.7)
Operating profit		1,528.0	1,219.4
Adjustments for:			
Property valuation related movements			
– Net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties	6(e)	260.1	63.1
– Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties in Partnerships after tax	6(f)	2,272.9	1,295.8
– Deferred tax on fair value adjustments on investment properties	5(d)	(206.7)	(50.4)
		2,326.3	1,308.5
Fair value adjustments related to liability management			
– Fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments	15	(189.7)	83.9
– Share of fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments in Partnerships	6(f)	(1.7)	(28.9)
		(191.4)	55.0
Other non-cash adjustments or non-recurring items			
– Share based payments expense	2	(257.6)	(268.8)
– Straight lining of rental income and tax deferred adjustments		8.7	(2.2)
		(248.9)	(271.0)
Profit for the year attributable to Securityholders		3,414.0	2,311.9

1. Net finance expense (operating) excludes derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements and interest income from related parties of \$6.2 million that has been reported under development earnings in the analysis above.

2. Income tax expense (operating) excludes the deferred tax movements relating to investment property valuations and other non-operating items, such as the Group's LTIP.

Directors' report

Operating and financial review (continued)

Analysis of performance (continued)

Property investment

Property investment earnings in FY22 of \$494.6 million increased by 20% on the prior year and comprised 24% of the total operating earnings (2021: 26%).

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Analysis of property investment earnings		
Direct	103.7	79.3
Partnerships	390.9	332.2
	494.6	411.5
	2022	2021
Key metrics:		
Weighted average capitalisation rate (WACR) (%)	4.0	4.3
Weighted average lease expiry (WALE) (years)	5.2	4.5
Occupancy (%)	99	89

Goodman's property portfolios are concentrated in large, urban locations where available space remains restricted, driven by significant customer demand, combined with relatively high barriers to entry and limited supply. Consequently, we are seeing significant market rental growth across many locations globally. This is supporting strong underlying investment fundamentals and cash flows in our portfolio.

The directly held properties are primarily in Australia and have potential for significant long-term growth from redevelopment to more intense or higher and better uses. The net income from the Group's directly held properties increased compared to the prior year as a result of both like for like increases in NPI and rental income earned from completed developments (held in inventories, mainly in Continental Europe) prior to their disposal to Partnerships or third parties.

The more significant component of the Group's property investment earnings was from its cornerstone interests in the Partnerships. The earnings from the Group's share of these stabilised assets increased by \$58.7 million to \$390.9 million compared to the prior year. This was due to the stabilisation of developments in FY21 and FY22, as the Group has continued to invest in the Partnerships to fund its share of those developments and rental income growth from existing stabilised properties. NPI from the Partnership portfolios in FY22 was up by over 3.9% on a like for like basis compared to FY21 and average occupancy increased to 99%.

During FY22, the Group's share of property valuations from the stabilised portfolios (before deferred tax) was \$2,138.8 million (2021: \$1,174.9 million), which included valuation uplifts of \$204.3 million (2021: \$164.2 million) on developments that reached completion during the year. In addition, the Group's share of property valuations from investment properties Under Development and those held for sale was \$452.1 million (2021: \$223.6 million). Valuation gains occurred in all regions and while capitalisation rate compression was the main driver, in the first half of the year, both rental income growth and development activities have provided an increasing contribution. At 30 June 2022, the WACR for the Group's portfolios was 4.0%, compared to 4.3% at the start of the financial year.

The operating income return on Goodman's investment in the stabilised portfolios held by the Partnerships was 4.2% compared to 4.3% in FY21, as the growth in NPI was offset by the impacts of the valuation uplifts that increased the investment base. These valuation uplifts resulted in a 21% total return for the financial year. The income returns from the Partnerships were also impacted by the increased level of active development which has not yet begun to deliver rental income. Gearing was maintained at the lower end of target ranges, which continued to be appropriate given the ongoing development activity and the aim of Goodman and its investment partners to position the Partnerships for sustainable long-term growth.

Management

Management earnings in FY22 of \$588.4 million increased by 28% compared to the prior year and comprised 29% of total operating earnings (2021: 29%). This increase was a combination of higher base management and property service fees and higher performance fees.

The higher base management fees were primarily a result of the increased AUM. During FY22, external AUM increased by 27% to \$68.7 billion from \$54.0 billion, primarily due to valuations, acquisitions and developments in the Partnerships.

External AUM

	2022 \$B
At the beginning of the year	54.0
Acquisitions	4.2
Disposals	(0.9)
Capital expenditure (developments)	2.6
Valuations	8.2
Foreign currency translation	0.6
At the end of the year	68.7

Excluding performance related income, management fee income earned from the overall management of the Group's Partnerships was \$380.4 million (2021: \$310.1 million). Base management fee income increased in line with the external AUM, noting that a significant component of the valuation uplifts were recorded at 31 December 2021 and that the completion of developments throughout the year was skewed to the second half of FY22. The base management fee income was supplemented by both property services income, which was based on the gross property income in Partnerships, and other income such as leasing fees and transactional fees.

Performance fee revenue of \$208.0 million (2021: \$149.0 million) again provided a strong contribution to the Group's operating earnings. As in the prior year, these performance fees arose in Australia/New Zealand, Asia and Continental Europe, with the higher performance fees in FY22 due to the timing of the assessment dates relative to the prior year. For FY22, the Partnerships reported a weighted average total return on net assets of 21.4% (2021: 17.7%) and with the consistently strong performance of the Partnerships in recent times, a significant backlog of potential fees may be earned in the future should conditions remain stable.

Development

In FY22, development earnings were \$960.7 million (excluding revaluation gains), an increase of 34% on the prior year and comprised 47% of total operating earnings (2021: 45%).

Strong occupier demand has continued to give the Group confidence to grow the development workbook as customers look for sites closer to consumers and invest in new facilities to accommodate significant investments in technology and automation. Goodman's development activity is underpinning its organic growth with an average annual production rate for FY22 (based on expected development end value) of approximately \$7.0 billion, an increase of over \$1.0 billion on FY21.

WIP (based on development end value) is \$13.6 billion at 30 June 2022 (2021: \$10.6 billion). The WIP is globally diversified across 85 projects and has grown in volume, scale and value. The increased scale and complexity have seen the average development period increase from 19 to 23 months over the past year. The majority of development activity in FY22 was again undertaken by or for the Partnerships and third parties (85% of WIP at 30 June 2022).

While costs have increased globally across the construction process due to supply chain, labour and material shortages, Goodman's margins have remained strong. This has been managed proactively through the Group's procurement practices and contingencies for time and cost. In addition, rental growth and value-add activities across the portfolio and development projects have provided the ability to mitigate these costs.

The Group remains focused on regeneration of existing land and buildings and enhancing value through intensification of use such as multi-storey developments which make up \$7.7 billion of the current WIP. Goodman is continuing to add opportunities to its portfolio incrementally to support future development in constrained markets, while reducing its impact on the environment through brownfield developments. Brownfield developments and regeneration of sites continues to be greater than 50% of our global WIP.

Given the strength in customer demand, especially in locations where the supply of available land is restricted, the Group has chosen to commence certain projects prior to securing a pre-lease commitment. Consequently, of the \$7.9 billion of project commencements during the year, 59% had pre-committed leases. However, of the \$6.0 billion of development completions during the year, 99% had pre-committed leases, a reflection of the strong customer demand and the Group's ability to convert that into lease contracts that support the value of the investment.

Directors' report

Operating and financial review (continued)

Analysis of performance (continued)

Operating expenses

For FY22, operating expenses were \$349.3 million, up from \$294.0 million in the prior year, an increase of \$55.3 million. This was primarily in wages and salaries, due to additional headcount to support the ongoing activity levels, inflationary pressures in most regions and additional short-term incentives due to the outperformance in the Group's overall operating profit. The Group's aim is to keep base remuneration costs relatively steady, and instead use variable remuneration to incentivise staff.

Administrative and other expenses increased to \$90.4 million from \$83.2 million due to recommencement of travel and the associated costs, higher information technology expenditure and increased charitable donations.

Net finance expense (operating)

Net finance expense (operating), which excludes interest income on loans to development JVs, derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements, increased to \$39.3 million from \$16.4 million. This was due to a greater level of interest bearing liabilities, including the new US\$500 million Sustainability Linked Bond and higher interest rates, partly offset by an increase in capitalised interest.

Income tax expense (operating)

Income tax expense (operating) for FY22 at \$127.1 million (2021: \$58.7 million) increased compared to the prior year. A significant proportion of Goodman's earnings related to GIT and its controlled entities, which, as trusts, are 'flow through' entities under Australian tax legislation, meaning Securityholders (and not GIT) are taxed on their respective share of income. However, the increase in the tax expense was primarily due to the nature and geographic location of the Group's increased revenues.

Capital management

Interest bearing liabilities

At 30 June 2022, the Group's available debt facilities and fixed rate long-term bonds, which totalled \$4.6 billion (of which \$2.9 billion had been drawn), had a weighted average maturity of 6.2 years with \$0.1 billion due to mature in FY23. The Group's cash and undrawn bank facilities totalled \$2.8 billion. During the year, the Group issued its first US\$500 million 10-year Sustainability Linked Bond into the US144A/Regulation S debt capital market.

At 30 June 2022, gearing was 8.5% (2021: 6.8%), which continued to be at the lower end of the Group's policy range of 0% to 25%. Interest cover was 36.7 times (2021: 63.7 times) and the Group continued to have significant headroom relative to its financing covenants. Goodman's strong investment grade credit ratings were unchanged over the year.

During FY22, the Group and its Partnerships issued new long-term bonds of \$2.0 billion (including \$859.0 million of Sustainability Linked Bonds), refinanced \$6.4 billion of bank debt and secured third party equity commitments to allocate \$1.8 billion that will provide liquidity for ongoing acquisition and development opportunities. At 30 June 2022, the Partnerships had \$18.1 billion in available cash, undrawn bank facilities and equity commitments, noting that the majority of the equity commitments remain subject to the approval by the relevant investment partners, including Goodman, of proposed property investments for which the funding is required.

Dividends and distributions

The Group's distribution for FY22 was maintained at 30 cents per security, a pay-out ratio of 37%, with 15 cents per security paid on 24 February 2022 and 15 cents per security to be paid on 25 August 2022. This pay-out ratio has assisted the Group in retaining sufficient funds for its ongoing development activity and in keeping gearing at an appropriate level, within the desired range. The distribution reinvestment plan was not in operation during the year.

In respect of the separate components that comprise the 30 cents per security distribution for FY22:

- + Goodman Limited did not declare any dividends during the financial year (2021: \$nil)
- + Goodman Industrial Trust declared and accrued distributions of 27.5 cents per security (2021: 24.0 cents per security), amounting to \$513.8 million (2021: \$443.4 million)
- + GLHK declared and accrued a dividend of 2.5 cents per security (2021: 6.0 cents per security), amounting to \$46.7 million (2021: \$110.8 million).

Summary of items that reconcile operating profit to statutory profit

Property valuation related movements

The net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties directly held by Goodman was \$260.1 million (2021: \$63.1 million). The uplift in value was primarily due to the contraction in capitalisation rates.

Goodman's share of net gains from fair value adjustments before deferred tax attributable to investment properties in Partnerships was \$2,330.8 million (2021: \$1,335.4 million), a reflection of the quality of the property portfolios and the continued customer and investor demand for industrial assets. This valuation uplift comprised \$1,674.4 million (2021: \$947.6 million) in respect of the stabilised portfolio, \$204.3 million (2021: \$164.2 million) on developments that stabilised during the year and \$452.1 million (2021: \$223.6 million) from investment properties that were still under development at 30 June 2022.

At 30 June 2022, the WACR for Goodman's stabilised property portfolios (both directly held and Partnerships) was 0.3 percentage points lower than 30 June 2021, declining from 4.3% to 4.0%.

At 30 June 2022, the Group's share of cumulative valuation gains on properties that were subject to conditional contracts for disposal, incorporating all valuation gains since the most recent repositioning activities commenced, was \$429.6 million (2021: \$95.9 million).

These gains related to directly held properties and properties held in Partnerships that have been contracted for disposal and included two directly held properties that were contracted for disposal in the current year. The Group's share of these cumulative valuation gains that have been reported as part of the statutory result included \$333.7 million recognised in FY22 and \$95.9 million in prior reporting periods. No valuation gains in respect of properties that had previously been subject to a conditional contract for disposal were realised in the current year (2021: \$nil) and therefore none of the gains has been reflected in the operating profit for the current or any past periods.

There were no impairment losses associated with the Group's inventories during the year.

Fair value adjustments and unrealised foreign currency exchange movements related to liability management

The amount reported in the income statement associated with the Group's derivative financial instruments was a net loss of \$191.4 million (2021: \$55.0 million net gain). This was primarily due to the weakening of the AUD against the USD and HKD partly offset by a strengthening of the AUD against the JPY, EUR and GBP and the impact of higher interest rates on the associated hedge contracts.

Under the Group's policy, it continues to hedge between 65% and 90% of the net investment in its major overseas operations. Where Goodman invests in foreign assets, it will borrow in that currency or enter into derivative financial instruments to create a similar liability. In so doing, Goodman reduces its economic exposures to those currencies. The unrealised fair value movement of the derivative financial instruments (up or down) is recorded in the income statement; however, the foreign currency translation of the net investment that is being hedged is recorded directly in reserves. In FY22, the movement in reserves attributable to foreign currency movements was a gain of \$145.3 million (2021: \$279.4 million loss).

Other non-cash adjustments or non-recurring items

The principal other non-cash adjustments or non-recurring items for FY22 related to the share based payments expense of \$257.6 million (2021: \$268.8 million) for Goodman's LTIP. The decrease primarily related to the fact that the Goodman Group security price fell from \$21.17 to \$17.84 during FY22 compared to an increase from \$14.85 to \$21.17 in FY21.

Directors' report

Operating and financial review (continued)

Statement of financial position

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Stabilised properties	2,387.1	2,022.2
Cornerstone investments in Partnerships	11,903.9	8,668.6
Development holdings	4,455.2	3,645.1
Intangible assets	795.4	822.6
Cash and cash equivalents	1,056.0	920.4
Other assets	834.8	788.1
Total assets	21,432.4	16,867.0
Interest bearing liabilities	2,832.2	2,060.3
Other liabilities	2,175.4	1,645.2
Total liabilities	5,007.6	3,705.5
Net assets	16,424.8	13,161.5

The carrying value of the directly held stabilised investment properties (which included assets held for sale at 30 June 2022 of \$598.1 million) increased by \$364.9 million to \$2,387.1 million at 30 June 2022. This was due to acquisitions in Australia and Asia of \$409.9 million, development completions of \$477.5 million and valuation uplifts of \$260.1 million being partly offset by disposals of \$823.9 million.

The value of Goodman's cornerstone investments in Partnerships, which excludes the Group's share of their development assets, increased by \$3,235.3 million to \$11,903.9 million. This movements during the year included the Group's net investments in the Partnerships of \$462.1 million, the valuation uplifts (net of deferred tax) across the portfolios of \$1,821.6 million, transfers from development properties on stabilisation of \$709.3 million and the impact of foreign currency translation \$195.1 million.

Goodman's development holdings include directly held properties (primarily inventories) of \$1,976.4 million (2021: \$1,650.5 million) and the Group's share of development assets in the Partnerships of \$2,478.8 million (2021: \$1,994.6 million), and on a combined basis increased during the year by \$810.1 million to \$4,455.2 million (2021: \$3,645.1 million). This was a reflection of the increased activity levels in most regions with the Group's development WIP (as measured by estimated end value) having increased from \$10.6 billion at 20 June 2021 to \$13.6 billion at 30 June 2022.

The increase in the directly held development properties is due to the ongoing acquisitions and development expenditure of \$1,267.1 million, which was greater than the disposals and transfers to stabilised assets at completion of \$909.8 million.

The increase in the Group's share of development assets in the Partnerships was due to acquisitions of \$710.2 million and valuation uplifts of \$451.3 million (net of deferred tax), partly offset by transfers to stabilised assets at completion of \$724.9 million.

The principal goodwill and intangible asset balances are in Continental Europe and the United Kingdom. The movement during the year related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and there were no impairments or reversals of impairments.

The movement in the cash balance during the year is explained in the cash flows section of this report. In respect of the interest bearing liabilities, Goodman has renegotiated a number of bank facilities to provide ongoing funds for the business, but the principal change in the drawn debt during the year was the completion of a US\$500 million Sustainability Linked Bond into the US144A/Regulation S market. The foreign private placement of ¥12.5 billion is scheduled to mature in April 2023 and is disclosed as a current liability.

Other assets included receivables, right of use assets from the Group's operating leases (primarily office premises) and the fair values of certain derivative financial instruments, which hedge the Group's interest rate and foreign exchange rate risks. There were no material movement during FY22.

Other liabilities include trade and other payables, lease liabilities, the provision for distributions to Securityholders, fair values of certain derivative financial instruments and tax liabilities (including deferred tax). The increase in other liabilities is primarily due to mark to market movements on derivative financial instruments.

Cash flows

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Operating cash flows	841.0	1,114.7
Investing cash flows	(1,001.5)	(549.9)
Financing cash flows (excluding dividends and distributions)	856.9	(797.7)
Dividends and distributions paid	(557.2)	(551.4)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash held	139.2	(784.3)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	920.4	1,792.8
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held	(3.6)	(88.1)
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	1,056.0	920.4

Operating cash flows

Operating cash flows of \$841.0 million (2021: \$1,114.7) million were lower than the prior year. This was mainly due to an increase in the payments associated with development activities. There was also an increase in other cash payments, lower cash received from the Partnership distributions (as a result of sales completed last year) that were partly offset by higher cash receipts from portfolio performance fees.

The net development cash inflow was \$367.1 million (2021: \$612.9 million). The gross receipts from development activities were similar to the prior year at \$1,587.8 million (2021: \$1,560.3 million), but the gross payments for development activities were higher than the prior year at \$1,220.7 million (2021: \$947.4 million). This arose in part due to the nature and structure of the development activities and also the timing of completions, especially in respect of the developments that are undertaken directly by the Group and subsequently sold to Partnerships or third parties. However, it also reflected an investment in inventories that will be developed to generate profits in future periods. For FY22 overall, compared to the prior year Goodman undertook a similar percentage of its total development activities in the Partnerships. When Partnerships require funding for development activities, then the Group's share of the investment is reported in investing cash flows.

The increase in other cash payments is due to the increase in operating costs, primarily wages and salaries, and also includes the cost of cash settled performance rights under the LTIP. The cash settled portion of the LTIP was offset by the issue of new securities to raise an equivalent amount of funds. That issue is reported in the financing cash flows. As a result, the LTIP was cash neutral overall.

The distributions received from Partnerships in FY22 were \$442.5 million, lower than \$536.9 million received in the prior year. The Partnerships continued to distribute their net cash flows from property investment (rental income) but the primary reason for this decrease was the timing of distributions associated with the Group's share of development activities in the Partnerships.

The timing of receipts of portfolio performance fees are dependent on the assessment dates for the Partnerships although revenues may be recognised in advance of the assessment dates where the consistently strong Partnership returns mean that the receipt of revenue is highly probable. The current year included cash receipts from previously accrued portfolio performance fees; hence, overall receipts were higher than the prior year.

Investing cash flows

Investing net cash outflow was \$1,001.5 million, an increase of \$451.6 million compared to the prior year. During FY22, the principal investing cash outflows related to acquisitions of directly held properties in Asia and the United Kingdom of \$431.7 million (2021: \$192.2 million) and to investments in the Group's Partnerships of \$1,332.3 million (2021: \$790.3 million). The Group received proceeds of \$671.8 million from the disposals of investment properties in the United Kingdom and Australia. Capital returns from the Partnerships of \$91.8 million (2021: \$256.3 million) occurred after capital management initiatives in Goodman UK Partnership and Goodman North America Partnership.

Financing cash flows

Financing cash inflow (net of dividends and distributions) was \$299.7 million, an increase of \$1,648.8 million compared to a net cash outflow of \$1,349.1 million in the prior year.

Proceeds from borrowings and derivative financial instruments were \$1,466.5 million (2021: \$204.6 million). This included cash inflows in respect of the completion of a US\$500 million Sustainability Linked Bond into the US144A/Regulation S debt capital market and drawdowns on the Group's revolving bank loans of \$777.3 million.

Payments on borrowings and derivative financial instruments were \$789.3 million (2021: \$891.9 million). This included repayments on the Group's revolving bank loans of \$768.4 million. In the prior year, the cash outflows included the redemption of US\$453.8 million notes in the US144A/Regulation S market.

The net cash flow from related party loans was an inflow of \$111.4 million (2021: \$135.0 million net outflow). These loans are provided by the Group to fund developments in the Partnerships (including JVs) and are repaid either at completion or when the Partnership obtains its own external debt.

The net proceeds from the issue of stapled securities of \$109.7 million (2021: \$64.8 million) were directly used to fund obligations under the LTIP that have been reported as part of the Group's operating cash flows.

The other principal financing cash outflows were the distributions paid to Securityholders of \$557.2 million (2021: \$551.4 million).

Directors' report

Operating and financial review (continued)

Outlook

Goodman has developed significant expertise and a deliberate strategy to target high barrier to entry markets and to undertake larger, more complex projects over longer periods of time, providing our customers access to facilities where they are scarce and has positioned the Group well for future growth.

In the near term, market conditions are likely to be volatile and the risks associated with rising inflation, interest rates and slowing economic activity are elevated. This may impact consumers; however, they continue to seek faster and more flexible delivery, which requires ongoing intensification of warehousing in urban locations to optimise delivery and improve productivity. The business remains agile, focused on the changing consumption habits across the physical and digital space and, as a result, the evolving requirements of customers around the world.

Demand is currently exceeding supply in our markets, supporting our development-led growth strategy and producing well located assets for the Group and our Partnerships. In addition to strategic site acquisitions, the opportunities for regeneration of existing assets support our future development workbook by providing value add opportunities, while reducing our environmental impact. Our production rate, depth of customer demand and strong margins are supporting the outlook for development earnings into FY23.

In addition, the Group continues to maintain a strong balance sheet, which combined with retained income, provides significant liquidity, stability and financial resources.

The outlook for property investment and management earnings remains strong, as the customer demand and supply constraints in the Group's markets provide support for both rental growth and a high level of occupancy. Investment and management earnings will also benefit from the completion of ongoing developments. Development completions and market rental growth are also expected to support growth in AUM.

Goodman has made a strong start to FY23 with a significant development workbook underway, continued underlying structural demand from customers and a robust capital position across the Group and Partnerships. The Board believes the Group is positioned to continue to deliver growth despite the risks associated with current market volatility and expects to achieve operating EPS growth of 11% in FY23.

The Group sets financial performance targets annually and reviews them regularly. Forecasts are subject to there being no material adverse change in market conditions or the occurrence of other unforeseen events.

Risks

Goodman identifies strategic and operational risks for each of its regions as part of its strategy process. The key risks, an assessment of their likelihood of occurrence and consequences and controls that are in place to mitigate the risks are reported to the Board annually.

Goodman has established formal systems and processes to manage the risks at each stage of its decision making process. This is facilitated by a Group Investment Committee comprising senior executives, chaired by the Group Chief Executive Officer, which considers all major operational decisions and transactions. The Group Investment Committee meets on a weekly basis.

The Board has separate committees to review and assess key risks. The Risk and Compliance Committee reviews and monitors a range of material risks in Goodman's risk management systems including, among other risks, market risks, operational risks, sustainability, regulation and compliance and information technology. The Audit Committee reviews and monitors financial risk management and tax policies.

The key risks faced by Goodman and the controls that have been established to manage those risks are set out below:

Risk area		Mitigation
Capital management (debt, equity and cash flow)	Goodman could suffer an inability to deliver its strategy, or an acute liquidity or solvency crisis, financial loss or financial distress as a result of a failure in the design or execution of its capital management and financing strategy.	+ Low gearing, ample liquidity and appropriate hedging and duration to absorb market shocks + Appropriate hedging quantities and duration in accordance with Goodman's FRM policy + Diversification and tenure of debt funding sources and maturities + Capital partnering transfers risks into Partnerships + Diversification of investment partners + Distribution pay-out ratio consistent with contribution to increasing development workbook + Strong assets that can generate better rental outcomes + Long lease terms with prime customers + Key urban market strategy – urban, infill locations support re-usability of property + Adaptable and re-usable building design – ease to reconfigure for another customer + Insurance program including project specific insurance.
Economic and geopolitical environment	Global economic conditions and government policies present both risks and opportunities in the property and financial markets and the business of our customers, which can impact the delivery of Goodman's strategy and its financial performance. A continued increase in geopolitical tension between countries could have potential consequences on our people, operations and capital partners. In the near-term, market conditions are likely to be volatile and the risks associated with rising inflation, interest rates and slowing economic activity are elevated.	+ Global diversification of Goodman's property portfolios + Focus on core property portfolios in key urban market locations + Focus on cost management + Prudent capital management with low gearing, appropriate hedging and significant available liquidity to allow for potential market shocks + Co-investment with local capital partners + Long term leases with review mechanisms.
Governance, regulation and compliance	Non-compliance with legislation, regulators, or internal policies, or to understand and respond to changes in the political and regulatory environment (including taxation) could result in legal action, financial consequences and damage our standing and reputation with stakeholders.	+ Independent governance structures + Core values and attitudes, with an embedded compliance culture focused on best practice + Dedicated Chief Risk Officer and Compliance Officer + Review of transactions by the Goodman Investment Committee + Verification and sign off process for all public announcements + Comprehensive insurance program, covering property, liability, directors and officers and professional indemnity.
People and culture	Failure to recruit, develop, support, and retain staff with the right skills and experience may result in significant underperformance or impact the effectiveness of operations and decision making, in turn impacting business performance.	+ Succession planning for senior executives + Competitive remuneration structures, including the LTIP + Performance management and review + Goodman values program + Learning, development and engagement programs + Staff engagement through team strategy days, town halls and the (good) life program.

Directors' report

Risks (continued)

Development	Development risks may arise from location, site complexity, planning and permitting, infrastructure, size, duration along with general contractor capability.	+ Review of development projects by the Goodman Investment Committee + Goodman defined design specifications, which cover environmental, technological, and safety requirements, protecting against short-term obsolescence + Redevelopment of older assets to intensify use + Pre-selecting and engaging general contractors that are appropriately capitalised and reviewing contractor liquidity + Internal audit reviews + Insurance program, both Goodman and general contractor, including project specific insurance + Ongoing monitoring and reporting of WIP and levels of speculative development, with Goodman Board oversight including limits with respect to speculative development and higher development risk provisions + Capital partnering development projects.
Disruption, changes in demand and obsolescence	The longer-term risk that an inability to understand and respond effectively to changes in our competitive landscape and customer value chain could result in business model disruption and asset obsolescence, including the perception of obsolescence in the short term.	+ Key urban market strategy – urban, infill locations support re-usability of property + Adaptable and re-usable building design – ease to reconfigure for another customer + Geographic diversification + Capital partnering transfers risks into Partnerships + Insurance program (both Goodman's and key contractors), including project specific insurance covering design and defects + Long lease terms with prime customers + Investment in innovation and technology strategies.
Environmental sustainability and climate change	Failure to deliver on Goodman's sustainability leadership strategy and ambitions may lead to a negative impact on Goodman's reputation, ability to raise capital and a disruption to operations and stranded assets.	+ Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability policy + 2030 Sustainability Strategy including the assessment of individual assets to improve resilience and implementation of sustainability initiatives + Sustainability guidelines for development projects + Review and approval of acquisitions and development projects by the Goodman Investment Committee and relevant Partnership Investment Committee, including consideration of climate in due diligence and specification + Adoption of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures recommendations as a framework for climate risks.
Asset and portfolio	Inability to execute asset planning and management strategies, including leasing risk exposures, can reduce returns from Goodman's portfolios.	+ Key urban market strategy – urban, infill locations where customer demand is strongest + Diversification of customer base and lease expiries + Review of significant leasing transactions and development projects by the Goodman Investment Committee + Capital expenditure programs keeping pace with property lifecycle.
Concentration of counterparties and markets	Over-exposure to specific areas, such as capital partners, supply chain, customers and markets, may limit growth and sustainability opportunities.	+ Diversification of customer base and lease expiries + Diversification of capital partners and Partnership expiries + Contractor pre-selection and tendering + Independence governance structure.
Information and data security	Maintaining security (including cyber security) of IT environment and data, ensuring continuity of IT infrastructure and applications to support sustainability and growth and prevent operational, regulatory, financial and reputational impacts.	+ Reporting of risks and management activity + Proactive monitoring, review and testing of infrastructure + Disaster recovery and business continuity planning and testing + Benchmarked strategy for delivery of security IT infrastructure and systems + Training and awareness program and other assurance activities for monitoring and improvement.
Infectious disease pandemic	There continues to be significant uncertainty associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, with mutations of the virus and significant outbreaks continuing to occur globally. The approach in enabling the world to stabilise and transition to a "normal" footing is still to be understood, while "Zero COVID" policies by some nations is having both economic and supply chain issues globally.	+ Protect and support our people + Global diversification of Goodman's property portfolios + Diversification of customer base + In-house property management team enabling flexibility to support and respond to customers + Capital model, strong balance sheet with adequate liquidity available.

QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE AND SPECIAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF DIRECTORS AND COMPANY SECRETARY

Board of Directors

Stephen Johns Independent Chairman

Stephen is the Independent Chairman and a Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited, Goodman Funds Management Limited and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited.

Appointed: 1 January 2017 (Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited); 19 November 2020 (Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited).

Board Committees: Member of the Audit Committee and Remuneration Committee, and Chairman of the Nomination Committee.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Stephen retired as Chairman of Brambles Limited in June 2020 after a period of 16 years on that Board and was previously Chairman of Leighton Holdings Limited and Spark Infrastructure Group.

Stephen is a former executive of Westfield Group where he had a long executive career during which he held a number of senior positions including that of Finance Director from 1985 to 2002. He was a non-executive director of Westfield Group from 2003 to 2013.

He has a Bachelor of Economics degree from The University of Sydney and is a Fellow of Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand and a Fellow of the Australian Institute of Company Directors.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director of the Garvan Institute of Medical Research
- + Director of European-Australian Business Council.

Directorships of Other Listed Entities in the Past Three Years

- + Brambles Limited (August 2004 to June 2020).

Gregory Goodman Group Chief Executive Officer

Greg is the Managing Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited and Group Chief Executive Office of Goodman. He is also an alternate director of Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited.

Appointed: 7 August 1998 (Goodman Limited and 17 January 1995 Goodman Funds Management Limited); 18 January 2012 (Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited).

Board Committees: Nil.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Greg is responsible for Goodman's overall operations and the implementation of its strategic plan. He has over 30 years of experience in the property industry with significant expertise in industrial property. Greg is the founder of Goodman, playing an integral role in establishing its specialist global position in the property market through various corporate transactions, including takeovers, mergers and acquisitions.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director of Goodman (NZ) Limited (the manager of the New Zealand Exchange listed Goodman Property Trust)
- + Director and/or representative on other subsidiaries and management companies of Goodman and its Partnerships.

Christopher Green Independent Director

Chris is an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 28 April 2019.

Board Committees: Member of the Nomination Committee and the Remuneration Committee (since 19 November 2021). Chris retired as a member of the Audit Committee on 19 November 2021.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Chris spent 16 years at Macquarie Group and was the Global Head of Macquarie Capital's real estate business leading its global expansion through to 2018.

He has a Bachelor of Laws (Honours) degree and a Bachelor of Commerce (Computer Science and Accounting) degree from The University of Sydney.

Chris is also the Founder and Chief Executive Officer of GreenPoint Partners, a New York headquartered firm investing in real estate innovation, technology and private equity.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Chief Executive Officer of GreenPoint Partners
- + Director of Wyuna Regenerative Ag Pty Limited
- + Director of Infinium Logistics Solutions UK Limited
- + Director of The Opportunity Network
- + Director of Ility inc.

Directors' report

Qualifications, experience and special responsibilities of Directors and Company Secretary (continued)

Mark Johnson Independent Director

Mark is an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 1 June 2020.

Board Committees: Chairman of the Audit Committee and member of the Risk and Compliance Committee.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Mark is a trained accountant and spent 30 years at PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) where he was CEO from 2008 to 2012 as well as holding positions as Asian Deputy-Chairman and as a member of PwC's global strategy council.

Mark also has extensive experience as a Director of charities, educational bodies and mutual organisations and he is currently a director of the Smith Family, a Councillor at UNSW Sydney and the Chairman of the Hospitals Contribution Fund of Australia. He was until recently Chairman and a director of G8 Education Limited and was formerly an independent director of Coca-Cola Amatil Limited and Westfield Corporation Limited.

Mark holds a Bachelor of Commerce (UNSW) degree and is a Fellow of Chartered Accountants Australia and New Zealand, Certified Practising Accountant Australia and Fellow of the Australian Institute of Company Directors.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director of Aurecon Limited
- + Director of Boral Limited
- + Director of Metcash Limited.

Former Directorships of Other Listed Entities in the Past Three Years

- + Coca-Cola Amatil Limited (December 2016 – May 2021)
- + G8 Education Limited (January 2016 – November 2021).

Vanessa Liu Independent Director

Vanessa is an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 1 June 2022.

Board Committees: Nil.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Vanessa is an experienced technology business leader and currently Co-Founder and CEO of SaaS technology company Sugarwork, and an independent director of ASX-listed artificial intelligence company Appen Ltd (since March 2020). She has more than twenty years of experience in the technology sector having started her career at McKinsey in the Telecom, Media & Technology Practice.

She was most recently Vice President of SAP.iO North America, SAP's early-stage venture arm, where she recruited and accelerated 87 enterprise software startups. Prior to SAP, Vanessa was Chief Operating Officer at Trigger Media Group, a digital media venture studio, and co-founded Trigger's portfolio companies: digital media company InsideHook and SaaS technology company Fevo.

Vanessa graduated magna cum laude highest honours with an AB in Psychology from Harvard University and cum laude with a JD from Harvard Law School. She was a Fulbright Scholar at Universiteit Utrecht in the Netherlands. She serves as a Past President Director of the Harvard Alumni Association and is a Board Advisor of Talking Talent Ltd. and a Board Observer of Fevo.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director of Appen Ltd
- + CEO of Sugarwork.

Rebecca McGrath Independent Director

Rebecca is an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointment: 3 April 2012.

Board Committees: Chairman of the Risk and Compliance Committee and member of the Nomination Committee and the Audit Committee (appointed on 19 November 2021). Rebecca resigned as a member of the Remuneration Committee on 19 November 2021.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

During her executive career at BP plc, Rebecca held numerous senior roles in finance, operations, corporate planning, project management and marketing in Australasia, the UK, and Europe. Her last role as a senior executive was as Chief Financial Officer of BP Australasia. Rebecca was formerly a director of CSR Limited and Incitec Pivot Limited.

Rebecca holds a Bachelors degree of Town Planning and a Masters of Applied Science (Project Management) and is a graduate of the Cambridge University Business and Environment Programme. She is Victorian Council President of the Australian Institute of Company Directors and a member of the National Board and a member of The Australian British Chamber of Commerce Advisory Council.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Chairman of OZ Minerals Limited (Director since November 2010)
- + Director of Macquarie Group Limited and Macquarie Bank Limited (since January 2021)
- + Director of Investa Wholesale Funds Management Limited
- + Director of Investa Office Management Holdings Pty Ltd
- + Chairman of Scania Australia Pty Limited.

Former Directorships of Other Listed Entities in the Past Three Years

- + Incitec Pivot Limited (September 2011 to December 2020).

Danny Peeters Executive Director, Corporate

Danny is an Executive Director of Goodman Limited, Goodman Funds Management Limited and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited.

Appointed: 1 January 2013 (Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited); 1 February 2018 (Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited).

Board Committees: Nil.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Danny was formerly CEO of Goodman's European business and has oversight and is currently the Acting CEO of Goodman's Brazilian operations. Danny has been with Goodman since 2006 and has over 20 years of experience in the property and logistics sectors.

During his career, Danny has built up extensive experience in the design, implementation and outsourcing of pan-European supply chain and real estate strategies for various multinationals. Danny was Chief Executive Officer of Eurinpro, a developer of tailor made logistic property solutions in Europe acquired by Goodman in May 2006.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director and/or representative of Goodman's subsidiaries and Partnership entities in Europe and Brazil.

Phillip Pryke Independent Director

Phillip is an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 13 October 2010.

Board Committees: Chairman of the Remuneration Committee, member of the Audit Committee and the Risk and Compliance Committee (from 19 November 2021).

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Phillip has wide experience in the fishing, energy, financial services, and health and technology industries and holds a Bachelor of Economics degree.

Phillip is currently a director of Carbine Aginvest Corporation Limited. He was formerly the Deputy Chairman and Lead Independent Director of New Zealand Exchange listed Contact Energy Limited, a director of Tru-Test Corporation Limited and North Ridge Partners Pty Limited, Vice President of EDS, Chief Executive of Nextgen Networks, Chief Executive Officer of Lucent Technologies Australia Pty Limited and New Zealand Health Funding Authority and a member of the Treaty of Waitangi Fisheries Commission.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director of Goodman (NZ) Limited (the manager of the New Zealand Exchange listed Goodman Property Trust)
- + Director of Carbine Aginvest Corporation Limited.

Anthony Rozic Deputy Chief Executive Officer and Chief Executive Officer North America

Anthony is an Executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 1 January 2013.

Board Committees: Nil.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Anthony is an Executive Director and Deputy Chief Executive Officer (since August 2010). He was appointed Chief Executive Officer North America in September 2016, and in that role is responsible for setting and managing the strategy, business performance and corporate transactions for the Group's North American business.

Anthony joined Goodman in 2004 as Group Chief Financial Officer and was appointed Group Chief Operating Officer in February 2009 before taking on his current positions.

Anthony is a qualified Chartered Accountant and has over 20 years' experience in the property industry having previously held a number of senior roles in the property funds management industry and chartered accountancy profession.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director and/or representative of Goodman's subsidiaries and Partnership entities in North America.

Hilary Spann Independent Director

Hilary is an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 4 April 2022.

Board Committees: Nil.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Hilary has an extensive background in public and private equity markets and is currently a senior executive at NYSE-listed Boston Properties, Inc. (NYSE: BXP), based in New York. There, she is responsible for all aspects of the office developer, owner, and manager's portfolio in the New York region. She was previously the Head of Real Estate for the Americas at CPP Investments and prior to that she held a number of senior real estate roles at JPMorgan in the United States.

Hilary graduated from the Georgia Institute of Technology with a BS and a Masters in City Planning, both from the College of Architecture. She also studied architecture at L'École Nationale Supérieure D'architecture de Paris – La Villette.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Executive Vice President, Boston Properties, Inc. (NYSE: BXP)
- + Director, ULI Foundation.

Directors' report

Qualifications, experience and special responsibilities of Directors and Company Secretary (continued)

Penny Winn Independent Director

Penny retired as an Independent Non-executive Director of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited.

Appointed: 1 February 2018 and retired on 18 November 2021.

Board Committees: Member of the Remuneration Committee and Risk and Compliance Committee until 18 November 2021.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Penny has over 30 years of experience in retail, supply chain and digital strategy in senior management roles in Australia and overseas, including as Director Group Retail Services with Woolworths Limited (2011–2015) where she was responsible for leading the Logistics and Information Technology divisions, Online Retailing and the Customer Engagement teams across the organisation. She previously served as a director of a Woolworths business, Greengrocer.com, a Myer business, sass & bide, Quantum Group and Port Waratah Coal Services Limited.

Penny is a graduate of the Australian Institute of Company Directors and holds a Bachelor of Commerce from The Australian National University and a Master of Business Administration from the University of Technology, Sydney.

Other Directorships and Offices

- + Director of CSR Limited (since November 2015)
- + Director of Ampol Limited (since November 2015).

Former Directorships of Other Listed Entities in the Past Three Years

- + Coca-Cola Amatil Limited (December 2019 to May 2021).

Company Secretary

Carl Bicego Group Head of Legal and Company Secretary

Appointed: 24 October 2006.

Skills, Experience and Expertise

Carl is the Group Head of Legal and Company Secretary of the Company. He was admitted as a solicitor in 1996 and joined Goodman from law firm Allens in 2006. Carl holds a Master of Laws and Bachelor of Economics/Bachelor of Laws (Hons).

Directors' meetings (GL and GFML)

The number of Directors' meetings held (including meetings of committees of Directors) and the number of meetings attended by each of the Directors during the financial year were:

Directors	Board meetings		Audit Committee meetings		Remuneration Committee meetings		Risk and Compliance Committee meetings		Nomination Committee meetings	
	Held ¹	Attended	Held ¹	Attended	Held ¹	Attended	Held ¹	Attended	Held ¹	Attended
Stephen Johns	10	10	4	4	3	3	–	–	6	6
Gregory Goodman	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Christopher Green ²	10	10	1	1	2	2	–	–	6	6
Mark Johnson	10	10	4	4	–	–	4	4	–	–
Vanessa Liu ³	1	1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Rebecca McGrath ⁴	10	10	3	3	1	1	4	3	6	6
Danny Peeters	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Phillip Pryke ⁵	10	10	4	4	3	3	3	3	–	–
Anthony Rozic	10	10	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hilary Spann ⁶	4	4	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Penny Winn ⁷	4	4	–	–	1	1	1	1	–	–

1. Reflects the number of meetings individuals were entitled to attend.

2. Christopher Green resigned as a member of the Audit Committee and commenced as a member of the Remuneration Committee on 19 November 2021.

3. Vanessa Liu was appointed to the Board on 1 June 2022.

4. Rebecca McGrath resigned as member of the Remuneration Committee and commenced as a member of the Audit Committee on 19 November 2021.

5. Phillip Pryke commenced as a member of the Risk and Compliance Committee on 19 November 2021.

6. Hilary Spann was appointed to the Board on 4 April 2022.

7. Penny Winn retired on 18 November 2021.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited

Letter from the Chairman and the Remuneration Committee Chair

1. REMUNERATION GOVERNANCE

- 1.1 The role of the Board and Remuneration Committee
- 1.2 Key activities of the Remuneration Committee for FY22
- 1.3 Key Management Personnel (KMP)

2. REMUNERATION STRATEGY

- 2.1 Key remuneration principles
- 2.2 Objectives of the remuneration strategy
- 2.3 Remuneration mix and alignment across the Group

3. EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION FRAMEWORK

- 3.1 Feedback and response
- 3.2 Remuneration enhancements for executive KMP
- 3.3 When is remuneration earned and received?
- 3.4 Short-term incentive
- 3.5 Long-term incentive
 - 3.5.1 FY23 LTI awards (five and ten-year plans)
 - 3.5.2 FY22 LTI awards
 - 3.5.3 FY21 LTI awards
 - 3.5.4 Setting operating EPS hurdles for proposed ten year plan awards to the Group CEO, other executive KMP and other senior executives
 - 3.5.5 Operating EPS – long-term cash flow alignment with vesting outcomes
- 3.6 Non-financial measures
 - 3.6.1 Types of non-financial measures
 - 3.6.2 Integration of non-financial measures into STI
 - 3.6.3 Integration of non-financial measures into LTI
- 3.7 Considerations for setting of awards
 - 3.7.1 Considerations for award quantum – Goodman Group in context
 - 3.7.2 Considerations for award quantum – structure
 - 3.7.3 Valuation of performance rights (economic value) ten-year grants

4. GROUP PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOMES

- 4.1 Group FY22 highlights
 - 4.2 Financial measures
 - 4.3 Total security price returns comparison
 - 4.4 Remuneration outcomes for FY22
 - 4.4.1 STI outcomes
 - 4.4.2 ESG assessment
 - 4.4.3 LTI outcomes
 - 4.4.3.1 Operating EPS hurdle (75% weighting)
 - 4.4.3.2 Relative TSR hurdle (25% weighting)
 - 4.4.4 Group CEO achievements
 - 4.4.5 Other executive KMP achievements
 - 4.5 LTI grants in relation to FY22 performance
- ### 5. NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REMUNERATION
- 5.1 Key elements of the Non-Executive Director remuneration policy
 - 5.2 Board and committee annual fees
- ### 6. STATUTORY DISCLOSURES
- 6.1 KMP remuneration (statutory analysis)
 - 6.2 Movements in performance rights held by executive KMP
 - 6.3 Analysis of performance rights held by executive KMP
 - 6.4 Securities issued on exercise of performance rights
 - 6.5 Unissued securities under performance rights
 - 6.6 Non-Executive Directors' remuneration (statutory analysis)
 - 6.7 Movements in Goodman securities held
 - 6.8 Transactions with Directors, executives and their related entities

Letter from the Chairman and the Remuneration Committee Chair

Dear Securityholders,

On behalf of the Board, we are pleased to present the 2022 remuneration report, outlining Goodman's remuneration strategy and principles.

FY22 was another successful year for the Group, significantly exceeding financial performance expectations while making significant progress on our ESG initiatives. This has been delivered in difficult conditions. The ongoing impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, global political instability, rising inflation and higher interest rates have all presented challenges for Goodman's business, our people, customers, investors, and the communities in which we operate and live.

The attraction and retention of talent are critical for the success of the Group and is increasingly challenging as opportunistic competitors seek to recruit high-performing teams around the world. The Group's longstanding and consistent approach to remuneration has been a key driver of our sustained success as an international business.

Our approach is reflected in our strong financial results for FY22 including:

- + Operating profit of \$1.5 billion (+25%) for Goodman in FY22 and growth of 59% for the three year period to FY22 (which aligns with the Group's LTIP testing period)
- + Statutory profit of \$3.4 billion for Goodman and \$9.0 billion across the combined Group and Partnerships
- + Goodman operating EPS growth of 24% materially exceeding initial expectations of 10%
- + Significant growth in the end value of development work in progress up 28% to \$13.6 billion at 30 June 2022, providing a solid base for future profitability
- + Total AUM increasing 26% to \$73.0 billion
- + Substantial revaluation growth increasing \$8.5 billion across the Group and Partnerships reflecting the quality of the portfolio and the contribution management has made to enhance its value
- + Low leverage of 8.5% and significant liquidity of \$2.8 billion providing strong financial capacity both for resilience and growth.

We have continued to reflect the importance placed on providing financial returns and operating within appropriate sustainability and environmental objectives, incorporating key targets into the operations and long-term business strategies of the Group.

2021 AGM results and subsequent securityholder engagement

Given the positive feedback from investors on the innovations introduced last year in relation to the new 10 year Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) for senior executives and the introduction of environmental objectives into both the five and 10 year plans, and the significant outperformance of the Group in FY21, we were disappointed at the level of support for our remuneration report and executive director grants at the 2021 AGM. The Remuneration Committee takes the result of our remuneration vote extremely seriously and has engaged with a significant proportion of our top 50 securityholders to discuss this and other governance matters. We also engaged with proxy advisory firms to better understand their views.

In response to investor feedback, we have:

- + Retained the ten year plan for senior executives continuing significant long term alignment with securityholders
- + Reduced the proposed quantum of awards significantly while recognising the exceptional outperformance of the Group in FY22
 - for the Group CEO, the proposed number rights under the FY23 awards have declined by 36% and the face value of the proposed award has declined by 46% relative to FY22 award
 - for other executive KMP, the proposed number of rights under the FY23 awards have declined by 20% and the face value of the proposed awards has declined by 33% relative to FY22 award
- + Adopted significantly more challenging and competitive hurdles for operating EPS, notwithstanding the current, considerable market uncertainties, which if achieved at the top end of the range, should provide Securityholders with strong performance in both relative and absolute terms. Full vesting requires almost 60% growth in Operating Profit over four years, relative to the very strong FY22 result.

The Board is always mindful of the focus on overall remuneration levels and spends considerable time each year determining remuneration outcomes. On the one hand, we recognise the need to attract, retain and incentivise our employees while, on the other hand, meet the range of expectations of our Securityholders. This is evidenced by the fact that, notwithstanding the outstanding performance achieved in FY22, the Board intends to grant a significantly reduced number of performance rights to the Group CEO and other executive KMP in FY23 while making the hurdles more challenging.

We look forward to receiving your views and support at our 2022 AGM.

Yours sincerely,



Stephen Johns
Chairman



Phillip Pryke
Chairman, Remuneration Committee

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

1. REMUNERATION GOVERNANCE

1.1 The role of the Board and Remuneration Committee

The Board and the Remuneration Committee is responsible for the structure of the remuneration of the Group's employees and for the specific pay of the Group CEO and other KMP. In setting these, the Board considers remuneration with a five to ten-year horizon. It takes into consideration the impact that decisions made over the last three to five years have had on current performance and how it expects the business to perform over the next five years and beyond. It is not solely an exercise in reviewing a single year.

The Board believes the success of Goodman is primarily due to its people and their ability to execute a global strategy that requires agility, strong collaboration and an inclusive culture, all of which are key elements supported by the LTIP.

The Board:

- + Encourages management to take a long-term strategic view rather than opportunistic approach to property investment
- + Expect the senior leadership team to accept collective responsibility for the outcomes
- + Integrates the operational, financial, environmental, and human strategy to create long-term sustainable returns
- + Focuses on the consistency of cash generation, through the Group's operating profit, as the most tangible means of measuring long-term value creation for Securityholders.

When determining the remuneration levels and outcomes for FY22, the Remuneration Committee has considered

- + Feedback from Securityholder engagement
- + The significant operational and financial outperformance of the Group
- + The specifics of individual performance.

These have been assessed in the context of the ongoing challenges presented by COVID-19, the significant volatility and inflationary conditions affecting the global operating environment and particularly construction and development. Given the nature of the Group's global operations the Remuneration Committee has considered the impact of strong competitor activity in our sector on attracting and retaining employees.

Refinements to the LTIP this year aim to better balance Securityholder and employee outcomes while continuing to reinforce Goodman's long-term decision making. This is in line with the evolution of the business and operational strategy, as well as providing competitive remuneration to attract and retain high quality people.

1.2 Key activities of the Remuneration Committee for FY22

Members of the Remuneration Committee for FY22 were:

Member	Role
Phillip Pryke	Independent Director and Chairman of the Remuneration Committee
Stephen Johns	Independent Director and Chairman of Goodman Group
Christopher Green	Independent Director (appointed 19 November 2021)
Rebecca McGrath	Independent Director (resigned as a member of the Remuneration Committee on 19 November 2021)
Penny Winn	Independent Director (retired as a Director on 18 November 2021)

Following significant enhancements to the Remuneration plan in 2021, the Remuneration Committee has made additional changes for the FY23 awards, in response to investor feedback. Key decisions made and items considered in the determination of FY22 remuneration, include the following:

- + The quantum of Long Term Incentive (LTI) awards has been reviewed and in determining these, consideration of both face value and economic value have been taken into account. Subsequently, there has been a significant reduction in the number of performance rights and the face value of proposed FY23 awards:
 - for the Group CEO, the number rights has declined by 36% and the face value of the proposed award has declined by 46% relative to FY22 awards
 - for other executive KMP, the number of rights has declined by 20% and the face value of the proposed award has declined by 33% relative to FY22 awards
- + Agreed with the Group CEO (as in prior years) that he would not participate in the STI award and all his performance-based remuneration in relation to his FY22 performance will be in the form of LTI. In general, LTI remains the significant focus of remuneration awards across the Group
- + Continued the issuance of LTI awards under the ten-year plan for the Group CEO, executive KMP and other senior executives
- + Continued to make the five-year LTIP plan available to the balance of employees
- + Further increase in challenge and outperformance required to achieve hurdles. For the maximum vesting to occur on the operating EPS linked portion of the plan, a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 11% per annum must be achieved over four years; this equates to almost 60% growth in Operating Profit in FY26 relative to the very strong FY22 result
- + Additional environmental and sustainability targets have been included in the FY23 performance right testing conditions.

Changes are also proposed to the Non-Executive Director annual fee pool to be considered at the 2022 AGM, to facilitate greater use of committees, keep fees in line with market levels, manage Board succession and accommodate the potential appointment of additional Directors'. This is the first change in the Directors' fee pool since 2006.

1.3 Key Management Personnel (KMP)

Member	Role	Tenure at Goodman
Executive KMP		
Gregory Goodman	Group Chief Executive Officer	27 years
Danny Peeters	Executive Director Corporate	16 years 1 month
Anthony Rozic	Deputy CEO and CEO North America	18 years 1 month
Nick Kurtis	Group Head of Equities	22 years 4 months
Michael O'Sullivan	Group Chief Risk Officer	19 years 10 months
Nick Vrontas	Group Chief Financial Officer	16 years 2 months
Non-Executive KMP		
Stephen Johns	Chairman and Non-Executive Director	5 years 6 months
Chris Green	Non-Executive Director	3 years 2 months
Mark Johnson	Non-Executive Director	2 years 1 month
Vanessa Liu	Non-Executive Director	1 month
Rebecca McGrath	Non-Executive Director	10 years 3 months
Phillip Pryke	Non-Executive Director	11 years 9 months
Hilary Spann	Non-Executive Director	3 months
David Collins	GLHK Non-Executive Director	4 years 5 months

2. REMUNERATION STRATEGY

Goodman is one of the largest listed industrial real estate fund managers and developers globally. The Group's people are largely based outside Australia. The remuneration structure reflects the requirements in the highly competitive labour markets the Group operates in globally, not just in Australia, and the objective of aligning multiple regional businesses and operational segments with a global strategy and pay for performance outcomes.

A significant proportion of the value of the Group, reflected in the \$17.7 billion premium between the security price of \$17.84 at 30 June 2022 and Goodman's net tangible assets per security of \$8.37, is attributable to the value created across the global platform. Given the active nature of the Group's operations, the Board believes that this is almost entirely due to Goodman's people, the decisions they make and their ability to execute consistently and at all levels that has positioned the Group to sustainably grow the cash generation measure of the business that is encapsulated by Operating Profit (as described in the section 3.5.5).

The retention of talent is therefore critical for the long-term success of the Group and is increasingly challenging as opportunistic competitors seek to recruit high-performing teams around the world. The Group's remuneration policy is crucial to its ability to have the appropriate human resources to deliver on the strategy, create the right culture and drive performance for the benefit of all stakeholders.

The Group's remuneration structure, in particular the focus on equity based reward, has been a key component of its success as an international organisation. The Board believes aligning all employees with Securityholders through the Group's remuneration policy has added significant value to the Group. It has been a fundamental differentiator in generating and rewarding long-term performance and retaining quality people in a highly competitive global environment. It is particularly important considering the challenges created by COVID-19 and global political and capital markets volatility, as it binds all employees together as owners of the business and is a powerful incentive and driver of operational resilience.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

2.1 Key remuneration principles

Continuing alignment of remuneration in line with Group strategy, structural changes and ESG aspirations

Given the cyclical nature of real estate, incentive structures within real estate businesses are highly outcome driven (particularly by private equity real estate managers where most institutional assets reside). The Group's capital and resource allocations shift over time in response to variable market circumstances. The effect of these strategies take time to manifest, requiring rewards to be measured over longer periods. The Group's remuneration framework is therefore focused on influencing long-term decision making and collaboration across business units and international operations to derive sustainable outcomes.

There are several key principles of remuneration that the Board considers to be most relevant:

- + Focus on LTI as the predominant source of pay for performance for senior members and a material factor for all employees of the Group. All employees are eligible to receive LTI grants as a material component of remuneration and are tested using challenging hurdles without encouraging inappropriate risk (see section 3.5.4), enhancing alignment of rewards across the Group with Securityholders
 - Aligning the deliverable outcomes of all employees globally, with Goodman's aspirations of long-term cash flow growth, resilience, and sustainability. This is practically achieved through the focus on operating profit (which is closely aligned with cash profits) as the primary testing measure for LTI awards (see section 3.8.5)
 - Goodman's remuneration structure results in a significantly higher proportion of pay in the form of equity-based performance awards (87% for the Group CEO versus around 45% for S&P/ASX20 and S&P/ASX100 CEO's). As a consequence, despite only 25% of LTI testing against relative total securityholder return (TSR), employees have significant alignment and exposure to TSR outcomes versus typical remuneration structures. In addition, Operating Profit which determines operating EPS is a cash based measure which management globally can have a tangible influence on. Importantly operating EPS is the underlying value driver for Goodman and will ultimately align with TSR outcomes over the longer term
- + Securityholders are the beneficiaries of the Group-wide outcomes. Collaboration is required to achieve Group-wide targets across regions and business units. Remuneration should engender a collective responsibility for the consolidated outcomes which can facilitate decision making that leads to the optimal allocation of resources between locations and activity types to pursue the most appropriate opportunities at different points in time
- + A culture of ownership, inclusion and alignment, where all employees experience investment returns aligned with Securityholders creates stability which reduces turnover
- + Balancing reward and retention with long-term cost to Securityholders at respective levels of performance.

Business evolution requires long remuneration time frames to align outcomes

Goodman's business has evolved significantly over the past 13 years since the introduction of the LTIP. Our increased focus on urban infill markets with their significant increase in complexity has led to longer development horizons to maximise outcomes which have delivered significant rewards to investors. In summary:

- + Site acquisition and value add to existing stabilised sites, typically require five to ten-year (and sometimes longer) time frames to achieve highest and best use and urban regeneration outcomes
- + The Group's approach to development considers the lifecycle of an asset even for new developments which allow further intensification or change of use at a later time. This sometimes comes at the expense of short-term performance; however, this approach is consistent with the Group's strategic objectives and provides future value realisation potential, over significant time periods
- + Increased focus on ESG and integration of environmental sustainability aspirations into the Group's operational activities requires significant time periods (often beyond five years) for implementation. Goodman's approach to community, environmental sustainability and wellbeing are long-term aspirations aligned with the financial sustainability objectives.

In the Board's view, the long-term nature of the structural trends impacting the sector, the Group's approach to real estate investment in relation to this, and investor feedback, supports continuation of the LTIP structure. The 10 year plan for the Group CEO, other executive KMP and other senior executives in the organisation, will continue to influence decision making and closely align with the time periods required to deliver superior operational results on a sustainable basis. It also provides sufficient time to implement key ESG initiatives and achieve the Group's targets, particularly in relation to longer-dated environmental and sustainability objectives, in a manner that creates alignment with the outcomes for Securityholders. The five-year LTIP is longer than most in the S&P/ASX 100 and remains in place for all eligible employees who do not participate in the ten-year plan but will include hurdles aligned with the ten-year plan.

2.2 Objectives of the remuneration strategy

	Attract	Reward	Long-term alignment of our people and Securityholders
	Remuneration structure	Performance conditions	Alignment with strategy and long-term performance
	Fixed remuneration Low fixed costs, with the focus on "at-risk" equity.	Scope and complexity of the role, individual absolute and relative comparison in the relevant market and comparator group.	Real estate investment management and development are cyclical, so fixed employee costs are kept relatively low, below median and mean for comparable companies. CEO fixed remuneration has not changed for 16 years.
At-risk remuneration (typically 90% of CEO total remuneration)	STI remuneration is a 100% at-risk performance base award tied to operational performance metrics over the past 12 months. However, the Group CEO forgoes STI in favour of LTI. Similarly, other executive KMP received between 11% to 21% of total remuneration in STI. For the other executive KMP, payments will be made in two instalments, the first in September after the financial year end and the second 12 months later.	Assessment includes four key components: + Meeting Goodman behavioural expectations per the Code of Conduct + Achieving operating EPS target + Individual financial and operational assessment + Assessment against environmental and sustainability objectives.	STI is an at-risk component, rewarding financial and non-financial performance against objectives of the individual and the Group. Awards in FY22 represent 87% of the maximum for executive KMP (excluding Group CEO). The performance of individuals is assessed through a performance appraisal process based on contribution to strategic, financial, operational and ESG objectives, while also reflecting behavioural expectations (see section 4.4.). Financial performance is the primary measure in determining the maximum level of STI for the individual; however, this can be penalised if behavioural standards or ESG targets are not met or breached (up to 100% of STI for certain measures). These factors together encourage not only the operating EPS targets being met, but also that the method in which they are met matches appropriate risk and governance settings. Given the complex nature of the Group's global operations, individual financial metrics are reflected in the operating EPS. This structure is simple and transparent and aligns management with the operating EPS growth expectations of Securityholders. Detailed financial metrics for the business are additionally disclosed in reporting to the market. STI uses the operating EPS hurdle that forms part of the formal financial guidance to the market. It is not directly linked to LTI operating EPS targets as LTIP targets are set over four years and represent the longer term aspirations of the business.
	LTI is "at-risk" remuneration that rewards long-term sustained performance. New awards will be granted in FY23 in relation to FY22 performance achievements assessment of potential future contributions and relevant alignment of KMP. Ten-year plan awards to Group CEO, executive KMP and senior executives tested over four years and vesting in equal tranches annually from the end of year four to the end of year ten. Five-year plan awards to remaining employees tested over three years and vesting in equal tranches annually from the end of year 3 to the end of year 5.	75% tested based on achieving the cash-based operating EPS hurdle range 25% tested based on relative TSR against the S&P/ASX 100 constituents. The benchmark index aligns with a significant portion of investors' benchmarks relevant to their holdings and provides closest alignment with their performance Environmental and sustainability targets (set by the Board) over the LTIP testing period with penalty to vesting outcomes of up to 20% of rights satisfying the operating EPS hurdle for material underperformance against targets.	The weighting to LTI is believed to be the most effective way of rewarding sustained performance and retaining talent while maintaining alignment with Securityholders' experience. Hurdles are set to be competitive relative to reference groups, challenging for management with a significant stretch component but without encouraging inordinate risk in execution (see sections 3.5.4 and 3.5.5) relative to external and internal reference points. TSR provides an effective check against increasing risk or unsustainable practices within the Group. The price to earnings multiple attributable to securities will reflect the risk in achieving operating EPS targets, which impacts the likelihood of vesting and the ultimate value upon vesting. The relative TSR and operating EPS hurdles interact as TSR impacts the value of all performance rights. Given the significant skew in remuneration to performance rights, the impact of the TSR on remuneration is significantly greater than its 25% weighting. The combination of 25% TSR testing and 70-90% equity based awards for executive KMP, provides significant overall exposure to TSR based on the Group's remuneration structure is high. The total number of performance rights outstanding under the LTIP at 30 June 2022 equates to 3.8% of the Group's issued securities. The maximum number of performance rights under the LTIP is limited to 5% of the Group's issued securities. Equity issuance to all employees encourages a collaborative approach and broader distribution of remuneration across the entire workforce when the Group is performing.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

2.3 Remuneration mix and alignment across the Group


The Board believes that the alignment between pay and long-term performance is evidenced by the significant proportion of the total remuneration that is at risk for the Group CEO, the other executive KMP and employees throughout the organisation. In respect of the Group CEO, all of his at risk remuneration is in the form of LTI.

This is demonstrated in the charts below that consider the vested remuneration received during FY22. Vested remuneration represents the value that is received during FY22. It includes fixed base pay, STI and the value of performance rights that vested during FY22 (from prior grants) using the closing Goodman security price on the day of vesting.

The Board believes that this demonstrates the alignment of the remuneration outcomes for the Group CEO with the outcomes for Securityholders, who have experienced strong performance over a significant period alongside the Group CEO. Had the Securityholder returns been lower, the level of at risk remuneration would have been lower (due to lower vesting outcomes and lower value of vesting performance rights) and fixed remuneration would have made up a greater proportion of the total vested remuneration in FY22 for all employees, but especially for the Group CEO and the other executive KMP.


FY22 vested remuneration outcome

Group CEO FY22 remuneration




■ LTI ■ Fixed remuneration

Executive KMP (excluding Group CEO) FY22 remuneration 3 year rolling



■ STI and LTI ■ Fixed remuneration

All employees (excluding Executive KMP) FY22 remuneration



■ STI and LTI ■ Fixed remuneration

3. EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION FRAMEWORK

3.1 Feedback and response

Securityholder feedback	Response
Strong positive feedback regarding the structure of the plan, testing and vesting period.	Continued the issuance of LTI awards under the ten-year plan for the Group CEO, other executive KMP and other senior executives (as detailed in Section 3). This will apply to the intended grant of performance rights to be made in September 2022 in respect of FY22 performance.
While Securityholders recognised the long-term nature of LTI awards and many recognize economic value approach, the majority use face value for determining outcomes.	A significant emphasis has been placed on face value comparison while economic value assessment has been reviewed. This has seen a significant reduction in face value of awards. Face value of the proposed FY23 performance rights awards for the Group CEO has declined 46% on FY22 and 33% for executive KMP (excluding Group CEO).
Hurdles are set to be competitive and challenging in line with long term performance and the risk settings appropriate for the Group. However, feedback from some securityholders indicated that additional 'stretch' is desirable in the targets based on market expectations and the Groups strong performance in recent years, resulting in high vesting outcomes.	LTI hurdles have been reviewed and set such that they remain competitive relative to peers and challenging for management. The increase in the Upper level of cumulative operating EPS growth to 11% per annum is in a more uncertain and volatile geopolitical and commercial environment. Noting that for the CEO LTI forms most of the remuneration, so performance below threshold will result in 0% vesting and only base salary. Hurdles for the proposed grants are based off a significant year of outperformance in FY22 (24% operating EPS growth) and if achieved reflects almost 60% Operating Profit growth over the coming four years. This compares very favourably to the average for the peer groups and relative to what the Board believes are reasonable long term "Targets" with considerable additional required stretch.
Preference for Long Term ESG targets.	ESG targets will remain as an additional test for operating EPS tranches of Performance Rights, allowing for up to 20% reduction in vesting outcomes if targets are materially missed. Additional environmental and sustainability targets have been included in the testing conditions for FY23 awards. Progress against targets is reviewed annually.
Preference for long-term at-risk remuneration. Securityholders prefer in principle lower fixed and STI remuneration and more at risk LTI.	Agreed with the Group CEO (as in prior years) that he would not participate in the STI award and all his performance based remuneration in relation to his FY22 performance will be in the form of LTI.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

3.2 Remuneration enhancements for executive KMP

Key testing criteria and modifications made to the Long Term Incentive plan

EPS performance testing	Ten-year plan FY22	Change	Ten-Year plan FY23	Comment/rationale
Threshold	6% (CAGR) in operating EPS.	No	6% CAGR in operating EPS.	Performance at the threshold hurdle level (CAGR in operating EPS of 6% for the 4 years to FY26) for performance rights vesting to start has been set such that it requires performance in excess of that expected from relevant peer groups S&P/ASX100 (0%pa) and S&P/ASX200 REIT's (4.6%pa) based on "Visible Alpha" and broker research) but would only result in 25% vesting and subsequent remuneration outcomes that are expected to be in line with the median for the peers.
Vesting at Threshold	25%	No	25%	
Target	Not previously disclosed.	Yes	8-9% CAGR in operating EPS.	Performance at Target hurdle level (CAGR in operating EPS of 8-9% for the 4 years to FY26 pa) is in line with aspirations determined by the Board to be reasonable (which already include a significant number of assumptions concerning growth in activity levels, funding needs and market conditions remaining buoyant) and is in excess of broker research estimates ¹ for the Group (7.8% pa) and materially in excess of peers.
Vesting at Target	N/a		55 %-70%	
Upper level	10% CAGR in operating EPS.	Yes	11% CAGR in operating EPS.	Performance at the Upper level (CAGR in operating EPS of 11% pa for the 4 years to FY26) would require outcomes significantly above Target (2%-3% pa) significantly higher than broker research estimates (+3% pa). The upper level of the testing range represents an additional approximately \$200 million of additional operating profit to be generated in FY26 relative to the Target and consensus views.
Vesting at Upper level	100%	No	100%	


TSR performance hurdle	Ten-Year plan FY22	Change	Ten-Year plan FY23	Comment/rationale
Testing criteria	TSR against ASX 100.	No	TSR against ASX 100.	Peer group for relative TSR is to remain the S&P/ASX 100, which correlates with most investor benchmarks relevant to Securityholders.
Testing thresholds	0% at 50th percentile 25% at 51st percentile Straight line vesting percentage to 90th percentile where 100% vests.	No	0% at 50th percentile 25% at 51st percentile Straight line vesting percentage to 90th percentile where 100% vests.	Outperformance required before any portion is vested.

Environmental and sustainability hurdles	Ten-Year plan FY22	Change	Ten-Year plan FY23	Comment/rationale
Environmental and sustainability performance	Targets set by the Board are tested at the end of year four. Penalty applies to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle with 20% maximum reduction in the event of material underperformance against targets.	Yes (additional Hurdles)	Targets set by the Board are tested annually and at the end of year four. Penalty applies to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle with 20% maximum reduction in the event of material underperformance against targets.	Given environmental and sustainability initiatives are integrated into the operations of the business, the penalty applies to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle with 20% maximum reduction in the event of material underperformance against targets.

The chart below illustrates the components of KMP remuneration in relation to FY22 performance using:

- + Current fixed base pay
- + STI award (where applicable)
- + LTI award value using 100% of the intended grant to be made in September 2022 based on the face value at 30 June 2022 as detailed in section 3.4.

REMUNERATION



Note: This analysis is different to both the statutory presentation of remuneration and the vested remuneration, which are referred to elsewhere in the remuneration report.

Under the ten-year plan and with the above remuneration structure:


- + The Group CEO would not receive any performance-based reward in respect of his performance for FY22 if the Group does not meet its minimum performance hurdles under the LTIP over the next four years (measured at 30 June 2026)
- + The ultimate value of the award will be subject to Goodman's security price performance and will only be fully realised over the ten years to September 2032.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

3.3 When is remuneration earned and received?

The chart below illustrates the timing of receipt of the remuneration components for executive KMP. Performance goals under the ten-year plan must be achieved over a period of four years to qualify for performance-based pay. Vesting then occurs in seven equal tranches from years four to ten. There is no certainty of vesting and the final cash outcome is dependent on the movement in the security price over the next ten years.



3.4 Short-term incentive

STI is a component of remuneration that is at risk. It is specific to achievement of financial and non-financial objectives. This structure is very transparent and aligns management with the operating EPS growth expectations of Securityholders.

Questions	
Who is eligible to participate in the STI?	All full-time and part-time permanent employees. The Group CEO agreed with the Board not to participate in the STI awards, to emphasise reward for long-term decision making across the organisation.
What is the form of the STI award?	Cash. For executive KMP, 50% of the STI award is paid on finalisation of Goodman's full year result. 50% of the STI award is deferred and paid in cash after a period of 12 months and the deferred STI amount is subject to forfeiture under malus provisions (see below).
What is the maximum award participants may earn?	STI awards are capped at 150% of fixed remuneration for executive KMP. Target STI for individuals is also compared to market based remuneration data and their manager's own assessment of what an appropriate level of incentive compensation may be relative to the long-term value that person brings to the Group.
How is the STI earned?	The Board sets budget targets for the business annually. These targets are set relative to the market conditions, earnings visibility, financial structure and strategy and are believed to be challenging and appropriate. STI for all staff is subject to: (1) meeting behavioural expectations under the Group Code of Conduct; (2) achieving operating EPS (based on the annual target for the relevant year which is disclosed to the market at the beginning of the year in the form of "guidance" (3) financial and operational assessment; and (4) assessment against environmental and sustainability targets.
How is the individual STI award determined?	STI rewards annual performance against objectives of the individual and the Group. The Group objectives include multiple factors as set from time to time, dependent on the market and strategy of the Group. Overall Group financial performance relative to targets is the primary assessment, overlaid with required achievement against environmental and sustainability objectives and adherence to the Group's core values. The Remuneration Committee looks at conduct and specific judgements are made in relation to this. The performance of individuals is assessed through a detailed and formal performance appraisal process based on contribution to defined objectives, behavioural expectations, annual contribution to results as well as strategic and other contributions where these results or benefits may be reflected in future years. Consideration is also given to the total remuneration package with a view to retaining and appropriately aligning and motivating employees.
Is there malus/clawback?	The executive KMP STI awards are subject to 50% deferral for 12 months from the date of publication of Goodman's financial statements. This deferral period provides protection from malus. The Board has discretion to forfeit deferred amounts for material misstatement, fraud or adverse changes that would have affected the award where there is executive responsibility.
Is STI deferred into equity?	No. A much greater portion of remuneration for executive KMP is in the form of LTI (equity) than arguably any other S&P/ASX 100 entity and hence they are already significantly more aligned with Securityholders' outcomes than executives at other listed entities. As a result, in the Board's view, there is little further benefit in deferring STI into equity.
What happens to STI upon termination?	For all executive KMP, the deferred portion of STI award is subject to immediate forfeiture in circumstances where employees are dismissed for cause without notice (e.g. fraud or serious misconduct) or resign from the organisation. The Board has discretion to pay deferred STI in exceptional circumstances, where employees leave the Group, with good leaver status, due to certain personal circumstances or due to permanent disablement or death.

3.5 Long-term incentive

The LTIP is an equity plan where rewards are at risk and dependent on performance and time. It is open to all permanent employees to create alignment with the interests of Securityholders over the long term.

- + No value is derived from LTI unless minimum performance hurdles of operating EPS and relative TSR are met or exceeded, and performance rights have no entitlement to income or assets until they vest. The threshold target with respect to operating EPS (where only 25% of performance rights vest) is significantly ahead of estimates for the S&P/ASX 100 and S&P/ASX200 REIT sector
- + If performance achieves or exceeds long-term targets and performance rights vest, LTI represents the majority of remuneration for executive KMP and becomes a material component of remuneration for all participating employees.
- + Performance rights represent a small portion of the Group equity and a small percentage of the value created for Securityholders if they vest

The key terms of both plans are set out overleaf.

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

Questions in relation to grants to be made in FY23

42

What are the vesting conditions for FY23 ten-year plan grants?	Operating EPS tested (75% of grant) The Board has set an operating EPS performance hurdle of growing operating EPS from the FY22 result of 81.3 cents to between 102.7 cents (Threshold level) and 123.4 cents (Upper level) in FY26. Vesting of 25% of the operating EPS portion occurs upon satisfying testing conditions at the Threshold level with a sliding scale up to 100% at the Upper level. The range is equivalent to between 6%pa and 11%pa CAGR in operating EPS or approximately 26% to 52% cumulatively over the four year testing period. In addition, a penalty may apply to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle if environmental and sustainability targets are not met. These are reviewed by the Board annually and at the end of the testing period with 20% maximum reduction in the number of rights vesting under the operating EPS tranches, in the event of material underperformance against targets at final testing year.	Relative TSR tested (25% of grant) TSR awards are subject to achievement of cumulative TSR relative to the S&P/ASX 100 over a four year period: – 25% of awards vest for performance at the 51st percentile. – Awards vest on a sliding scale between 25% and 100% for performance between the 51st and the 90th percentile. – 100% of awards vest for performance at the 90th percentile or above.
What are the vesting conditions for FY23 five year plan grants?	Operating EPS tested (75% of grant) The Board has set an operating EPS performance hurdle of growing operating EPS from the FY22 result of 81.3 cents to between 96.9 cents (Threshold level) and 111.2 cents (Upper level) in FY25. Vesting of 25% of the operating EPS portion occurs upon satisfying testing conditions at the Threshold level with a sliding scale up to 100% at the Upper level. The range is equivalent to between 6% pa and 11% CAGR in operating EPS or approximately 19% to 37% cumulatively over the three year testing period. In addition, a penalty may apply to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle if environmental and sustainability targets are not met. These are reviewed by the Board annually and at the end of the testing period with 20% maximum reduction in the number of rights vesting under the operating EPS tranches, in the event of material underperformance against targets at final testing year.	Relative TSR tested (25% of grant) TSR awards are subject to achievement of cumulative TSR relative to the S&P/ASX 100 over a three year period: – 25% of awards vest for performance at the 51st percentile. – Awards vest on a sliding scale between 25% and 100% for performance between the 51st and the 90th percentile. – 100% of awards vest for performance at the 90th percentile or above.
Can the hurdles be adjusted?	No (subject to ASX Listing Rule adjustments).	No.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

3.5.2 FY22 LTI awards

Questions specific to grants made in FY22

What happens to LTIP awards upon termination?	Performance rights lapse upon the employee leaving Goodman unless in Special Circumstances (primarily Death, TPD, Redundancy and Retirement in the normal course) in which case they are not subject to the employment requirement and vest subject to performance hurdles being met and the usual timetable.	
What are the vesting conditions for FY22 ten-year plan grants?	Operating EPS tested (75% of grant) The Board has set an operating EPS performance hurdle of growing operating EPS from the FY21 result of 65.6 cents to between 82.8 cents (Threshold level) and 96.0 cents (Upper level) in FY25. Vesting of 25% of the operating EPS portion occurs upon satisfying testing conditions at the Threshold level with a sliding scale up to 100% at the Upper level. The range is equivalent to between 6% and 10% CAGR in operating EPS or approximately 26% to 46% cumulatively over the four year testing period. In addition, a penalty may apply to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle if environmental and sustainability targets are not met. These are reviewed by the Board annually and at the end of the testing period with 20% maximum reduction in the number of rights vesting under the operating EPS tranches, in the event of material underperformance against targets at final testing year.	Relative TSR tested (25% of grant) TSR awards are subject to achievement of cumulative TSR relative to the S&P/ASX 100 over a four year period: – 25% of awards vest for performance at the 51st percentile. – Awards vest on a sliding scale between 25% and 100% for performance between the 51st and the 90th percentile. – 100% of awards vest for performance at the 90th percentile or above.
What are the vesting conditions for FY22 five year plan grants?	Operating EPS tested (75% of grant) The Board has set an operating EPS performance hurdle of growing operating EPS from the FY21 result of 65.6 cents to between 78.1 cents (Threshold level) and 87.3 cents (Upper level) in FY24. Vesting of 25% of the operating EPS portion occurs upon satisfying testing conditions at the Threshold level with a sliding scale up to 100% at the Upper level. The range is equivalent to between 6% and 10% CAGR in operating EPS or approximately 19% to 33% cumulatively over the three year testing period.	Relative TSR tested (25% of grant) TSR awards are subject to achievement of cumulative TSR relative to the S&P/ASX 100 over a three year period: – 25% of awards vest for performance at the 51st percentile. – Awards vest on a sliding scale between 25% and 100% for performance between the 51st and the 90th percentile. – 100% of awards vest for performance at the 90th percentile or above.

3.5.3 FY21 LTI awards

Questions specific to the grants made in FY21

What are the vesting conditions for FY21 grants?	Operating EPS tested (75% of grant) The Board has set an operating EPS performance hurdle of growing operating EPS from the FY20 result of 57.5 cents to between 68.5 cents (Threshold level) and 74.5 cents (Upper level) in FY23. Vesting of 25% of the operating EPS portion occurs upon satisfying testing conditions at the Threshold level with a sliding scale up to 100% at the Upper level. The range is equivalent to between 6% and 9% CAGR in operating EPS or approximately 19% to 30% cumulatively over the three year testing period.	Relative TSR tested (25% of grant) TSR awards are subject to achievement of cumulative TSR relative to the S&P/ASX 100 over a three year period: – 50% of awards vest for performance at the 51st percentile – Awards vest on a sliding scale between 50% and 100% for performance between the 51st and the 76th percentile – 100% of awards vest for performance at the 76th percentile or above.
---	---	---

3.5.4 Setting operating EPS hurdles for proposed ten-year plan awards to the Group CEO, other executive KMP and other senior executives

The operating EPS target range under the ten-year plan is only for the purpose of testing criteria for vesting of performance rights. The range does not constitute earnings guidance for the Group.

The hurdles are set in line with the “pay for performance” culture and the desire for them to be both challenging and competitive while maintaining the integrity of the business strategy and risk management objectives in a sustainable manner.

More challenging hurdles have been set for the FY23 performance rights awards when compared to recent years. The Board has set an operating EPS performance hurdle for operating EPS growth relative to the FY22 result of 81.3 cents of between 102.7 cents (Threshold level) and 123.4 cents (Upper Level) in FY26. At the Threshold level, 25% satisfy the hurdle with a sliding scale up to 100% satisfying the hurdle at the Upper Level. This range is equivalent to between 6% and 11% CAGR in operating EPS or approximately 26% to 52% cumulative growth over the four year testing period.

The range has been set with particular reference to:

- + The significant proportion of the Group's revenue over the next four years, being at risk in the sense that it is not currently contracted and subject to a wide number of variables, particularly in regard to development activities and performance fees
- + The range of potential real estate opportunities for the Group globally, given the Group's risk parameters and concentrated locations of operation
- + The long-run historical performance of the Group, noting that previous history is not a reflection of future earnings
- + Increased volatility across the global economic and political environment which are manifesting in higher cost inflation, slower real GDP growth rates and higher interest rates
- + The ongoing potential for disruption to business activity resulting from COVID-19.

Consistent with the Board's aim to set hurdles which are both challenging for management and competitive against peers, it is believed that achievement at or above the top end of the range will represent a strong performance in both relative and absolute terms. For the Upper Level vesting to occur on the Operating EPS linked portion of the plan, almost 60% growth in operating profit relative to the very strong FY22 result needs to be achieved over the next four years.

The Board believes that the hurdles are competitive and challenging for the following reasons:

- + Goodman's operating EPS grew strongly over FY20, FY21 and FY22, despite the impact of COVID over the period (no hurdles were amended to adjust for COVID during this period). This has resulted in a significantly higher base year from which to measure operating EPS growth compared with peer groups. For the 3 year period from FY19-FY22:
 - The Group has grown operating EPS by 56%
 - The average growth for the S&P/ASX 100 is approximately 24%
 - The S&P/ASX 200 REIT's EPS declined by an average of approximately 14%
- + The strong growth in earnings in FY22, combined with the higher hurdles for Upper Level vesting means that a significant acceleration of growth in operating profit is required to achieve full vesting (relative to that embedded within the FY22 grants). By way of comparison, an extrapolation of the growth rates contained within the FY22 grants to FY26 and applying the previous Upper Level hurdle rate would have resulted in an operating profit benchmark that is over \$300 million lower than that which will now be applicable for FY26 under the new hurdles to be applied to the FY23 grant (see table below)

Operating Profit comparison of vesting conditions

	At grant date of FY22 Awards \$M	At grant date of FY23 Awards \$M
Base year Profit	1,358	1,528
FY26 interpolated profit @ Threshold (6%pa)	1,714	1,929
FY26 interpolated profit @ the Upper Level (10% at FY22, 11% at FY23)	1,988	2,320
Additional profit required due to higher base year and hurdles		331

- + Performance at “Target” hurdle level (CAGR in operating EPS of 8-9% for the 4 years to FY26 pa) is in line with aspirational objectives the Board believes are appropriate (which take into account the risk management constraints of the Group the Board believes appropriate for the business, given the context of the global economic and political environment, higher interest rates, inflation, cost of capital and risk settings). It is contingent upon increased activity levels and market conditions remaining favourable. Importantly the Target hurdles are in excess of peers (see below) and broker research estimates for the Group (CAGR of approximately 8%)

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

- + Performance at the threshold hurdle level (CAGR in operating EPS of 6% pa for the 4 years to FY26) for performance rights vesting to start has been set such that it requires performance in excess of relevant peer groups (S&P/ASX 100 (current market consensus expectations average 0%pa) and S&P/ASX200 REIT's (current market consensus expectations average 4% per annum) but would only result in 25% vesting. Under this scenario it is estimated that the remuneration outcomes will be well below the median for the above peer groups and the ASX100
- + Performance at the Upper Level hurdle (CAGR in operating EPS of 11% for the four years to FY26) would require outcomes significantly ahead of internal targets and significantly (3% per annum) higher than market consensus expectations (this equates to an additional circa \$200 million in operating profit in FY26 compared to the average broker research consensus)
- + The hurdles reflect approximately 50% relative earnings growth outperformance compared to estimates for the S&P/ASX100 and require the Group to absorb the risk associated with the significant increase in volatility in the global operating environment, interest rates and inflation.

The Board believes the higher FY22 hurdle is significantly more challenging given the current economic environment. The hurdles are set for the entire period of the plan and hence performance must be achieved regardless of changes to business conditions globally. Management and other employees carry the risk associated with external factors negatively impacting operating earnings and in the Board's view this risk has increased given the ongoing and unknown impacts of COVID-19, geo-political tensions and impacts on supply chains, and global economic activity.

In addition, the hurdles are set with the desire to achieve a sustainable long-term growth rate that is competitive with the market on a risk adjusted basis. This is reflected in the relatively low gearing of the Group and its other risk settings. Taking into account the difference in gearing, the FY23 hurdles are relatively high compared to peers.

LTI hurdle period (estimated)	Ten-Year plan operating EPS hurdles (cents)	CAGR in EPS ¹ FY23 – FY26	Ten-Year plan Cumulative growth in EPS ¹ FY23 to FY26
S&P/ASX 100 ²		-0.1%	-0.2%
S&P/ASX 200 REITs ²		4.6%	19.7%
Broker Consensus for GMG ³		7.8%	35.2%
Threshold level	102.7	6%	26.4%
Target Level	110.6 – 114.8	8% – 9%	36% – 41%
Upper level	123.4	11%	51.8%

1. Operating EPS for Goodman Group.

2. Broker Estimates

3. Visible Alpha.

3.5.5 Operating EPS – long-term cash flow alignment with vesting outcomes

The Group presents statutory profit in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards, including all required disclosures. The Board believes that managing the business, on what is primarily a cash profit basis, is fundamental to long-term resilience and is the strongest determinant of value creation for Securityholders over time. That is the intent of the Group's Operating Profit definition, and it is one of the key measures used to drive the business strategy that is communicated to employees to execute. This is also why the Board has used operating EPS as one of the principal targets in its awards of both STI and LTI.

Calculation of operating EPS

Operating EPS has been calculated and applied consistently since being adopted in 2005.

- + Operating profit intentionally excludes non-cash measures. Previously, the Group has excluded significant realised gains (such as the urban renewal realisation gains) where these were believed to be cyclical in nature and not reflective of underlying long-term earnings
- + As required under the accounting standards, the share-based payments (SBP) expense in the Group's statutory income statement reflects the amortisation of the aggregated fair value applicable to the outstanding performance rights. Given the volatility inherent in the accounting valuation of the performance rights, the SBP expense is excluded from operating profit, like other non-cash items (such as revaluations). Instead, the Board believes the cost of the plan, which arises from the future dilution through the issuance of securities under the LTIP, is most appropriately reflected by including all vested and tested performance rights in the denominator used for determining operating EPS. This is not subject to accounting estimation and is a more reliable measure
- + The operating EPS at each reporting date is calculated using the weighted average number of securities, which includes:
 - all securities that have already vested
 - rights that have been tested and assessed as having met the hurdles but have not yet vested

The inclusion of these unvested performance rights in the operating EPS calculation is a conservative treatment as:

- + The financial impact of the performance rights occurs only when securities are issued through the dilution to net assets at the time of issuance and the dilution to future operating EPS
- + Not all performance rights vest. This can only occur if testing criteria are met and by extension, the Group's performance has achieved or exceeded performance criteria, which doesn't align with SBP expense
- + Following successful testing at years three or four (for the five year plan and ten-year plan respectively), performance rights still have no entitlement to income (distributions) or net assets nor do they have any of the other usual Securityholder rights until they vest, which may be up to six years later (under the ten-year plan).

Therefore, in the Board's view realised cash profit as represented by diluted operating EPS is the most reliable measure of value creation for Securityholders and continues to be an appropriate means by which to assess employee performance. It is also consistent with the predominant method of valuation of Goodman by the market.

Use of cash settled "Phantom" performance rights

In certain jurisdictions, it is impractical to issue performance rights which vest into Goodman securities. In these instances, cash settled Phantom performance rights are issued, with the same economic outcome on vesting. From time to time, the Group may issue new securities into the market to fund the settlement of those rights. This results in the same outcome to Securityholders as if the Phantoms had been settled in Goodman securities because it results in the situation where the dilutionary impact to operating EPS is consistent with the equity settled performance rights. As in recent years, the Board's current intention is to issue securities to fund the cash requirements to settle the Phantoms. This results in the effective funding of the LTIP having no cash impact for the Group and as a consequence the share based payments expense remains effectively a non-cash item in the context of the definition of operating profit.

3.6 Non-financial measures

3.6.1 Types of non-financial measures

Goodman continues to increase accountability and transparency across a range of non-financial measures which are important to the Group culture, its stakeholders and the world more broadly. These are integral components to the operations of the organisation, the health and wellbeing of the Group's people and the communities in which Goodman operates.

These values and aspirations encompass a wide range of areas including:

- + Environmental considerations for developments and building operations
- + Energy procurement including renewable targets
- + Group emissions and embodied emissions
- + Health and wellbeing of Goodman's people and communities
- + Good corporate and social governance including diversity and inclusion in the workforce
- + Behaviour in line with Goodman's Code of Conduct.

All of these aspirations are integrated into Goodman's culture and business operations and the Group's financial results are achieved while also implementing and performing to these standards.

The way employees conduct themselves is crucial to the success of the Group. Goodman has consistent and transparent practices in place for managing non-compliance with policies and the approach to risk guides the way all employees are expected to conduct themselves. Within the Code of Conduct, there is a set of eight guiding principles that encourage employees to uphold Goodman's reputation and behave appropriately in dealing with our customers and other team members. The guiding principles are:

- + Act in a professional manner
- + Work as a team and respect others
- + Treat stakeholders fairly
- + Value honesty and integrity
- + Follow the law and our policies
- + Respect confidentiality and do not misuse information
- + Manage conflicts of interest
- + Strive to be a great team member.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

Individuals' behaviour and adherence to the Code of Conduct, governance, implementation of diversity principles and social programs are assessed as a gate to STI and LTI awards. Breaches can also result in forfeiture of LTI or potentially more severe consequences depending on severity.

Consequences of breaches of Code of Conduct in FY22

Conduct and or behavioural Issues

Consequences	Termination, forfeiture of STI, forfeiture of LTI
--------------	---

In respect of the FY22 STI awards and the intended LTI awards that will be made in September 2022 (in respect of FY22 performance), key environmental and sustainability targets will also be assessed based on the individuals' areas of influence and contributions as part of overall assessment.

3.6.2 Integration of non-financial measures into STI

STI process

		Impact
1st Hurdle	Conduct, Governance, Social and Diversity	Gate
2nd Hurdle	Operating EPS	Gate
Financial, and operational assessments (including environmental objectives)	Individual assessment	0% – 100%

3.6.3 Integration of non-financial measures into LTI

The Board also believes that ownership through the LTIP embeds a culture of inclusion and sense of place in the organisation and that this has been strongly reflected in the Group's performance over many years and particularly through COVID-19. Behaviour and adherence to the Group's Code of Conduct have always been a prerequisite to entitlement to vested LTI and since 2021, additional hurdles for vesting, related to our environmental and sustainability targets have been incorporated into intended awards.

- + The Board will review progress on targets annually and set review long term targets each year as they relate to the new testing period
- + Environmental and sustainability objectives and their execution are integrated into the operations of the Group, particularly for development projects. For this reason, the additional penalty criteria will apply to the operating EPS tested performance rights. This aligns operational targets which are within the control of senior executives and employees at all levels and therefore have the most logical connection to operational performance
- + Targets set by Board will be tested formally at the end of the testing period (year four for the ten-year plan)
- + The penalty applies to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle with 20% maximum reduction in the event of material underperformance against targets
- + Targets will be reported each year in the remuneration report.

LTI Process – three and four year testing period

		Impact
1st Hurdle	Conduct and behaviour	Gate: 0% – 100%
2nd hurdle	Operating EPS and relative TSR	0% – 100%
Group assessment	Environmental and sustainability	The penalty applies to the number of performance rights that have satisfied the operating EPS hurdle with 20% maximum reduction in the event of material underperformance against targets

3.7 Considerations for setting of awards

The Board is focused on creating a remuneration structure that supports the Group's strategy and is aligned with outcomes for Securityholders and then on determining an appropriate quantum of remuneration under that structure. In assessing the Group CEO and other executive KMP remuneration for FY22, the Board has given consideration to:

- + Feedback from investor engagement following the disappointing level of support at the November 2021 AGM for remuneration related matters
- + Maintaining the general structure and principles of the Group's remuneration strategy
- + The Group's relative performance against operational targets FY22
- + The Group's consistent track record over the past ten years that has also positioned the business for the future
- + Global market conditions for human capital in the sector
- + Balancing employee and Securityholder outcomes
- + Hurdles and testing criteria for performance rights.

The Board has assessed outcomes for Securityholders, based on the testing criteria under the five and ten-year plan and that the pay for performance alignment is with all Goodman employees (all permanent employees, 1020 people, are eligible to participate in the LTIP). Based on the proposed hurdles, the Board believes that significant balance and alignment exists between the cost of the plan and net outcomes achieved for Securityholders as demonstrated below (see note 4 below):

- + before any performance awards are realised under the ten-year plan hurdles, significant Securityholder value, that equates to \$11 billion in market capitalisation growth, must be created, consistent with >33% TSR over the period (all other things being equal and based on the assumptions set out in the table below)
- + The maximum employee share of the value created will occur if the awards fully vest through reaching the cumulative 11% CAGR in operating EPS after four years and the relative TSR performance is at the 90th percentile. This represents only 3.1% of the \$19 billion value potentially created for Securityholders (net of dilution) or 52% potential security price growth (all other things being equal). This would result in approximately 60% TSR
- + If growth were to exceed 11% per annum and the security price grows beyond the assumption above, the employee share diminishes relative to Securityholders.

Estimated Securityholder value over the four year testing period under the ten-year plan

Plan	<5.99% CAGR over four years	6.0% CAGR over four years	11.0% (or greater) CAGR over four years
Economic outcomes			
Cumulative operating profit growth	<32.1%	32.1%	58.5%
Cumulative operating EPS growth	<26.2%	26.2%	51.8%
Percentage of performance rights vesting ¹	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%
Year 4 operating profit to meet operating EPS hurdle	<\$2.1B	\$2.1B	\$2.4B
Market capitalisation (MCAP) at end of year 4 ²	<\$43.8B	\$43.8B	\$52.6B
Net value created for Securityholders (growth in MCAP)²	<\$10.4B	\$10.5B	\$19.3B
Assumed security price ³	n/a	\$22.52	\$27.08
Effect cost of total plan / MCAP	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%
Employee share of Securityholder value created⁴	0.0%	1.4%	3.1%

1. Assumes that the proportion of rights that vest under the operating EPS hurdle also applies to the rights that vest under the relative TSR hurdle.

2. Based on 30 June 2022 security price, assuming the market Price/Earnings (P/E) multiple applied to operating EPS remains unchanged over time and is inclusive of an allowance for increases in the securities on issue because of securities vesting under the LTIP. Excludes distributions and dividend payments that may be made during the period.

3. Assumes constant P/E multiple at the end of year 4 and the relevant CAGR in operating EPS growth.

4. Values the number of vested securities at the assumed security price which is calculated using the 30 June 2021 value and growing it at the same rate as the operating EPS growth. This includes full dilution including the five-year plan securities assuming the same growth rate for FY26.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

3.7.1 Considerations for award quantum – Goodman Group in context

The Board and Remuneration Committee have considered the entire enterprise of the Group and its Partnerships globally, when assessing the executives' roles and remuneration awards.

In this context, Goodman:

- + Is an international real estate fund manager
- + Reported \$3.4 billion statutory profit, and a combined statutory profit across the Group and Partnerships of \$9.0 billion in FY22
- + Delivered \$8.5 billion in valuation growth across the Group and Partnerships in FY22
- + Reported NTA of \$8.37, with gearing of 8.5% and available liquidity of \$2.8 billion
- + Is the largest listed specialist developer of logistics real estate in the world, with \$13.6 billion of WIP
- + Manages and creates value across of \$73 billion of assets globally which has almost doubled in the past 5 years
- + Manages capital allocation and funding across various activity types, which is sourced from multiple jurisdictions
- + Has grown to \$33.3 billion market capitalisation at 30 June 2022 and is a member of the S&P/ASX 20 index
- + Generates 71% of operating earnings from management and development activities which require more intensive day to day activity than a passive investment portfolio
- + Provides its customers and partners with investment management, asset management, development, financial, transaction and capital management services in the listed and private equity capital markets globally
- + Derives 71% of operating earnings from international markets with approximately 70% of employees situated offshore.

The Group has limited direct comparable market peers in Australia, having operating businesses in five continents and 14 countries, each with market driven remuneration outcomes. The Group has 1,025 employees at 30 June 2022, the majority of whom are offshore, and consequently Goodman competes for labour in an international market, which the Board considers when assessing the quantum of remuneration awards. In FY22, the Board has referenced:

- + A range of local and global comparators with operations of similar scale and complexity and certain companies in the ASX 20
- + Private equity (PE) firms. Noting that PE firms are significant players in the logistics real estate sector with considerable new capital with a desire to assemble teams and invest in the sector. PE remuneration is particularly relevant because
 - the nature of pay for performance remuneration structures is highly equity based and outcome-driven similar to the Group's remuneration structure and
 - the period of testing and realisation of remuneration is linked to investor outcomes over significant periods up to ten years, again a similar remuneration structure. The majority of the Group's assets are within PE (unlisted) market entities, which in turn creates significant competition for high quality people
- + Prologis and Segro are relevant global peers from the logistics real estate sector, albeit Segro is predominantly in the UK
 - Prologis is larger by market capitalisation and assets, but Goodman has a more significant proportion of earnings from development and Management activities
 - Segro is smaller, and predominantly UK focused, with small proportion of its assets in Europe whereas Goodman operates in 14 countries globally
 - The range of Remuneration outcomes are approximately \$10 million-\$37 million for these two companies.

Various Reference Groups

Peer group comparator	Reason for comparison	Annual CEO remuneration							
		Range	Average	Median	% LTI	LTI Term years	1 year TSR	3 years TSR	5 year TSR
Goodman	Goodman Group CEO	n/a	\$19.2m ¹		86%	10	-14.56%	25%	151%
S&P/ASX 20	Goodman is number 14 in the S&P/ASX 20 index	\$6m – \$28m	\$10.4m	\$9.6m	47%	4	0%	18%	77%
Selected global comparators including ASX companies with global operations	71% of Goodman's earnings are outside Australia. The comparator group provides a reference to local companies with international operations and similar global companies	\$6m – \$37m	\$16m	\$13m	62%	4	-11%	29%	90%
S&P/ASX 100 Property Companies / REITs	Goodman is in the REIT index, but has limited comparability given, typically this Group: – are domestic only vs Goodman in 14 countries – have <20% active earnings vs Goodman at 70% – have an average MCAP of only \$12bn vs Goodman at \$33bn	\$5m – \$19m	\$8.3m	\$7.2m	47%	3	-7%	21%	114%

1. Based on proposed FY23 Award.

In the Board's view, the competitive environment for logistics assets and consequently also for teams with skills to develop and manage the products and services over the long term, has intensified significantly over the past 24 months. Goodman is seen as a global leader in this space and the potential loss of key employees and regional teams poses significant commercial risk. The Board has also assessed the FY22 awards in this context.

3.7.2 Considerations for award quantum – structure

Given the variability in the components of remuneration structures in the market, Goodman's comparator group analysis of value and quantum of awards is assessed in the context of the degree of risk associated with the structures and the vesting periods:

- + The Board has set the hurdles in respect of the intended LTI award for FY23 to provide substantially increased challenges (and risk of achievement) for all employees and to achieve a high degree of alignment and balance with Securityholder outcomes
- + Performance rights awarded under the LTIP do not have any voting rights or rights to dividends until vested, even after passing testing hurdles
- + Significant financial outperformance in FY22 versus targets and continued outperformance of peer Group over three and five years.

While not the primary measure this year, the Board has considered the economic value of performance rights when allocating awards to employees, as this is a relevant component for how employees and the Board look at value. Employees make commercial assessments of the equity awards based on:

- + The composition of remuneration, taking into consideration the proportion of cash versus conditional equity
- + An appropriate discount to allow for the lower probability of vesting over the testing period and the more challenging hurdles set by the Board
- + A further discount for time value of money differential given the vesting of the rights occurs over ten years.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

However, as discussed previously, with respect to quantum, the Board has predominantly made final comparison of the awards based on face value. On this basis of the total remuneration outlined, (noting the proposed LTI awards with longer testing period, longer vesting period and significantly larger portion at risk) and considering the market capitalisation and performance differentials of the groups above, it is considered that an appropriate benchmark for the Group CEO's remuneration is around A\$20 million (\$10 million economic value).

The Boards proposed award for the Group CEO is detailed below:

	Performance Rights	Price at 30 June	Face Value (25% vesting) \$M	Face Value (100% vesting) \$M	Fixed Remuneration \$M	Total Remuneration (25% vesting) \$M	Total Remuneration (100% vesting) \$M
FY22 CEO	1,000,000	\$17.84	4.5	17.8	1.4	5.9	19.2
FY21 CEO	1,560,000	\$21.17	8.3	33.0	1.4	9.7	34.4
% Change	-36%		-46%	-46%	0%	-39%	-44%

Group CEO maximum possible outcomes for FY22 versus FY21

Based on the proposed award, the maximum face value of remuneration which can be received by the Group CEO, (over ten years), if 100% vesting occurs, is 46% lower than the equivalent award last year, noting that FY22 was the strongest financial performance in the Group's history.

- + At Threshold performance, (6% pa CAGR in operating EPS for the 4 years to FY26), the Group will have
 - significantly outperformed S&P/ASX100 EPS growth estimates over the 4 years to FY26 (56% outperformance)
 - significantly outperformed S&P/ASX100 REIT EPS growth estimates over the 4 years to FY26 (32% outperformance)
- + CEO potential remuneration, (all else being equal) to be received over a further 7 years would be \$5.9 million, lower than the median of the S&P/ASX20, the S&P/ASX100 REIT's and the S&P/ASX100 average
- + At the Upper level, (11% pa CAGR in operating EPS for the 4 years to FY26), the Group will have also
 - significantly outperformed analyst broker estimates (Visible Alpha) for the Group (7.8% pa CAGR in EPS for the 4 years to FY26)
 - delivered 59% TSR to securityholders
- + CEO potential remuneration, (all else being equal) to be received over a further 7 years would be \$19 million, still below various global and local peers as per the table above

In economic value terms, the award is estimated to be \$8.4 million using the valuation methodology employed by Deloitte (an international accounting firm) (see below). Applying this same methodology to the FY21 award means that the economic value is down 28%.

3.7.3 Valuation of performance rights (Economic Value) 10 year grants

The Board engaged Deloitte (an international accounting firm) to assist in the determination of an economic value for the performance rights. A modified Black Scholes approach was applied having regard, among other things, to:

- + The probability of achieving the TSR and EPS vesting criteria, and the associated impact that this has on the expected vesting outcome
- + Expectations with respect to the Group's security price (including growth and volatility) and distribution payments
- + The time value of money applied through a discount rate.

For the determination of the discount rate, a single discount rate was determined having regard for a Discount for Lack of Marketability and the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) framework. The economic valuation has included the probability of achieving the TSR and EPS measures, which are based on historical statistical analysis. This economic valuation returned a value of \$8.40 per right as at June 2022. This same methodology applied to the grants made in 2021 would have returned a valuation of \$7.47 per right as at June 2021.

The economic value of the performance rights is determined on a different basis to the fair value of the performance rights for accounting purposes.

4. GROUP PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOMES

The Group has delivered an exceptional result, significantly outperforming the original estimates notwithstanding the challenging operating conditions and market volatility. Despite the volatility in the global equity markets, Goodman's security price has continued to demonstrate a significant premium to underlying net assets and therefore the value creation by employees and subsequently medium and longer term outperformance of the peer group indices.

The Group's remuneration strategy, focused on long-term outcomes, is the key driver of this sustained performance.

4.1 Group FY22 highlights

	Financial Statutory profit of \$3,414.0 million for Goodman and \$9,029.6 million for the combined Group and Partnerships Operating profit of \$1,528.0 million (up 25% on FY21) Operating EPS of 81.3 cents (up 24% on FY21) Maintained distribution of 30.0 cents per security to sustain financial risk management objectives Net tangible assets per security increased 25% to \$8.37 per security
	Operational property investment, management, and development High occupancy maintained at 99% and like for like net property income growth of 3.9% Total AUM of \$73 billion (up 26% on FY21) Significant outperformance by the 16 Partnerships achieving weighted average returns of over 21% Development WIP (end value) increased to \$13.6 billion and with 99% commitment levels on completions and 14 year weighted average lease terms
	People and culture Social investment of over \$11 million by the Goodman Foundation and through efforts of employees worldwide Female senior roles now 30% in FY22. Goodman continues to work towards 40% females in senior roles by 2030 and 50/50 representation overall by 2030 Expansion of Goodman's supply chain ethics towards a global supplier code of conduct increasing the focus on human rights and potential modern slavery Strong focus to employees on reinforcing behaviours that are consistent with the Group's values Feedback from employees in the Australian business via surveys undertaken in FY22 indicates strong communication and employee engagement
	Environmental Goodman's global operations achieved carbon neutrality and certified as a Carbon Neutral Organisation Transitioned to 100% certified GreenPower secured for Goodman's Australian operations on 1 July 2021, increasing Goodman's global renewable energy usage to approximately 65% Approximately 203MW of solar PV now installed or committed across the global portfolio, an increase of 78MW in FY22 Commenced calculating the embodied emissions of all of Goodman's logistics developments globally and established a framework for integration into approval processes as we transition to carbon neutral developments
	Capital management Maintained significant available liquidity of \$2.8 billion for the Group and capital resources of \$18.1 billion in the Partnerships Significant business growth while maintaining low gearing at 8.5% Group and Partnerships completed debt refinancing transactions totalling \$8.5 billion

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

Over the past decade, the Group has established a global business with significant specialist expertise, financial resources, and a strategic real estate portfolio. The business has been deliberately positioned to maximise cash flow resilience in varying market cycles, primarily through:

- + Concentration of the portfolio on logistics real estate in urban infill markets, where supply is limited, and demand is relatively high due to the long term structural trends that have been identified many years ago
- + Deleveraging the Group's balance sheet and retaining significant liquidity
- + Partnering with long-term capital to share risk and return over a significant globally diversified platform.

This has included specific actions over several years, including:

- + Significant reduction in financial leverage (gearing) with the target gearing range reduced to 0% to 25% and retaining a position below the mid-point in the past five years
- + Increased quality of the property portfolio through \$30 billion of asset sales since 2013, concentrating the portfolio in predominantly urban infill markets and providing funding for the development of new buildings
- + Established an international platform with significant depth of experience required to generate excess returns in competitive high barrier to entry markets
- + Diversification of the Group's sources of debt and tenor
- + Reduced operational risk through undertaking more development activity in Partnerships, which has reduced the volatility of earnings while increasing return on assets for the Group. The impact of increased development within the Partnerships has increased their returns and the prospects for Goodman to earn performance fees in the medium to longer term
- + Significant sales of assets that were reconfigured for higher and better residential use over recent years. For these transactions, the substantial profit was not included in operating profit despite being cash realised gains as they were believed to be over and above the usual course of business
- + Maintained a conservative distribution pay-out ratio to retain funding for growing development activity
- + Investment in innovation and technology to provide knowledge of potential future risks and opportunities for our operations.

Many of these strategic initiatives rely on foregoing some short-term returns to secure potentially larger long-term sustainable returns.

The resilience of the Group through this period is largely due to strategic long-term thinking, a highly talented team with specialist skills, and incentivising those employees through equity, linked to sustained operational performance over a long period.


4.2 Financial measures

Performance measures	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22
Operating profit (\$M)	776.0	845.9	942.3	1,060.2	1,219.4	1,528.0
Operating EPS (cents)	43.1	46.7	51.6	57.5	65.6	81.3
Operating EPS growth (%)	7.5	8.3	10.5	11.4	14.1	24.0
Security price as at 30 June (\$)	7.87	9.62	15.03	14.85	21.17	17.84
Distributions per security (cents)	25.9	28.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0
3 year rolling TSR (%) ¹	72.7	69.5	130.8	103.4	133.4	24.7
NTA per security (\$)	4.21	4.64	5.34	5.84	6.68	8.37
Growth in NTA (\$B)	0.2	0.9	1.3	1.0	1.7	3.3
Gearing (%)	5.9	5.1	9.7	7.5	6.8	8.5
AUM (\$B)	34.6	38.3	46.2	51.6	57.9	73.0
Market capitalisation premium to NTA (\$B)	6.6	9.0	17.6	16.5	26.8	17.7


1. TSR is the increase in market capitalisation plus dividends and distributions, attributable to the respective financial year.

The key financial metrics which are aligned with the Group's strategy, long-term performance and STI and LTI programs for all employees, are operating EPS and relative TSR. CAGR in operating EPS over the past five years has been exceptional at 13.5%, which has exceeded forecasts. This has been achieved while at the same time reducing gearing, and not utilising the short-term benefits of low interest rates to financially engineer performance.

EPS "Guidance" and Growth




Gearing



4.3 Total security price returns comparison

Goodman is the only real estate group currently in the ASX 20 and the 14th largest ASX listed entity at 30 June 2022 with a market capitalisation of over \$33 billion. Despite the volatility in the past 6 months impacting pricing of global interest rate sensitive sectors, the chart below shows the Group has consistently outperformed the S&P/ASX 20, S&P/ASX 100 and S&P/ASX 200 A-REIT indices over the past three, five and ten years. Importantly, underlying performance of the operations has been significantly ahead of target.

Security price returns



Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

4.4 Remuneration outcomes for FY22

4.4.1 STI outcomes

The Board has again agreed with the Group CEO that he will not participate in the STI award. In line with continued focus on sustained long-term performance, all performance based remuneration relating to the Group CEO's FY22 performance will be awarded in the form of performance rights.

Given the global nature of the Group's operations, the recommendations for the other executive KMP are based on the Remuneration Committee's review of several sources of market information relating to the individual's role, region and global comparisons and specific incentive schemes that apply in competitor organisations.

It should be noted that based on the Group and individual performances in FY22, other executive KMP were eligible for the maximum STI.

Test	Metrics	Result
Gate 1: Behaviour	Code of Conduct: Pass/Fail	Pass
Gate 2: Operating EPS – FY22 operating EPS versus target	Operating EPS growth: Target 10% (72.1 cents)	24.0% operating EPS growth (81.3 cents)
Financial and operational assessments (including environmental objectives)	Individual assessment	Various (0 – 100%)

The table below indicates the maximum possible STI and the actual STI awarded for FY22.

Executive	Year	STI maximum	Actual STI awarded	Cash component	Deferred component	Actual STI % of maximum
		\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	
Gregory Goodman	FY22	2.10	–	–	–	–
	FY21	2.10	–	–	–	–
Nick Kurtis	FY22	1.05	1.0	0.5	0.5	95
	FY21	1.05	–	–	–	–
Michael O'Sullivan	FY22	0.75	0.55	0.275	0.275	73
	FY21	0.75	0.50	0.25	0.25	67
Nick Vrontas	FY22	1.05	0.90	0.45	0.45	86
	FY21	1.05	0.70	0.35	0.35	67
		€M	€M	€M	€M	
Danny Peeters	FY22	0.92	0.70	0.35	0.35	76
	FY21	0.85	0.70	0.35	0.35	82
		US\$M	US\$M	US\$M	US\$M	
Anthony Rozic	FY22	1.05	1.05	0.525	0.525	100
	FY21	1.05	1.05	0.525	0.525	100

4.4.2 ESG assessment

STI (and LTI) award grant assessments are undertaken with reflection on behaviour, governance, social, environmental and sustainability goals and targets. The Group has made significant contributions and efforts in a wide range of areas, with key highlights including:

- + Goodman's global operations maintained certification under the Climate Active program for being a Carbon Neutral Organisation*
- + Development of science-based emissions reduction targets validated by the Science Based Target initiative as being ambitious and aligned with a 1.5°C Paris Agreement pathway
- + Approximately 203MW of solar PV installed and committed on Goodman's rooftops globally, reaching the halfway point to our 2025 400MW target
- + Continued transition to renewable energy across Goodman's operations, including 100% GreenPower for Goodman's Australian operations electricity usage
- + Integrated a consistent process for calculating and including embodied emissions into the approval process for new developments, with approximately 750,000 carbon offsets purchased so far for embodied emissions as we transition to carbon neutral developments
- + Development of a Sustainability Linked Bond Framework, providing the criteria for the US\$500 million of Sustainability-Linked Bond issuance completed this year
- + Recognised by Sustainalytics as an 'Sector' and 'Region' Top Rated ESG performer during 2022 in the ESG Ratings
- + Achieved Sector Leader in the 2021 Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB) for the Goodman Japan Partnership in the Japan Industrial Distribution peer group
- + Goodman Group's Task Force on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) statement has been updated and is available on the Goodman website
- + Cutting edge sustainability design initiatives in our global development specifications including solar PV, electric vehicle charging points, LED lighting and drought tolerant landscaping
- + Greater than 50% of Goodman's developments globally were completed on brownfield developments
- + Continued focus on biodiversity initiatives including support of urban forests and reforestation with tree planting projects completed across the Continental Europe, United Kingdom and NZ operations
- + Zero workplace fatalities across Goodman's global operations including our workforce and contractors
- + Development of Goodman's Sustainable Sourcing Framework to increase focus on supply chain human rights and help meet our sustainability goals
- + Contributed a further \$11.6 million to community and philanthropic causes.

*Subject to final verification

Key areas of assessment for FY22 are disclosed below in addition to two new targets for FY23 onwards. The form of disclosure below (subject to relevant evolution and changes over time as set by the Board) will be used as the basis for future assessment of environmental and sustainability measures. The measures are formally set over the testing period for performance rights, and are reviewed annually for relevant progress.

Assessment of progress on prior period targets

Target	Long-term target	Progress	
Renewable Energy	100% renewable electricity use within Goodman's operations by 2025	Achieved 100% Renewable electricity use Australia (in Goodman's operations) during FY22, resulting in approximately 65% renewable electricity use globally*	On target
		*Subject to final verification	
Solar PV Installation	400MW of solar PV installed or committed by 2025 ¹	Solar PV installations and commitments increased to approximately 203MW in FY22	On target
Carbon Neutral	Carbon neutral operations by 2025	Maintained Carbon Neutral operations during FY22. This was initially achieved in FY21, four years ahead of target	Ahead of target
TCFD	Achieve TCFD by FY22	TCFD compliance achieved, with FY22 statements updated and registered. This is available online	
Occupancy	>95%	99%	Materially ahead of target

1. Subject to Government regulation in each jurisdiction.

2. Formal confirmation from Climate Active due in October 2022.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

Additional Targets for FY23 onwards

Target	Long-term target	Progress	
Embodied Carbon	We are committed to measuring, reducing and offsetting embodied carbon emissions from our global development workbook and have commenced the process to reduce and offset this over time	New Target for FY23 onwards. Embodied carbon emissions calculated and included in all Group Investment Committee development papers in preparation for future carbon neutral buildings. A number of buildings have been built on an embodied carbon neutral basis as offsets have been purchased and retired. Offset procurement guidance and criteria have been established and procedures are in place with global teams. Formal Targets to be established during the year	New target
Science Based Targets	In addition to our continued commitments to renewable energy and carbon neutrality, the Group has committed to Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions reductions of 42% by 2030 in line with 1.5°C Paris Agreement pathway and validated by SBTi	New Target for FY23 onwards	New target

Code of conduct, behaviour, social and governance requirements

Assessment area	Long-term target	Outcome	Pass/Fail
Diversity			
Gender ratio in the workforce	50% gender ratio in the workforce by 2030	Female representation stable with total employees 44% female, 56% male overall. Senior female roles represent 30% of senior positions. Significant progress has been made on career development (job scope widening, internal promotions etc) and recruitment of females into roles that should over time evolve into senior roles	On target
Women in senior roles	>40% in senior roles by 2030	Senior female roles represent 30% of senior positions. Significant progress has been made on career development (job scope widening, internal promotions etc) and recruitment of females into roles that should over time evolve into senior roles The Group is on track to reach >40% by 2030	On target
Governance			
Workplace safety	Safe working environment with demonstrable risk controls, contractor management and monitoring of key safety metrics	There have been zero fatalities in FY22. This includes Goodman employees or contractors on Goodman controlled areas or contractor controlled sites. There has been significant focus on ensuring the implementation and execution of the Group's comprehensive safety processes and procedures, made particularly difficult through pandemic given regional visitation was not possible	On target
Significant reputational issues arising from illegal conduct	Zero	Zero	On target
Social			
Social/charitable donations	\$50 million in social investment by Goodman Foundation by 2030	\$11.6 million was contributed to community and philanthropic causes during FY21, taking our total to \$31 million in the past 3 years	Ahead of target

4.4.3 LTI outcomes

Testing as at 30 June 2022 was completed for the grants of performance rights made to executive KMP in respect of executive KMP performance in FY19 (called FY20 awards). These performance rights were tested over three years and vest in three equal tranches shortly after the third, fourth and fifth anniversary of the grant. The FY20 awards had two hurdles: operating EPS and a relative TSR, both measured over the three years ended 30 June 2022.

The mechanics of the testing are detailed in section 3.5.

4.4.3.1 Operating EPS hurdle (75% weighting)

The operating EPS is calculated by dividing operating profit by the weighted average number of securities on issue adjusted to include all performance rights which have passed the testing criteria, even though they are not yet vested (issued) to account for potential operating EPS dilution. Operating EPS growth for the three year period to 30 June 2022 was 57.6%, compared to a cumulative target of 29.5% at the upper level of the threshold.

	Threshold level	Upper level	Actual	Outperformance	Outcome
FY19 LTIP grant – Operating EPS hurdle¹	61.4 cents	66.8 cents	81.3 cents	14.5 cents	100%

1. Testing period for grant: EPS growth from 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2022. At the threshold level, 25% satisfy the hurdle with a sliding scale up to 100% satisfying the hurdle at the upper level.

4.4.3.2 Relative TSR hurdle (25% weighting)

TSR provides an effective check against increasing risk practices within the Group, as the price to earnings multiple will reflect the perceived risk in the Group. Relative TSR is measured against the S&P/ASX 100 peer group. Vesting applies on a sliding scale:

- + 0% vests up to and including the 50th percentile
- + Vesting of 50% starts at the 51st percentile on a sliding scale with 100% vesting at the 75th percentile.

Goodman posted a three year TSR of 20.5% to 30 June 2022, under the LTIP TSR calculation methodology. This ranked Goodman in the 68th percentile against the S&P/ASX 100 and consequently 84% of these performance rights vested.

	Goodman TSR ¹	S&P/ASX 100 TSR ¹	Percentile	Outcome
FY19 LTIP grant – TSR hurdle¹	20.5%	16.0%	68th	84%

1. Testing period for grant: 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2022, in accordance with the LTIP the TSR is based on the 10 day volume weighted average price (VWAP) at beginning and end of testing period and is therefore different from the three year TSR, sourced from Bloomberg and presented elsewhere in this report.

As a result of satisfying 100% of the operating EPS hurdle and 84% of the relative TSR hurdle, a total of 10,296,905 equity settled performance rights will vest in September 2022, September 2023 and September 2024. In addition, 2,837,750 cash settled performance rights will also vest. The Group currently intends to issue the equivalent number of new securities to satisfy those obligations in the future.

Directors' report


Remuneration report – audited (continued)

4.4.4 Group CEO achievements

In determining the Group CEO's remuneration, the Board acknowledged his strong leadership through the challenges of COVID-19, positioning the business for resilience and significant outperformance in FY22, far exceeding the Group's operational targets. It has also considered the following contributing factors and highlights:

Gregory Goodman Group CEO	
Leadership	+ Developed and drove a consistent global business strategy across all markets to sustain the performance of the Group despite prolonged and significant challenges presented by COVID-19 and the increases in volatility, costs and risk in the global operating environment. The Group has adapted to these challenges and continues to outperform targets, retaining employees and increasing community support and charitable programs + He has positioned the business as a leader in its field, managing, motivating and incentivising key personnel across the platform to perform in highly competitive environment + Fostered a culture that focused on delivering quality across all aspects of the business: people, properties and service + Led global internal programs to promote a strong culture of inclusion, collaboration and conduct across the organisation, underpinned by the long-held principles in the Group's Code of Conduct, treating all stakeholders with integrity, and accountability, reflected in top decile engagement scores + Reinforced Goodman's purpose aimed at understanding the drivers of change and the needs of customers and all stakeholders to support their future success + 6.4% voluntary staff turnover in FY22
Financial and risk	+ He has fostered continuity of strategy over successive years leading to continued outperformance over benchmark indices and comparator companies in FY22, and with strong and sustained TSR of 151.36% over five years + Delivered: – Statutory profit of \$3.4 billion (up 48%), driven by growth in property values as a result of asset selection over the past few years and operational activities such as development – Significant Operating Profit growth of 25% on FY21, to \$1,528 million, significantly ahead of budget – Revaluation growth across the Group and Partnership of \$8.5 billion – Operating EPS of 81.3 cents, up 24% on FY21 – NTA increased 25% to \$8.37 per security – Occupancy increased to 99% + Exceeded earnings guidance in FY22 after posting significant outperformance in FY21 through the pandemic and volatile economic conditions + Drove a clearly defined capital management strategy with financial leverage of 8.5% and maintained a strong Group balance sheet with \$2.8 billion of liquidity + Continued managing relationships with capital partners and secured additional equity and financial facilities to total \$18.1 billion of available funding capacity + Integrated strong risk management approaches globally.
Environment	+ Instrumental in significantly increasing the focus on ESG initiatives and programs throughout the Group and a culture which continually looks to improve Goodman's impact on the world. In particular: – Establishing a carbon neutral emissions target for the Group by 2025 and achieving it in FY21 – Significant progress on the 2025 solar PV installation on the rooftops of Goodman's global portfolios and installing or committing to 78 MW in FY22 taking global installation and commitment to over 200MW – Maintained compliance with TCFD since FY21 + Established a framework for measuring and assessing embodied carbon to transition to carbon neutral developments + Drove the development of science-based emissions reduction targets validated by the Science Based Target initiative as being ambitious and aligned with a 1.5°C Paris Agreement pathway + Supported implementation and progression of EV incentive scheme for staff globally to encourage a shift towards lower emissions vehicles.
Social and cultural	+ Continues to lead the shift for all employees to increase alignment with Securityholders through the LTIP as the preferred form of remuneration by taking 100% of performance based remuneration in performance rights and working with the Board to implement the ten-year plan. + Commenced new initiatives and Goodman Foundation commitments to enable it to meet its \$50 million 2030 social impact target. The Group CEO led initiatives that: – Contributed \$11.6 million to community and philanthropic causes including \$200,000 raised directly by staff. Expansion of Goodman's supply chain ethics towards a global supplier Code of Conduct increasing the focus on human rights and potential modern slavery – Enabled the Goodman team globally to contribute 2,195 hours to volunteering and community events through the year. The Goodman Foundation focuses on children and youth, community and its health, and food rescue and the environment – Through Goodman's founding food rescue partners have provided meals to charities that help feed people in need and made a significant commitment to domestic violence prevention.

The charts below demonstrate the performance of the Group and various key metrics relative to the Group CEO's vested remuneration outcomes in FY22 and prior years. They illustrate that the significant operating profit growth, security price growth and consequently returns for Securityholders over the testing and vesting periods, correlate with increased Group CEO remuneration over time. Despite significant market volatility and price movement in FY22, the market price of securities between the time of the grant and the time of vesting has seen significant growth, and the Group CEO (and all recipients of the LTIP) has participated in this performance alongside Securityholders.



The table below includes awarded remuneration at grant date and the vested remuneration over the past five years for the Group CEO. The figures in this table differ from the statutory disclosure in section 5 primarily due to the differences in the measurement and timing of recognition in respect of performance rights granted under the LTIP and not the final vesting outcome. The below figures show the base salary received by the Group CEO in the respective year plus the value of performance rights which vested during that year at the closing price on the day the performance rights vested.

The table highlights:

- + No change in fixed remuneration over the period
- + The proportion of remuneration from fixed (cash) salary has continued to decline
- + Significant growth in the value of LTI from grant date to the vesting date due to the increase in security price (on average an increase of 169% for grants vesting in FY22).


	FY17 \$M	FY18 \$M	FY19 \$M	FY20 \$M	FY21 \$M	FY22 \$M
Base salary	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
STI	-	-	-	-	-	-
Value of LTI on grant date ¹	3.8	4.7	7.3	11.6	14.4	15.9
Value of LTI on vesting date	7.0	8.8	13.5	25.4	35.6	42.9
Total remuneration based on LTI value at grant date ¹	5.2	6.1	8.7	13.0	15.8	17.3
Total vested remuneration based on LTI value at vesting date	8.4	10.2	14.9	26.8	37.0	44.3
Increase in LTI value due to security price performance of the Group	3.2	4.1	6.2	13.8	21.2	26.9
Percentage growth in value of LTI during vesting period	84%	88%	86%	119%	147%	169%

1. Value based on the security prices at the grant dates for the performance rights that vested in the financial year. This is so as to allow comparison of the security price outperformance over the period between grant and vesting dates.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

The chart below illustrates the increase in the value of the Group CEO's vested LTI in FY22 from the date of the original awards in 2017, 2018 and 2019. These significant gains have arisen due to consistent earnings growth and security price outperformance of the Group.



4.4.5 Other executive KMP achievements

In FY22, the Board considered the following highlights when assessing other executive KMP:

Danny Peeters	Executive Director, Corporate
	+ Successfully overseeing Brazil, playing a critical role in communicating and reinforcing the Group's strategy, both from a real estate and corporate perspective – currently acting as CEO ad interim + Played a key role in overseeing the Brazil Investment Partnership with strong financial outcomes + Continued to progress acquisition and permitting of significant infill development sites, positioning the Group and Partnership in a strong position to capitalise on the growing e-commerce penetration + Construction completion including permits and successful leasing progress of major development sites despite the challenging pandemic context in Brazil – strong leasing results and asset rotation. Strong focus on quality of developments and property management to drive long term value of the assets + Provided guidance and team coaching in a complex acquisition and development environment effecting above-target performance + Embedded key controls and culture with the team working cohesively and capability increasing + Drove further integration of the Brazil operation into the global network + Provided advice and support to senior management in Continental Europe and Group regarding sustainability and innovation initiatives + Important direct link for the Board to the operations in Continental Europe and Brazil + Further improved key controls and culture with the team working cohesively and increasing capability.

Anthony Rozic	Chief Executive Officer North America, and Deputy Group Chief Executive Officer
	+ Significant outperformance to budget EBIT and valuations. + Significant investment outperformance during the year through strategic value add, development, re-leasing and debt issuance initiatives + Critical role in communicating and reinforcing the Group's strategy in the region + Managed a focused and motivated team with an emphasis on succession planning strong leadership in embedding the Goodman values in the behaviour of the team and encouraging teamwork and collaboration + With the COVID-19 disruption and employees working remotely, a high level of productivity has been maintained with a focus on key operational priorities + Continued to develop a high-quality portfolio and strongly differentiated brand position and building team capabilities and skill sets for complex acquisitions and developments ahead of future growth + Commenced 2.7 million square feet, completed 2.4 million square feet, stabilised 2.2 million square feet of infill development projects + Continued to grow infill development pipeline with acquisitions of 2.3m sf in major US gateway cities providing strong positioning for future performance + Successfully entered the two new target markets of New York and San Francisco with the acquisition of strategic developments and value add opportunities + Continued to diversify capital sources with the doubling of the debt facility with the second USPP Bond issuance of \$400 million and bank debt extension and increase of \$300 million taking total debt to \$1 billion with \$200 million of liquidity at the end of the financial year. Maintained gearing level below 14% + Successfully oversaw strong growth in business operations in North America, achieving a number of key milestones: - Significant growth in AUM to \$8.4 billion - Stabilised occupancy of 96.4% - Maintained a long WALE of 8.9 years - Total available uncommitted liquidity in the Partnership \$3.8 billion at financial year end + Introduced new regional and global customer relationships to the portfolio over FY22 with a number of developments pre-leased and replenishing the land/value-add inventory. Emphasis on developing major infill sites and value-add development skill sets + Successfully launched numerous ESG initiatives including renewable energy solar power and carbon neutral developments.
Nick Kurtis	Group Head of Equities
	+ Formulated and implemented the Partnerships' strategies to successfully deliver significant total returns. Partnership investment portfolio delivered annualised average total return on net assets of 21.4% (based on the respective Partnership reporting periods). Materially in excess of benchmark and target hurdle returns + Delivered strong performance metrics including: - Management earnings contribution of \$588 million to the Group's operating earnings - Performance fee revenue of \$208 million - Growth in external AUM up 27% to \$68.7 billion across 16 Partnerships in 14 countries + Strategic asset planning and new asset selection focus resulting in superior property level returns + Establishment and communication of Group strategy and values across the investment management platform + Communication with key capital partners during the COVID-19 disruption and established strategies to ensure portfolio strategy and execution was consistent with capital partner expectations + Oversight of Partnership capital management plans, including equity, debt and hedging strategies across the whole portfolio in all jurisdictions + Developed the strategy and led execution on new equity raisings of \$1.8 billion in FY22 + Fostered strong relationships with existing and new capital partners + Successfully executed continuation of several Partnerships through the course of FY22 + Established new Partnerships with investors + Provided strategic advice across a range of corporate and structural transactions in the business to position opportunities for future years.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

Michael O'Sullivan	Group Chief Risk Officer
	+ Responsible for identifying, assessing, and monitoring risks at Goodman and reporting to the Risk and Compliance Committee + Oversee and aligned the Group Investment Committee (GIC) process with strategy execution to ensure final commercial outcomes remain consistent with Group strategy + A requested member of regional due diligence committee meetings relating to major acquisitions, disposals, and capital market transactions + Performs a critical role in commercial oversight and assessment of globally complex transactions of the Group to allow the required level of autonomy at a regional level within delegated authority limits + Maintained risk management frameworks with improved outcomes across the Group and Partnerships in FY22 adapting to the changing nature of our business including nature, scale, and complexity of development projects globally + Responsible for co-ordination and reporting of Group Corporate Service functions, specifically as they relate to the identification and monitoring of non-financial risks with specific reference to internal audit, safety, sustainability, compliance, insurance and business continuity planning + Work closely with all Group functions, in particular, Finance, Legal, Compliance, Technical, Investment Management and IT where it relates to risk management practices and processes in those specific functions + FY22 saw continued Group activities, in relation to the GIC process including: – Over 400 GIC submissions with over 20% involving detailed involvement from the Group Risk function – WIP of \$13.6 billion with an annual production rate of \$7.1 billion – \$2.3 billion of asset sales, including both the disposals of directly held developments and disposals to external parties globally – \$8.4 billion of global acquisitions and development expenditure – 16 Partnership business plans and strategy proposals across \$68.7 billion of external AUM, in which the Group's equity investment was \$14.4 billion.
Nick Vrontas	Group Chief Financial Officer
	+ Successfully developed and played a key role in the execution of the business strategy including the management and allocation of capital that has delivered strong returns to investors over several years culminating in FY22 operating profit of over \$1.5 billion + Full oversight of balance sheet and profit and loss outcomes for the Group and Partnerships across multiple jurisdictions in 14 countries. Effective statutory and management financial reporting giving clarity to support strong operational decision making + Built improvements and resilience into systems and controls framework. Strengthened monitoring, co-ordination and consolidation of financial performance and financial position of regional business units and divisions to exceed budget and financial plans + Effected strong capital management and compliance with financial risk management policies of Group and Partnerships + Established and oversaw debt finance transactions in banking and debt capital markets of over \$8 billion for the Group and its Partnerships, adding term to maturity profile and diversity of funding sources + Effective hedging and financial risk management. Involved in and oversaw money market and hedge transactions of \$11 billion for the Group and its Partnerships. Progressed framework for future risk mitigation measures and appropriate enhancements in line with changing nature of the business and industry + Led operational improvements in relation to business IT systems and processes, particularly considering the necessary changes that the recent operating climate have given rise to + Updated and improved various operational policies to enhance compliance and reduce risk. Has demonstrated an ability to manage through variable market conditions. Maintains valuable relationships in the capital markets.

4.5 LTI grants in relation to FY22 performance

The remuneration proposed by the Board in respect of the executive KMP performance in FY22 comprise fixed remuneration, STI awards and awards under the LTIP.

As discussed in earlier sections, the Board has decided to reduce the number of performance rights to be issued to the Group CEO and other executive KMP under the LTIP. The Board has taken into account the face value of the grants and their economic value in making its determination. The Board believes that the significant reduction will find a new balance between the interests of Securityholders and the recipients. The Board also believes that this will not adversely impact the motivations of the recipients.

The table below lists the maximum number of performance rights which could vest if the maximum hurdles are met over the four years ending 30 June 2026. The minimum vesting percentage is 0% if the threshold hurdles are not met. The vesting of those performance rights that achieve the performance hurdles (if any) will occur in seven equal tranches in September each year, starting from September 2026 with the last tranche vesting ten years from initial grant, in September 2032.

The grants that the Board intends to make in September 2022 and proposes to make for Executive Directors after the AGM (subject to Securityholder approval) in respect of the executive KMP performance in FY22, are detailed below. The FY23 performance rights awards reflect:

- + A reduction in the number of rights for the Group CEO of 36% and other executive KMP of 20%
- + A reduction in the face value for the Group CEO of 46% and 33% for other executive KMP.

Executive	Year of grant	Performance rights proposed	Face value per performance right \$	Face value of grant \$M	Economic value per performance right \$	Economic value of grant \$M
Gregory Goodman	FY23	1,000,000	17.84	17.8	8.40	8.4
Danny Peeters	FY23	500,000	17.84	8.9	8.40	4.2
Anthony Rozic	FY23	550,000	17.84	9.8	8.40	4.6
Nick Kurtis	FY23	645,000	17.84	11.5	8.40	5.4
Michael O'Sullivan	FY23	450,000	17.84	8.0	8.40	3.8
Nick Vrontas	FY23	550,000	17.84	9.8	8.40	4.6

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

5. NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REMUNERATION

5.1 Key elements of the Non-Executive Director remuneration policy

- The policy is structured to ensure independence of judgement in the performance of their duties.
- Non-Executive Directors receive fixed fees for Board membership and additional fees for membership of committees.
- The fees are set considering the size and scope of Goodman's activities and the responsibilities and experience of the Directors. Periodically, these fees are benchmarked against data for comparable entities provided by external advisers.
- As approved by Securityholders at the 2006 AGM, total remuneration (including superannuation) payable by Goodman to all Non-Executive Directors in aggregate must not exceed \$2.5 million per annum. For FY22, total Non-Executive Directors' remuneration was \$2.4 million (2021: \$2.4 million). With the recent appointments, increasing size and depth of operations of the Group, and responsibilities of Directors, the Group will be seeking an increase in permitted Non-Executive Director fee pool at the 2022 AGM.
- The Board has approved the establishment of a Sustainability and Innovation Committee which will be constituted in FY23.
- Non-Executive Directors are not entitled to participate in any STI or LTI schemes as they may be perceived to create a bias when overseeing executive decision making.
- To align the interests of the Board with Securityholders, the Board updated the Directors' Security Holding Policy in April 2021. The policy requires Non-Executive Directors to accumulate and hold Goodman securities with a value equivalent to their pre-tax annual base fee within three years of appointment, or in the case of the Chairman the pre-tax Chairman's fee within three years of appointment as Chairman (subject to a transitional year following adoption of the new policy). For the purpose of this policy, the value of each parcel acquired is the higher of the purchase price or market value at the end of the financial year.

5.2 Board and committee annual fees

The Board and committee fees that applied for FY22 are set out below:

	Board	Audit Committee	Risk and Compliance Committee	Remuneration Committee	Nomination Committee
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Chairman	625,000	60,000	50,000	50,000	n/a
Member	240,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000

The remuneration of the Non-Executive Director of GLHK was HK\$680,000.

6. STATUTORY DISCLOSURES

6.1 KMP remuneration (statutory analysis)

Details of the nature and amount of each major element of the remuneration of each executive KMP, as calculated under Australian Accounting Standards, are set out below:

		Long-term					Share based payments		Performance related			
		Salary and fees ¹	Bonus (STI) ²	Other ^{3,4}	Total	Superannuation benefits	Bonus (STI) ²	Other ³	Performance rights (LTI) ⁵	Total	STI and LTI as % of total	LTI as % of total
Executive KMP		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	%
Gregory Goodman	FY22	1,445,570	–	–	1,445,570	23,568	–	10,199	14,293,367	15,772,704	90.6	90.6
	FY21	1,447,398	–	11,201	1,458,599	21,694	–	44,203	11,854,105	13,378,601	88.6	88.6
Nick Kurtis	FY22	687,955	–	–	687,955	23,568	1,000,000	8,584	6,253,120	7,973,227	91.0	78.4
	FY21	707,113	–	12,468	719,581	21,694	–	17,509	4,742,939	5,501,723	86.2	86.2
Michael O'Sullivan	FY22	500,095	–	–	500,095	23,568	550,000	6,403	4,424,720	5,504,786	90.4	80.4
	FY21	474,143	–	11,423	485,566	21,694	500,000	13,242	3,336,045	4,356,547	88.1	76.6
Nick Vrontas	FY22	713,882	–	–	713,882	23,568	900,000	8,159	5,706,958	7,352,567	89.9	77.6
	FY21	679,746	–	11,423	691,169	21,694	700,000	18,073	4,598,229	6,029,165	87.9	76.3
		€	€	€	€	€	€	€	€	€		
Danny Peeters ⁶	FY22	610,450	–	–	610,450	–	700,000	–	3,539,015	4,849,465	87.4	73.0
	FY21	593,400	–	–	593,400	–	700,000	–	2,656,555	3,949,955	85.0	67.3
		US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$		
Anthony Rozic ⁷	FY22	729,880	–	20,149	750,029	17,100	1,050,000	14,651	4,305,210	6,136,989	87.3	70.2
	FY21	697,211	–	29,466	726,677	16,210	1,050,000	(1,715)	3,445,575	5,236,747	85.8	65.8

The footnotes for this table are set out on the following page.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

Executive KMP are engaged under written employment contracts until notice is given by either Goodman or the executive KMP. Notice periods are for six months except for Gregory Goodman and Danny Peeters for whom the period is 12 months. Danny Peeters provides his services through a management company, DPCON Bvba.

Footnotes to the executive KMP remuneration table:

1. Salary and fees represent the amounts due under the terms of executives' service contracts and include movements in annual leave provisions.
2. Executives' bonus (STI) awards are paid in two instalments: 50% on finalisation of Goodman's financial statements and 50% 12 months later. Under Australian Accounting Standards, this means the entire bonus award is considered as a long-term benefit with regard to the disclosure of individual executive's remuneration. No bonuses were forfeited during the financial year.
3. Other includes changes in long service leave provisions and in the prior year, car parking and reportable fringe benefits.
4. The Board agreed certain tax equalisation arrangements with Anthony Rozic in connection with his employment arrangements in the United States and Australia to ensure that he was no better or worse off. As a result, in FY20 Goodman made additional tax related payments of US\$150,005 in respect of the period prior to 1 January 2019. These amounts were on top of Anthony Rozic's Australian tax obligations for which he remained exclusively responsible. The Board also advanced under an interest free loan, double-tax amounts in respect of the period prior to 1 January 2019 for which foreign income tax offsets from the Australian Taxation Office will be used to repay the advances.
At 1 July 2021 the advances made by Goodman amounted to US\$503,729, and as there have been no further advances or repayments during the year ended 30 June 2022, the balance at 30 June 2022 is also US\$503,729. The amount of interest that would have been payable if charged on an arm's length basis during the year is \$20,149 (2021: \$20,149). The notional interest amount has been included in Anthony Rozic's statutory remuneration (Other remuneration). No other executive KMP received a loan from the Group during the current or prior financial years.
5. Performance rights are an LTI and in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards: the values of the awards are determined using option pricing models and amortised in the income statement over the vesting periods.
6. The remuneration of Danny Peeters is disclosed in Euros, the currency in which his base remuneration and STI are determined. The value attributed to his performance rights is translated from Australian dollars at the weighted average rate for the relevant financial year.
7. The remuneration of Anthony Rozic is disclosed in US dollars, the currency in which his base remuneration and STI are determined. The value attributed to his performance rights is translated from Australian dollars at the weighted average rate for the relevant financial year.

6.2 Movements in performance rights held by executive KMP

The movements in the number of performance rights during FY22 are summarised as follows:

		Held at the start of the year	Granted as compensation	Vested	Forfeited	Held at the end of the year
Executive Directors						
Gregory Goodman	FY22	5,316,667	1,560,000	(1,866,666)	–	5,010,001
	FY21	6,350,000	950,000	(1,983,333)	–	5,316,667
Danny Peeters	FY22	1,846,667	625,000	(566,666)	–	1,905,001
	FY21	1,996,250	380,000	(529,583)	–	1,846,667
Anthony Rozic	FY22	2,013,333	690,000	(633,333)	–	2,070,000
	FY21	2,241,666	400,000	(628,333)	–	2,013,333
Other executive KMP						
Nick Kurtis	FY22	2,103,333	805,000	(633,333)	–	2,275,000
	FY21	2,290,416	490,000	(677,083)	–	2,103,333
Michael O'Sullivan	FY22	1,450,000	560,000	(413,333)	–	1,596,667
	FY21	1,503,750	340,000	(393,750)	–	1,450,000
Nick Vrontas	FY22	2,050,000	690,000	(650,000)	–	2,090,000
	FY21	2,323,750	420,000	(693,750)	–	2,050,000

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

6.3 Analysis of performance rights held by executive KMP

Details of the awards of performance rights under the LTIP granted by Goodman as compensation to the executive KMP are set out in the following tables:

Executive Directors	Number of performance rights granted	Date performance rights granted	Year	Fair value per performance right ¹ \$	Total value of performance rights granted ¹ \$	Vested in prior years %	Vested in the year %	Forfeited %	Value of performance rights vested in the year ³ \$	Financial years in which grant vests ⁴
Gregory Goodman	1,560,000	18 Nov 2021	FY22	20.16	31,449,600	–	–	–	–	2026–2032
	950,000	19 Nov 2020	FY21	16.07	15,266,500	–	–	–	–	2024–2026
	900,000	20 Nov 2019	FY20	11.48	10,332,000	–	–	–	–	2023–2025
	1,600,000	15 Nov 2018	FY19	8.72	13,952,000	–	33.3	–	12,245,326	2022–2024
	1,600,000	16 Nov 2017	FY18	6.70	10,720,000	33.3	33.3	–	12,245,326	2021–2023
	2,400,000	30 Sep 2016	FY17	5.64	13,536,000	66.7	33.3	–	18,368,000	2020–2022
Danny Peeters	625,000	18 Nov 2021	FY22	20.16	12,600,000	–	–	–	–	2026–2032
	380,000	19 Nov 2020	FY21	16.07	6,106,600	–	–	–	–	2024–2026
	350,000	20 Nov 2019	FY20	11.48	4,018,000	–	–	–	–	2023–2025
	550,000	15 Nov 2018	FY19	8.72	4,796,000	–	33.3	–	4,209,326	2022–2024
	550,000	16 Nov 2017	FY18	6.70	3,685,000	33.3	33.3	–	4,209,326	2021–2023
	600,000	30 Sep 2016	FY17	5.64	3,384,000	66.7	33.3	–	4,592,000	2020–2022
Anthony Rozic	690,000	18 Nov 2021	FY22	20.16	13,910,400	–	–	–	–	2026–2032
	400,000	19 Nov 2020	FY21	16.07	6,428,000	–	–	–	–	2024–2026
	380,000	20 Nov 2019	FY20	11.48	4,362,400	–	–	–	–	2023–2025
	600,000	15 Nov 2018	FY19	8.72	5,232,000	–	33.3	–	4,592,000	2022–2024
	600,000	16 Nov 2017	FY18	6.70	4,020,000	33.3	33.3	–	4,592,000	2021–2023
	700,000	30 Sep 2016	FY17	5.64	3,948,000	66.7	33.3	–	5,357,326	2020–2022

Refer to page 71 for explanatory footnotes.

Other executive KMP	Number of performance rights granted	Date performance rights granted	Year	Fair value per performance right ¹ \$	Total value of performance rights granted ¹ \$	Vested in prior years %	Vested in the year % ²	Forfeited %	Value of performance rights vested in the year ³ \$	Financial years in which grant vests ⁴
Nick Kurtis	805,000	30 Sep 2021	FY22	17.22	13,862,100	-	-	-	-	2026-2032
	490,000	30 Sep 2020	FY21	15.77	7,727,300	-	-	-	-	2024-2026
	380,000	30 Sep 2019	FY20	11.26	4,278,800	-	-	-	-	2023-2025
	600,000	28 Sep 2018	FY19	8.52	5,112,000	-	33.3	-	4,592,000	2022-2024
	600,000	30 Sep 2017	FY18	6.41	3,846,000	33.3	33.3	-	4,592,000	2021-2023
	700,000	30 Sep 2016	FY17	5.64	3,948,000	66.7	33.3	-	5,357,326	2020-2022
Michael O'Sullivan	560,000	30 Sep 2021	FY22	17.22	9,643,200	-	-	-	-	2026-2032
	340,000	30 Sep 2020	FY21	15.77	5,361,800	-	-	-	-	2024-2026
	300,000	30 Sep 2019	FY20	11.26	3,378,000	-	-	-	-	2023-2025
	400,000	28 Sep 2018	FY19	8.52	3,408,000	-	33.3	-	3,061,326	2022-2024
	390,000	30 Sep 2017	FY18	6.41	2,499,900	33.3	33.3	-	2,984,800	2021-2023
	450,000	30 Sep 2016	FY17	5.64	2,538,000	66.7	33.3	-	3,444,000	2020-2022
Nick Vrontas	690,000	30 Sep 2021	FY22	17.22	11,881,800	-	-	-	-	2026-2032
	420,000	30 Sep 2020	FY21	15.77	6,623,400	-	-	-	-	2024-2026
	380,000	30 Sep 2019	FY20	11.26	4,278,800	-	-	-	-	2023-2025
	600,000	28 Sep 2018	FY19	8.52	5,112,000	-	33.3	-	4,592,000	2022-2024
	600,000	30 Sep 2017	FY18	6.41	3,846,000	33.3	33.3	-	4,592,000	2021-2023
	750,000	30 Sep 2016	FY17	5.64	4,230,000	66.7	33.3	-	5,740,000	2020-2022

Footnotes to the analysis of executive KMP performance rights table:

1. The fair value was determined at grant date for each of the tranches and calculated using a combination of the standard Black Scholes model with a continuous dividend/distribution yield and a Monte Carlo model which simulated total returns for each of the S&P/ASX 100 entities and discounted the future value of any potential future vesting performance rights to arrive at a present value.
2. As performance rights had an exercise price of \$nil, Goodman securities were automatically issued to employees when the performance rights vested. Accordingly, the percentage of performance rights that vested during the year equalled the percentage of securities issued during the year.
3. The value of performance rights vested was calculated using the closing price of a Goodman security on the ASX of \$22.96 on 1 September 2021, the day the performance rights vested.
4. As Goodman securities were automatically issued to employees when the performance rights vested, and lapsed where they failed to do so, the vesting date was also deemed to be the expiry date.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

6.4 Securities issued on exercise of performance rights

During FY22, Goodman issued 14,716,648 securities as a result of the vesting of performance rights. The amount paid by the employees on exercise of these securities was \$nil.

No performance rights have vested since the end of the financial year.

6.5 Unissued securities under performance rights

At the date of this Directors' report, unissued securities of Goodman under performance rights, i.e. those performance rights that have not yet vested, were:

Vesting date ¹	Exercise price \$	Number of performance rights ²
Ten-year plan		
Sep 2031	–	1,607,145
Sep 2030	–	1,607,143
Sep 2029	–	1,607,144
Sep 2028	–	1,607,142
Sep 2027	–	1,607,142
Sep 2026	–	1,607,142
Sep 2025	–	1,607,142
Five-year plan		
Sep 2026	–	2,501,648
Sep 2025	–	6,607,973
Sep 2024	–	10,183,285
Sep 2023	–	12,920,177
Sep 2022	–	13,645,975

1. As Goodman securities were automatically issued to employees when the performance rights vested, and lapsed where they failed to do so, the vesting date was also deemed to be the expiry date.

2. The number of performance rights at the date of this Directors' report is net of any rights forfeited and excludes 14,641,586 performance rights where the intention is to cash settle.

6.6 Non-Executive Directors' remuneration (statutory analysis)

Details of the nature and amount of each major element of the remuneration of Non-Executive Directors, as calculated under Australian Accounting Standards, are set out below:

		Salary and fees	Superannuation benefits	Total
		\$	\$	\$
Non-Executive Directors – GL and GFML				
Stephen Johns ¹	FY22	601,432	23,568	625,000
	FY21	480,131	21,694	501,825
Ian Ferrier ²	FY22	–	–	–
	FY21	234,619	8,437	243,056
Christopher Green	FY22	300,000	–	300,000
	FY21	264,062	–	264,062
Mark Johnson	FY22	306,432	23,568	330,000
	FY21	264,060	21,694	285,754
Vanessa Liu ³	FY22	20,000	–	20,000
	FY21	–	–	–
Rebecca McGrath	FY22	344,108	5,892	350,000
	FY21	282,368	21,694	304,062
Phillip Pryke ⁴	FY22	408,701	23,568	432,269
	FY21	357,068	21,694	378,762
Hilary Spann ⁵	FY22	59,048	–	59,048
	FY21	–	–	–
Penny Winn ⁶	FY22	107,850	9,195	117,045
	FY21	258,306	21,694	280,000
Non-Executive Director – GLHK HK\$		HK\$	HK\$	HK\$
David Collins ⁷	FY22	680,000	–	680,000
	FY21	625,000	–	625,000

1. Stephen Johns was appointed Chairman on 19 November 2020 and movement in Director's fees reflects this appointment.

2. Ian Ferrier retired as a Director on 19 November 2020.

3. Vanessa Liu was appointed as a Director on 1 June 2022.

4. Salary and fees for Phillip Pryke included an amount of A\$93,747 (NZ\$100,000) (2021: A\$83,760 (NZ\$90,000)) due in respect of his role on the board and audit committee of Goodman (NZ) Limited, the manager of Goodman Property Trust.

5. Hilary Spann was appointed as a Director on 4 April 2022.

6. Penny Winn retired as a Director on 18 November 2021.

7. David Collins is a director of GLHK and his director's fees are disclosed in Hong Kong dollars.

Directors' report

Remuneration report – audited (continued)

6.7 Movements in Goodman securities held

The movements during the year in the number of Goodman securities held, directly, indirectly or beneficially, by each KMP, including their related parties, are set out below:

Non-Executive Directors – GL and GFML		Held at the start of the year ¹	Securities issued on vesting of performance rights	Acquisitions	Disposals	Held at the end of the year ²
Stephen Johns	FY22	41,143	–	–	–	41,143
	FY21	25,000	–	16,182	(39)	41,143
Christopher Green	FY22	78,996	–	–	–	78,996
	FY21	78,996	–	–	–	78,996
Mark Johnson	FY22	5,000	–	10,000	–	15,000
	FY21	–	–	5,000	–	5,000
Vanessa Liu	FY22	–	–	–	–	–
	FY21	–	–	–	–	–
Rebecca McGrath	FY22	43,061	–	–	–	43,061
	FY21	42,144	–	917	–	43,061
Phillip Pryke	FY22	59,880	–	–	–	59,880
	FY21	59,880	–	–	–	59,880
Hilary Spann	FY22	–	–	3,500	–	3,500
	FY21	–	–	–	–	–
Penny Winn	FY22	24,700	–	–	–	24,700
	FY21	24,700	–	–	–	24,700
Non-Executive Director – GLHK						
David Collins	FY22	5,000	–	–	–	5,000
	FY21	5,000	–	–	–	5,000
Executive Directors – GL and GFML						
Gregory Goodman	FY22	38,487,880	1,866,666	–	(1,750,000)	38,604,546
	FY21	38,104,547	1,983,333	–	(1,600,000)	38,487,880
Danny Peeters	FY22	1,633,131	566,666	–	–	2,199,797
	FY21	2,103,548	529,583	–	(1,000,000)	1,633,131
Anthony Rozic	FY22	1,209,460	633,333	–	(891,486)	951,307
	FY21	1,475,958	628,333	–	(894,831)	1,209,460
Other executive KMP						
Nick Kurtis	FY22	554,286	633,333	–	(684,000)	503,619
	FY21	503,330	677,083	–	(626,127)	554,286
Michael O'Sullivan	FY22	843,119	413,333	–	(190,000)	1,066,452
	FY21	666,601	393,750	–	(217,232)	843,119
Nick Vrondas	FY22	129,909	650,000	–	(650,000)	129,909
	FY21	–	693,750	–	(563,841)	129,909

1. Relates to securities held at the later of the start of the financial year or the date of becoming a KMP.

2. Relates to securities held at the earlier of the end of the financial year or the date of ceasing to be a KMP.

6.8 Transactions with Directors, executives and their related entities

GreenPoint Real Estate Innovation and Technology Venture, LP

In order to enhance understanding of and access to technologies that may influence the property sector and the business, the Group has committed to investing USD15.0 million in GreenPoint Real Estate Innovation and Technology Venture, LP, a property technology fund that is a Delaware limited partnership, managed by Greenpoint Group LP, also a Delaware limited partnership. Greenpoint Group LP is beneficially owned and controlled by Christopher Green, a director of GL. As at 30 June 2022, the Group had invested USD5.3 million.

Wyuna Regenerative Ag Investment Fund (Wyuna)

The Group has, as part of our ESG strategy, committed to investing \$30.0 million in Wyuna, a fund offering a model blending carbon farming, red meat production and regeneration in Australia. The fund is managed by Wyuna Regenerative Ag, which is 50% owned by Christopher Green, a director of Goodman Limited. As at 30 June 2022, the Group had invested \$nil.

Other than as disclosed elsewhere in the remuneration report, there were no other transactions with Directors, executives, and their related entities.

Directors' report

(continued)

Environmental regulations

Goodman has policies and procedures to identify and appropriately address environmental obligations that might arise in respect of Goodman's operations that are subject to significant environmental laws and regulation. The Directors have determined that Goodman has complied with those obligations during the financial year and that there has not been any material breach.

Declaration by the Group Chief Executive Officer and Group Chief Financial Officer

The Group Chief Executive Officer and Group Chief Financial Officer declared in writing to the Board that, in their opinion, the financial records of Goodman for the year ended 30 June 2022 have been properly maintained and the financial report for the year ended 30 June 2022 complies with accounting standards and presents a true and fair view of Goodman's financial condition and operational results. The Group Chief Executive Officer and Group Chief Financial Officer confirmed that the above declaration was, to the best of their knowledge and belief, founded on a sound system of risk management and internal control and that the system was operating effectively in all material respects in relation to the financial reporting risks.

Disclosure in respect of any indemnification and insurance of officers and auditors

Pursuant to the Constitution of Goodman, current and former Directors and officers of Goodman are entitled to be indemnified. Deeds of Indemnity have been executed by Goodman, consistent with the Constitution, in favour of each Director. The Deed indemnifies each Director to the extent permitted by law for liabilities (other than legal costs) incurred in their capacity as a director of Goodman Limited or a controlled entity and, in respect of legal costs, for liabilities incurred in defending or resisting civil or criminal proceedings.

Goodman has insured to the extent permitted by law, current and former Directors and officers of Goodman in respect of liability and legal expenses incurred in their capacity as a director or officer. As it is prohibited under the terms of the contract of insurance, the Directors have not included details of the nature of the liabilities covered or the amount of the premiums paid.

The auditors of Goodman are not indemnified by Goodman or covered in any way by this insurance in respect of the audit.

Non-audit services

During the financial year, KPMG, Goodman and GIT's auditor, performed certain other services in addition to the audit and review of the financial statements.

The Board has considered the non-audit services provided during the financial year by the auditor and, in accordance with written advice authorised by a resolution of the Audit Committee, resolved that it is satisfied that the provision of those non-audit services during the financial year by the auditor is compatible with, and did not compromise, the auditor independence requirements of the *Corporations Act 2001* for the following reasons:

- + All non-audit services were subject to the corporate governance procedures adopted by Goodman and have been reviewed by the Audit Committee to determine they do not impact the integrity and objectivity of the auditor
- + The non-audit services provided do not undermine the general principles relating to auditor independence as set out in *APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*, as they did not involve reviewing or auditing the auditor's own work, acting in a management or decision making capacity for Goodman, acting as an advocate for Goodman or jointly sharing risks and rewards.

Details of the amounts paid to the auditor of Goodman and GIT, KPMG and its network firms, for the audit and non-audit services provided during the financial year are set out in note 26 to the consolidated financial statements.

Lead auditor's independence declaration under section 307C of the *Corporations Act 2001*

The lead auditor's independence declaration is set out on page 78 and forms part of this Directors' report for the financial year.

Rounding

Goodman and GIT are entities of a kind referred to in ASIC Corporations (Rounding in Financial/Directors' Reports) Instrument 2016/191. In accordance with that Instrument, amounts in this Directors' report and the consolidated financial statements have been rounded to the nearest hundred thousand dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Events subsequent to balance date

There has not arisen in the interval between the end of the financial year and the date of this report any item, transaction or event of a material and unusual nature likely, in the opinion of the Directors, to affect significantly the operations of Goodman, the results of those operations, or the state of affairs of Goodman, in future financial years.

The Directors' report is made in accordance with a resolution of the Directors.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'SJ', written in a cursive style.

Stephen Johns

Independent Chairman

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'G Goodman', written in a cursive style.

Gregory Goodman

Group Chief Executive Officer

Sydney, 16 August 2022



Lead Auditor's Independence Declaration under Section 307C of the Corporations Act 2001

To the Directors of Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited,
as Responsible Entity for Goodman Industrial Trust

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, in relation to the audits of Goodman Limited (as the deemed parent presenting the stapled security arrangement of the Goodman Group) and Goodman Industrial Trust for the financial year ended 30 June 2022, there have been:

- (i) no contraventions of the auditor independence requirements as set out in the *Corporations Act 2001* in relation to the audits; and
- (ii) no contraventions of any applicable code of professional conduct in relation to the audits.

A stylized signature of the KPMG firm, written in a cursive, handwritten style.

KPMG

A handwritten signature of Eileen Hoggett, written in a cursive, handwritten style.

Eileen Hoggett

Partner

Sydney

16 August 2022

Consolidated statements of financial position

as at 30 June 2022

		Goodman		GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	21(a)	1,056.0	920.4	473.6	379.8
Receivables	7	217.8	331.3	131.0	816.1
Contract assets	8	77.6	80.9	–	–
Inventories	6(b)	389.0	235.1	–	–
Other financial assets	17	1.6	16.5	1.6	16.5
Assets held for sale	9	608.2	41.5	608.2	–
Total current assets		2,350.2	1,625.7	1,214.4	1,212.4
Non-current assets					
Receivables	7	173.4	277.5	3,137.4	2,528.5
Inventories	6(b)	1,727.1	1,192.7	5.9	5.9
Investment properties	6(b)	1,423.7	1,851.2	495.3	1,155.7
Investments accounted for using the equity method	6(b)	14,379.6	10,660.0	11,356.1	8,078.4
Deferred tax assets	5(d)	25.2	19.9	–	–
Other financial assets	17	496.4	362.8	373.1	314.4
Property, plant and equipment	12	61.4	54.6	–	–
Intangible assets	14	795.4	822.6	–	–
Total non-current assets		19,082.2	15,241.3	15,367.8	12,082.9
Total assets		21,432.4	16,867.0	16,582.2	13,295.3
Current liabilities					
Payables	10	606.5	565.9	72.7	607.6
Current tax payables	5(c)	173.4	160.1	–	–
Interest bearing liabilities	16	133.3	–	133.3	–
Provisions	11	299.2	294.2	233.5	166.3
Lease liabilities	13	12.5	11.9	–	–
Other financial liabilities	17	71.2	1.9	25.9	1.9
Total current liabilities		1,296.1	1,034.0	465.4	775.8
Non-current liabilities					
Payables	10	111.0	125.5	723.8	232.2
Interest bearing liabilities	16	2,698.9	2,060.3	2,692.1	2,062.8
Deferred tax liabilities	5(d)	380.3	168.4	267.9	124.0
Provisions	11	15.5	23.7	–	–
Lease liabilities	13	58.1	82.1	–	–
Other financial liabilities	17	447.7	211.5	325.3	124.6
Total non-current liabilities		3,711.5	2,671.5	4,009.1	2,543.6
Total liabilities		5,007.6	3,705.5	4,474.5	3,319.4
Net assets		16,424.8	13,161.5	12,107.7	9,975.9
Equity attributable to Securityholders					
Issued capital	20(a)	8,206.1	8,096.4	8,154.5	7,849.0
Reserves		352.7	134.8	238.8	(33.7)
Retained earnings		7,866.0	4,930.3	3,714.4	2,160.6
Total equity attributable to Securityholders		16,424.8	13,161.5	12,107.7	9,975.9
Comprising:					
Total equity attributable to GL	22(a)	2,292.9	1,635.6		
Total equity attributable to other entities stapled to GL	22(b)	14,131.9	11,525.9		
Total equity attributable to Securityholders		16,424.8	13,161.5		

The consolidated statements of financial position are to be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Consolidated income statements

for the year ended 30 June 2022

Goodman				GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Revenue					
Gross property income	2	138.0	112.4	51.7	60.2
Management income	2	511.4	383.9	–	–
Development income	2	1,441.6	1,492.0	–	–
Distributions from investments		0.8	–	6.4	9.1
		2,091.8	1,988.3	58.1	69.3
Property and development expenses					
Property expenses		(33.7)	(32.8)	(16.5)	(20.2)
Development expenses	2	(554.9)	(862.3)	–	(2.3)
		(588.6)	(895.1)	(16.5)	(22.5)
Other income					
Net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties	6(e)	260.1	63.1	208.3	60.2
Net gain on disposal of investment properties		73.6	37.7	69.8	39.3
Net gain on disposal of assets held for sale		12.5	–	–	–
Net gain on disposal of equity investments	2	0.2	5.0	–	3.2
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	6(f)	2,718.2	1,708.9	2,173.0	1,373.8
		3,064.6	1,814.7	2,451.1	1,476.5
Other expenses					
Employee expenses	2	(258.9)	(210.8)	–	–
Share based payments expense	2	(257.6)	(268.8)	–	–
Administrative and other expenses		(90.4)	(83.2)	(60.4)	(52.1)
Reversal of previous impairment	2	–	–	–	17.6
		(606.9)	(562.8)	(60.4)	(34.5)
Profit before interest and tax		3,960.9	2,345.1	2,432.3	1,488.8
Net finance (expense)/income					
Finance income	15	8.3	94.3	72.8	177.9
Finance expense	15	(231.1)	(19.4)	(304.4)	(42.4)
Net finance (expense)/income		(222.8)	74.9	(231.6)	135.5
Profit before income tax		3,738.1	2,420.0	2,200.7	1,624.3
Income tax expense	5(a)	(324.1)	(108.1)	(133.1)	(49.5)
Profit for the year		3,414.0	2,311.9	2,067.6	1,574.8
Profit attributable to GL	22(a)	552.6	300.2		
Profit attributable to other entities stapled to GL	22(b)	2,861.4	2,011.7		
Profit for the year attributable to Securityholders		3,414.0	2,311.9		
Basic profit per security (Φ)	3	183.2	125.4		
Diluted profit per security (Φ)	3	178.8	122.1		

The consolidated income statements are to be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Consolidated statements of comprehensive income

for the year ended 30 June 2022

		Goodman		GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Profit for the year		3,414.0	2,311.9	2,067.6	1,574.8
Other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year					
Items what will not be classified to profit or loss					
Actuarial gains/(losses) on defined benefit retirement schemes, net of income tax		5.6	(6.0)	–	–
Effect of foreign currency translation		1.6	(0.8)	–	–
		7.2	(6.8)	–	–
Items that are or may be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss					
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets		0.3	0.3	5.0	(2.2)
Cash flow hedges:					
– Change in value of financial instruments		15.9	0.3	15.9	0.3
Effect of foreign currency translation		143.7	(278.6)	221.8	(182.2)
		159.9	(278.0)	242.7	(184.1)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year, net of income tax		167.1	(284.8)	242.7	(184.1)
Total comprehensive income for the year		3,581.1	2,027.1	2,310.3	1,390.7
Total comprehensive income attributable to GL	22(a)	542.7	271.6		
Total comprehensive income attributable to other entities stapled to GL	22(b)	3,038.4	1,755.5		
Total comprehensive income for the year attributable to Securityholders		3,581.1	2,027.1		

The consolidated statements of comprehensive income are to be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Consolidated statements of changes in equity

for the year ended 30 June 2022

Attributable to Securityholders										
Goodman		Issued capital	Asset revaluation reserve	Cash flow hedge reserve	Foreign currency translation reserve	Employee compensation reserve	Defined benefit retirement schemes reserve	Total reserves	Retained earnings	Total
	Note	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Balance at 1 July 2020		8,031.7	(7.2)	(5.2)	188.6	239.8	(31.3)	384.7	3,104.2	11,520.6
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year										
Profit for the year		–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2,311.9	2,311.9
Other comprehensive income/(loss)										
Effect of foreign currency translation		–	0.2	0.5	(279.3)	–	(0.8)	(279.4)	–	(279.4)
Cash flow hedges:										
– Change in value of financial instruments		–	–	0.3	–	–	–	0.3	–	0.3
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets		–	0.3	–	–	–	–	0.3	–	0.3
Actuarial losses on defined benefit superannuation funds, net of income tax		–	–	–	–	–	(6.0)	(6.0)	–	(6.0)
Total other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year, net of income tax		–	0.5	0.8	(279.3)	–	(6.8)	(284.8)	–	(284.8)
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year, net of income tax		–	0.5	0.8	(279.3)	–	(6.8)	(284.8)	2,311.9	2,027.1
Transfers		–	–	–	–	(68.4)	–	(68.4)	68.4	–
Contributions by and distributions to owners										
Dividends/distributions on stapled securities	19	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(554.2)	(554.2)
Issue of stapled securities	20(a)	65.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	65.1
Issue costs		(0.4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(0.4)
Purchase of stapled securities for the LTIP		–	–	–	–	(22.4)	–	(22.4)	–	(22.4)
Equity settled share based payments expense		–	–	–	–	134.7	–	134.7	–	134.7
Deferred taxes associated with the LTIP		–	–	–	–	8.1	–	8.1	–	8.1
Transfer to payables		–	–	–	–	(17.1)	–	(17.1)	–	(17.1)
Balance at 30 June 2021		8,096.4	(6.7)	(4.4)	(90.7)	274.7	(38.1)	134.8	4,930.3	13,161.5
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year										
Profit for the year		–	–	–	–	–	–	–	3,414.0	3,414.0
Other comprehensive (loss)/income										
Effect of foreign currency translation		–	–	(0.4)	144.1	–	1.6	145.3	–	145.3
Cash flow hedges:										
– Change in value of financial instruments		–	–	15.9	–	–	–	15.9	–	15.9
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets		–	0.3	–	–	–	–	0.3	–	0.3
Actuarial gains on defined benefit superannuation funds, net of income tax		–	–	–	–	–	5.6	5.6	–	5.6
Total other comprehensive income for the year, net of income tax		–	0.3	15.5	144.1	–	7.2	167.1	–	167.1
Total comprehensive income for the year, net of income tax		–	0.3	15.5	144.1	–	7.2	167.1	3,414.0	3,581.1
Transfers		–	–	–	–	(81.8)	–	(81.8)	81.8	–
Contributions by and distributions to owners										
Dividends/distributions on stapled securities	19	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(560.1)	(560.1)
Issue of stapled securities	20(a)	109.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	109.8
Issue costs		(0.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(0.1)
Purchase of stapled securities for the LTIP		–	–	–	–	(28.0)	–	(28.0)	–	(28.0)
Equity settled share based payments expense		–	–	–	–	164.8	–	164.8	–	164.8
Deferred taxes associated with the LTIP		–	–	–	–	(4.2)	–	(4.2)	–	(4.2)
Balance at 30 June 2022		8,206.1	(6.4)	11.1	53.4	325.5	(30.9)	352.7	7,866.0	16,424.8

The consolidated statements of changes in equity is to be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes. For an analysis of equity attributable to non-controlling interests, refer to note 22(b).

Consolidated statements of changes in equity

for the year ended 30 June 2022

GIT	Attributable to Unitholders								
		Issued capital	Asset revaluation reserve	Cash flow hedge reserve	Foreign currency translation reserve	Employee compensation reserve	Total reserves	Retained earnings	Total
	Note	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Balance at 1 July 2020		7,623.5	7.0	(5.2)	(38.4)	173.3	136.7	1,029.2	8,789.4
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year									
Profit for the year		–	–	–	–	–	–	1,574.8	1,574.8
Other comprehensive (loss)/income									
Effect of foreign currency translation		–	(0.2)	0.4	(182.4)	–	(182.2)	–	(182.2)
Cash flow hedges:									
– Change in value of financial instruments		–	–	0.3	–	–	0.3	–	0.3
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets		–	(2.2)	–	–	–	(2.2)	–	(2.2)
Total other comprehensive (loss)/income for the year, net of income tax		–	(2.4)	0.7	(182.4)	–	(184.1)	–	(184.1)
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year		–	(2.4)	0.7	(182.4)	–	(184.1)	1,574.8	1,390.7
Contributions by and distributions to owners									
Distributions on ordinary units	19	–	–	–	–	–	–	(443.4)	(443.4)
Issue of ordinary units	20(a)	42.5	–	–	–	–	–	–	42.5
Issue of ordinary units for the LTIP	20(a)	183.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	183.2
Issue costs on ordinary units		(0.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	(0.2)
Equity settled share based payments transactions		–	–	–	–	13.7	13.7	–	13.7
Balance at 30 June 2021		7,849.0	4.6	(4.5)	(220.8)	187.0	(33.7)	2,160.6	9,975.9
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year									
Profit for the year		–	–	–	–	–	–	2,067.6	2,067.6
Other comprehensive (loss)/income									
Effect of foreign currency translation		–	(0.2)	(0.3)	222.3	–	221.8	–	221.8
Cash flow hedges:									
– Change in value of financial instruments		–	–	15.9	–	–	15.9	–	15.9
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets		–	5.0	–	–	–	5.0	–	5.0
Total other comprehensive income for the year, net of income tax		–	4.8	15.6	222.3	–	242.7	–	242.7
Total comprehensive income for the year		–	4.8	15.6	222.3	–	242.7	2,067.6	2,310.3
Contributions by and distributions to owners									
Distributions on ordinary units	19	–	–	–	–	–	–	(513.8)	(513.8)
Issue of ordinary units	20(a)	71.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	71.2
Issue of ordinary units for the LTIP	20(a)	234.4	–	–	–	–	–	–	234.4
Issue costs on ordinary units		(0.1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	(0.1)
Equity settled share based payments transactions		–	–	–	–	29.8	29.8	–	29.8
Balance at 30 June 2022		8,154.5	9.4	11.1	1.5	216.8	238.8	3,714.4	12,107.7

The consolidated statements of changes in equity is to be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Consolidated cash flow statements

for the year ended 30 June 2022

		Goodman		GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Cash flows from operating activities					
Property income received		138.4	108.3	50.8	62.1
Cash receipts from development activities		1,587.8	1,560.3	–	–
Other cash receipts from management and other activities		523.8	346.4	–	–
Property expenses paid		(28.6)	(41.7)	(13.9)	(21.1)
Payments for development activities		(1,220.7)	(947.4)	(1.1)	–
Other cash payments in the course of operations		(456.8)	(381.6)	(60.4)	(54.1)
Distributions received from equity investments including Partnerships		442.5	536.9	245.3	381.7
Interest received		9.3	9.2	8.9	8.7
Finance costs paid		(44.2)	(34.3)	(32.5)	(38.2)
Net income taxes (paid)/refunded		(110.5)	(41.4)	(1.1)	0.5
Net cash provided by operating activities	21(b)	841.0	1,114.7	196.0	339.6
Cash flows from investing activities					
Net proceeds from disposal of investment properties		671.8	170.2	345.6	161.9
Proceeds from disposal of controlled entities, net of cash disposed		0.4	–	–	–
Net proceeds from disposal of equity investments		4.4	13.1	22.6	11.3
Return of capital by Partnerships		91.8	256.3	20.9	166.1
Payments for investment properties		(431.7)	(192.2)	(15.1)	(17.5)
Payments for investments in Partnerships		(1,332.3)	(790.3)	(1,050.0)	(464.9)
Payments for plant and equipment		(5.9)	(7.0)	–	–
Net cash used in investing activities		(1,001.5)	(549.9)	(676.0)	(143.1)
Cash flows from financing activities					
Net proceeds from issue of stapled securities		109.7	64.8	71.1	42.3
Net cash flows from/to loans with related parties		111.4	(135.0)	279.6	25.1
Proceeds from borrowings and derivative financial instruments		1,466.5	204.6	1,456.4	246.8
Payments on borrowings and derivative financial instruments		(789.3)	(891.9)	(787.4)	(891.9)
Dividends and distributions paid		(557.2)	(551.4)	(446.6)	(478.2)
Payments of lease liabilities		(13.4)	(17.8)	–	–
Purchase of securities to fund LTIP obligations		(28.0)	(22.4)	–	–
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities		299.7	(1,349.1)	573.1	(1,055.9)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash held		139.2	(784.3)	93.1	(859.4)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		920.4	1,792.8	379.8	1,302.6
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held		(3.6)	(88.1)	0.7	(63.4)
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	21(a)	1,056.0	920.4	473.6	379.8

The consolidated cash flow statements are to be read in conjunction with the accompanying notes.

Non-cash transactions are included in note 21(c).

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

BASIS OF PREPARATION

This section sets out the general basis upon which Goodman and GIT have prepared their financial statements and information that is disclosed to comply with the Australian Accounting Standards, *Corporations Act 2001* or Corporations Regulations.

Specific accounting policies can be found in the sections to which they relate.

1 Basis of preparation

Goodman Limited and Goodman Industrial Trust are for-profit entities domiciled in Australia.

Statement of compliance

These consolidated financial statements are general purpose financial statements which have been prepared in accordance with Australian Accounting Standards adopted by the Australian Accounting Standards Board (AASB) and the *Corporations Act 2001*. International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) form the basis of Australian Accounting Standards adopted by the AASB. The consolidated financial statements also comply with IFRS.

The consolidated financial statements are presented in Australian dollars and were authorised for issue by the Directors on 16 August 2022.

Basis of preparation of the consolidated financial reports

Shares in the Company, units in the Trust and CDIs over shares in GLHK are stapled to one another and are quoted as a single security on the ASX. Australian Accounting Standards require an acquirer to be identified and an in-substance acquisition to be recognised. In relation to the stapling of the Company, the Trust and GLHK, the Company is identified as having acquired control over the assets of the Trust and GLHK. In the consolidated statement of financial position of the Group, equity attributable to the Trust and the CDIs over the shares of GLHK are presented as non-controlling interests.

As permitted by the relief provided in ASIC Instrument 20-0568, these financial statements present both the financial statements and accompanying notes of Goodman and GIT. GLHK, which is incorporated and domiciled in Hong Kong, prepares its financial statements under Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards and the applicable requirements of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance and accordingly the financial statements of GLHK have not been combined and included as adjacent columns in this report. The financial statements of GLHK have been included as an appendix to this report.

The consolidated financial statements are prepared on the historical cost basis, subject to any impairment of assets, except that the following assets and liabilities are stated at fair value:

- + Investment properties
- + Derivative financial instruments
- + Investments in unlisted securities
- + Liabilities for cash settled share based payment arrangements.

In accordance with ASIC Corporations (Rounding in Financial/Directors' Reports) Instrument 2016/191, amounts in these consolidated financial statements have been rounded to the nearest hundred thousand dollars, unless otherwise stated.

Foreign currency translation

Functional and presentation currency

Items included in the consolidated financial statements of each of the controlled entities are measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates (functional currency). The consolidated financial statements are presented in Australian dollars, which is the Company's and the Trust's functional and presentation currency.

Transactions

Foreign currency transactions are translated to each entity's functional currency at rates approximating to the foreign exchange rates ruling at the dates of the transactions. Amounts receivable and payable in foreign currencies at the balance date are translated at the rates of exchange ruling on that date. Resulting exchange differences are recognised in the income statement.

Non-monetary assets and liabilities that are measured in terms of historical cost are translated at rates of exchange applicable at the date of the initial transaction. Non-monetary items which are measured at fair value in a foreign currency are translated using the exchange rates at the date when the fair value was determined.

Translation of controlled foreign operations

The assets and liabilities of controlled foreign operations are translated into Australian dollars at foreign exchange rates ruling at the balance date.

Revenue and expenses are translated at weighted average rates for the financial year. Exchange differences arising on translation are taken directly to the foreign currency translation reserve. On cessation of operations in a foreign region, the cumulative exchange differences relating to the operations in that region, that have been included in the foreign currency translation reserve, are reclassified to the income statement.

Exchange differences arising on monetary items that form part of the net investment in a controlled foreign operation are recognised in the foreign currency translation reserve on consolidation.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Basis of preparation (continued)

Exchange rates used

The following exchange rates are the main exchange rates used in translating foreign currency transactions, balances and financial statements to Australian dollars:

Australian dollars (AUD) to	Weighted average		As at 30 June	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
New Zealand dollars (NZD)	1.0667	1.0745	1.1057	1.0739
Hong Kong dollars (HKD)	5.6626	5.7958	5.4241	5.8222
Chinese yuan (CNY)	4.6840	4.9419	4.6154	4.8412
Japanese yen (JPY)	85.1512	79.6101	93.7770	83.2780
Euros (EUR)	0.6442	0.6262	0.6594	0.6327
British pounds sterling (GBP)	0.5456	0.5546	0.5676	0.5432
United States dollars (USD)	0.7255	0.7472	0.6912	0.7497
Brazilian real (BRL)	3.8037	4.0236	3.5905	3.7528

Changes in accounting policies

A fundamental reform of major interest rate benchmarks is being undertaken globally, including the replacement of some interbank offered rates (IBORs) with alternative risk-free rates (referred to as IBOR reform). The Group has adopted Interest Rate Benchmark Reform – Phase 2 (Amendments to IFRS 9, IAS 39, IFRS 7, IFRS 4 and IFRS 16) from 1 July 2021. These amendments provide reliefs relating to modifications of financial instruments and lease contracts or hedging relationships triggered by a replacement of a benchmark interest rate in a contract with a new alternative benchmark rate. The Group applied the Phase 2 amendments retrospectively. However, in accordance with the exceptions permitted in the Phase 2 amendments, the Group has elected not to restate comparatives for the prior periods to reflect the application of these amendments.

The overall impact of the IBOR reform is not significant in the context of Goodman's financial position and performance.

See note 18 for related disclosures about risks, financial assets and financial liabilities indexed to IBORs.

Apart from the above, the AASB has also issued other amendments to standards that are first effective from 1 July 2021 but none of these have a material impact on the Group's financial statements.

Australian Accounting Standards issued but not yet effective

The Group has not applied any new or amended standard that is not yet effective but available for early application in the current accounting period. None of the new or amended accounting standards are expected to have a significant impact on the future results of the Group.

Critical accounting estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires estimates and assumptions concerning the application of accounting policies and the future to be made by Goodman. Estimates are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year can be found in the following notes:

- + Note 6 – Property assets
- + Note 14 – Goodwill and intangible assets
- + Note 18 – Financial risk management.

The accounting impacts of revisions to estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised and in any future periods affected.

Measurement of fair values

A number of Goodman's accounting policies and disclosures require the measurement of fair values, for both financial and non-financial assets and liabilities.

When measuring the fair value of an asset or a liability, Goodman uses market observable data as far as possible. Fair values are categorised into different levels in a fair value hierarchy and have been defined as follows:

- + Level 1: quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- + Level 2: inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices)
- + Level 3: inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs).

Further information about the assumptions made in measuring fair values is included in the following notes:

- + Note 6 – Property assets
- + Note 18 – Financial risk management.

RESULTS FOR THE YEAR

The notes in this section focus on the significant items in the income statement, and include the profit per security, analysis of the results by operating segment and taxation details.

2 Profit before income tax

Gross property income

Gross property income comprises rental income under operating leases (net of incentives provided) and amounts billed to customers for outgoings (e.g. rates, levies, cleaning, security, etc). Amounts billed to customers for outgoings are a cost recovery for Goodman and are recognised once the expense has been incurred. The expense is included in property expenses.

Rental income under operating leases is recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease contract. Where operating lease rental income is recognised relating to fixed increases in rentals in future years, an asset is recognised. This asset is a component of the relevant investment property carrying amount. The cost of lease incentives provided to customers is amortised on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease as a reduction of gross property income.

Management and development income

The revenue from management and development activities is measured based on the consideration specified in a contract with a customer. Goodman recognises revenue when it transfers control over a product or service to a customer.

Management income

Fee income derived from management services relates to investment management base fees and property services fees and is recognised and invoiced progressively as the services are provided. Customers make payments usually either monthly or quarterly in arrears.

Performance related management income generally relates to portfolio performance fee income, which is recognised progressively as the services are provided but only when the income can be reliably measured and is highly probable of not being reversed. These portfolio performance fees are typically dependent on the overall returns of a Partnership relative to an agreed benchmark return, assessed over the life of the Partnership, which can vary from one year to seven years. The returns are impacted by operational factors such as the quality and location of the portfolio, active property management, rental income rates and development activity but can also be significantly affected by changes in global and local economic conditions. Accordingly, portfolio performance fee revenue is only recognised towards the end of the relevant assessment period, as prior to this revenue recognition is not considered to be sufficiently certain.

In determining the amount of revenue that can be reliably measured, management prepares a sensitivity analysis to understand the impact of changes in asset valuations on the potential performance fee at the assessment date. The assessment of revenue will depend on the prevailing market conditions at the reporting date relative to long-term averages and also the length of time until the assessment date e.g. the longer the time period to assessment date, the greater the impact of the sensitivity analysis. The potential portfolio performance fee revenue is then recognised based on the length of time from the start of the assessment period to the reporting date as a proportion of the total assessment period. Where the income is attributable to development activities or it relates to a combination of inextricable management and development activities that have occurred over the performance fee period, then it is reported as development income; otherwise, the income is reported as management income. The Partnerships make payments in respect of portfolio performances fees at the end of the performance periods once the attainment of the conditions has been verified and the amount of the fee has been agreed by all parties.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

2 Profit before income tax (continued)

Development income – disposal of inventories

The disposal of inventories is recognised at the point in time when control over the property asset is transferred to the customer. This will generally occur on transfer of legal title and payment in full by the customer. The gain or loss on disposal of inventories is calculated as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset at the time of disposal and the proceeds on disposal (less transaction costs) and is included in the income statement in the period of disposal.

Development income – development management services

Fee income from development management services (including master-planning, development management and overall project management) is recognised progressively as the services are provided in proportion to the stage of completion by reference to costs. Payments are received in accordance with the achievement of agreed milestones over the development period. The development period can be up to 24 months for larger, more complex projects.

Performance related development income includes income associated with the returns from individual developments under the Group's management and performance fee income that relates to development activity. Income in respect of individual developments is recognised by Goodman on attainment of the performance related conditions, which is when the income can be reliably measured and is highly probable of not being reversed. These amounts are paid by the Partnership when the amounts have been measured and agreed. Income associated with development activities as part of a portfolio assessment is recognised on the same basis as outlined above in the management income section.

Development income – fixed price development contracts

Certain development activities are assessed as being fixed price development contracts. This occurs when a signed contract exists, either prior to the commencement of or during the development phase, to acquire a development asset from Goodman on completion. Revenue and expenses relating to these development contracts are recognised in the income statement in proportion to the stage of completion of the relevant contracts by reference to costs. The payments may be on completion of the development once legal title has been transferred. The development period can be up to 24 months for larger, more complex projects.

Net gain on disposal of investment properties

The disposal of an investment property is recognised at the point in time when control over the property has been transferred to the purchaser.

Employee expenses

Wages, salaries and annual leave

Wages and salaries, including non-monetary benefits, and annual leave represent present obligations resulting from employees' services provided to the balance date. These are calculated at undiscounted amounts based on rates that are expected to be paid as at balance date including related on-costs, such as insurances and payroll tax.

Bonuses

A liability is recognised in other payables and accruals for bonuses where there is a contractual obligation or where there is a past practice that has created a constructive obligation. Liabilities for bonuses are measured at the amounts expected to be paid, including related on-costs, when they are settled.

Superannuation

Defined contribution funds

Obligations for contributions to defined contribution funds are recognised as an expense as incurred.

Defined benefit retirement schemes

The net obligation in respect of defined benefit retirement schemes is recognised in the statement of financial position and is calculated separately for each plan by estimating the amount of future benefit that employees have earned in the current and prior periods, discounting that amount and deducting the fair value of any plan assets. The calculation of defined benefit obligations is performed annually by a qualified actuary using the projected unit credit method. Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability, which comprise actuarial gains and losses and the return on plan assets (excluding interest), are recognised immediately in other comprehensive income. Net interest expense and other expenses related to defined benefit retirement schemes are recognised in the income statement.

Profit before income tax has been arrived at after crediting/(charging) the following items:

		Goodman		GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Gross property income					
Rental income		120.9	93.0	41.4	45.9
Recovery of property outgoings		17.1	19.4	10.3	14.3
Gross property income		138.0	112.4	51.7	60.2
Management activities					
Management services		380.5	310.1	–	–
Performance related income		130.9	73.8	–	–
Management income		511.4	383.9	–	–
Development activities					
Income from disposal of inventories		803.0	890.5	–	–
Income from fixed price development contracts		206.8	195.0	–	–
Other development income, including development management		388.3	274.2	–	–
Net gain on disposal of assets held for sale		–	132.3	–	–
Net gain on disposal of special purpose development entities, including JVs		43.5	–	–	–
Development income		1,441.6	1,492.0	–	–
Inventory cost of sales		(381.8)	(686.8)	–	(2.3)
Other development expenses		(173.1)	(175.5)	–	–
Development expenses		(554.9)	(862.3)	–	(2.3)
Disposal of equity investments					
Net consideration from disposal of associates and JVs		8.5	17.0	–	11.3
Carrying value of associates and JVs disposed	6(f)	(8.3)	(12.0)	–	(8.1)
Net gain on disposal of equity investments		0.2	5.0	–	3.2
Employee expenses					
Wages, salaries and on-costs		(251.4)	(203.4)	–	–
Annual and long service leave		(0.6)	(1.4)	–	–
Superannuation costs		(6.9)	(6.0)	–	–
Employee expenses		(258.9)	(210.8)	–	–
Share based payments expense					
Equity settled share based payments expense		(164.8)	(134.7)	–	–
Cash settled share based payments expense		(57.3)	(105.4)	–	–
Other share based payments related costs		(35.5)	(28.7)	–	–
Share based payments expense		(257.6)	(268.8)	–	–
Amortisation and depreciation		(17.1)	(23.0)	–	–
Impairment reversals					
Reversal of previous impairment on loans to related parties		–	–	–	17.6
Impairment reversals		–	–	–	17.6

1. Fee revenues from single contractual arrangements involving a combination of inextricable investment management and development management services and recognised over the life of the underlying development projects are classified as development income for statutory reporting purposes. During the year, \$77.0 million (2021: \$75.2 million) of such income was recognised.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

3 Profit per security

Basic profit per security of the Group is calculated by dividing the profit attributable to the Securityholders by the weighted average number of securities outstanding during the year. Diluted profit per security is determined by adjusting the profit attributable to the Securityholders and weighted average number of securities outstanding for all dilutive potential securities, which comprise performance rights issued under the LTIP.

Goodman

	2022 ¢	2021 ¢
Profit per security		
Basic profit per security	183.2	125.4
Diluted profit per security	178.8	122.1

Profit after tax of \$3,414.0 million (2021: \$2,311.9 million) was used in calculating basic and diluted profit per security.

Weighted average number of securities used in calculating basic and diluted profit per security:

	2022	2021
	Number of securities	
Weighted average number of securities used in calculating basic profit per security	1,863,693,802	1,844,221,829
Effect of performance rights on issue	45,396,402	48,908,249
Weighted average number of securities used in calculating diluted profit per security	1,909,090,204	1,893,130,078

The calculation of profit per security is not required for GIT.

Goodman Limited

Under Australian Accounting Standards, the issued units of the Trust and the CDIs over the shares of GLHK are presented as non-controlling interests. As a consequence, the Directors are required to present a profit per share and a diluted profit per share based on Goodman Limited's consolidated result after tax but excluding the results attributable to the Trust and GLHK.

	2022 ¢	2021 ¢
Profit per Goodman Limited share		
Basic profit per Goodman Limited share	29.7	16.3
Diluted profit per Goodman Limited share	28.9	15.9

Profit after tax of \$552.6 million (2021: \$300.2 million) was used in calculating basic and diluted profit per Goodman Limited share.

4 Segment reporting

An operating segment is a component of Goodman that engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses. Goodman reports the results and financial position of its operating segments based on the internal reports regularly reviewed by the Group Chief Executive Officer in order to assess each segment's performance and to allocate resources to them.

Operating segment information is reported on a geographic basis and Goodman has determined that its operating segments are Australia and New Zealand (reported on a combined basis), Asia (Greater China (including the Hong Kong SAR) and Japan), Continental Europe (with the vast majority of assets located in Germany and France), the United Kingdom and the Americas (principally North America and including Brazil).

The activities and services undertaken by the operating segments include:

- + Property investment, through both direct ownership and cornerstone investments in Partnerships
- + Management activities, both investment and property management
- + Development activities, including development of directly owned assets (predominantly disclosed as inventories) and management of development activities for Partnerships.

The segment results that are reported to the Group Chief Executive Officer are based on profit before net finance expense and income tax expense, and also exclude non-cash items such as fair value adjustments and impairments, corporate expenses and share based remuneration.

The assets allocated to each operating segment are the property assets, including the investments in Partnerships and trade and other receivables associated with the operating activities, but exclude inter-entity funding, income tax receivables and corporate assets. The liabilities allocated to each operating segment primarily relate to trade and other payables associated with the operating activities, but exclude interest bearing liabilities, derivative financial instruments, provisions for distributions and corporate liabilities.

The accounting policies used to report segment information are the same as those used to prepare the consolidated financial statements of Goodman and GIT.

For the purpose of operating segment reporting, there are no material intersegment revenues and costs.

Information regarding the operations of each reportable segment is included on the following pages.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

4 Segment reporting (continued)

Information about reportable segments

Goodman	Australia and New Zealand		Asia		Continental Europe		United Kingdom		Americas		Total	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Income statement												
External revenues												
Gross property income	90.4	95.8	15.4	6.5	27.5	8.5	3.0	1.0	1.7	0.6	138.0	112.4
Management income	256.2	147.0	131.3	110.2	91.0	107.4	5.7	3.3	27.2	16.0	511.4	383.9
Development income	207.6	223.6	192.0	164.8	891.9	796.5	32.8	245.3	117.3	61.8	1,441.6	1,492.0
Total external revenues	554.2	466.4	338.7	281.5	1,010.4	912.4	41.5	249.6	146.2	78.4	2,091.0	1,988.3
Analysis of external revenues												
Revenue from contracts with customers												
Assets and services transferred at a point in time	71.7	106.3	25.0	17.2	792.0	730.5	12.8	228.7	–	–	901.5	1,082.7
Assets and services transferred over time	405.2	282.6	299.5	260.4	193.7	174.2	25.7	20.0	144.5	77.8	1,068.6	815.0
Other revenue												
Rental income (excludes outgoing recoveries)	77.3	77.5	14.2	3.9	24.7	7.7	3.0	0.9	1.7	0.6	120.9	90.6
Total external revenues	554.2	466.4	338.7	281.5	1,010.4	912.4	41.5	249.6	146.2	78.4	2,091.0	1,988.3
Reportable segment profit before tax	616.9	467.4	386.4	324.5	582.5	458.8	41.1	31.8	210.8	128.9	1,837.7	1,411.4
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	1,171.5	853.0	215.4	273.2	174.9	165.7	213.6	32.9	942.8	384.1	2,718.2	1,708.9
Material non-cash items not included in reportable segment profit before tax												
Net gain/(loss) from fair value adjustments on investment properties	260.4	63.1	(0.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	260.1	63.1
Share of net gain from fair value adjustments in equity accounted investments	1,012.0	667.8	96.2	163.1	119.9	107.5	203.8	26.9	839.3	301.6	2,271.2	1,266.9
	Australia and New Zealand		Asia		Continental Europe		United Kingdom		Americas		Total	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Statement of financial position												
Reportable segment assets	8,106.2	6,619.9	4,162.0	3,565.7	2,779.7	2,382.2	1,024.9	840.6	4,030.8	2,475.9	20,103.6	15,884.3
Included in reportable segment assets are:												
Investment properties	1,086.9	1,687.3	336.8	137.7	–	–	–	26.2	–	–	1,423.7	1,851.2
Investments accounted for using the equity method	5,709.6	4,251.0	3,102.8	2,808.8	1,020.7	865.2	680.6	408.0	3,865.9	2,327.0	14,379.6	10,660.0
Non-current assets	7,195.8	6,314.6	3,888.8	3,261.8	2,483.6	2,126.5	966.6	761.8	3,876.3	2,335.8	18,411.1	14,800.5
Additions to non-current assets include:												
– Investment properties	22.9	23.5	181.2	138.5	–	–	243.7	25.8	–	–	447.8	187.8
– Investments accounted for using the equity method	455.8	222.4	159.7	129.6	63.3	10.0	162.1	178.8	431.3	196.1	1,272.2	736.9
Reportable segment liabilities	129.9	113.9	268.6	242.4	122.6	111.0	94.5	80.4	319.5	156.2	935.1	703.9

GIT	Australia and New Zealand		Asia		Continental Europe		Americas		Total	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Income statement										
External revenues										
Gross property income	51.7	60.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	51.7	60.2
Distributions from investments	–	–	–	–	5.6	9.1	–	–	5.6	9.1
Total external revenues	51.7	60.2	–	–	5.6	9.1	–	–	57.3	69.3
Analysis of external revenues										
Revenue from contracts with customers										
Assets and services transferred over time	10.3	14.3	–	–	–	–	–	–	10.3	14.3
Other revenue										
Rental income (excludes outgoing recoveries)	41.4	45.9	–	–	–	–	–	–	41.4	45.9
Distributions from investments	–	–	–	–	5.6	9.1	–	–	5.6	9.1
Total external revenues	51.7	60.2	–	–	5.6	9.1	–	–	57.3	69.3
Reportable segment profit before tax	239.7	240.2	34.5	33.0	57.3	69.2	91.0	73.9	422.5	416.3
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	1,053.0	726.2	66.4	137.3	144.9	140.0	908.7	370.3	2,173.0	1,373.8
Material non-cash items not included in reportable segment profit before tax										
Net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties	208.3	60.2	–	–	–	–	–	–	208.3	60.2
Share of net gain from fair value adjustments in equity accounted investments	917.7	562.9	31.7	104.3	97.5	86.1	808.8	290.5	1,855.7	1,043.8
	Australia and New Zealand		Asia		Continental Europe		Americas		Total	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Statement of financial position										
Reportable segment assets	6,178.8	4,947.0	1,719.4	1,522.5	878.5	732.9	3,736.5	2,268.1	12,513.1	9,470.5
Included in reportable segment assets are:										
Investment properties	495.3	1,155.7	–	–	–	–	–	–	495.3	1,155.7
Investments accounted for using the equity method	5,054.0	3,601.7	1,719.4	1,522.5	856.4	711.0	3,726.3	2,243.2	11,356.1	8,078.4
Non-current assets	5,555.1	4,939.3	1,719.4	1,522.5	877.8	727.9	3,726.3	2,243.2	11,878.6	9,432.9
Additions to non-current assets include:										
– Investment properties	17.6	22.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	17.6	22.0
– Investments accounted for using the equity method	509.9	186.0	35.5	33.6	61.9	–	415.7	189.4	1,023.0	409.0
Reportable segment liabilities	45.2	44.6	–	–	–	0.6	269.5	124.1	314.7	169.3

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

4 Segment reporting (continued)

Reconciliation of reportable segment revenues, profit or loss, assets and liabilities

		Goodman		GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Revenues					
Total revenues for reportable segments		2,091.0	1,988.3	57.3	69.3
Total revenues for other segments		0.8	–	0.8	–
Consolidated revenues		2,091.8	1,988.3	58.1	69.3
Profit or loss					
Total profit before tax for reportable segments					
Property investment earnings		494.6	411.5	422.5	416.3
Management earnings		588.4	459.1	–	–
Development earnings ¹		960.7	717.9	–	–
Operating expenses allocated to reportable segments		(206.0)	(177.1)	–	–
Reportable segment profit before tax		1,837.7	1,411.4	422.5	416.3
Corporate expenses not allocated to reportable segments		(143.3)	(116.9)	(59.1)	(51.7)
		1,694.4	1,294.5	363.4	364.6
Valuation and other items not included in reportable segment profit before tax:					
– Net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties	6(e)	260.1	63.1	208.3	60.2
– Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties in Partnerships	6(f)	2,272.9	1,295.8	1,849.0	1,072.1
– Reversal of previous impairment on loans to related parties		–	–	–	17.6
– Share of fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments in Partnerships	6(f)	(1.7)	(28.9)	6.7	(28.3)
– Share based payments expense	2	(257.6)	(268.8)	–	–
– Straight lining of rental income and tax deferred adjustments		(1.0)	(3.2)	4.9	2.6
Profit before interest and tax		3,967.1	2,352.5	2,432.3	1,488.8
Net finance (expense)/income ¹	15	(229.0)	67.5	(231.6)	135.5
Consolidated profit before income tax		3,738.1	2,420.0	2,200.7	1,624.3
Assets					
Assets for reportable segments		20,103.6	15,884.3	12,513.3	9,470.5
Cash		649.4	514.6	450.6	349.6
Other unallocated amounts ²		679.4	468.1	3,618.3	3,475.2
Consolidated total assets		21,432.4	16,867.0	16,582.2	13,295.3
Liabilities					
Liabilities for reportable segments		935.1	703.9	314.7	169.3
Interest bearing liabilities		2,832.2	2,060.3	2,825.4	2,062.8
Provisions for dividends/distributions to Securityholders	19	280.0	277.1	233.5	166.3
Other unallocated amounts ²		960.3	664.2	1,100.9	921.0
Consolidated total liabilities		5,007.6	3,705.5	4,474.5	3,319.4

1. Development earnings include \$6.2 million (2021: \$7.4 million) of interest income from a loan to a development JV. The interest income is reported under finance income in note 15.

2. Other unallocated amounts in Goodman and GIT included other financial assets and liabilities, deferred tax assets, tax payables and provisions which did not relate to the reportable segments. Additionally, other unallocated assets and liabilities in GIT included loans due from/to controlled entities of Goodman.

5 Taxation

Income tax for the period comprises current tax and movements in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Current tax and movements in deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised in the income statement except to the extent that they relate to items recognised directly in equity, in which case the relevant amounts of tax are recognised directly in equity.

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the financial year and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous financial years.

Deferred tax is recognised in respect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. The following temporary differences are not accounted for:

- + Goodwill
- + The initial recognition of assets or liabilities that affect neither accounting nor taxable profit
- + Differences relating to investments in controlled entities to the extent that they will probably not reverse in the foreseeable future.

The amount of deferred tax provided is based on the expected manner of realisation or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets or liabilities in respect of investment properties held at fair value are calculated on the presumption that the carrying amount of the investment property will be recovered through sale. A deferred tax asset is recognised only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilised. Deferred tax assets are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realised.

Additional income taxes that arise from dividends/distributions are recognised at the same time as the liability to pay the related dividends/distributions.

(a) Amounts recognised in the income statement

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current tax expense recognised in the income statement				
Current year	(142.4)	(66.3)	(6.0)	(0.9)
Changes in estimates related to prior years	7.3	8.5	–	–
Current tax expense	(135.1)	(57.8)	(6.0)	(0.9)
Deferred tax expense recognised in the income statement				
Origination and reversal of temporary differences	(189.0)	(50.3)	(127.1)	(48.6)
Deferred tax expense	(189.0)	(50.3)	(127.1)	(48.6)
Total income tax expense recognised in the income statement	(324.1)	(108.1)	(133.1)	(49.5)

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

5 Taxation (continued)

(b) Reconciliation of profit before income tax to income tax expense

	Goodman	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Profit before income tax	3,738.1	2,420.0
Prima facie income tax expense calculated at 30% (2021: 30%) on the profit before income tax	(1,121.4)	(726.0)
Decrease/(increase) in income tax due to:		
– Profit attributable to GIT Unitholders	680.4	483.5
– Current year losses for which no deferred tax asset was recognised	(36.8)	(34.3)
– Other non-assessable/(non-deductible) items, net	168.7	135.7
– Benefit of previously unrecognised temporary differences, including tax losses	95.7	68.9
– Difference in overseas tax rates	23.6	11.2
– Changes in estimates related to prior years	7.3	8.5
– Taxes on Partnership income	(149.7)	(62.8)
– Other items	8.1	7.2
Income tax expense	(324.1)	(108.1)

GIT

The income tax expense recorded by GIT relates to withholding taxes on actual distributions and deferred taxes on potential future distributions from Partnerships. Refer to note 5(e).

(c) Current tax receivables/payables

	Goodman	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Net income tax payable		
Net income tax payable at the beginning of the year	(144.0)	(131.8)
Decrease/(increase) in current tax payable due to:		
– Net income taxes paid	110.5	41.4
– Current tax expense	(135.1)	(57.8)
– Other	(0.9)	4.2
Net income tax payable at the end of the year	(169.5)	(144.0)
Current tax receivables (refer to note 7)	3.9	16.1
Current tax payables	(173.4)	(160.1)
	(169.5)	(144.0)

(d) Deferred tax assets and liabilities

Deferred tax assets/(liabilities) are attributable to the following:

	Goodman			
	2022	2021	2022	2021
	Deferred tax assets		Deferred tax liabilities	
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Investment properties ¹	–	–	(403.4)	(182.0)
LTIP	39.3	27.3	–	–
Other items	19.9	17.4	(10.9)	(11.2)
Tax assets/(liabilities)	59.2	44.7	(414.3)	(193.2)
Set off of tax	(34.0)	(24.8)	34.0	24.8
Net tax assets/(liabilities)	25.2	19.9	(380.3)	(168.4)

1. Including potential future distributions from the disposal of investment properties by Partnerships.

Movements in deferred taxes recognised in expenses and equity are attributable to the following:

	Goodman	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Deferred tax (expense)/benefit recognised in expenses		
Investment properties – fair value adjustments	(206.7)	(50.4)
LTIP	16.2	19.2
Other items	1.5	(19.1)
Total deferred tax expense recognised in expenses	(189.0)	(50.3)
Deferred tax (expense)/benefit recognised in equity		
LTIP	(4.2)	8.1
Other items	–	(4.7)
Total deferred tax (expense)/benefit recognised in equity	(4.2)	3.4
Total deferred tax movements recognised in expenses and equity	(193.2)	(46.9)

Deferred tax assets of \$266.9 million (2021: \$323.3 million) arising primarily from tax losses (revenue and capital in nature) and deductions associated with the LTIP have not been recognised by Goodman.

GIT

At 30 June 2022, deferred tax liabilities of \$267.9 million (2021: \$124.0 million) have been recognised in relation to potential future distributions from the disposal of investment properties by Partnerships.

(e) Taxation of GIT

Under current Australian income tax legislation, the Trust is not liable for income tax, including capital gains tax, provided that Securityholders are presently entitled to the distributable income of the Trust as calculated for trust law purposes. The controlled entities of the Trust that operate in certain foreign jurisdictions are liable to pay tax in those jurisdictions.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

OPERATING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The notes in this section focus on Goodman's property assets, working capital and goodwill and intangible assets.

6 Property assets

(a) Principles and policies

Investment in property assets includes both inventories and investment properties (including those under development), which may be held either directly or through investments in Partnerships (both associates and JVs).

Inventories

Inventories relate to land and property developments that are held for sale or development and sale in the normal course of the Group's business. Inventories are carried at the lower of cost or net realisable value. The calculation of net realisable value requires estimates and assumptions which are regularly evaluated and are based on historical experience and expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Inventories are classified as non-current assets unless they are contracted to be sold within 12 months of the end of the reporting period, in which case they are classified as current assets.

Investment properties

Investment properties comprise investment interests in land and buildings held for the purpose of leasing to produce rental income and/or for capital appreciation. Investment properties are carried at fair value. The calculation of fair value requires estimates and assumptions which are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Investment properties are not depreciated as they are subject to continual maintenance and regularly revalued on the basis described below. Changes in the fair value of investment properties are recognised directly in the income statement.

Components of investment properties

Land and buildings (including integral plant and equipment) comprising investment properties are regarded as composite assets and are disclosed as such in the consolidated financial report.

Investment property carrying values include the costs of acquiring the assets and subsequent costs of development, including costs of all labour and materials used in construction, costs of managing the projects, holding costs and borrowing costs incurred during the development periods.

Amounts provided to customers as lease incentives and assets relating to fixed rental income increases in operating lease contracts are included within investment property values. Lease incentives are amortised over the term of the lease on a straight-line basis. Direct expenditure associated with leasing a property is also capitalised within investment property values and amortised over the term of the lease.

Classification of investment properties

Investment properties are classified as either properties under development or stabilised properties. Investment properties under development include land, new investment properties in the course of construction and investment properties that are being redeveloped. Stabilised investment properties are all investment properties not classed as being under development and would be completed properties that are leased or are available for lease to customers.

For investment properties under development, the carrying values are reviewed by management at each reporting date to consider whether they reflect the fair value and at completion external valuations are obtained to determine the fair values.

For stabilised investment properties, independent valuations are obtained at least every two years to determine the fair values. At each reporting date between obtaining independent valuations, the carrying values are reviewed by management to ensure they reflect the fair values.

Deposits for investment properties

Deposits and other costs associated with acquiring investment properties that are incurred prior to obtaining legal title are recorded at cost and disclosed as other receivables in the statement of financial position.

(b) Summary of investment in property assets

	Note	Goodman		GIT	
		2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Inventories					
Current	6(d)	389.0	235.1	–	–
Non-current	6(d)	1,727.1	1,192.7	5.9	5.9
		2,116.1	1,427.8	5.9	5.9
Assets held for sale					
Investment properties	9	609.3	41.5	–	–
Investment properties					
Stabilised investment properties		1,286.6	1,791.1	358.3	1,093.4
Investment properties under development		137.1	60.1	137.0	62.3
	6(e)	1,423.7	1,851.2	495.3	1,155.7
Investments accounted for using the equity method					
Associates	6(f)(i)	7,850.7	6,302.6	6,814.4	5,292.9
JVs	6(f)(ii)	6,528.9	4,357.4	4,541.7	2,785.5
		14,379.6	10,660.0	11,356.1	8,078.4
Total property assets		18,528.7	13,980.5	11,857.3	9,240.0

At 30 June 2022, the total property assets of \$18,528.7 million included properties that have been repositioned and were subject to conditional contracts for disposal. As discussed in the Directors' report on page 19, the Group's share of valuation gains since the repositioning activities commenced, was \$429.6 million (2021: \$95.9 million).

(c) Estimates and assumptions in determining property carrying values**Inventories**

For both inventories held directly and inventories held in Partnerships, external valuations are not performed but instead valuations are determined using the feasibility studies supporting the land and property developments. The end values of the developments in the feasibility studies are based on assumptions such as capitalisation rates, letting up periods and incentives that are consistent with those observed in the relevant market. If the feasibility study calculations indicate that the forecast cost of a completed development will exceed the net realisable value, then the inventories are impaired.

Investment properties**Stabilised investment properties**

The fair value of stabilised investment properties is based on current prices in an active market for similar properties in the same location and condition and subject to similar lease and other contracts. The current price is the estimated amount for which a property could be exchanged between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.

Approach to determination of fair value

The approach to determination of fair value of investment properties is applied to both investment properties held directly and investment properties held in Partnerships.

Valuations are determined based on assessments and estimates of uncertain future events, including upturns and downturns in property markets and availability of similar properties, vacancy rates, market rents and capitalisation and discount rates. Recent and relevant sales evidence and other market data are taken into account. Valuations are either based on an external independent valuation or on an internal valuation.

External valuations are undertaken only where market segments were observed to be active. In making the determination of whether a market segment is active, the following characteristics are considered:

- + Function of the asset (distribution/warehouse or suburban office)
- + Location of asset (city, suburb or regional area)
- + Carrying value of the asset (categorised by likely appeal to private (including syndicates), national and/or institutional investors)
- + Categorisation as primary or secondary based on a combination of location, weighted average lease expiry, quality of tenant covenant (internal assessment based on available market evidence) and age of construction.

Each property asset is assessed and grouped with assets in the same or similar market segments. Information on all relevant recent sales is also analysed using the same criteria to provide a comparative set. The number of sales and the circumstances of each sale are assessed to determine whether a market segment is active or inactive.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

Where a market segment is observed to be active, then external independent valuations are performed for stabilised investment properties where there has been a combination of factors that are likely to have resulted in a material movement in valuation. The considerations include a greater than 10% movement in market rents, more than a 25 basis point movement in capitalisation rates, a material change in tenancy profile (including changes in the creditworthiness of a significant customer that may have a material impact on the property valuation), significant capital expenditure, a change in use (or zoning), a development has reached completion/stabilisation of the asset or it has been two years since the previous external independent valuation. For all other stabilised investment properties in an active market segment, an internal valuation is performed based on observable capitalisation rates and referenced to independent market data.

Where a market segment is observed to be inactive, then no external independent valuations are performed and internal valuations are undertaken based on discounted cash flow (DCF) calculations. The DCF calculations are prepared over a 10-year period. The key inputs considered for each individual calculation are:

- + Current contractual lease terms
- + Current market rents
- + Projected growth in market rents
- + Expected and likely capital expenditures
- + Projected letting up incentives provided to customers and vacant time on expiry of leases
- + Discount rates – computed using the 10 year bond rate or equivalent in each jurisdiction plus increments to reflect country risk, tenant credit risk and industry risk. Where possible, the components of the discount rate are benchmarked to available market data.

Market assessment

The investment market for industrial, logistics and warehousing properties has continued to be strong during FY22, despite the increased interest rates in the last quarter of FY22. At 30 June 2022, the Board has been able to assess that all markets in which the Group operated were active and as a consequence no adjustments have been made to the carrying values of the Group's stabilised investment property portfolios on the basis of internally prepared discounted cash flow valuations.

The overall weighted average capitalisation rates for the divisional portfolios (including Partnerships) are as set out in the table below:

Total portfolio weighted average capitalisation rate				
Division	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 %	2021 %	2022 %	2021 %
Australia and New Zealand	3.9	4.4	3.8	4.4
Asia	4.3	4.4	3.8	3.9
Continental Europe	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.9
United Kingdom	3.7	4.1	–	–
Americas	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0

In respect of the Group's and GIT's directly held investment properties at 30 June 2022, 57% (2021: 59%) and 100% (2021: 78%), respectively, had been valued by an external independent valuer during the financial year. All independent valuers used by the Group are required to hold a recognised and relevant professional qualification and have recent experience in the location and category of the investment properties being valued.

For the Partnerships, all properties bar one that had been stabilised investment properties throughout FY22 were valued by an external independent valuer during the financial year.

Sensitivity analysis

The fair value measurement approach for directly held investment properties has been categorised as a Level 3 fair value based on the inputs to the valuation method used (see note 1). The stabilised investment property valuations at 30 June 2022 are most sensitive to the following inputs:

- + Capitalisation rates
- + Market rents
- + Incentives provided to customers and/or vacant time on expiry of leases.

The directly held stabilised investment properties are in Australia and Asia. The range of market rents and average capitalisation rates are summarised in the table below:

Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	2022	2021
Income capitalisation	Range of net market rents (per square metre per annum)	\$90 to \$450	\$90 to \$450
	Capitalisation rate (weighted average)	4.4%	4.4%

The impacts on the Group's financial position that would arise from the changes in capitalisation rates, market rents and incentives/vacant time are set out in the table below. This illustrates the impacts on Goodman in respect of both the directly held stabilised investment properties and its share of those stabilised investment properties held by Partnerships.

	Goodman		GIT	
	Valuation impact for the Group		Valuation impact for GIT	
	Directly held properties	Partnerships ¹	Directly held properties	Partnerships ¹
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Book value at 30 June 2022	1,286.6	15,215.0	358.3	11,835.5
Changes in capitalisation rates:				
Increase in cap rates +50 bps	(132.9)	(1,722.6)	(41.0)	(1,367.3)
Increase in cap rates +25 bps	(70.1)	(913.4)	(21.7)	(725.6)
Decrease in cap rates -25 bps	78.6	1,039.2	24.8	827.3
Decrease in cap rates -50 bps	167.5	2,232.4	53.3	1,779.5
Changes in market rents:				
Decrease in rents -10%	(70.8)	(627.6)	(16.5)	(466.2)
Decrease in rents -5%	(35.4)	(313.8)	(8.3)	(233.1)
Increase in rents +5%	35.4	313.8	8.3	233.1
Increase in rents +10%	70.8	627.6	16.5	466.2
Changes in voids/incentives²:				
Increase in incentives/ vacant time +3 months	(6.9)	(30.6)	(1.4)	(20.7)
Increase in incentives/ vacant time +6 months	(13.9)	(61.2)	(2.8)	(41.3)

1. Goodman's share of stabilised investment properties held by Partnerships.

2. On assumed lease expiries over the next 12 months.

Investment properties under development

For the directly held investment properties under development, external independent valuations are generally not performed, but instead valuations are determined at each reporting date using the feasibility assessments supporting the developments. The end values of the developments in the feasibility assessments are based on assumptions such as capitalisation rates, market rents, incentives provided to customers and vacant time that are consistent with those observed in the relevant market adjusted for a profit and risk factor. This profit and risk factor is dependent on the function, location, size and current status of the development and are generally in a market range of 10% to 15%; although for larger more complex projects that are at an early stage of the development, the profit and risk factor could be up to 35%. This adjusted end value is then compared to the forecast cost of a completed development to determine whether there is an increase or decrease in value.

In respect of the Partnerships, certain Partnerships obtain external independent valuations of investment properties under development at reporting dates. However, the majority determine the fair values at reporting dates by reference to the feasibility assessments, with external independent valuations obtained when the properties has been stabilised.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

(d) Inventories

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current				
Land and development properties	389.0	235.1	–	–
	389.0	235.1	–	–
Non-current				
Land and development properties	1,727.1	1,192.7	5.9	5.9
	1,727.1	1,192.7	5.9	5.9

Goodman

During the current and prior financial year, no impairment losses were recognised on land and development properties.

During the financial year, borrowing costs of \$3.1 million (2021: \$3.8 million) previously capitalised into the carrying value of inventories were expensed to the income statement on disposal of the inventories.

(e) Investment properties

Reconciliation of carrying amount of directly held investment properties

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Carrying amount at the beginning of the year	1,851.2	1,901.2	1,155.7	1,202.4
Acquisitions	420.4	163.0	–	–
Capital expenditure	27.4	24.8	17.6	22.0
Carrying value of properties disposed	(546.5)	(127.8)	(276.9)	(128.9)
Transfers to assets held for sale	(609.3)	(41.5)	(609.3)	–
Transfers from/(to) inventories	2.5	(131.5)	–	–
Net gain from fair value adjustments	260.1	63.1	208.3	60.2
Effect of foreign currency translation	17.9	(0.1)	(0.1)	–
Carrying amount at the end of the year	1,423.7	1,851.2	495.3	1,155.7
Analysed by segment:				
Australia and New Zealand	1,086.9	1,687.3	495.3	1,155.7
Asia	336.8	137.7	–	–
United Kingdom	–	26.2	–	–
	1,423.7	1,851.2	495.3	1,155.7

Goodman

During the financial year, borrowing costs of \$nil (2021: \$nil) previously capitalised into the carrying value of investment properties were expensed to the income statement on disposal of the investment properties.

Non-cancellable operating lease commitments receivable from investment property customers

The analysis in the table below reflects the gross property income, excluding recoverable outgoings, based on existing lease agreements. It assumes that leases will not extend beyond the next review date, where the customer has an option to end the lease.

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Non-cancellable operating lease commitments receivable:				
Less than one year	57.6	80.7	27.1	45.8
One to two years	47.4	63.9	20.1	37.0
Two to three years	37.9	49.4	14.8	28.6
Three to four years	24.5	38.4	8.4	21.1
Four to five years	15.9	30.1	5.5	16.8
More than five years	87.0	120.4	10.9	36.9
	270.3	382.9	86.8	186.2

(f) Investments accounted for using the equity method

Investments accounted for using the equity method comprise associates and JVs, which are collectively referred to as Partnerships.

Associates

An associate is an entity in which Goodman exercises significant influence but not control over its financial and operating policies.

JVs

A JV is an arrangement in which Goodman is considered to have joint control for accounting purposes, whereby Goodman has rights to the net assets of the arrangement, rather than rights to its assets and obligations for its liabilities.

In the consolidated financial statements, investments in Partnerships are accounted for using the equity method. Under this method, Goodman's investment is initially recognised at cost. Subsequent to initial recognition, the consolidated financial statements include Goodman's share of the gains or losses and other comprehensive income of Partnerships until the date on which significant influence or joint control ceases.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

(i) Investments in associates

Investments in Partnerships classified as associates are set out below:

		Goodman						GIT					
		Share of net results		Ownership interest		Investment carrying amount		Share of net results		Ownership interest		Investment carrying amount	
Name of associate	Country of establishment	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 %	2021 %	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 %	2021 %	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Property investment													
Goodman Australia Industrial Partnership (GAIP)	Australia	503.4	366.9	28.6	29.1	3,008.3	2,208.5	503.4	366.9	28.6	29.1	3,008.3	2,208.5
Goodman Australia Partnership (GAP)	Australia	234.1	192.1	19.9	19.9	1,060.0	850.9	234.1	192.1	19.9	19.9	1,060.0	850.9
Goodman Property Trust (GMT) ¹	New Zealand	150.0	126.7	24.9	22.4	825.9	633.4	31.6	–	5.1	–	170.3	–
Goodman Hong Kong Logistics Partnership (GHKLP)	Cayman Islands	66.4	137.3	20.3	20.3	1,719.4	1,522.5	66.4	137.3	20.3	20.3	1,719.4	1,522.5
Goodman Japan Core Partnership (GJCP) ²	Japan	47.3	29.8	14.4	14.7	380.7	376.3	–	–	–	–	–	–
Goodman European Partnership (GEP)	Luxembourg	144.9	140.0	19.8	20.4	856.4	711.0	144.9	140.0	19.8	20.4	856.4	711.0
		1,146.1	992.8			7,850.7	6,302.6	980.4	836.3			6,814.4	5,292.9

1. GMT is listed on the New Zealand Stock Exchange (NZX). At 30 June 2022, the market value of Goodman's investment in GMT using the quoted price on the last day of trading was \$651.7 million (2021: \$676.6 million), which compared to the carrying value of \$825.9 million. Goodman does not consider its investment impaired as the carrying value is equal to its share of GMT's net assets and is supported by independent valuations of the individual investment properties in GMT.

GIT has a 5.1% ownership interest in GMT and is part of Goodman, which has a combined ownership in GMT of 24.9%. As a result, the Directors have assessed that GIT has significant influence over GMT and has applied the equity method of accounting for its 5.1% interest.

2. Goodman's ownership interest in GJCP reflected the weighted average ownership interest in the various property investment vehicles.

The reconciliation of the carrying amount of investments in Partnerships classified as associates is set out as follows:

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Movement in carrying amount of investments in associates				
Carrying amount at the beginning of the year	6,302.6	5,617.2	5,292.9	4,761.4
Share of net results after tax (before fair value adjustments)	242.7	261.7	201.3	225.4
Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties after tax	914.7	765.8	790.9	643.3
Share of fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments	(11.3)	(34.7)	(11.8)	(32.4)
Share of net results	1,146.1	992.8	980.4	836.3
Share of movements in reserves	15.0	0.3	15.0	0.3
Acquisitions	575.6	287.2	605.1	211.6
Disposals	(4.9)	(3.9)	–	–
Capital return	–	(79.7)	–	(79.7)
Distributions received and receivable	(193.2)	(318.4)	(155.1)	(287.6)
Effect of foreign currency translation	9.5	(192.9)	76.1	(149.4)
Carrying amount at the end of the year	7,850.7	6,302.6	6,814.4	5,292.9

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

The table below includes further information regarding Partnerships classified as associates, held at the end of the financial year:

	GAIP		GAP		GMT		GHKLP		GJCP		GEP	
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Summarised statement of financial position												
Total current assets	1,081.6	698.4	100.6	61.3	6.1	8.3	187.4	75.0	232.2	227.6	200.2	461.3
Total non-current assets	12,266.3	9,338.5	6,513.1	5,338.1	4,435.0	3,562.2	10,679.1	9,188.4	3,825.8	3,672.8	6,818.2	5,318.4
Total current liabilities	348.9	402.2	142.1	98.9	24.0	113.7	244.9	176.2	231.8	23.7	233.7	456.5
Total non-current liabilities	2,545.6	2,097.3	1,212.3	1,094.9	1,105.8	685.8	2,236.3	1,584.9	1,189.4	1,324.7	2,456.5	1,832.1
Net assets (100%)	10,453.4	7,537.4	5,259.3	4,205.6	3,311.3	2,771.0	8,385.3	7,502.3	2,636.8	2,552.0	4,328.2	3,491.1
Summarised statement of comprehensive income												
Revenue	459.0	418.1	288.6	256.2	112.1	109.4	287.8	270.9	414.2	189.8	239.0	440.8
Profit after tax and revaluations	1,739.1	1,263.1	1,181.0	964.6	701.7	590.2	326.6	677.1	329.4	189.5	656.1	744.4
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	74.7	1.5	-	-	-	-
Total comprehensive income (100%)	1,739.1	1,263.1	1,181.0	964.6	701.7	590.2	401.3	678.6	329.4	189.5	656.1	744.4
Goodman												
Consolidated ownership interest	28.6%	29.1%	19.9%	19.9%	24.9%	22.4%	20.3%	20.3%	14.4%	14.7%	19.8%	20.4%
Consolidated share of net assets	2,990.7	2,190.9	1,047.2	837.3	825.7	621.1	1,706.2	1,521.2	380.8	376.2	856.4	711.0
Other items, including capitalised costs	0.1	1.2	-	0.3	0.2	12.3	1.3	1.3	(0.1)	0.1	-	-
Distributions receivable ¹	17.5	16.4	12.8	13.3	-	-	11.9	-	-	-	-	-
Carrying amount of investment	3,008.3	2,208.5	1,060.0	850.9	825.9	633.4	1,719.4	1,522.5	380.7	376.3	856.4	711.0
Distributions received and receivable	66.3	66.2	25.1	24.1	17.8	14.7	35.5	33.6	20.3	16.1	28.2	163.7
GIT												
Consolidated ownership interest	28.6%	29.1%	19.9%	19.9%	5.1%	-	20.3%	20.3%	-	-	19.8%	20.4%
Consolidated share of net assets	2,990.7	2,190.9	1,047.2	837.3	169.6	-	1,706.2	1,521.2	-	-	856.4	711.0
Other items, including capitalised costs	0.1	1.2	-	0.3	0.7	-	1.3	1.3	-	-	-	-
Distributions receivable ¹	17.5	16.4	12.8	13.3	-	-	11.9	-	-	-	-	-
Carrying amount of investment	3,008.3	2,208.5	1,060.0	850.9	170.3	-	1,719.4	1,522.5	-	-	856.4	711.0
Distributions received and receivable	66.3	66.2	25.1	24.1	-	-	35.5	33.6	-	-	28.2	163.7

1. Distributions receivable related to distributions provided for but not paid by the Partnerships at 30 June 2022. This was applicable to trusts in Australia where unitholders were presently entitled to income at the end of the financial year.

(ii) Investments in JVs

A summary of the results and ownership interests of principal Partnerships classified as JVs is set out below:

		Goodman						GIT					
		Share of net results		Ownership interest		Investment carrying amount		Share of net results		Ownership interest		Investment carrying amount	
Name of JV	Country of establishment	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 %	2021 %	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 %	2021 %	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Property investment and development													
Goodman China Logistics Partnership (GCLP)	Cayman Islands	56.8	65.2	20.0	20.0	918.0	832.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goodman UK Partnership (GUKP) ¹	United Kingdom	213.4	32.9	35.3	33.3	676.3	404.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Goodman North America Partnership (GNAP)	United States of America	938.6	379.5	55.0	55.0	3,846.0	2,310.6	904.4	365.7	53.0	53.0	3,706.4	2,226.8
Other JVs ²		363.3	238.5			1,088.6	810.1	288.2	171.8			835.3	558.7
		1,572.1	716.1			6,528.9	4,357.4	1,192.6	537.5			4,541.7	2,785.5

1. The consolidated ownership interest in GUKP reflected the weighted average ownership in GUKP, GUKP II and GUKP III.

2. Other JVs included a development JV in Australia where GAIP has paid Goodman a refundable fee of \$22.6 million for an option to acquire a 40% interest in the JV from the Group. At 30 June 2022, the transaction is conditional on certain matters and settlement would only occur on stabilisation of certain development properties in the JV. Therefore, the disposal transaction had not been reflected in the Group's results at 30 June 2022. The investment carrying amount at 30 June 2022 and the Group's share of the fair value adjustment attributable to the properties in the JV for the year ended 30 June 2022 were aligned with to the disposal price under the option deed.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

The reconciliation of the carrying amount of investments in Partnerships classified as JVs is set out as follows:

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Movement in carrying amount of investments in JVs				
Carrying amount at the beginning of the year	4,357.4	3,753.6	2,785.5	2,386.9
Share of net results after tax (before fair value adjustments)	204.3	180.3	116.0	104.6
Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties after tax	1,358.2	530.0	1,058.1	428.8
Share of fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments	9.6	5.8	18.5	4.1
Share of net results	1,572.1	716.1	1,192.6	537.5
Share of movements in reserves	7.2	2.9	–	–
Acquisitions	696.8	449.7	417.9	197.4
Disposals	(3.4)	(8.1)	–	(8.1)
Transfer on reclassification as a controlled entity	(15.6)	–	–	–
Capital return	(91.8)	(176.6)	(20.9)	(86.4)
Distributions received and receivable	(248.7)	(218.0)	(83.8)	(85.0)
Effect of foreign currency translation	254.9	(162.2)	250.4	(156.8)
Carrying amount at the end of the year	6,528.9	4,357.4	4,541.7	2,785.5

The table below includes further information regarding principal Partnerships classified as JVs, held at the end of the financial year:

	GCLP ¹		GUKP		GNAP	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Summarised statement of financial position						
Current assets						
Cash and cash equivalents	427.4	281.3	41.1	43.4	75.0	62.8
Other current assets	148.3	84.4	12.9	1,490.3	56.8	33.7
Total current assets	575.7	365.7	54.0	1,533.7	131.8	96.5
Total non-current assets	6,303.3	5,537.5	2,421.8	–	8,270.0	4,846.1
Current liabilities						
Other current liabilities	2,940.6	2,796.4	24.7	36.1	273.8	102.8
Total current liabilities	2,940.6	2,796.4	24.7	36.1	273.8	102.8
Non-current liabilities						
Financial liabilities	1,111.8	757.7	537.8	287.0	1,144.3	640.3
Other non-current liabilities	718.3	613.7	–	–	–	6.7
Total non-current liabilities	1,830.1	1,371.4	537.8	287.0	1,144.3	647.0
Net assets (100%)	2,108.3	1,735.4	1,913.3	1,210.6	6,983.7	4,192.8
Summarised statement of comprehensive income						
Revenue	215.0	193.6	39.8	28.5	250.0	181.7
Net finance (expense)/income	(20.3)	(19.2)	(1.0)	(3.7)	14.9	6.4
Income tax expense	(46.3)	(37.4)	(0.2)	–	(0.4)	(0.5)
Profit after tax and revaluations	283.8	326.2	575.6	98.7	1,706.6	690.0
Other comprehensive income/(loss)	36.2	(12.8)	–	–	–	–
Total comprehensive income (100%)	320.0	313.4	575.6	98.7	1,706.6	690.0
Goodman						
Consolidated ownership interest	20.0%	20.0%	35.3%	33.3%	55.0%	55.0%
Consolidated share of net assets	421.7	347.1	676.0	403.5	3,841.0	2,306.0
Shareholder loan ¹	492.9	482.3	–	–	–	–
Other items, including capitalised costs	3.4	3.3	0.3	0.5	5.0	4.6
Distributions receivable	–	–	–	–	–	–
Carrying amount of investment	918.0	832.7	676.3	404.0	3,846.0	2,310.6
Distributions/dividends received and receivable	7.3	6.1	3.9	4.6	68.9	57.8
GIT						
Consolidated ownership interest	–	–	–	–	53.0%	53.0%
Consolidated share of net assets	–	–	–	–	3,701.4	2,222.2
Other items, including capitalised costs	–	–	–	–	5.0	4.6
Distributions receivable	–	–	–	–	–	–
Carrying amount of investment in JV	–	–	–	–	3,706.4	2,226.8
Distributions/dividends received and receivable	–	–	–	–	66.4	55.7

1. Shareholder loans have been provided by investors of GCLP in proportion to their ownership interest. The shareholder loans are interest free and unsecured and have no fixed terms of repayment. The shareholder loans are not expected to be repaid within 12 months from the end of the reporting period and the Directors consider the loans to form part of Goodman's investment in GCLP.

With respect to Goodman's other JVs, the total profit after tax and revaluations was \$1,333.2 million (2021: \$721.5 million) and total other comprehensive income was \$nil (2021: \$nil). With respect to GIT's other JVs, the total profit after tax and revaluations was \$1,024.5 million (2021: \$460.1 million) and total other comprehensive income was \$nil (2021: \$nil).

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

7 Receivables

Receivables comprise trade and other receivables and loans to related parties and are recognised on the date that they are originated, initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, receivables are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment losses.

Receivables are derecognised when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the receivable expire or the Group transfers the rights to receive the contractual cash flows on the receivable in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of the receivable are transferred.

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current				
Trade receivables	7.8	16.4	0.1	0.1
Tax receivables	3.9	16.1	–	1.4
Other receivables	123.1	197.2	3.8	5.8
Amounts due from related parties ¹	83.0	101.6	–	0.1
Loans to related parties ¹	–	–	127.1	808.7
	217.8	331.3	131.0	816.1
Non-current				
Other receivables	5.9	7.1	–	–
Loans to related parties ¹	167.5	270.4	3,137.4	2,528.5
	173.4	277.5	3,137.4	2,528.5

1. Refer to note 24 for details of amounts due from and loans to related parties.

Goodman assessed the receivables balances at 30 June 2022 for expected credit losses (risk of non-payment). The level of provisioning was not significant in the context of the Group's financial position.

8 Contract balances

Contract assets primarily comprise amounts recoverable from fixed price development contracts (disclosed net of any payments received on account) and accrued performance fee income where the Group assesses that the income can be reliably measured.

Contract liabilities primarily comprise consideration received in advance of the completion of development contracts and rental guarantees.

The following table provides an analysis of receivables from contracts with customers (excluding rental income receivables), contract assets and contract liabilities at the reporting dates:

	Goodman	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current		
Receivables, which are included in trade receivables, other receivables and amounts due from related parties	111.7	143.6
Contract assets	77.6	80.9
Contract liabilities	4.7	5.0
Non-current		
Contract liabilities	–	1.0

Significant changes in the contract assets and the contract liabilities balances during the year are set out below:

	Goodman			
	2022		2021	
	Contract assets \$M	Contract liabilities \$M	Contract assets \$M	Contract liabilities \$M
Balance at the beginning of the year	80.9	6.0	25.7	13.8
Increase due to changes in the measure of progress during the year	476.9	–	237.5	–
Transfers from contract assets to receivables	(480.5)	–	(182.3)	–
Revenue recognised that was included in the contract liability balance at the beginning of the year	–	(1.6)	–	(7.7)
Increases due to cash received, excluding amounts recognised as revenue during the year	–	0.1	–	0.1
Effect of foreign currency translation	0.3	0.2	–	(0.2)
Balance at the end of the year	77.6	4.7	80.9	6.0
Current contract assets and liabilities	77.6	4.7	80.9	5.0
Non-current contract liabilities	–	–	–	1.0
	77.6	4.7	80.9	6.0

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

8 Contract balances (continued)

Transaction price allocated to the remaining contract obligations

The amount of the transaction price allocated to the remaining performance obligations under Goodman's existing contracts was \$nil (2021: \$12.5 million). This amount represents revenue expected to be recognised in the future from ongoing management and fixed price development contracts with customers. Goodman will recognise the expected revenue in the future as the work is completed, which is expected to be within the next 12 months.

Details regarding Goodman's future rental income associated with existing lease agreements is included in note 6.

In addition, Goodman receives investment management, development management and property services fees under various contracts that it has with its Partnerships. These contracts are for varying lengths of time and are typically transacted on terms that are consistent with market practice. The revenues under these contracts are linked to the AUM, total development project costs or gross property income of Partnerships and are invoiced as the services are provided.

9 Assets held for sale

In June 2022, the Group entered into a conditional contract to dispose of three controlled entities which own two investment properties. As the conditions under the contracts had not been satisfied as at 30 June 2022, the directly held assets and liabilities to be disposed have been presented as a disposal group held for sale.

Assets and liabilities of disposal group held for sale

At 30 June 2022, the disposal group was held at the lower of carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell, and comprised the following assets and liabilities within the Australia and New Zealand segment:

	\$M
Receivables	2.5
Investment properties	609.3
Payables	(3.6)
Assets held for sale	608.2

No impairment losses have been recognised in FY22 in respect of the disposal group.

In the prior year, assets held for sale amounting to \$41.5 million comprised an investment property in Australia. The disposal of the investment property was completed in FY22.

10 Payables

Trade and other payables are recognised initially at trade date fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, trade and other payables are measured at amortised cost.

Trade and other payables are derecognised when the contractual obligations are discharged or cancelled or expire.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the statement of financial position when, and only when, there is a legal right to offset the amounts and an intention to either settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current				
Trade payables	76.4	73.1	7.9	7.1
Other payables and accruals	525.4	487.8	61.5	51.3
Contract liabilities	4.7	5.0	–	–
Loans from related parties ¹	–	–	3.3	549.2
	606.5	565.9	72.7	607.6
Non-current				
Other payables and accruals	111.0	124.5	3.3	3.7
Contract liabilities	–	1.0	–	–
Loans from related parties ¹	–	–	720.5	228.5
	111.0	125.5	723.8	232.2

1. Refer to note 24 for details of loans from related parties.

11 Provisions

A provision is recognised when there is a legal, equitable or constructive obligation as a result of a past event and it is probable that a future sacrifice of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, the timing or amount of which is uncertain.

	Note	Goodman		GIT	
		2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current					
Dividends/distributions to Securityholders	19	280.0	277.1	233.5	166.3
Other		19.2	17.1	–	–
		299.2	294.2	233.5	166.3
Non-current					
Defined benefit retirement schemes in the United Kingdom		13.9	22.0	–	–
Other		1.6	1.7	–	–
		15.5	23.7	–	–

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

12 Property, plant and equipment

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Property, plant and equipment at cost	147.0	128.7
Accumulated amortisation	(85.6)	(74.1)
Property, plant and equipment at net book value¹	61.4	54.6

1. Refer to note 13 for property, plant and equipment held as a lessee.

13 Leases

Goodman leases office buildings, motor vehicles and office equipment. Certain investment properties and developments classified as inventories are also built on land held under leasehold interests.

Goodman recognises a right of use asset and a lease liability at the lease commencement date. The right of use asset is initially measured at cost plus any direct costs incurred and an estimate of costs to restore the underlying asset or the site on which it is located, less any lease incentives received.

The lease liability is initially measured at the present value of the lease payments that are not paid at the commencement date, discounted using the lessee's incremental borrowing rate. After initial recognition, the lease liability is measured at amortised cost and interest expense is calculated using the effective interest rate method. The lease liability is remeasured when there is a change in future lease payments arising from a change in an index or rate, or there is a change arising from the reassessment of whether Goodman will be reasonably certain to exercise an extension or termination option.

The right of use assets in respect of office buildings, motor vehicles and office equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the period of the lease. Right of use assets that meet the definition of investment property are carried at fair value in accordance with note 6(a). Ground leases of development land that are classified as inventories are not depreciated but are assessed at each reporting date for impairments to ensure they are recorded at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Information about leases for which Goodman is a lessee is detailed below:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Right of use assets		
Inventories	453.3	359.2
Investment properties	568.0	340.3
Property, plant and equipment	42.4	39.6
	1,063.7	739.1
Lease liabilities		
Current	12.5	11.9
Non-Current	58.1	82.1
	70.6	94.0

The following were recognised during the year:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Additions to right of use assets	338.4	402.9
Depreciation for right of use assets	12.6	15.1
Interest expense on lease liabilities	1.0	1.0
Cash outflows on lease liabilities	14.4	18.8

14 Goodwill and intangible assets

Goodman recognises both goodwill and indefinite life management rights in its statement of financial position.

Goodwill

Goodwill arising on the acquisition of controlled entities is stated at cost less any accumulated impairment losses (refer below). No amortisation is provided.

Management rights

When fund and/or investment management activities are acquired as part of a business combination, management rights are recorded where they arise from contractual or other legal rights, and the fair value can be measured reliably.

Management rights are stated at cost less impairment. Management rights are not amortised as they are assumed to have an indefinite life given they are routinely renewed at minimal cost and on broadly similar terms.

Impairment

The carrying amounts of goodwill and management rights are tested annually for impairment. For the purpose of impairment testing, goodwill and management rights are allocated to the related cash-generating units monitored by management. An impairment loss is recognised whenever the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit exceeds its recoverable amount. Recoverable amount is the greater of the fair value (net of disposal costs) and the value in use but given that goodwill and management rights are not frequently traded (i.e. fair value is difficult to ascertain), the recoverable amount will be equal to the value in use of the cash-generating unit. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the cash-generating unit.

Impairment losses are recognised in the income statement. Impairment losses recognised in respect of cash-generating units are allocated first to reduce the goodwill allocated to the cash-generating unit, then to the carrying amount of the management rights allocated to the cash-generating unit and then to reduce the carrying amount of the other assets in the cash-generating unit on a pro rata basis.

An impairment loss in respect of goodwill is not reversed. An impairment loss for management rights is reversed only to the extent that its carrying amount does not exceed its original cost.

A summary of Goodman's goodwill and intangible assets is set out by below:

	Goodman	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Goodwill	685.6	715.2
Management rights	109.8	107.4
	795.4	822.6

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

14 Goodwill and intangible assets (continued)

The carrying value of goodwill and intangible assets is analysed by division in the table below:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Analysed:		
Goodwill		
Continental Europe	577.0	601.4
United Kingdom	86.6	90.5
Other	22.0	23.3
Subtotal – goodwill	685.6	715.2
Management rights		
Continental Europe	32.7	34.1
Other	77.1	73.3
Subtotal – management rights	109.8	107.4
Total	795.4	822.6

A reconciliation of the movement in the cost of goodwill and management rights during the year is set out below:

	Balance at 30 June 2020 \$M	Effect of foreign currency translation \$M	Balance at 30 June 2021 \$M	Disposals \$M	Effect of foreign currency translation \$M	Balance at 30 June 2022 \$M
Cost						
Goodwill						
Continental Europe	628.6	(19.6)	609.0	–	(24.7)	584.3
United Kingdom	127.9	3.1	131.0	–	(5.6)	125.4
Other	33.7	(2.8)	30.9	–	(1.6)	29.3
Subtotal – goodwill	790.2	(19.3)	770.9	–	(31.9)	739.0
Management rights						
Continental Europe	35.3	(1.2)	34.1	–	(1.4)	32.7
Other	87.2	(2.5)	84.7	(10.9)	3.3	77.1
Subtotal – management rights	122.5	(3.7)	118.8	(10.9)	1.9	109.8
Total	912.7	(23.0)	889.7	(10.9)	(30.0)	848.8

A reconciliation of the movement in the impairment losses during the year is set out below:

	Balance at 30 June 2020 \$M	Effect of foreign currency translation \$M	Balance at 30 June 2021 \$M	Disposals \$M	Effect of foreign currency translation \$M	Balance at 30 June 2022 \$M
Impairment losses						
Goodwill						
Continental Europe	7.8	(0.2)	7.6	–	(0.3)	7.3
United Kingdom	39.5	1.0	40.5	–	(1.7)	38.8
Other	7.8	(0.2)	7.6	–	(0.3)	7.3
Subtotal – goodwill	55.1	0.6	55.7	–	(2.3)	53.4
Management rights						
Other	11.8	(0.4)	11.4	(10.9)	(0.5)	–
Subtotal – management rights	11.8	(0.4)	11.4	(10.9)	(0.5)	–
Total	66.9	0.2	67.1	(10.9)	(2.8)	53.4

Impairments and reversals of impairments

There were no impairment losses or reversals of impairment losses during either the current or prior financial year.

Impairment testing for intangible assets

The carrying values of both goodwill and indefinite life management rights are assessed for impairment annually. For the purpose of impairment testing, goodwill and indefinite life management rights are allocated to the Goodman divisions that represent the lowest level within Goodman at which the goodwill and indefinite life management rights are monitored for internal management purposes. Where goodwill and management rights arise in the same division, impairment testing has been performed on the combined intangible asset.

The impairment tests for all intangible assets are based on each division's value in use. Value in use is determined by discounting the future projected cash flows generated from continuing operations. These cash flows are for a five-year period, with a year five terminal value calculated using a terminal growth rate and an appropriate discount rate for each division.

The key drivers of value in respect of the intangible assets are:

- + Development cash flows, which are impacted by development volumes and margins and whether the developments are undertaken directly by Goodman or directly by Partnerships or in joint venture with Partnerships
- + Management cash flows, which are driven by the level of AUM and net property income in Partnerships and, in the case of portfolio performance fee income, the long-term performance of the Partnerships.

The estimation of future cash flows requires assumptions to be made regarding uncertain future events. The cash flows do not assume a downturn in earnings that might arise in the event of a significant adverse change in market conditions for the Group. The cash flows also assume that Goodman's management contracts with Partnerships have an indefinite life. This is on the basis that in the past these contracts have been typically renewed at minimal cost and on broadly similar financial terms.

When assessing a potential impairment, the value in use is compared against the sum of the intangible asset balance and the plant and equipment balance for each division.

Value in use

The value in use for both Continental Europe and the United Kingdom are consistent with the prior years. The Group's strategy remains the same with assets focused on core infill locations.

		Continental Europe	United Kingdom
Value in use (A\$M)	2022	2,444.3	191.8
	2021	2,344.9	161.1

Key assumptions

		Continental Europe	United Kingdom
Pre-tax discount rate (per annum)	2022	11.6%	11.9%
	2021	9.7%	9.6%
Average annual development (million square metres)	2022	0.60	0.12
	2021	0.60	0.16
Average annual growth in AUM	2022	7.7%	13.2%
	2021	8.0%	21.6%

All amounts were calculated in local currency and translated to Australian dollars at the closing exchange rate at the end of the financial period. Averages related to average amounts over the five-year forecast period.

Discount rates

The post-tax discount rates were determined using the capital asset pricing model, with individual assumptions referenced to market data, where available, and adjusting for specific factors associated with each division. A risk premium was included in each division's discount rate, reflecting the level of forecasting, size, country and financing risks for that division. The value in use was determined using the after-tax cash flows and the post-tax discount rates, with the discount rates then converted to the equivalent pre-tax rates.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

14 Goodwill and intangible assets (continued)

Developments

Demand for modern, well-located industrial product in both Continental Europe and the United Kingdom remains strong. Earnings forecasts for each division include projects which have not yet been contracted.

Continental Europe

The activities will be focused on core markets in western and southern Europe. The average annual development activity over the next five years is expected to be 0.60 million square metres and the estimated cash outflow from Goodman and Partnerships required to fund the assumed development pipeline across the forecast period is A\$1.28 billion per annum.

United Kingdom

The activity will continue to be focused on the core markets close to London and along the M1 corridor. In the short term, developments will include a number of sites that have already been acquired. The division's development activity over the next five years is forecast to be 0.12 million square metres per annum, on average, which will be undertaken by GUKP, with Goodman earning development management fee income. The estimated cash outflow from Goodman and GUKP required to finance the assumed development pipeline across the forecast period is A\$0.51 billion per annum.

Sources of funding for development activity

Capital inflows required to fund acquisitions and development activity in both divisions are assumed to arise from the following sources: equity investment directly into Partnerships (including distribution reinvestment plans) by Goodman and its investment partners (in some cases, the projections assume future equity investment will be greater than existing commitments); lending facilities advanced to Partnerships; debt capital markets; customer-funded turnkey developments; and proceeds from disposals of assets. It is not practicable to determine the percentage of the total which will flow from each source.

Funds available to Goodman and its investment partners are assumed to be sourced from available global markets and are not limited to lending markets in the regions to which the relevant intangible asset relates.

AUM

For Continental Europe, the average annual increase in AUM of 7.7% (2021: 8.0%) over the forecast period is consistent with the prior year assumption. The projected AUM assumes that most of the development over the forecast period is for Partnerships. For the purpose of the value in use assessments, property values are expected to be stable over the period and no portfolio performance revenue is assumed.

For United Kingdom, the average annual increase in AUM of 13.2% (2021:21.6%) is lower than the prior year forecast due to the stabilisation of developments during FY22 i.e. a higher starting AUM position. The UK Partnerships are developing several sites that underpin the projected growth over the five-year period. For the purpose of the forecasts, property values are expected to be stable over the period.

Assumptions impacting the terminal year

		Continental Europe	United Kingdom
Growth rate applied to future cash flows (per annum)	2022	2.3%	2.8%
	2021	0.4%	1.5%
Development in terminal year (million square metres)	2022	0.60	0.14
	2021	0.60	0.19
Development in terminal year (cost in A\$B)	2022	1.34	0.55
	2021	0.92	0.36

Long-term growth rates have been used to extrapolate cash flow projections beyond the period covered by the five-year forecast. For both Continental Europe and United Kingdom, the growth rate was based on the consumer price indices.

The forecast cost of developments in year five represents the estimated total funding requirements for both directly held developments and developments within Partnerships. The cost of developments in Australian dollars has remained relatively stable.

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The notes in this section focus on Goodman's and GIT's financing activities, capital structure and management of the financial risks involved.

15 Net finance (expense)/income

Interest income and expense are recognised using the effective interest rate method.

Finance costs relating to a qualifying asset are capitalised as part of the cost of that asset using a weighted average cost of debt. Qualifying assets are assets which take a substantial time to get ready for their intended use or sale. All other finance costs are expensed using the effective interest rate method.

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Finance income				
Interest income from:				
– Related parties	6.5	8.1	72.3	69.1
– Other parties	1.8	2.3	0.5	1.4
Fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments	–	83.9	–	104.0
Foreign exchange gains	–	–	–	3.4
	8.3	94.3	72.8	177.9
Finance expense				
Interest expense from third party loans, overdrafts and derivatives	(42.4)	(18.3)	(38.2)	(25.9)
Interest expense from related party loans	–	–	(9.7)	(11.7)
Other borrowing costs	(9.5)	(7.4)	(5.3)	(4.8)
Fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments	(189.7)	–	(181.5)	–
Foreign exchange losses	(0.3)	(0.4)	(69.7)	–
Capitalised borrowing costs ¹	10.8	6.7	–	–
	(231.1)	(19.4)	(304.4)	(42.4)
Net finance (expense)/income	(222.8)	74.9	(231.6)	135.5

1. Borrowing costs were capitalised to inventories and investment properties under development during the year at rates between 0.95% and 4.85% per annum (2021: 0.92% and 4.0% per annum).

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

16 Interest bearing liabilities

Interest bearing liabilities comprise bank loans, notes issued in the capital markets and private placements. Interest bearing liabilities are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, interest bearing liabilities are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method.

Goodman				GIT	
	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current					
Unsecured:					
– Foreign private placement	16(d)	133.3	–	133.3	–
		133.3	–	133.3	–
Non-current					
Secured:					
– Bank loans	16(a)	9.0	–	–	–
Unsecured:					
– Bank loans	16(a)	–	–	–	–
– USD denominated notes	16(b)	1,953.1	1,133.8	1,953.1	1,133.8
– EUR denominated notes	16(c)	758.3	790.3	758.3	790.3
– Foreign private placement	16(d)	–	150.1	–	150.1
Borrowing costs		(21.5)	(13.9)	(19.3)	(11.4)
		2,698.9	2,060.3	2,692.1	2,062.8

(a) Bank loans

Secured

As at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT had the following secured bank facilities:

Facility maturity date	Goodman		GIT	
	Facility limit \$M	Amounts drawn \$M	Facility limit \$M	Amounts drawn \$M
13 May 2026 ¹	65.0	–	65.0	–
13 May 2026 ¹	65.0	–	65.0	–
13 May 2027 ¹	130.0	–	130.0	–
18 March 2034	28.2	9.0	–	–
Total at 30 June 2022	288.2	9.0	260.0	–
Total at 30 June 2021	–	–	–	–

1. These facilities, amounting to \$260.0 million, are held by a controlled entity that is part of a disposal group at 30 June 2022. The facilities are secured against investment properties that also form part of the disposal group. Refer to note 9.

Unsecured

As at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT had the following unsecured bank facilities:

Facility maturity date	Goodman		GIT	
	Facility limit \$M	Amounts drawn \$M	Facility limit \$M	Amounts drawn \$M
31 December 2024	100.0	–	100.0	–
31 March 2025	70.0	–	70.0	–
31 March 2025	30.0	–	30.0	–
31 March 2026	85.2	–	–	–
31 March 2026	160.0	–	–	–
1 July 2026	100.0	–	100.0	–
31 July 2026	144.7	–	144.7	–
30 September 2026	160.0	–	–	–
30 September 2026	54.3	–	54.3	–
30 September 2026	37.5	–	37.5	–
21 October 2026	150.0	–	150.0	–
22 October 2026	150.0	–	150.0	–
31 December 2026	113.7	–	113.7	–
30 June 2027	70.0	–	70.0	–
30 June 2027	30.0	–	30.0	–
Total at 30 June 2022	1,455.4	–	1,050.2	–
Total at 30 June 2021	1,045.7	–	589.4	–

The majority of the unsecured bank loans are multi-currency facilities.

(b) USD denominated notes

As at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT had notes on issue in the US144A/Regulation S bond market as follows:

Maturity date	Carrying amount		Coupon (fixed) per annum
	A\$M	US\$M	
15 Mar 2028	759.5	525.0	3.70%
04 May 2032	723.4	500.0	4.63%
15 October 2037	470.2	325.0	4.50%
Carrying amount at 30 June 2022	1,953.1	1,350.0	
Carrying amount at 30 June 2021	1,133.8	850.0	

(c) EUR denominated notes

As at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT had A\$758.3 million (2021: A\$790.3 million) (€500.0 million) Reg S EUR denominated senior notes on issue. The notes have a fixed coupon of 1.375% per annum and mature on 27 September 2025.

(d) Foreign private placement

As at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT had A\$133.3 million (2021: A\$150.1 million) (¥12.5 billion) in a foreign private placement denominated in Japanese yen. The facility has a fixed coupon of 3.32% per annum payable semi-annually and expires on 3 April 2023.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

16 Interest bearing liabilities (continued)

(e) Finance facilities

	Goodman		GIT	
	Facilities available ¹ \$M	Facilities utilised \$M	Facilities available ¹ \$M	Facilities utilised \$M
30 June 2022				
Secured:				
– Bank loans ¹	288.2	9.0	260.0	–
Unsecured:				
– Bank loans	1,455.4	–	1,050.2	–
– USD denominated notes	1,953.1	1,953.1	1,953.1	1,953.1
– EUR denominated notes	758.3	758.3	758.3	758.3
– Foreign private placement	133.3	133.3	133.3	133.3
– Bank guarantees ²	–	7.3	–	7.3
	4,588.3	2,861.0	4,154.9	2,852.0
30 June 2021				
Unsecured:				
– Bank loans	1,045.7	–	589.4	–
– USD denominated notes	1,133.8	1,133.8	1,133.8	1,133.8
– EUR denominated notes	790.3	790.3	790.3	790.3
– Foreign private placement	150.1	150.1	150.1	150.1
– Bank guarantees ²	–	32.7	–	32.7
	3,119.9	2,106.9	2,663.6	2,106.9

1. Facilities available under secured bank loans include \$260.0 million of unutilised loans held by a controlled entity that is part of a disposal group at 30 June 2022. Refer to note 9.

2. Bank guarantees are drawn from facilities available under unsecured bank loans. The guarantees are not reflected as a liability in the statements of financial position.

17 Other financial assets and liabilities

Other financial assets and liabilities primarily comprise derivative financial instruments that are recognised initially on the trade date at which Goodman and GIT become a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

Derivative financial instruments and hedging

Goodman and GIT use derivative financial instruments to hedge their economic exposure to foreign exchange and interest rate risks arising from operating, investing and financing activities. In accordance with the Group's financial risk management (FRM) policy, Goodman and GIT do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes.

Goodman and GIT's derivative financial instruments are not designated as a hedge for accounting purposes, and accordingly movements in the fair value of derivative financial instruments are recognised in the income statement.

Cash flow hedges

Certain of Goodman and GIT's associates and JVs continue to designate derivative financial instruments as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes. Goodman's and GIT's share of the effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments in associates and JVs that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges is recognised in the cash flow hedge reserve. The gain or loss relating to any ineffective portion is recognised in the income statement.

Other financial assets

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current				
Derivative financial instruments ¹	1.6	16.5	1.6	16.5
	1.6	16.5	1.6	16.5
Non-current				
Derivative financial instruments ¹	482.8	354.5	341.3	292.4
Investment in unlisted securities, at fair value	13.6	8.3	31.8	22.0
	496.4	362.8	373.1	314.4

1. Includes fair values of derivative financial instruments equating to \$133.3 million (2021: \$134.1 million) that hedge Goodman's net investments in Continental Europe and the United Kingdom.

Other financial liabilities

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current				
Derivative financial instruments ¹	71.2	1.9	25.9	1.9
	71.2	1.9	25.9	1.9
Non-current				
Derivative financial instruments ¹	447.7	211.5	325.3	124.6
	447.7	211.5	325.3	124.6

1. Includes fair values of derivative financial instruments equating to \$79.6 million (2021: \$62.3 million) that hedge Goodman's net investments in Continental Europe and the United Kingdom.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

18 Financial risk management

The Directors have ultimate responsibility for Goodman's FRM processes and have established policies, documented in the FRM policy, to manage Goodman's exposure to financial risks and to utilise capital in an efficient manner.

Goodman's treasury function is responsible for monitoring the day to day compliance with the Group's FRM policy and prepares reports for consideration by management committees and the Board including:

- + Cash flow projections over a period of at least 12 months to assess the level of cash and undrawn facilities, and headline gearing at each month end
- + Debt maturity profile, to allow the Group to plan well in advance of maturing facilities
- + Interest rate hedge profile over the next 10 years, to allow the Group to manage the proportion of fixed and floating rate debt in accordance with its FRM policy
- + Capital hedge position (by currency) and profile of expiring currency derivatives, to allow the Group to manage its net investment hedging in accordance with its FRM policy.

Any significant investments or material changes to the finance facilities or FRM policy require approval by the Board.

Capital management

Goodman's principal capital management objectives are to maintain a strong capital base and provide funds for operating activities (including development expenditure), capital expenditure and investment opportunities as they arise. This is achieved through an appropriate mix of debt and equity.

Goodman is able to alter the capital mix by issuing new Goodman debt and equity securities or hybrid securities, by reinstating the distribution reinvestment plan, by adjusting the timing of development and capital expenditure and by selling assets to reduce borrowings. Goodman also manages capital through its distribution policy in which distributions made to Securityholders are based on the Group's operating profit, subject to a minimum distribution equal to the taxable income of the Trust.

Goodman's key financial risks are market risk (including foreign exchange and interest rate risk), liquidity risk and credit risk.

(a) Market risk

Foreign exchange risk

Goodman is exposed to foreign exchange risk through its investments in New Zealand, Hong Kong, China, Japan, Continental Europe, the United Kingdom, North America and Brazil. Foreign exchange risk represents the gain or loss that would be recognised from fluctuations in currency prices against the Australian dollar as a result of Goodman's net investment in foreign operations, future commercial transactions, and other foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities.

In managing foreign exchange risks, Goodman aims to reduce the impact of short-term fluctuations on Goodman's earnings and net assets. However, over the long term, permanent changes in foreign exchange rates will have an impact on both earnings and net assets.

Goodman's capital hedge policy for each overseas region is to hedge between 65% and 90% of foreign currency denominated assets with foreign currency denominated liabilities. This is achieved by borrowing in the same currency as the overseas investments to form a natural economic hedge against any foreign currency fluctuations and/or using derivatives such as cross currency interest rate swaps (CCIRS) and foreign exchange contracts (FEC).

The Group's hedge position is monitored on an ongoing basis and the Group will enter into new derivatives (including forward start contracts) and close out or enter into contra derivative contracts to manage the capital hedge position.

As at 30 June 2022, the principal that was used to hedge its exposures using derivatives and the weighted average exchange rates, by currency, are set out below:

Goodman

	2022			2021		
	Amounts payable	Amounts receivable	Weighted average exchange rate	Amounts payable	Amounts receivable	Weighted average exchange rate
	NZD'M	AUD'M	AUD/NZD	NZD'M	AUD'M	AUD/NZD
AUD receivable/NZD payable	(750.0)	696.4	1.0775	(600.0)	557.3	1.0771
	HKD'M	AUD'M	AUD/HKD	HKD'M	AUD'M	AUD/HKD
AUD receivable/HKD payable	(8,340.0)	1,466.9	5.6976	(7,490.0)	1,301.8	5.7659
	EUR'M	AUD'M	AUD/EUR	EUR'M	AUD'M	AUD/EUR
AUD receivable/EUR payable	(825.0)	1,314.0	0.6283	(675.0)	1,086.7	0.6214
	GBP'M	AUD'M	AUD/GBP	GBP'M	AUD'M	AUD/GBP
AUD receivable/GBP payable	(380.0)	703.4	0.5403	(330.0)	587.6	0.5635
	USD'M	AUD'M	AUD/USD	USD'M	AUD'M	AUD/USD
AUD receivable/USD payable	(1,050.0)	1,455.5	0.7221	(650.0)	894.7	0.7276
	JPY'M	AUD'M	AUD/JPY	JPY'M	AUD'M	AUD/JPY
AUD receivable/JPY payable	(23,000.0)	297.2	77.5413	(23,000.0)	297.2	77.5413
	CNY'M	USD'M	USD/CNY	CNY'M	USD'M	USD/CNY
USD receivable/CNY payable	(4,258.6)	539.6	7.8927	(4,545.2)	600.0	7.5753

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

18 Financial risk management (continued)

GIT

	2022			2021		
	Amounts payable	Amounts receivable	Weighted average exchange rate	Amounts payable	Amounts receivable	Weighted average exchange rate
	NZD'M	AUD'M	AUD/NZD	NZD'M	AUD'M	AUD/NZD
AUD receivable/NZD payable	(450.0)	416.3	1.0814	(600.0)	557.3	1.0771
	HKD'M	AUD'M	AUD/HKD	HKD'M	AUD'M	AUD/HKD
AUD receivable/HKD payable	(7,190.0)	1,264.6	5.6981	(6,990.0)	1,217.8	5.7523
	EUR'M	AUD'M	AUD/EUR	EUR'M	AUD'M	AUD/EUR
AUD receivable/EUR payable	(50.0)	75.7	0.6605	–	–	–
	GBP'M	AUD'M	AUD/GBP	GBP'M	AUD'M	AUD/GBP
AUD receivable/GBP payable	(125.0)	228.9	0.5460	(330.0)	587.6	0.5635
	USD'M	AUD'M	AUD/USD	USD'M	AUD'M	AUD/USD
AUD receivable/USD payable	(600.0)	820.9	0.7318	(200.0)	260.2	0.7688
	JPY'M	AUD'M	AUD/JPY	JPY'M	AUD'M	AUD/JPY
AUD receivable/JPY payable	(17,000.0)	225.3	75.4506	(17,000.0)	225.3	75.4506

In addition to the derivatives detailed in the table above, GIT also has a FEC with a controlled entity of GL to hedge that entity's USD exposure. On maturity of the contract, GIT will receive USD 81.8 million from GL (2021: USD 257.3 million) and pay GBP 53.8 million to GL (2021: GBP 183.9 million).

Sensitivity analysis

Throughout the financial year, if the Australian dollar had been 5% stronger against all other currencies, with all other variables held constant, the profit attributable to Securityholders, excluding derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements, would have decreased by A\$107.9 million (2021: A\$72.9 million decrease) for Goodman and A\$48.1 million (2021: A\$28.6 million) for GIT. If the Australian dollar had been 5% weaker against all other currencies, with all other variables held constant, the profit attributable to Securityholders, excluding derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements, would have increased by A\$107.9 million (2021: A\$72.9 million increase) for Goodman and A\$48.1 million (2021: A\$28.6 million) for GIT.

Interest rate risk

Goodman's interest rate risk arises from variable rate borrowings and the Group's CCIRS that hedge the overseas investments. Goodman adopts a policy of hedging such that between 60% and 100% of its current year exposure to changes in interest rates on borrowings is on a fixed rate basis. Goodman enters into interest rate derivatives (IRD), comprising both interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, to manage cash flow risks associated with the interest rates on borrowings that are floating. The IRD contracts are for 90-day intervals and involve quarterly payments or receipts of the net amount of interest.

As at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT's fixed and floating interest rate exposure (by principal) based on existing interest bearing liabilities and derivative financial instruments is set out below:

Goodman

	Interest bearing liabilities A\$M	Impact of derivatives CCIRS A\$M	IRD A\$M	Net interest rate exposure A\$M
30 June 2022				
Fixed rate liabilities	2,844.7	–	747.1	3,591.8
Floating rate liabilities	9.0	(75.6)	(747.1)	(813.7)
	2,853.7	(75.6)	–	2,778.1
30 June 2021				
Fixed rate liabilities	2,074.2	–	(101.4)	1,972.8
Floating rate liabilities	–	(123.6)	101.4	(22.2)
	2,074.2	(123.6)	–	1,950.6

GIT

	Interest bearing liabilities A\$M	Impact of derivatives CCIRS A\$M	IRD A\$M	Net interest rate exposure A\$M
	A\$M	A\$M	A\$M	A\$M
30 June 2022				
Fixed rate liabilities	2,844.7	–	(539.8)	2,304.9
Floating rate liabilities	–	3.0	539.8	542.8
	2,844.7	3.0	–	2,847.7
30 June 2021				
Fixed rate liabilities	2,074.2	–	(575.6)	1,498.6
Floating rate liabilities	–	(71.6)	575.6	504.0
	2,074.2	(71.6)	–	2,002.6

As a result of the fixed rate interest bearing liabilities and derivative financial instruments that existed at 30 June 2022, Goodman and GIT would have the following fixed interest rate exposure (by principal) at the end of each of the next five financial years. This assumes all interest bearing liabilities and derivative financial instruments mature in accordance with current contractual terms.

Goodman

	2022		2021	
	Fixed interest rate (by principal) A\$M	Weighted average interest rate % per annum	Fixed interest rate (by principal) A\$M	Weighted average interest rate % per annum
Number of years post balance date				
1 year	3,716.6	2.12	1,951.0	2.15
2 years	3,826.1	2.06	2,075.2	2.12
3 years	3,107.3	2.51	2,176.4	1.97
4 years	2,160.9	3.22	1,900.8	2.29
5 years	1,368.3	4.43	1,065.2	3.36

GIT

	2022		2021	
	Fixed interest rate (by principal) A\$M	Weighted average interest rate % per annum	Fixed interest rate (by principal) A\$M	Weighted average interest rate % per annum
Number of years post balance date				
1 year	2,502.1	3.01	1,476.9	2.99
2 years	2,674.5	2.80	1,601.0	2.89
3 years	2,651.1	2.84	1,767.9	2.54
4 years	1,836.2	3.70	1,742.8	2.53
5 years	1,111.4	5.33	907.1	4.00

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

18 Financial risk management (continued)

Sensitivity analysis

Throughout the financial year, if interest rates on borrowings (based on the interest bearing liabilities and derivative financial instruments in place at the end of the year) had been 100 basis points per annum higher/lower, with all other variables held constant, the profit attributable to Securityholders would have increased/decreased by A\$8.1 million (2021: increased/decreased by A\$0.2 million) for Goodman and decreased/increased by A\$5.4 million (2021: decreased/increased by A\$5.0 million) for GIT.

Managing interest rate benchmark reform and associated risks

A fundamental reform of major interest rate benchmarks is being undertaken globally, including the replacement of some interbank offered rates (IBORs) with alternative risk-free rates (referred to as IBOR reform). The Group has exposure to IBORs through certain of its bank loans (interest bearing liabilities) and its derivative instruments (IRD and CCIRS). Most of the Group's external interest bearing liabilities are bonds with fixed coupons and are not exposed to IBORs. The Group's derivative instruments are governed by contracts based on the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) master agreements.

The United Kingdom, Japan and the United States had announced plans to discontinue using London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) by 31 December 2021. The alternative reference rate for sterling LIBOR is the Sterling Overnight Index Average rate, for Japanese yen LIBOR is the Tokyo Overnight Average Rate and for US dollar LIBOR is the Secured Overnight Financing Rate. Amendments to the Group's financial instruments with contractual terms indexed to sterling LIBOR or Japanese yen LIBOR, such that they incorporate the new benchmark rates, were completed by 31 December 2021. Although US dollar LIBOR was planned to be discontinued by the end of 2021, in November 2020 the Intercontinental Exchange Benchmark Administration, the Financial Conduct Authority-regulated and authorised administrator of LIBOR, announced that it had started to consult on its intention to cease the publication of certain US dollar LIBORs after June 2023. It is still unclear when the announcement that will set a date for the termination of the publication of US dollar LIBOR will take place. Nevertheless, the Group has finished the process of implementing appropriate fallback provisions for all US dollar LIBOR indexed exposures.

For Goodman's other IBOR exposures, the transition to alternative risk-free rates has been deferred and/or extended and therefore no action has been or will be taken in that regard until such time as the alternative reference rates are defined and scheduled. It is expected that these will follow the conventions established in other markets and the Group will apply the same principles for those transitions as and when they become relevant.

The table below details the Group's exposure at 30 June 2022 to significant IBORs subject to reform that have yet to transition to alternate benchmark rates:

	USD LIBOR Notional amount	
	Goodman \$M	GIT \$M
IRD	900.0	500.0
CCIRS	2,330.0	1,430.0
	3,230.0	1,930.0

The exposure disclosed is for derivatives with contractual maturities after 30 June 2022. Derivative exposure has been reported using the notional contract amount and where derivatives such as CCIRS have both a receiver and a payer leg with exposure to IBOR reform, the notional contract amount is disclosed for both legs.

(b) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that Goodman will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. Goodman's objective is to maintain sufficient liquidity to fund short-term working capital, capital expenditure, investment opportunities, debt expiries and distributions. This is achieved through the monthly preparation of a three-year cash flow forecast to understand the uses of funds and to identify potential shortfalls in funding or potential breaches of financial covenants in its loan arrangements. This allows Goodman to plan for renewal of debt facilities, negotiation of new debt facilities, new issues of securities, including the distribution reinvestment plan, and other potential sources of funding.

Goodman's treasury function is responsible for reporting details of all debt maturities to the Board at its regular meetings.

Goodman seeks to spread its debt maturities such that the total debt repayable in a single financial year does not exceed Board approved policy levels.

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities are set out below:

	Carrying amount	Contractual cash flows	Less than 1 year	1-2 year(s)	2-3 years	3-4 years	4-5 years	More than 5 years
Goodman	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
As at 30 June 2022								
Non-derivative financial liabilities								
Payables (excluding contract liabilities)	712.8	712.8	601.8	58.2	26.4	17.6	8.8	–
Lease liabilities	70.6	121.6	12.5	14.2	76.5	4.1	3.9	10.4
Bank loans, secured ¹	9.0	9.0	–	–	–	–	–	9.0
USD denominated notes, unsecured	1,953.1	2,822.9	139.2	82.7	82.7	82.7	82.7	2,352.9
EUR denominated notes, unsecured	758.3	799.9	18.3	10.4	10.4	760.8	–	–
Foreign private placement, unsecured	133.3	137.7	137.7	–	–	–	–	–
Total non-derivative financial liabilities	3,637.1	4,603.9	909.5	165.5	196.0	865.2	95.4	2,372.3
Derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net								
Net settled ²	33.8	35.3	14.0	5.7	22.2	8.2	3.5	(18.3)
Gross settled ³ :								
(Inflow)	0.7	(1,565.0)	(249.5)	(411.0)	(257.1)	(310.8)	(171.2)	(165.5)
Outflow	–	1,113.4	218.5	329.5	169.6	189.7	156.4	49.7
Total derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net	34.5	(416.3)	(17.0)	(75.8)	(65.3)	(112.9)	(11.3)	(134.1)
As at 30 June 2021								
Non-derivative financial liabilities								
Payables (excluding contract liabilities)	685.4	685.4	560.9	61.9	31.3	20.9	10.4	–
Lease liabilities	94.0	179.2	11.9	7.9	6.3	6.8	6.3	140.0
USD denominated notes, unsecured	1,133.8	1,625.3	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	1,398.3
EUR denominated notes, unsecured	790.3	836.5	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9	792.9	–
Foreign private placement, unsecured	150.1	158.9	5.0	153.9	–	–	–	–
Total non-derivative financial liabilities	2,853.6	3,485.3	634.1	280.0	93.9	84.0	855.0	1,538.3
Derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net								
Net settled ²	(18.9)	(17.8)	(36.8)	0.8	10.2	16.9	(1.2)	(7.7)
Gross settled ³ :								
(Inflow)	(138.7)	(570.0)	(82.9)	(78.0)	(176.1)	(77.1)	(75.7)	(80.2)
Outflow	–	371.2	57.5	87.3	72.1	29.8	62.5	62.0
Total derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net	(157.6)	(216.6)	(62.2)	10.1	(93.8)	(30.4)	(14.4)	(25.9)

1. Contractual cash flows relating to bank loans exclude any estimate of interest payments that might arise under Goodman's revolving loan facilities.

2. Net settled includes IRD and FEC.

3. Gross settled includes CCIRS.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

18 Financial risk management (continued)

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities are set out below:

GIT	Carrying amount \$M	Contractual cash flows \$M	Less than 1 year \$M	1-2 year(s) \$M	2-3 years \$M	3-4 years \$M	4-5 years \$M	More than 5 years \$M
As at 30 June 2022								
Non-derivative financial liabilities								
Payables	796.5	796.5	72.7	4.8	64.5	282.7	139.4	232.4
USD denominated notes, unsecured	1,953.1	2,822.9	139.2	82.7	82.7	82.7	82.7	2,352.9
EUR denominated notes, unsecured	758.3	800.0	18.3	10.4	10.4	760.8	–	–
Foreign private placement, unsecured	133.3	137.7	137.7	–	–	–	–	–
Total non-derivative financial liabilities	3,641.2	4,557.1	367.9	97.9	157.6	1,126.2	222.1	2,585.3
Derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net								
Net settled ¹	(22.0)	141.6	39.4	35.5	33.3	33.5	10.5	(10.5)
Gross settled ² :								
(Inflow)	30.3	(737.3)	(104.8)	(234.6)	(90.9)	(115.1)	(51.6)	(140.1)
Outflow	–	753.2	161.1	237.7	100.7	125.6	87.3	40.8
Total derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net	8.3	157.5	95.7	38.6	43.1	44.0	46.2	(109.8)
As at 30 June 2021								
Non-derivative financial liabilities								
Payables	839.8	839.8	607.6	–	95.9	9.2	123.3	3.8
USD denominated notes, unsecured	1,133.8	1,625.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	45.4	1,398.3
EUR denominated notes, unsecured	790.3	836.4	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9	792.9	–
Foreign private placement, unsecured	150.1	158.9	5.0	153.9	–	–	–	–
Total non-derivative financial liabilities	2,914.0	3,460.5	668.9	210.2	152.2	65.5	961.6	1,402.1
Derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net								
Net settled ¹	(98.3)	(91.3)	(37.0)	(28.2)	(12.5)	(6.6)	(0.8)	(6.2)
Gross settled ² :								
(Inflow)	(84.1)	(446.9)	(71.4)	(60.7)	(120.0)	(54.4)	(62.7)	(77.7)
Outflow	–	303.7	56.3	84.1	67.2	28.6	36.9	30.6
Total derivative financial (assets)/liabilities – net	(182.4)	(234.5)	(52.1)	(4.8)	(65.3)	(32.4)	(26.6)	(53.3)

1. Net settled includes IRD and FEC.

2. Gross settled includes CCIRS.

(c) Credit risk

Credit risk represents the loss that would be recognised if counterparties failed to perform as contracted.

The maximum exposure to credit risk on financial assets, excluding investments, which have been recognised on the statement of financial position, is equal to the carrying amount.

Goodman has a policy of assessing the creditworthiness of all potential customers and is not materially exposed to any one customer. Goodman evaluates all customers' perceived credit risk and may require the lodgement of rental bonds or bank guarantees, as appropriate, to reduce credit risk. In addition, all rents are payable monthly in advance. Bank guarantees are accepted from financial institutions which have an investment grade credit rating from a major rating agency.

Concentration of credit risk may exist due to receivables in respect of the disposals of investment properties. The credit risk is minimised as legal title to the properties is only transferred upon receipt of proceeds and typically Goodman will have either received a cash deposit or be the beneficiary of a bank guarantee for 10% to 20% of the total proceeds.

In relation to material bank deposits, Goodman minimises credit risk by dealing with major financial institutions. The counterparty must have a long-term investment grade credit rating from a major rating agency. The amounts and other terms associated with bank deposits are formally reviewed monthly.

The credit risks associated with derivative financial instruments are managed by:

- + Transacting with multiple derivatives counterparties that have a long-term investment grade credit rating
- + Utilising ISDA agreements with derivative counterparties in order to limit exposure to credit risk through netting of amounts receivable and amounts payable to individual counterparties (refer below)
- + Formally reviewing the mark to market position of derivative financial instruments by counterparty on a monthly basis.

Master netting off or similar agreements

Goodman enters into derivative transactions under ISDA master netting off agreements. Under these agreements, where certain credit events occur (such as a default), all outstanding transactions under the agreement are terminated and a single net termination value is payable in full and final settlement.

As Goodman does not have any current legally enforceable right to offset, the fair values associated with derivative financial instruments have been presented gross in the statement of financial position. However, if a credit event occurred, the ISDA master netting off agreement would allow A\$361.3 million (2021: A\$175.2 million) and A\$256.1 million (2021: A\$112.9 million) of financial assets and financial liabilities in relation to Goodman's and GIT's respective derivative financial instruments to be offset.

(d) Fair values of financial instruments

The carrying amounts shown in the statement of financial position and fair values of financial assets and liabilities are as follows:

	Goodman				GIT			
	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value
	2022	2022	2021	2021	2022	2022	2021	2021
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Financial assets								
Cash and cash equivalents	1,056.0	1,056.0	920.4	920.4	473.6	473.6	379.8	379.8
Receivables	391.2	391.2	608.8	608.8	3,268.4	3,268.4	3,344.6	3,344.6
Other financial assets:								
– IRD	210.5	210.5	114.3	114.3	129.1	129.1	111.9	111.9
– COIRS	271.6	271.6	256.7	256.7	194.8	194.8	194.7	194.7
– FEC	2.3	2.3	–	–	19.0	19.0	2.3	2.3
– Investments in unlisted securities	13.6	13.6	8.3	8.3	31.8	31.8	22.0	22.0
	1,945.2	1,945.2	1,908.5	1,908.5	4,116.7	4,116.7	4,055.3	4,055.3
Financial liabilities								
Payables (excluding contract liabilities)	712.8	712.8	685.4	685.4	796.5	796.5	839.8	839.8
Interest bearing liabilities ¹	2,832.2	2,670.6	2,060.3	2,236.3	2,825.4	2,528.3	2,062.8	2,236.3
Other financial liabilities:								
– IRD	126.2	126.2	15.9	15.9	126.2	126.2	15.9	15.9
– COIRS	272.3	272.3	118.0	118.0	225.0	225.0	110.6	110.6
– FEC	120.4	120.4	79.5	79.5	–	–	–	–
	4,063.9	3,902.3	2,959.1	3,135.1	3,973.1	3,676.0	3,029.1	3,202.6

1. The fair value of certain fixed rate interest bearing liabilities has been determined by reference to the quoted market prices at 30 June 2022.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

18 Financial risk management (continued)

Fair value hierarchy

The table below analyses financial instruments carried at fair value, by valuation method:

	Goodman				GIT			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
As at 30 June 2022								
Derivative financial assets	–	484.4	–	484.4	–	342.9	–	342.9
Investments in unlisted securities	–	–	13.6	13.6	–	–	31.8	31.8
	–	484.4	13.6	498.0	–	342.9	31.8	374.7
Derivative financial liabilities	–	518.9	–	518.9	–	351.2	–	351.2
	–	518.9	–	518.9	–	351.2	–	351.2
As at 30 June 2021								
Derivative financial assets	–	371.0	–	371.0	–	308.9	–	308.9
Investments in unlisted securities	–	–	8.3	8.3	–	–	22.0	22.0
	–	371.0	8.3	379.3	–	308.9	22.0	330.9
Derivative financial liabilities	–	213.4	–	213.4	–	126.5	–	126.5
	–	213.4	–	213.4	–	126.5	–	126.5

There were no transfers between the levels during the year.

Valuation techniques used to derive Level 2 and Level 3 fair values

The Level 2 derivative financial instruments held by Goodman and GIT consist of IRD, CCIRS and FEC.

The fair values of derivative financial instruments are determined using generally accepted pricing models which discount estimated future cash flows based on the terms and maturity of each contract and current market interest rates and/or foreign currency rates, adjusted for specific features of the instruments.

19 Dividends and distributions

Dividends and distributions are recognised when they are declared and before deduction of any withholding tax. Any non-recoverable withholding tax is included in income tax.

Goodman

FY22 dividends/distributions

	Dividends/distributions cents per security	Total amount \$M	Date of payment
GL	–	–	n/a
GIT			
– 31 December 2021	15.0	280.2	24 Feb 2022
– 30 June 2022	12.5	233.6	25 Aug 2022
GLHK	2.5	46.7	25 Aug 2022
	30.0	560.5	
Distributions on treasury securities		(0.4)	
	30.0	560.1	

FY21 dividends/distributions

	Dividends/distributions cents per security	Total amount \$M	Date of payment
GL	–	–	n/a
GIT			
– 31 December 2020	15.0	277.1	25 Feb 2021
– 30 June 2021	9.0	166.3	26 Aug 2021
GLHK	6.0	110.8	26 Aug 2021
	30.0	554.2	

GIT

In FY22, GIT's distributions were 27.5 cents per security (2021: 24.0 cents per security) amounting to \$513.8 million (2021: \$443.4 million).

Movement in provision for dividends/distributions to Securityholders

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Balance at the beginning of the year	277.1	274.3	166.3	201.1
Provisions for dividends/distributions	560.1	554.2	513.8	443.4
Dividends/distributions paid	(557.2)	(551.4)	(446.6)	(478.2)
Balance at the end of the year	280.0	277.1	233.5	166.3

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

20 Issued capital

(a) Ordinary securities

Ordinary securities are classified as equity. Incremental costs directly attributable to issues of ordinary securities are recognised as a deduction from equity, net of any tax effects.

			Goodman		GIT	
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
	Number of securities		\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Stapled securities:						
– Issued and fully paid	1,866,989,276	1,847,429,255	8,367.1	8,257.3	8,303.3	7,997.7
– Treasury securities	1,233,333	–	–	–	–	–
Derivative financial liabilities			(161.0)	(160.9)	(148.8)	(148.7)
Total issued capital	1,868,222,609	1,847,429,255	8,206.1	8,096.4	8,154.5	7,849.0

Terms and conditions

Stapled security means one share in the Company stapled to one unit in the Trust and one CDI over a share in GLHK. Holders of stapled securities are entitled to receive dividends or distributions as declared from time to time and are entitled to one vote per security at Securityholders' meetings. In the event of a winding up, Securityholders rank after creditors and are fully entitled to any net proceeds of liquidation.

Movement in ordinary securities

Date	Details	Number of securities	Goodman \$M	GIT \$M
30 Jun 2020	Balance before accumulated issue costs	1,828,413,236	8,192.2	7,772.0
31 Aug 2020	Securities issued to employees under the LTIP	15,438,241	–	183.2
4 Sep 2020	Issue of securities	3,577,778	65.1	42.5
30 Jun 2021	Balance before accumulated issue costs	1,847,429,255	8,257.3	7,997.7
31 Aug 2021	Securities issued to employees under the LTIP	14,716,648	–	216.3
31 Aug 2021	Issue of treasury securities	1,233,333	–	18.1
2 Sep 2021	Issue of securities	4,843,373	109.8	71.2
	Less: Accumulated issue costs		(161.0)	(148.8)
30 Jun 2022	Closing balance	1,868,222,609	8,206.1	8,154.5

(b) Share based payments

LTIP

The Group's share based payments primarily relate to performance rights awarded to employees under the LTIP. These performance rights entitle an employee to either acquire Goodman securities for \$nil consideration (equity settled performance rights) or, in certain jurisdictions, to receive an amount in cash equal to the value of the securities (cash settled performance rights), subject to the vesting conditions having been satisfied. Further details regarding the vesting conditions are included in the remuneration report section of the Directors' report.

During the year, the movement in the number of equity settled and cash settled performance rights under the LTIP was as follows:

	Number of rights	
	2022	2021
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	68,640,720	73,987,645
Granted	23,468,860	16,079,977
Exercised	(19,545,855)	(19,016,019)
Forfeited	(813,081)	(2,410,883)
Outstanding at the end of the year	71,750,644	68,640,720
Exercisable at the end of the year	–	–

Share based payments transactions

The fair value of equity settled performance rights at the grant date is expensed with a corresponding increase in the employee compensation reserve over the period from the grant date to the vesting dates. The expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of performance rights for which the related service and non-market vesting conditions are expected to be met. The accumulated share based payments expense of performance rights which have vested or lapsed is transferred from the employee compensation reserve to retained earnings.

The fair value of cash settled performance rights is also recognised as an expense but with a corresponding increase in liabilities over the vesting period. The expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of performance rights for which the related service and non-market vesting conditions are expected to be met. The liability is remeasured at each reporting date and at the vesting date based on the fair value of the rights.

The fair value of services received in return for performance rights granted under the LTIP is measured by reference to the fair value of the performance rights granted. The fair value of the performance rights granted during the year was measured as follows:

- + Operating EPS tranche: these rights were valued as a granted call option, using the standard Black Scholes model with a continuous dividend/distribution yield
- + Relative TSR tranche: these rights were valued using a Monte Carlo model which simulated total returns for each of the ASX 100 stocks and discounted the future value of any potential future vesting performance rights to arrive at a present value. The model uses statistical analysis to forecast total returns, based on expected parameters of variance and co-variance.

The model inputs for performance rights, both equity and cash settled, awarded during the current financial year included the following:

	10-year rights issued on 18 Nov 2021	10-year rights issued on 30 Sep 2021	5-year rights issued on 30 Sep 2021
Fair value at measurement date (\$)	20.16	17.22	17.87
Security price (\$)	24.49	21.68	21.68
Exercise price (\$)	–	–	–
Expected volatility (%)	25.45	25.36	28.54
Rights' expected weighted average life (years)	6.8	6.9	3.9
Dividend/distribution yield per annum (%)	1.23	1.38	1.38
Average risk free rate of interest per annum (%)	1.51	1.03	0.49

The model inputs for the remeasurement of the cash settled performance rights at 30 June 2022 included the following:

	10-year rights issued in FY22	5-year rights issued in FY22	5-year rights issued in FY21	5-year rights issued in FY20	5-year rights issued in FY19	5-year rights issued in FY18
Fair value at measurement date (\$)	13.61	14.12	15.02	17.49	17.64	17.79
Security price (\$)	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84
Exercise price (\$)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Expected volatility (%)	27.44	29.24	28.56	32.65	36.02	44.77
Rights' expected weighted average life (years)	6.2	3.2	2.2	1.2	0.7	0.2
Dividend/distribution yield per annum (%)	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68
Average risk free rate of interest per annum (%)	3.42	3.09	2.90	2.35	2.12	1.53

Amounts recognised as an expense are set out in note 2. At 30 June 2022, a liability of \$126.6 million (2021: \$158.0 million) was recognised in relation to cash settled performance rights.

Goodman's New Zealand Long Term Incentive Plan

Under Goodman's New Zealand Long Term Incentive Plan, employees receive approximately half of their LTI in the form of performance rights over GMT units that vest subject to meeting performance hurdles based on the achievement of distributable earnings targets by GMT and the relative total unitholder return from holding GMT units compared to other NZX property vehicles. On vesting, delivery of units in GMT is made from units held by Goodman or acquired on-market.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

OTHER ITEMS

The notes in this section set out other information that is required to be disclosed to comply with the Australian Accounting Standards, *Corporations Act 2001* or Corporations Regulations.

21 Notes to the cash flow statements

(a) Reconciliation of cash

For the purpose of the cash flow statements, cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand at the bank and short-term deposits at call. Cash at the end of the year as shown in the cash flow statements is reconciled to the related items in the statements of financial position as follows:

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Bank balances	811.3	853.7	184.2	313.1
Call deposits	244.7	66.7	289.4	66.7
	1,056.0	920.4	473.6	379.8

(b) Reconciliation of profit for the year to net cash provided by operating activities

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Profit for the year	3,414.0	2,311.9	2,067.6	1,574.8
Items classified as investing activities				
Net gain on disposal of investment properties	(73.6)	(37.7)	(69.8)	(39.3)
Net gain on disposal of equity investments	(0.2)	(5.0)	–	(3.2)
Non-cash items				
Amortisation and depreciation	17.1	23.0	–	–
Share based payments expense	257.0	266.9	–	–
Net gain from fair value adjustments on investment properties	(260.1)	(63.1)	(208.3)	(60.2)
Reversal of previous impairments	–	–	–	(17.6)
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	(2,718.2)	(1,708.9)	(2,173.0)	(1,373.8)
Net finance expense/(income)	222.8	(74.9)	231.6	(135.5)
Income tax expense	324.1	108.1	133.1	49.5
	1,182.9	820.3	(18.8)	(5.3)
Changes in assets and liabilities during the year:				
– Decrease/(increase) in receivables	93.4	(146.7)	0.7	1.7
– Increase in inventories	(646.1)	(29.9)	–	–
– (Increase)/decrease in other assets	(0.1)	(6.0)	1.4	(2.2)
– (Decrease)/increase in payables	(85.5)	6.7	(1.5)	1.8
– Decrease in provisions	(0.1)	(0.1)	–	–
	544.5	644.3	(18.2)	(4.0)
Distributions/dividends received from Partnerships	441.9	536.9	238.9	372.6
Net finance costs paid	(34.9)	(25.1)	(23.6)	(29.5)
Net income taxes (paid)/received	(110.5)	(41.4)	(1.1)	0.5
Net cash provided by operating activities	841.0	1,114.7	196.0	339.6

(c) Non-cash transactions

During the current and prior financial years, other than disclosed elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements, there were no significant non-cash transactions.

(d) Reconciliation of liabilities arising from financing activities

	Interest bearing liabilities \$M	Derivative financial instruments \$M	Provision for distributions \$M	Lease liabilities \$M	Total \$M
Goodman					
Balance at 30 June 2020	2,938.5	(83.7)	274.3	46.8	3,175.9
Changes from financing cash flows					
Proceeds from borrowings and derivative financial instruments	200.0	4.6	–	–	204.6
Payments on borrowings and derivative financial instruments	(891.9)	–	–	–	(891.9)
Payment of lease liabilities	–	–	–	(17.8)	(17.8)
Distributions paid	–	–	(551.4)	–	(551.4)
Total changes from financing cash flows	(691.9)	4.6	(551.4)	(17.8)	(1,256.5)
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates	(195.8)	5.4	–	–	(190.4)
Changes in fair value	(25.7)	(83.9)	–	–	(109.6)
Other changes					
New leases	–	–	–	64.2	64.2
Other borrowing costs	0.6	–	–	–	0.6
Interest expense on lease liabilities	–	–	–	0.8	0.8
Debt modification costs	34.6	–	–	–	34.6
Distributions declared	–	–	554.2	–	554.2
Total other changes	35.2	–	554.2	65.0	654.4
Balance at 30 June 2021	2,060.3	(157.6)	277.1	94.0	2,273.8
Proceeds from borrowings and derivative financial instruments	1,466.5	–	–	–	1,466.5
Payments on borrowings and derivative financial instruments	(779.2)	(10.1)	–	–	(789.3)
Payment of lease liabilities	–	–	–	(13.4)	(13.4)
Distributions paid	–	–	(557.2)	–	(557.2)
Total changes from financing cash flows	687.3	(10.1)	(557.2)	(13.4)	106.6
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates	83.2	12.5	–	(1.6)	94.1
Changes in fair value	(2.2)	189.7	–	–	187.5
Other changes					
New leases	–	–	–	15.6	15.6
Other borrowing costs	3.6	–	–	–	3.6
Interest expense on lease liabilities	–	–	–	1.0	1.0
Disposal of right of use assets	–	–	–	(25.0)	(25.0)
Distributions declared	–	–	560.1	–	560.1
Total other changes	3.6	–	560.1	(8.4)	555.3
Balance at 30 June 2022	2,832.2	34.5	280.0	70.6	3,217.3

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

21 Notes to the cash flow statements (continued)

GIT	Interest bearing liabilities \$M	Derivatives used for hedging \$M	Provision for distributions \$M	Loans with related parties, net \$M	Total \$M
Balance at 30 June 2020	2,939.5	(130.7)	201.1	(2,319.2)	690.7
Changes from financing cash flows					
Net cash flows from loans to related parties	–	–	–	25.1	25.1
Proceeds from borrowings and derivative financial instruments	200.0	46.8	–	–	246.8
Payments on borrowings and derivative financial instruments	(891.9)	–	–	–	(891.9)
Distributions paid	–	–	(478.2)	–	(478.2)
Total changes from financing cash flows	(691.9)	46.8	(478.2)	25.1	(1,098.2)
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates	(195.4)	5.5	–	(4.0)	(193.9)
Changes in fair value	(25.8)	(104.0)	–	(0.2)	(130.0)
Other changes					
Issue of units under the LTIP	–	–	–	(183.2)	(183.2)
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	–	(13.7)	(13.7)
Interest income	–	–	–	(69.1)	(69.1)
Interest expense	–	–	–	11.7	11.7
Interest paid	–	–	–	(6.9)	(6.9)
Other borrowing costs	2.1	–	–	–	2.1
Debt modification costs	34.3	–	–	–	34.3
Distributions declared	–	–	443.4	–	443.4
Total other changes	36.4	–	443.4	(261.2)	218.6
Balance at 30 June 2021	2,062.8	(182.4)	166.3	(2,559.5)	(512.8)
Changes from financing cash flows					
Net cash flows from loans to related parties	–	17.4	–	262.2	279.6
Proceeds from borrowings and derivative financial instruments	1,456.4	–	–	–	1,456.4
Payments on borrowings and derivative financial instruments	(777.3)	(10.1)	–	–	(787.4)
Distributions paid	–	–	(446.6)	–	(446.6)
Total changes from financing cash flows	679.1	7.3	(446.6)	262.2	502.0
Effect of changes in foreign exchange rates	79.8	1.9	–	60.3	142.0
Changes in fair value	–	181.5	–	–	181.5
Other changes					
Issue of units under the LTIP	–	–	–	(234.4)	(234.4)
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	–	(29.8)	(29.8)
Interest income	–	–	–	(72.3)	(72.3)
Interest expense	–	–	–	9.7	9.7
Interest paid	–	–	–	5.7	5.7
Other borrowing costs	3.7	–	–	–	3.7
Derivative financial instrument settlement through loans with related parties	–	–	–	17.4	17.4
Distributions declared	–	–	513.8	–	513.8
Total other changes	3.7	–	513.8	(303.7)	213.8
Balance at 30 June 2022	2,825.4	8.3	233.5	(2,540.7)	526.5

22 Equity attributable to Goodman Limited and non-controlling interests

Under Australian Accounting Standards, stapled entities are required to separately identify equity attributable to the parent entity from equity attributable to other entities stapled to the parent. The equity attributable to other entities stapled to the parent is presented as non-controlling interests in the statement of financial position of the Group. The tables below in notes 22(a) and 22(b) provide an analysis of equity, profit for the year and total comprehensive income for the year attributable to each of Goodman Limited and the other entities stapled to Goodman Limited (non-controlling interests).

(a) Equity attributable to Goodman Limited

	Attributable to Goodman Limited						
	Issued capital \$M	Foreign currency translation reserve \$M	Employee compensation reserve \$M	Defined benefit retirement schemes reserve \$M	Total reserves \$M	Retained earnings \$M	Total \$M
Balance at 1 July 2020	483.2	(36.9)	33.1	(23.3)	(27.1)	821.9	1,278.0
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year							
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	300.2	300.2
Other comprehensive (loss)/income							
Effect of foreign currency translation	–	(28.6)	–	–	(28.6)	–	(28.6)
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year, net of income tax	–	(28.6)	–	–	(28.6)	300.2	271.6
Transfers	–	–	(68.4)	–	(68.4)	68.4	–
Contributions by and distributions to owners							
Purchase of securities for the LTIP	–	–	(22.4)	–	(22.4)	–	(22.4)
Issue of securities	11.4	–	–	–	–	–	11.4
Issue costs	(0.1)	–	–	–	–	–	(0.1)
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	106.1	–	106.1	–	106.1
Deferred tax associated with the LTIP	–	–	8.1	–	8.1	–	8.1
Transfer to payables	–	–	(17.1)	–	(17.1)	–	(17.1)
Balance at 30 June 2021	494.5	(65.5)	39.4	(23.3)	(49.4)	1,190.5	1,635.6
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year							
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	552.6	552.6
Other comprehensive (loss)/income							
Effect of foreign currency translation	–	(10.9)	–	1.0	(9.9)	–	(9.9)
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year, net of income tax	–	(10.9)	–	1.0	(9.9)	552.6	542.7
Transfers	–	–	(81.8)	–	(81.8)	81.8	–
Contributions by and distributions to owners							
Purchase of securities for the LTIP	–	–	(28.0)	–	(28.0)	–	(28.0)
Issue of securities	19.8	–	–	–	–	–	19.8
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	127.0	–	127.0	–	127.0
Deferred tax associated with the LTIP	–	–	(4.2)	–	(4.2)	–	(4.2)
Balance at 30 June 2022	514.3	(76.4)	52.4	(22.3)	(46.3)	1,824.9	2,292.9

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

22 Equity attributable to Goodman Limited and non-controlling interests (continued)

(b) Equity attributable to other entities stapled to Goodman Limited (non-controlling interests)

Attributable to other entities stapled to Goodman Limited (non-controlling interests)									
	Issued capital	Asset revaluation reserve	Cash flow hedge reserve	Foreign currency translation reserve	Employee compensation reserve	Defined benefit retirement schemes reserve	Total reserves	Retained earnings	Total
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Balance at 1 July 2020	7,548.5	(7.2)	(5.2)	225.5	206.7	(8.0)	411.8	2,282.3	10,242.6
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year									
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2,011.7	2,011.7
Other comprehensive income/(loss)									
Effect of foreign currency translation	–	0.2	0.5	(250.7)	–	(0.8)	(250.8)	–	(250.8)
Actuarial losses on defined benefit superannuation funds, net of income tax	–	–	–	–	–	(6.0)	(6.0)	–	(6.0)
Other changes	–	0.3	0.3	–	–	–	0.6	–	0.6
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year, net of income tax	–	0.5	0.8	(250.7)	–	(6.8)	(256.2)	2,011.7	1,755.5
Contributions by and distributions to owners									
Dividends/distributions on stapled securities	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(554.2)	(554.2)
Issue of securities	53.7	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	53.7
Issue costs	(0.3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(0.3)
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	–	–	28.6	–	28.6	–	28.6
Balance at 30 June 2021	7,601.9	(6.7)	(4.4)	(25.2)	235.3	(14.8)	184.2	3,739.8	11,525.9
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year									
Profit for the year	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	2,861.4	2,861.4
Other comprehensive income/(loss)									
Effect of foreign currency translation	–	–	(0.4)	155.0	–	0.6	155.2	–	155.2
Actuarial gains on defined benefit superannuation funds, net of income tax	–	–	–	–	–	5.6	5.6	–	5.6
Other changes	–	0.3	15.9	–	–	–	16.2	–	16.2
Total comprehensive income for the year, net of income tax	–	0.3	15.5	155.0	–	6.2	177.0	2,861.4	3,038.4
Contributions by and distributions to owners									
Dividends/distributions on stapled securities	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(560.1)	(560.1)
Issue of stapled securities	90.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	90.1
Issue costs	(0.2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	(0.2)
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	–	–	37.8	–	37.8	–	37.8
Balance at 30 June 2022	7,691.8	(6.4)	11.1	129.8	273.1	(8.6)	399.0	6,041.1	14,131.9

23 Controlled entities

Controlled entities are entities controlled by the Company. Under Australian Accounting Standards, the Company is identified as having acquired control over the assets of the Trust and GLHK. The consolidated financial statements incorporate the assets and liabilities of all controlled entities as at 30 June 2022 and the results of all such entities for the year ended 30 June 2022.

Where an entity either began or ceased to be controlled during the financial year, the results of that entity are included only from or to the date control commenced or ceased.

Unrealised gains and losses and inter-entity balances resulting from transactions with or between controlled entities are eliminated in full on consolidation.

The significant controlled entities of the Company are set out below:

Significant controlled entities of Goodman Limited	Country of establishment/ incorporation
GA Industrial Portfolio Trust ¹	Australia
GIT Investments Holding Trust No.3 ¹	Australia
Goodman Australia Finance Pty Limited ¹	Australia
Goodman Capital Trust ¹	Australia
Goodman Europe Development Trust ¹	Australia
Goodman Finance Australia Trust ¹	Australia
Goodman Funds Management Australia Limited	Australia
Goodman Funds Management Limited	Australia
Goodman Industrial Funds Management Limited	Australia
Goodman Industrial Trust	Australia
Goodman Property Services (Aust) Pty Limited	Australia
Goodman Treasury Trust ¹	Australia
Moorabbin Airport Corporation Pty Ltd	Australia
Goodman Belgium NV	Belgium
Goodman Management Services (Belgium) NV	Belgium
Goodman China Asset Management Limited	Cayman Islands
Goodman China Developments	Cayman Islands
Goodman Developments Asia	Cayman Islands
Goodman Management Consulting (Beijing) Co. Ltd	China
Goodman Management Consulting (Shanghai) Co. Ltd	China
Goodman France Sàrl	France
Goodman Germany GmbH	Germany
GFM Hong Kong Limited	Hong Kong
Goodman Asia Limited	Hong Kong
Goodman China Limited	Hong Kong
Goodman Hong Kong Investment Trust ¹	Hong Kong
Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited	Hong Kong
Goodman UK Investment (HK) Limited	Hong Kong
GPS Hong Kong Limited	Hong Kong
Goodman Italy S.R.L.	Italy

1. Significant controlled entities of Goodman Industrial Trust.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

23 Controlled entities

Significant controlled entities of Goodman Limited	Country of establishment/ incorporation
Goodman Japan Funds Limited	Japan
Goodman Japan Limited	Japan
GELF Management (Lux) Sàrl	Luxembourg
Goodman Finance (Lux) Sàrl ¹	Luxembourg
Goodman Finance Two (Lux) Sàrl ¹	Luxembourg
Goodman Management Holdings (Lux) Sàrl	Luxembourg
Goodman Midnight Logistics (Lux) Sàrl	Luxembourg
Goodman Property Opportunities (Lux) Sàrl, SICAR	Luxembourg
GPO Advisory (Lux) Sàrl	Luxembourg
Goodman Finance NZ Limited ¹	New Zealand
Goodman Investment Holdings (NZ) Limited	New Zealand
Goodman (NZ) Limited	New Zealand
Goodman Property Services (NZ) Limited	New Zealand
Goodman Galaxy Holding BV	The Netherlands
Goodman Netherlands BV	The Netherlands
Goodman Real Estate (Spain) S.L.	Spain
Goodman Logistics Developments (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
Goodman Real Estate (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
Goodman Development Management LLC	United States
Goodman Management USA Inc	United States
Goodman North America LLC	United States
Goodman North America Management LLO	United States
Goodman US Finance Three, LLC ¹	United States
Goodman US Finance Four, LLC ¹	United States
Goodman US Finance Five, LLC ¹	United States
Tarpon Properties REIT Inc ¹	United States

1. Significant controlled entities of Goodman Industrial Trust.

24 Related parties

The names of KMP of Goodman at any time during the financial year are as follows:

Non-Executive Directors – GL and GFML

Stephen Johns
Christopher Green
Mark Johnson
Vanessa Liu
Rebecca McGrath
Phillip Pryke
Hilary Spann
Penny Winn

Executive KMP

Gregory Goodman
Danny Peeters
Anthony Rozic
Nick Kurtis
Michael O'Sullivan
Nick Vrontas

Non-Executive Director – GLHK

David Collins

Remuneration of KMP

The KMP remuneration totals are as follows:

	Goodman		Goodman Limited ¹	
	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000
Short-term employee benefits	7,596.6	7,693.9	–	–
Post-employment benefits	203.6	211.8	–	–
Post-employment benefits	42,106.0	27,760.3	–	–
Long-term employee benefits	5,037.4	3,787.7	–	–
	54,943.6	39,453.7	–	–

1. The remuneration is paid by wholly owned controlled entities of Goodman Limited.

GIT does not employ personnel in its own right. However, it is required to have an incorporated responsible entity to manage its activities and GFML is considered to be the key management personnel of GIT.

Individual Directors' and executives' compensation disclosures

Information regarding individual Directors' and executives' compensation and some equity instruments disclosures as required by Corporations Regulations 2M.3.03 is provided in the remuneration report section of the Directors' report.

GreenPoint Real Estate Innovation and Technology Venture, LP

In order to enhance understanding of and access to technologies that may influence the property sector and the business, GIT committed to investing USD15.0 million in GreenPoint Real Estate Innovation and Technology Venture, LP, a property technology fund that is a Delaware limited partnership, managed by Greenpoint Group LP, also a Delaware limited partnership. Greenpoint Group LP is beneficially owned and controlled by Christopher Green, a director of GL. During the year, GIT invested a further USD1.5 million, such that the total investment at 30 June 2022 was USD5.3 million (2021: USD3.8 million).

Wyuna Regenerative Ag Investment Fund (Wyuna)

During the year, as part of its ESG strategy, Goodman committed to investing \$30.0 million in Wyuna, a fund offering a model blending carbon farming, red meat production and regeneration in Australia. The fund is managed by Wyuna Regenerative Ag, which is 50% owned by Christopher Green, a director of GL. Total investment in Wyuna at 30 June 2022 is \$nil.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

24 Related parties (continued)

Transactions with associates and JVs

The transactions with Partnerships during the year were as follows:

	Revenue from disposal of investment properties		Revenue from management and development activities		Interest charged on loans to associates and JVs	
	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000
Goodman						
Associates	400,825.4	163,046.2	1,279,744.9	712,234.5	-	-
JVs	274,018.6	-	447,461.7	442,607.0	6,514.7	8,131.9
GIT						
Associates	346,825.4	163,046.2	-	-	(36.1)	15.7
JVs	-	-	-	-	6,166.6	7,417.6

In addition to the transactions included in the table above:

- + Goodman incurred \$3.7 million of costs from Partnerships, primarily for the leasing of office premises
- + GAIP paid Goodman a refundable fee of \$22.6 million for an option to acquire a 40% interest in a JV from the Group.

Amounts due from Partnerships at 30 June 2022 were as follows:

	Goodman				GIT			
	Amounts due from related parties ¹		Loans provided by Goodman ²		Amounts due from related parties ¹		Loans provided by GIT ²	
	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000
Associates								
GAIP	14,204.2	10,811.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
GAP	5,626.5	3,843.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
GMT	3,579.3	2,123.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
GHKLP	9,757.3	41,987.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
GJOP	4,371.3	3,017.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
GEP	13,912.2	8,454.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	51,450.8	70,238.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
JVs								
GCLP	6,617.4	12,566.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other JVs	24,865.6	18,803.7	167,464.7	270,368.8	4.8	70.5	140,162.8	240,731.6
	31,483.0	31,370.2	167,464.7	270,368.8	4.8	70.5	140,162.8	240,731.6

1. Amounts due from related parties include contract assets arising from transactions with related parties.

2. Loans provided by Goodman and GIT to associates and JVs have been provided on an arm's length basis.

Transactions between GIT and other Goodman entities

The transactions with other Goodman entities during the year were as follows:

	GIT	
	2022 \$000	2021 \$000
Management income	1,850.9	2,384.0
Revenue from disposal of investment properties	-	8,073.0
Reimbursement of expenses	58,381.5	50,392.9
	60,232.4	60,849.9

Interest bearing loans exist between GIT and other Goodman entities. At 30 June 2022, interest bearing loans of \$3,122.6 million (2021: \$3,096.5 million) were receivable by GIT from other Goodman entities and \$723.8 million (2021: \$777.7 million) was payable by GIT to other Goodman entities. Loans to related Goodman entities bear interest at rates referenced to GIT's external funding arrangements.

Additionally, during the year GIT acquired 65,906,199 units in GMT from a controlled entity of GL for consideration of NZ\$139.1 million.

25 Commitments

Development activities

At 30 June 2022, Goodman was committed to expenditure in respect of \$691.8 million (2021: \$534.7 million) on inventories and other development activities. GIT has no such commitments (2021: \$nil).

Investment properties

At 30 June 2022, Goodman had capital expenditure commitments of \$6.1 million (2021: \$nil) in respect of its existing investment property portfolio. GIT had capital expenditure commitments of \$4.5 million (2021: \$nil).

Partnerships

At 30 June 2022, Goodman had remaining equity commitments of \$217.9 million (2021: \$144.7 million) into GAIP and \$135.0 million (2021: \$63.0 million) into GEP. In addition, Goodman has undertaken to acquire up to 82.1 million units in GAIP if their holder elects to sell them. The price Goodman will pay will be determined by the prevailing unit price at the time of the sale. As at 30 June 2022, this equates to a total value of \$162.1 million (cum distribution value) or \$161.1 million (ex distribution price). Goodman's commitment to this sale process ends in May 2026. These commitments also apply to GIT.

In relation to GEP, Goodman offers two liquidity facilities which allow certain of the partners to sell to the Group some or all of their investments in GEP, but only when Goodman's ownership interest in GEP is below 40.0%. At 30 June 2022, Goodman's ownership interest in GEP was 19.8% and therefore the facilities are available to the partners. The first facility, which applies to 6.4% of the issued and committed units, would require Goodman to purchase up to €210.5 million of units (at a 1% discount to current unit value), subject to a maximum in each quarter of 2.5% of units. The second facility, which applies to 12.7% of the issued and committed units, would require Goodman to purchase up to €150.0 million of units (at a 5% discount to current unit value), subject to a maximum in each calendar year of €50.0 million.

Furthermore, in respect of certain Partnerships, Goodman and its investment partners have committed to invest further capital, subject to the approval by the partners (including Goodman) of the expenditures for which the funding is required. Goodman's commitment in respect of these Partnerships is set out below:

- + \$30.0 million (2021: \$nil) into Wyuna
- + \$130.7 million (2021: \$136.2 million) into KWASA Goodman Germany
- + \$344.8 million (2021: \$410.1 million) into Goodman Japan Development Partnership
- + \$793.8 million (2021: \$808.0 million) into GCLP
- + \$599.3 million (2021: \$512.8 million) into GUKP
- + \$1,888.9 million (2021: \$2,156.2 million) into GNAP
- + \$73.0 million (2021: \$72.7 million) into Goodman Brazil Logistics Partnership.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

26 Auditors' remuneration

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$000	2021 \$000	2022 \$000	2021 \$000
Audit services				
Auditor of the Company:				
– Audit and review of financial reports (KPMG Australia)	1,279.1	1,161.9	737.3	691.9
– Audit and review of financial reports (overseas KPMG firms)	1,218.7	1,127.9	77.3	85.8
	2,497.8	2,289.8	814.6	777.7
Other services				
– Other regulatory services (KPMG Australia)	64.9	56.7	41.8	35.7
– Other assurance services (KPMG Australia) ¹	670.0	–	–	–
– Other advisory services (KPMG Australia)	15.0	–	–	–
– Other advisory services (overseas KPMG firms)	–	18.2	–	–
– Taxation compliance services (KPMG Australia)	–	100.0	–	91.7
– Taxation compliance services (overseas KPMG firms)	172.8	196.3	–	–
– Taxation advice (KPMG Australia)	–	23.0	–	–
– Taxation advice (overseas KPMG firms)	178.8	338.5	–	–
	1,101.5	732.7	41.8	127.4
Total paid/payable to KPMG	3,599.3	3,022.5	856.4	905.1
Other auditors				
– Audit and review of financial reports (non-KPMG firms)	151.5	163.4	–	–

1. These assurance services relate to the issue of the US\$500 million Sustainability Linked Bond in the US144A/Regulation S market.

27 Parent entity disclosures

As at, and throughout the financial year ended, 30 June 2022, the parent entities of Goodman and GIT were Goodman Limited and Goodman Industrial Trust respectively. The financial information for the parent entities is disclosed as follows:

	Goodman		GIT	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Result of the parent entity				
Profit for the year	176.8	63.0	451.7	140.0
Other comprehensive income for the year	–	–	–	–
Total comprehensive income for the year	176.8	63.0	451.7	140.0
Financial position of the parent entity at year end				
Current assets	223.9	49.1	3,076.5	2,329.3
Total assets	1,895.5	1,591.9	8,075.8	7,424.8
Current liabilities	108.6	164.4	553.9	1,107.4
Total liabilities	1,192.4	1,163.7	3,016.6	2,666.1
Total equity of the parent entity comprising:				
Issued capital	937.4	852.5	8,154.5	7,849.0
Profits reserve	90.7	90.7	–	–
Employee compensation reserve	52.4	39.3	216.8	159.8
Accumulated losses	(377.4)	(554.3)	(3,312.1)	(3,250.1)
Total equity	703.1	428.2	5,059.2	4,758.7

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

The financial information for the parent entities of Goodman and GIT has been prepared on the same basis as the consolidated financial statements, except as set out below:

Investments in controlled entities and Partnerships

Investments in controlled entities and Partnerships are accounted for at cost in the financial statements of GL and GIT. Distributions/dividends received from Partnerships are recognised in the income statement, rather than being deducted from the carrying amount of these investments.

Tax consolidation

GL is the head entity in a tax consolidated group comprising all Australian wholly owned subsidiaries (this excludes GIT). The head entity recognises all of the current tax assets and liabilities of the tax consolidated group (after elimination of intra-group transactions).

Financial guarantees

Where the parent entities have provided financial guarantees in relation to loans and payables of controlled entities for no compensation, the fair values of these guarantees are accounted for as contributions and recognised as part of the cost of the investment.

Parent entity capital commitments

At 30 June 2022, the parent entities had no capital commitments (2021: \$nil).

Parent entity contingencies

Capitalisation Deed Poll

The Company, GFML, as responsible entity of the Trust, GLHK and certain of their wholly owned controlled entities are 'investors' under a Capitalisation Deed Poll (CDP) dated 23 May 2007. Under the CDP, each investor undertakes to pay to the relevant controlled entity borrower (borrower) any amounts owing under finance documents for the purpose of the CDP when the borrower fails to make a payment. Any payments by an investor to a borrower will be by way of loan to, or proceeds for the subscription of equity in, the borrower by the investor.

US144A/Regulation S senior notes

Under the issue of notes in the US144A/Regulation S bond market (refer to notes 16(b) and 16(c)), controlled entities of GIT had on issue USD and EUR notes amounting to US\$1,350.0 million and €500.0 million respectively. GL, GFML, as responsible entity of the Trust, and GLHK have unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed on a joint and several basis the payment of principal and interest in respect of each of the notes.

28 Events subsequent to balance date

Goodman and GIT

Other than as disclosed elsewhere in the consolidated financial report, there has not arisen in the interval between the end of the financial year and the date of this consolidated financial report any item, transaction or event of a material and unusual nature likely, in the opinion of the Directors, to affect significantly the operations of Goodman and GIT, the results of those operations, or the state of affairs of Goodman and GIT, in future financial years.

Director's declaration

In the opinion of the directors of Goodman Limited and the directors of Goodman Funds Management Limited, the responsible entity for Goodman Industrial Trust:

- a. the consolidated financial statements and the notes of Goodman Limited and its controlled entities and Goodman Industrial Trust and its controlled entities set out on pages 79 to 148 and the remuneration report that is contained on pages 30 to 75 in the Directors' report, are in accordance with the *Corporations Act 2001*, including:
 - (i) giving a true and fair view of Goodman's and GIT's financial position as at 30 June 2022 and of their performance for the financial year ended on that date
 - (ii) complying with Australian Accounting Standards (including Australian Accounting Interpretations) and the Corporations Regulations 2001
- b. there are reasonable grounds to believe that the Company and the Trust will be able to pay their debts as and when they become due and payable.

The Directors have been given the declarations required by section 295A of the *Corporations Act 2001* from the Group Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer for the financial year ended 30 June 2022.

The Directors draw attention to note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, which includes a statement of compliance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Signed in accordance with a resolution of the Directors.



Stephen Johns
Independent Chairman



Gregory Goodman
Group Chief Executive Officer
Sydney
16 August 2022



Independent auditor's report

To the stapled security holders of Goodman Group and the unitholders of Goodman Industrial Trust

Report on the audits of the Financial Report

Opinion

We have audited the **Financial Report** of Goodman Limited (the Company) as the deemed parent presenting the stapled security arrangement of the **Goodman Group** (the Goodman Group Financial Report).

We have also audited the **Financial Statements** and Directors' Declaration of Goodman Industrial Trust (the Trust Financial Report).

In our opinion, each of the accompanying Goodman Group Financial Report and Trust Financial Report are in accordance with the *Corporations Act 2001*, including:

- + giving a true and fair view of the **Goodman Group's** and of the **Trust's** financial position as at 30 June 2022 and of their financial performance for the year ended on that date; and
- + complying with *Australian Accounting Standards* and the *Corporations Regulations 2001*.

The content of each of the Goodman Group and Trust **Financial Reports** comprise:

- + Consolidated statement of financial position as at 30 June 2022;
- + Consolidated income statement, Consolidated statement of comprehensive income, Consolidated statement of changes in equity and Consolidated cash flow statement for the year then ended;
- + Notes including a summary of significant accounting policies; and (collectively referred to as **Financial Statements**)
- + Directors' Declaration.

The **Goodman Group** consists of Goodman Limited and the entities it controlled at the year-end or from time to time during the financial year, Goodman Industrial Trust (the **Trust**) and the entities it controlled at the year-end or from time to time during the financial year, and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited and the entities it controlled at the year-end or from time to time during the financial year.

Basis for opinions

We conducted our audits in accordance with *Australian Auditing Standards*. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions.

Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the Financial Report* section of our report.

We are independent of the Goodman Group, Goodman Limited, Goodman Funds Management Limited (the Responsible Entity of the Trust) and the Trust in accordance with the *Corporations Act 2001* and the ethical requirements of the *Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board's APES 110 Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including Independence Standards)* (the Code) that are relevant to our audits of the Financial Report in Australia. We have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

Key Audit Matters

The **Key Audit Matters** we identified for the Goodman Group are:

- + Recognition of development income; and
- + Valuation of investment properties, investments accounted for using the equity method and inventories.

Key Audit Matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our audit of the Financial Report of the current period.

These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the Financial Report as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on these matters.



Recognition of development income (\$1,441.6m)

Refer to Note 2 to the Financial Report

The key audit matter	How the matter was addressed in our audit
Development income was a key audit matter due to: + its significant value (28% of revenue and other income); + the unique nature of contracts; and + the judgements applied by us to assess Goodman Group's determination of revenue recognised during the period in relation to contracts which remain in progress at period end. Income from development management services is recognised progressively, requiring judgment by us when considering Goodman Group's determination of the amount and extent of the services provided within the period based on contract deliverables. Goodman Group's policy is for income from inventory disposals to be recognised at a point in time when control is transferred to the customer and fixed price development contracts to be recognised in proportion to the stage of completion of the relevant contracts. We focused on the stage of completion estimation which is based on costs incurred as a percentage of estimated total costs for each contract.	Our procedures included: + Evaluating Goodman Group's recognition of development income against the criteria in the accounting standards; + Selecting specific contracts from development income recognised based on quantitative and qualitative information (such as the size and complexity of the arrangement) and performed the following: – Understanding the underlying contractual arrangements, in particular their unique terms, for their impact to recognition of development income; – Where recognition of development income was conditional upon certain events occurring, checking conditions within the contract to evidence of achievement of conditions, such as correspondence with external parties; – Assessing Goodman Group's determination of revenue recognised during the period in accordance with the provision of services stipulated in the underlying contract or the stage of completion; and – For revenue recognised based on the stage of completion, assess the cost assumptions used by the Group in determining the stage of completion estimate as follows: • Costs incurred – assessing a sample of costs incurred to date to relevant underlying external sources, such as invoices; and • Estimated total costs – assessing a sample of total forecast costs to secured contracts for construction activities, other relevant underlying sources, and our understanding of the industry and economic conditions.



Valuation of investment properties (\$1,423.7m), investments accounted for using the equity method (\$14,379.6m) and inventories (\$2,116.1m)

Refer to Note 6 to the Financial Report

The key audit matter	How the matter was addressed in our audit
Goodman Group's investments in property assets include investment properties and inventories, which are held either directly or through its investments accounted for using the equity method. Goodman Group's policy is investment properties are held at fair value and inventories are held at the lower of cost and net realisable value, determined using internal methodologies or through the use of external valuation experts. The valuation of property assets is a key audit matter as they are significant in value (being 84% of total assets) and contain assumptions with estimation uncertainty. This leads to additional audit effort due to differing assumptions used by Goodman Group based on asset classes, geographies and characteristics of individual property assets. We considered significant assumption in the valuation of property assets including: + Investment properties: – capitalisation rates; – discount rates; – market rental income; – weighted average lease expiry and vacancy levels; – projections of capital expenditure; and – lease incentive costs. + Inventories: – forecast capitalisation rates and market rental income; – land value per square metre; – letting up periods and lease incentive costs; and – development costs. In assessing this Key Audit Matter, we involved real estate valuation specialists, who understand the Group's investment profile, business and the economic environment it operates in.	Our procedures included: + Obtaining an understanding of Goodman Group's process regarding the valuation of property assets; + Assessing the methodologies used in the valuations of property assets, for consistency with accounting standards, industry practice and Goodman Group's policies; + Working with real estate valuation specialists to read published reports and industry commentary to gain an understanding of prevailing property market conditions. For a sample of investment properties, taking into account asset classes, geographies and characteristics of individual investment properties: + Assessing the scope, competence and objectivity of external valuation experts and Goodman Group's internal valuers; + Challenging significant assumptions, with reference to published industry reports and commentary of prevailing property market conditions; + With assistance of real estate valuation specialists, assessing a sample of significant assumptions including capitalisation rates, discount rates, customer covenant strength, market rental income, weighted average lease expiry and vacancy levels, projections of capital expenditure and lease incentive costs. We did this by comparing to market analysis published by industry experts, recent market transactions, inquiries with Goodman Group's historical performance of the assets and using our industry experience; + Assessing the disclosures in the financial report using our understanding obtained from our testing, against accounting standard requirements. For a sample of inventories: + Challenging the key assumptions included in Goodman Group's internal recoverability assessments and valuations by comparing to commentary published by industry experts, recent market transactions, and our knowledge of historical performance of the assets.



Other Information

Other Information is financial and non-financial information in Goodman Group's annual reporting which is provided in addition to the Financial Report and the Auditor's Report. The Directors of the Company and the Directors of the Responsible Entity are responsible for the Other Information.

The Other Information we obtained prior to the date of this Auditor's Report was the Directors' Report (including the Remuneration Report).

Our opinion on the Financial Report does not cover the Other Information and, accordingly, we do not express an audit opinion or any form of assurance conclusion thereon, with the exception of the Remuneration Report and our related assurance opinion.

In connection with our audit of the Financial Report, our responsibility is to read the Other Information. In doing so, we consider whether the Other Information is materially inconsistent with the Financial Report or our knowledge obtained in the audit, or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

We are required to report if we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this Other Information, and based on the work we have performed on the Other Information that we obtained prior to the date of this Auditor's Report, we have nothing to report.

Responsibilities of the Directors for the Financial Report

The Directors of the Company and the Responsible Entity are responsible for:

- + preparing the Financial Report that gives a true and fair view in accordance with *Australian Accounting Standards* and the *Corporations Act 2001*;
- + implementing necessary internal control to enable the preparation of a Financial Report that gives a true and fair view and is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and
- + assessing the Goodman Group and Trust's ability to continue as a going concern and whether the use of the going concern basis of accounting is appropriate. This includes disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless they either intend to liquidate the Goodman Group or the Trust or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the Financial Report

Our objective is:

- + to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Financial Report as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and
- + to issue an Auditor's Report that includes our opinion.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with *Australian Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error. They are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the Financial Report.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the Financial Report is located at the *Auditing and Assurance Standards Board* website at: https://www.auasb.gov.au/admin/file/content102/c3/ar1_2020.pdf. This description forms part of our Auditor's Report.

Report on the Remuneration Report

Opinion

In our opinion, the Remuneration Report of Goodman Limited for the year ended 30 June 2022, complies with *Section 300A* of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Directors' responsibilities

The Directors of Goodman Limited are responsible for the preparation and presentation of the Remuneration Report in accordance with *Section 300A* of the *Corporations Act 2001*.

Our responsibilities

We have audited the Remuneration Report included on pages 30 to 75 of the Directors' report for the year ended 30 June 2022.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Remuneration Report, based on our audit conducted in accordance with *Australian Auditing Standards*.

KPMG

Eileen Hoggett
Partner

Sydney
16 August 2022

Appendix A – Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited and its subsidiaries

Consolidated financial statements for the year ended 30 June 2022

CONTENTS

Report of the Directors	155
Independent auditor's report	165
Consolidated statement of financial position	167
Consolidated statement of comprehensive income	168
Consolidated statement of changes in equity	169
Consolidated cash flow statement	170
Notes to the consolidated financial statements	

Basis of preparation

1 Basis of preparation	171
------------------------	-----

Results for the year

2 Profit before interest and income tax	172
3 Segment reporting	174
4 Taxation	177
5 Profit attributable to equity shareholders of the Company	178

Operating assets and liabilities

6 Property assets	179
7 Receivables	186
8 Contract balances	187
9 Payables	189
10 Leases	189

Capital management

11 Net finance expense	190
12 Interest bearing liabilities	190
13 Other financial assets and liabilities	191
14 Financial risk management	191
15 Dividends	196
16 Share capital	197

Other items

17 Notes to the consolidated cash flow statement	199
18 Reserves	201
19 Retained earnings	201
20 Investments in subsidiaries	202
21 Related party transactions	203
22 Commitments	205
23 Contingencies	205
24 Company level statement of financial position	206
25 Subsequent events	206

Report of the Directors

The Directors have pleasure in submitting their annual financial report together with the audited financial statements of Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (Company) and its subsidiaries (collectively referred to as the Consolidated Entity) for the year ended 30 June 2022 (FY22).

Incorporation and principal place of business

Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited was incorporated in Hong Kong on 18 January 2012 and has its principal place of business at Suite 901, Three Pacific Place, 1 Queen's Road East, Hong Kong.

On 22 August 2012, the Company became a party to the stapling deed with Goodman Limited (GL) and Goodman Industrial Trust (GIT), and together the three entities and their subsidiaries are known as Goodman Group. Goodman Group is listed on the Australian Securities Exchange (ASX).

Principal activities

The principal activities of the Consolidated Entity are investment in directly and indirectly held industrial property, investment management, property management services and development management. The principal activities and other particulars of the subsidiaries are set out in note 20 to the consolidated financial statements.

Financial statements

The financial performance of the Consolidated Entity for the year ended 30 June 2022 and the Consolidated Entity's financial position at that date are set out in the consolidated financial report on pages 167 to 206.

During the financial year, the Company declared a final dividend of 2.5 cents per share amounting to \$46.7 million. This dividend will be paid on 25 August 2022. In the prior year, the Company declared a final dividend of 6.0 cents per share amounting to \$110.8 million. This was paid on 26 August 2021.

Share capital

Details of the movements in share capital of the Company during FY22 are set out in note 16 to the consolidated financial statements.

Directors

The Directors during the year and up to the date of this report were:

Stephen Paul Johns

David Jeremy Collins

Gregory Leith Goodman (alternate Director to Stephen Paul Johns)

Daniel Cornelius D. Peeters.

Directors of subsidiaries

The names of Directors who have served on the Boards of the subsidiaries of the Company during FY22 are set out below:

Ai Ning Tan	James Nicholson	Paul Adams
Andrew McGregor	Jan Palek	Paul Heslop
Aurelien Noel	Jason Harris	Peck Khim Yap
Bart Manteleers	Jie Yang	Peter Ralston
Béla Kakuk	John Conway	Philip Turpin
Charles Crossland	John Morton Dakin	Philippe Arfi
Chi Wing Lin	Jorn Bruyninckx	Philippe Van der Beken
Christof Prange	Joseph Salvaggio	Robert Nicholson
Chun Kit Fung	Karl Dockx	Robert Reed
David Anthony Hinchey	Kelly Moore	Shiling Li
Dirk Mölter	Kim Swee Seah	Simone Weyermanns
Dominique Prince	Kristoffer Allan Harvey	Song Yun
Edwin Chong Chee Wai	Lien Standaert	Stephen Young
Francisco Palacio	Luke Caffey	Tai Yit Chan
Garcia Cuenca Ignacio	Mak Chun Kit Jacky	Tan Ai Ning
Gareth Owen	Marwan Bustani	Tang Chenying
Godfrey Abel	Matthew Macdonald	Tim Cruypelans
Goh Hoi Lai	Matthew Phillips	Timothy Downes
Hans Ongena	Michael O'Sullivan	Timour Wielemans
Henry Kelly	Michael Woodford	Wai Ho Stephen Lee
Hugh Baggie	Nicholas Kurtis	Wang Chen
Izak ten Hove	Nick Taunt	Xiaoyin Zhang
James Cornell	Nigel Allsop	

Report of the Directors

(continued)

BUSINESS REVIEW

State of affairs


There were no significant changes in the Consolidated Entity's state of affairs during the year.

About Goodman Group

Goodman Group is a global industrial property specialist group whose strategy is to maximise returns by providing essential infrastructure for the digital economy.

- + Urbanisation, globalisation, demographics, digitalisation, sustainability and an increased focus on health and wellbeing: all have changed the way people live, work and consume. These structural shifts have increased the importance of industrial properties in the global supply chain
- + Globally, the logistics and warehousing sectors are now considered essential infrastructure for digital economies, and key to the efficient distribution of products to consumers. As industrial property specialists, Goodman Group's long-term strategy is built on supporting its customers to deliver in the most sustainable and efficient way possible. Goodman focuses on key markets and concentrates our portfolio where the most value can be created for customers and investors.

Goodman Group's integrated business model



Goodman Group's Own Develop Manage model focuses the business on its customers' current and future needs.

The Consolidated Entity owns and maintains high-quality properties close to consumers, develops sustainable properties, and manages its global investment portfolio to a high standard. The Consolidated Entity works alongside its capital partners, which include sovereign wealth, pension and large multi-manager funds. In each market, the Consolidated Entity has dedicated local teams which take care of property asset and investment management, delivering a high level of customer service.

Performance review

The Consolidated Entity has operations in Asia, Continental Europe and the United Kingdom, and its earnings are derived from property investment, development and management activities.

The business environment is changing, with increased interest rates, inflation, geopolitical risks and the ongoing impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic; however, the long-term structural drivers of demand have not changed. Tight supply and customer demand continues to support leasing across the stabilised portfolio and developments, with high occupancy in the Consolidated Entity's markets. The Consolidated Entity's customers continue to intensify warehousing in urban locations and increase automation and technology to optimise delivery and improve supply chain efficiency.

The Consolidated Entity has continued to successfully execute its strategy, which is providing customers with essential locations and offering productivity improvements to help absorb cost and time. It is also delivering the Consolidated Entity and its Partnerships a portfolio of assets at consistently strong risk adjusted returns. This is reflected in both the property investment earnings and the management earnings.

Development activity has again been a significant contributor to the operating performance. Construction costs are increasing globally. However, by delivering increased productivity and value from the project sites and development execution, the Consolidated Entity has maintained strong returns.

In assessing the Consolidated Entity's underlying performance, the Directors consider operating profit as well as statutory profit. Operating profit is a proxy for 'cash earnings' and is not an income measure under Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards. It is defined as profit attributable to Shareholders adjusted for property valuations, impairment losses and other non-cash adjustments or non-recurring items.

The Consolidated Entity has delivered a strong operating performance for FY22, with operating profit increasing by 21.5% to \$607.4 million, compared to \$499.8 million for the prior year.

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Analysis of operating profit		
Property investment earnings	82.1	46.1
Management earnings	170.6	146.3
Development earnings	689.1	528.0
	941.8	720.4
Operating expenses	(207.9)	(199.6)
	733.9	520.8
Net finance expense (operating) ¹	(12.4)	(8.8)
Income tax expense	(114.1)	(12.2)
Operating profit	607.4	499.8

1. Net finance expense (operating) excludes derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements.

Property investment activities

Property investment earnings in FY22 of \$82.1 million were higher than the prior year and comprised 9% of the total earnings (2021: 6%).

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Net property income	40.9	13.4
Partnerships	41.2	32.7
Property investment earnings	82.1	46.1

Key metrics	2022	2021
Weighted average capitalisation rate (%)	4.7	4.9
Weighted average lease expiry (years)	4.2	3.8
Occupancy (%)	97.6	98.0

Property investment earnings comprise gross property income (excluding straight lining of rental income), less property expenses, plus the Consolidated Entity's share of the results of property investment joint ventures (JV) (referred to by the Consolidated Entity as Partnerships). The key drivers for maintaining or growing the Consolidated Entity's property investment earnings are increasing the level of assets under management (AUM) (subject also to the Consolidated Entity's direct and indirect interest), maintaining or increasing occupancy and rental levels within the portfolio, and controlling operating and financing costs within Partnerships.

The Consolidated Entity's property portfolios are concentrated in large, urban locations where available space remains restricted, driven by significant customer demand, combined with barriers to entry and limited supply. Consequently, the Consolidated Entity is seeing significant market rental growth across its locations. This is supporting strong underlying investment fundamentals and cash flows in the Consolidated Entity's portfolio.

The net income from the Consolidated Entity's directly held properties increased by 205% to \$40.9 million compared to the prior year as a result of acquisitions in Asia in both the current and prior year and rental income earned from completed developments (held in inventories, mainly in Continental Europe) prior to their disposal.

The Consolidated Entity's share of investment earnings from its cornerstone holdings in the Partnerships increased by 26% to \$41.2 million compared to the prior year. This was due to the stabilisation of developments in FY21 and FY22, as the Consolidated Entity has continued to invest in the Partnerships to fund its share of those developments and rental income growth from existing stabilised properties.

During FY22, the Consolidated Entity's share of property valuations from the stabilised portfolios was \$269.8 million. Valuation gains occurred in all regions and while capitalisation rate compression was the main driver, especially in the first half of the year, both rental income growth and development completions have provided an increasing contribution. At 30 June 2022, the weighted average capitalisation rate for the Consolidated Entity's portfolios was 4.7%, compared to 4.9% at the start of FY22.

Report of the Directors

Business review (continued)

Management activities

Management earnings in FY22 of \$170.6 million increased by 17% compared to the prior year and comprised 18% of total operating earnings (2021: 20%). The main driver of management earnings was the increase in external AUM. A reduction in performance related revenue was the result of the timing of calculation and recognition of fees.

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Management earnings	170.6	146.3
Key metrics		
Number of Partnerships	8	7
External AUM (\$B)	27.8	23.0

Management earnings relate to the revenue from managing both the property portfolios and the capital invested in the Partnerships (management income). This includes performance related revenues but excludes earnings from managing development activities in the Partnerships, which are included in development earnings. The key drivers for maintaining or growing management earnings are activity levels, asset performance, and increasing the level of AUM, which can be impacted by property valuations and asset disposals and is also dependent on liquidity including the continued availability of third party capital to fund both development activity and acquisitions across the Consolidated Entity's Partnerships.

Development activities

In FY22, development earnings were \$689.1 million, an increase of 31% on the prior year, and comprised 73% of total operating earnings (2021: 73%).

Development activity continued to be strong with work in progress of \$7.1 billion across 36 projects at 30 June 2022. The increase in the Consolidated Entity's development earnings was primarily volume driven.

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Net development income	645.7	487.7
Partnerships	43.4	40.3
Development earnings	689.1	528.0

Key metrics		
Work in progress (\$B)	7.1	5.8
Work in progress (million square metres)	2.3	2.0
Work in progress (number of developments)	36	37
Developments completed during the year (number of developments)	23	18

Development earnings consist of development income, plus the Consolidated Entity's share of the operating results of Partnerships that is allocable to development activities, plus net gains or losses from disposals of investment properties and equity investments that are allocable to development activities, plus interest income on loans to development JVs, less development expenses. Development income includes development management fees and performance related revenues associated with managing individual development projects in Partnerships. The key drivers for the Consolidated Entity's development earnings are the level of development activity, land and construction prices, property valuations and the continued availability of capital to fund development activity.

Most of the inventory disposals and fixed price contract income arose in Continental Europe, as Goodman Group's Partnerships in Continental Europe generally acquire completed developments from the Consolidated Entity. In the Consolidated Entity's other operating segments, development earnings are a mix of development management income, including performance related income, and transactional activity, including the Consolidated Entity's share of development profits reported by the Partnerships themselves. Consistent with the prior year, most of the development activity in FY22 was undertaken by or for the Partnerships and third parties.

Other items

Operating expenses increased mainly due to remuneration costs as a result of modest inflation pressure and cash incentives paid as a result of the Consolidated Entity's overall performance. Borrowing costs have increased as a result of increased borrowings on the Consolidated Entity's loans. The increase in tax expense is primarily a function of changes to the origin and nature of revenue arising from management and development activities.

Statement of financial position

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Stabilised investment properties	336.8	163.9
Cornerstone investments in Partnerships	1,845.6	1,470.0
Development holdings	1,552.6	1,140.9
Cash	357.5	358.4
Other assets	1,190.8	1,233.0
Total assets	5,283.3	4,366.2
Loans from related parties	1,941.0	1,891.1
Other liabilities	756.2	705.2
Total liabilities	2,697.2	2,596.3
Non-controlling interests	28.2	22.2
Net assets attributable to Shareholders	2,557.9	1,747.7

The stabilised investment properties relate to acquisitions in Asia.

The carrying value of cornerstone investments in Partnerships has increased by \$375.6 million to \$1,845.6 million, principally due to the net investment in the Partnerships and the valuation uplifts. A reconciliation of the current year movement in cornerstone investments in Partnerships is detailed in note 6(f) to the consolidated financial statements.

The increase in development holdings by \$411.7 million to \$1,552.6 million is primarily due to additional expenditure on development projects in Continental Europe, China and the United Kingdom during the year.

Other assets included receivables, fair values of derivative financial instruments that are in an asset position, contract assets, property, plant and equipment and tax assets (including deferred tax). Other liabilities included trade and other payables, the provision for dividends to Shareholders, fair values of derivative financial instruments that are in a liability position, employee benefits and tax liabilities (including deferred tax).

Cash flows

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Operating cash flows	216.5	473.6
Investing cash flows	(234.8)	(271.2)
Financing cash flows	12.5	(200.5)
Net (decrease)/increase in cash held	(5.8)	1.9
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held	4.9	(11.7)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	358.4	368.2
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	357.5	358.4

The decrease in the net operating cash flows is primarily due to more development disposals in Continental Europe in the prior year and the increased investment into new development opportunities.

The net investing cash outflow was due to the net investment in the Consolidated Entity's Partnerships, to fund acquisitions and new developments, plus the acquisitions of investment properties in Asia.

Financing cash flows principally relate to the net proceeds from loans with related parties and payment of the dividend in August 2021.

Report of the Directors

Business review (continued)

Outlook

The Consolidated Entity has developed significant expertise and a deliberate strategy to target high barrier to entry markets and to undertake larger, more complex projects over longer periods of time, providing our customers access to facilities where they are scarce and has positioned the Consolidated Entity well for future growth.

In the near term, market conditions are likely to be volatile and the risks associated with rising inflation, interest rates and slowing economic activity are elevated. This may impact consumers; however, they continue to seek faster and more flexible delivery, which requires ongoing intensification of warehousing in urban locations to optimise delivery and improve productivity. The business remains agile, focused on the changing consumption habits across the physical and digital space and, as a result, the evolving requirements of customers around the world.

Demand is currently exceeding supply in the Consolidated Entity's markets, supporting the Consolidated Entity's development-led growth strategy and producing well located assets for the Consolidated Entity and its Partnerships. In addition to strategic site acquisitions, the opportunities for regeneration of existing assets support our future development workbook by providing value add opportunities, while reducing the Consolidated Entity's environmental impact. The Consolidated Entity's production rate, depth of customer demand and strong margins are supporting the outlook for development earnings into FY23.

The outlook for property investment and management earnings remains strong, as the customer demand and supply constraints in the Consolidated Entity's markets provide support for both rental growth and a high level of occupancy. Investment and management earnings will also benefit from the completion of ongoing developments. Development completions and market rental growth are also expected to support growth in AUM.

Further information as to other likely developments in the operations of the Consolidated Entity and the expected results of those operations in future financial years has not been included in this report of the Directors because disclosure of the information would be likely to result in unreasonable prejudice to the Consolidated Entity.

Risks

Goodman Group identifies strategic and operational risks for each of its regions as part of its strategy process. The key risks, an assessment of their likelihood of occurrence and consequences and controls that are in place to mitigate the risks are reported to the Goodman Group Board annually.

Goodman Group has established formal systems and processes to manage the risks at each stage of its decision-making process. This is facilitated by a Goodman Group Investment Committee comprising senior executives, chaired by the Group Chief Executive Officer, which considers all major operational decisions and transactions. The Goodman Group Investment Committee meets on a weekly basis.

The Goodman Group Board has separate Board committees to review and assess key risks. The Risk and Compliance Committee reviews and monitors a range of material risks in Goodman Group's risk management systems including, among other risks, market, operational, sustainability, regulation and compliance and information technology. The Goodman Group Audit Committee reviews and monitors financial risk management and tax policies.

The key risks faced by Goodman Group and the controls that have been established to manage those risks are set out below:

	Risk area	Mitigation
Capital management (debt, equity and cash flow)	Goodman Group could suffer an inability to deliver its strategy, or an acute liquidity or solvency crisis, financial loss or financial distress as a result of a failure in the design or execution of its capital management and financing strategy.	+ Low gearing, ample liquidity and appropriate hedging and duration to absorb market shocks + Appropriate hedging quantities and duration in accordance with Goodman Group's financial risk management policy + Diversification and tenure of debt funding sources and maturities + Capital partnering transfers risks into Partnerships + Diversification of investment partners + Change in distribution pay-out ratio consistent with contribution to increasing development workbook + Strong assets that can generate better rental outcomes + Long lease terms with prime customers + Key urban market strategy – urban, infill locations support re-usability of property + Adaptable and re-usable building design – ease to reconfigure for another customer + Insurance program including project specific insurance.
Economic and geopolitical environment	Global economic conditions and government policies present both risks and opportunities in the property and financial markets and the business of customers, which can impact the delivery of Goodman Group's strategy and its financial performance. A continued increase in geopolitical tension between countries could have potential consequences on its people, operations and capital partners. In the near term, market conditions are likely to be volatile and the risks associated with rising inflation, interest rates and slowing economic activity are elevated.	+ Global diversification of Goodman Group's property portfolios + Focus on core property portfolios in key urban market locations + Focus on cost management + Prudent capital management with low gearing, appropriate hedging and significant available liquidity to allow for potential market shocks + Co-investment with local capital partners + Long-term leases with review mechanisms.
Governance, regulation and compliance	Non-compliance with legislation, regulators, or internal policies, or to understand and respond to changes in the political and regulatory environment (including taxation) could result in legal action, financial consequences and damage its standing and reputation with stakeholders.	+ Independent governance structures + Core values and attitudes, with an embedded compliance culture focused on best practice + Dedicated Chief Risk Officer and Compliance Officer + Review of transactions by the Goodman Group Investment Committee + Verification and sign off process for all public announcements + Comprehensive insurance program, covering property, liability, directors and officers and professional indemnity.
People and culture	Failure to recruit, develop, support, and retain staff with the right skills and experience may result in significant underperformance or impact the effectiveness of operations and decision making, in turn impacting business performance.	+ Succession planning for senior executives + Competitive remuneration structures, including the Goodman Group Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) + Performance management and review + Goodman Group values program + Learning, development and engagement programs + Staff engagement through team strategy days, town halls and the (good) life program.
Development	Development risks may arise from location, site complexity, planning and permitting, infrastructure, size, duration along with general contractor capability.	+ Review of development projects by the Goodman Group Investment Committee + Goodman Group defined design specifications, which cover environmental, technological, and safety requirements, protecting against short-term obsolescence + Redevelopment of older assets to intensify use + Pre-selecting and engaging general contractors that are appropriately capitalised and reviewing contractor liquidity + Internal audit reviews + Insurance program, both Goodman Group and general contractor, including project specific insurance + Ongoing monitoring and reporting of work in progress and levels of speculative development, with Goodman Group Board oversight including limits with respect to speculative development and higher development risk provisions + Capital partnering development projects.

Report of the Directors

Risk area		Mitigation
Disruption, changes in demand and obsolescence	The longer-term risk that an inability to understand and respond effectively to changes in the competitive landscape and customer value chain could result in business model disruption and asset obsolescence, including the perception of obsolescence in the short term.	+ Key urban market strategy – urban, infill locations support re-usability of property + Adaptable and re-usable building design – ease to reconfigure for another customer + Geographic diversification + Capital partnering transfers risks into Partnerships + Insurance program (both Goodman Group's and key contractors), including project specific insurance covering design and defects + Long lease terms with prime customers + Investment in innovation and technology strategies.
Environmental sustainability and climate change	Failure to deliver on Goodman Group's sustainability leadership strategy and ambitions may lead to a negative impact on Goodman Group's reputation, ability to raise capital and a disruption to operations and stranded assets.	+ Corporate Responsibility and Sustainability policy + 2030 Sustainability Strategy including the assessment of individual assets to improve resilience and implementation of sustainability initiatives + Sustainability guidelines for development projects + Review and approval of acquisitions and development projects by the Goodman Group Investment Committee and relevant Partnership Investment Committee, including consideration of climate in due diligence and specification + Adoption of the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures recommendations as a framework for climate risks.
Asset and portfolio	Inability to execute asset planning and management strategies, including leasing risk exposures, can reduce returns from Goodman Group's portfolios.	+ Key urban market strategy – urban, infill locations where customer demand is strongest + Diversification of customer base and lease expiries + Review of significant leasing transactions and development projects by the Goodman Group Investment Committee + Capital expenditure programs keeping pace with property lifecycle.
Concentration of counterparties and markets	Over-exposure to specific areas, such as capital partners, supply chain, customers and markets, may limit growth and sustainability opportunities.	+ Diversification of customer base and lease expiries + Diversification of capital partners and Partnership expiries + Contractor pre-selection and tendering + Independence governance structure.
Information and data security	Maintaining security (including cyber security) of IT environment and data, ensuring continuity of IT infrastructure and applications to support sustainability and growth and prevent operational, regulatory, financial and reputational impacts.	+ Reporting of risks and management activity + Proactive monitoring, review and testing of infrastructure + Disaster recovery and business continuity planning and testing + Benchmarked strategy for delivery of security information technology infrastructure and systems + Training and awareness program and other assurance activities for monitoring and improvement.
Infectious disease pandemic	There continues to be significant uncertainty associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, with mutations of the virus and significant outbreaks continuing to occur globally. The approach in enabling the world to stabilise and transition to a "normal" footing is still to be understood, while "Zero COVID" policies by some nations is having both economic and supply chain issues globally.	+ Protect and support Goodman Group's people + Global diversification of Goodman Group's property portfolios + Diversification of customer base + In-house property management team enabling flexibility to support and respond to customers + Capital model, strong balance sheet with adequate liquidity available.

Environmental regulations

The Consolidated Entity has policies and procedures to identify and appropriately address environmental obligations that might arise in respect of the Consolidated Entity's operations that are subject to significant environmental regulation under the laws of the countries the Consolidated Entity operates in. The Directors have determined that the Consolidated Entity has complied with those obligations during the financial year and that there has not been any material breach.

Disclosure in respect of any indemnification of Directors

A permitted indemnity provision (as defined in section 469 of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance) for the benefit of the Directors of the Company is currently in force and was in force throughout this year.

Directors' interests in contracts

No contract of significance in relation to the Consolidated Entity's business to which the Company, its subsidiaries or any of its fellow subsidiaries was a party and in which the Directors of the Company had a material interest, whether directly or indirectly, subsisted at the end of the year or at any time during the year.

Directors' interests in shares

At the end of the year, the Directors (including alternate Directors) held the following interests in the stapled securities of Goodman Group, which are listed on the ASX:

Directors	Directly held securities	Indirectly held securities	Total
Stephen Paul Johns	–	41,143	41,143
David Jeremy Collins	5,000	–	5,000
Gregory Leith Goodman	874,873	37,729,673	38,604,546
Daniel Cornelius Peeters	–	2,199,797	2,199,797

In addition, Gregory Goodman and Daniel Peeters participate in the LTIP under which they hold performance rights. Performance rights entitle participants to receive Goodman Group stapled securities without the payment of consideration, subject to Goodman Group satisfying performance criteria and the participants remaining employees of Goodman Group.

Details of the awards of performance rights under the LTIP granted as compensation to the Directors (including alternate Directors) at 30 June 2022 are as follows:

	Number of performance rights at the start of the year	Number of performance rights granted during the year	Number of performance rights vested during the year	Number of performance rights forfeited during the year	Number of performance rights at the end of the year	Date performance rights granted	Financial years in which grant vests
Gregory Leith Goodman	–	1,560,000	–	–	1,560,000	18 Nov 21	2026 – 2032
	950,000	–	–	–	950,000	19 Nov 20	2024 – 2026
	900,000	–	–	–	900,000	20 Nov 19	2023 – 2025
	1,600,000	–	(533,333)	–	1,066,667	15 Nov 18	2022 – 2024
	1,066,667	–	(533,333)	–	533,334	16 Nov 17	2021 – 2023
	800,000	–	(800,000)	–	–	30 Sep 16	2020 – 2022
Daniel Cornelius Peeters	–	625,000	–	–	625,000	18 Nov 21	2026 – 2032
	380,000	–	–	–	380,000	19 Nov 20	2024 – 2026
	350,000	–	–	–	350,000	20 Nov 19	2023 – 2025
	550,000	–	(183,333)	–	366,667	15 Nov 18	2022 – 2024
	366,667	–	(183,333)	–	183,334	16 Nov 17	2021 – 2023
	200,000	–	(200,000)	–	–	30 Sep 16	2020 – 2022

Apart from the above, at no time during the year was the Company, its subsidiaries or any of its fellow subsidiaries a party to any arrangement to enable the Directors of the Company to acquire benefits by means of the acquisition of shares in or debentures of the Company or any other related body corporate.

Report of the Directors

Auditors

KPMG retire and, being eligible, offer themselves for re-appointment.

A resolution for the re-appointment of KPMG as auditors of the Company is to be proposed at the forthcoming Annual General Meeting.

Subsequent events

There has not arisen in the interval between the end of the financial year and the date of this report any item, transaction or event of a material and unusual nature likely, in the opinion of the Directors, to affect significantly the operations of the Consolidated Entity, the results of those operations, or the state of affairs of the Consolidated Entity, in future financial years.

Declaration by the Group Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

The Directors have been given declarations equivalent to those required of listed Australian companies by section 295A of the *Corporations Act 2001* from the Group Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer for the year ended 30 June 2022.

By order of the Board of Directors



Stephen Paul Johns
Independent Chairman



David Jeremy Collins
Director

Sydney
16 August 2022



Independent Auditor's Report

To the members of Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (Incorporated in Hong Kong with limited liability)

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (the Company) and its subsidiaries (the Group) set out on pages 167 to 206, which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as at 30 June 2022, the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, the consolidated statement of changes in equity and the consolidated cash flow statement for the year then ended and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view of the consolidated financial position of the Group as at 30 June 2022 and of its consolidated financial performance and its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards (HKFRSs) issued by the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (HKICPA) and have been properly prepared in compliance with the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Hong Kong Standards on Auditing (HKSAs) issued by the HKICPA. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial statements* section of our report. We are independent of the Group in accordance with the HKICPA's *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* (the Code) and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the Code. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Information other than the consolidated financial statements and auditor's report thereon

The Directors are responsible for the other information which comprises all the information included in the Company's Report of the Directors.

Our opinion on the consolidated financial statements does not cover the other information and we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the consolidated financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the consolidated financial statements or our knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated.

If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, we are required to report that fact. We have nothing to report in this regard.

Responsibilities of the Directors for the consolidated financial statements

The Directors are responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements that give a true and fair view in accordance with HKFRSs issued by the HKICPA and the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance and for such internal control as the Directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, the Directors are responsible for assessing the Group's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the Directors either intend to liquidate the Group or to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the consolidated financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. This report is made solely to you, as a body, in accordance with section 405 of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance, and for no other purpose. We do not assume responsibility towards or accept liability to any other person for the contents of this report.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with HKSAs will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with HKSAs, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- + Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- + Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Group's internal control.
- + Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the Directors.



Independent Auditor's Report (continued)

To the members of Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (incorporated in Hong Kong with limited liability)

- + Conclude on the appropriateness of the Directors' use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Group's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Group to cease to continue as a going concern.
- + Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- + Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Group to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the Group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

We communicate with the Directors regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Certified Public Accountants
8th Floor, Prince's Building
10 Chater Road
Central, Hong Kong
16 August 2022

Consolidated statement of financial position

as at 30 June 2022

(expressed in Australian dollars)

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	17(a)	357.5	358.4
Inventories	6(b)	175.2	106.4
Receivables	7	115.6	744.3
Contract assets	8	60.5	55.7
Current tax receivables	4(c)	0.6	4.2
Other assets		3.2	12.9
Total current assets		712.6	1,281.9
Non-current assets			
Inventories	6(b)	1,377.4	1,034.5
Investment properties	6(b)	336.8	163.9
Investments accounted for using the equity method	6(b)	1,845.6	1,470.0
Receivables	7	789.6	276.2
Other financial assets	13	174.8	102.6
Deferred tax assets	4(d)	18.8	15.2
Property, plant and equipment		24.0	17.1
Other assets		3.7	4.8
Total non-current assets		4,570.7	3,084.3
Total assets		5,283.3	4,366.2
Current liabilities			
Payables	9	274.6	263.0
Loans from related parties	21(c)	125.4	806.7
Current tax payables	4(c)	32.5	48.9
Employee benefits		49.0	45.1
Dividend payable	15	46.7	110.8
Other financial liabilities	13	45.4	-
Total current liabilities		573.6	1,274.5
Non-current liabilities			
Payables	9	93.2	124.7
Interest bearing liabilities	12	9.0	-
Loans from related parties	21(c)	1,815.6	1,084.4
Deferred tax liabilities	4(d)	50.5	1.6
Employee benefits		13.9	22.0
Other financial liabilities	13	141.4	89.1
Total non-current liabilities		2,123.6	1,321.8
Total liabilities		2,697.2	2,596.3
Net assets		2,586.1	1,769.9
Equity attributable to Shareholders			
Share capital	16(a)	873.0	791.9
Reserves	18	(605.1)	(629.0)
Retained earnings	19	2,290.0	1,584.8
Total equity attributable to Shareholders		2,557.9	1,747.7
Non-controlling interests		28.2	22.2
Total equity		2,586.1	1,769.9

The notes on pages 171 to 206 form part of these consolidated financial statements.

Approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on 16 August 2022.



Stephen Paul Johns
Director



David Jeremy Collins
Director

Consolidated statement of comprehensive income

for the year ended 30 June 2022

(expressed in Australian dollars)

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Revenue			
Gross property income		45.9	15.6
Management income	2	193.7	188.7
Development income	2	1,075.0	1,171.7
Dividends from investments		0.2	0.8
		1,314.8	1,376.8
Property and development expenses			
Property expenses		(5.0)	(2.2)
Development expenses	2	(433.5)	(684.7)
		(438.5)	(686.9)
Other income			
Net loss from fair value adjustments on investment properties	6(e)	(0.3)	–
Net gain/(loss) on disposal of investment properties		3.8	(1.9)
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	6(f)	345.3	164.7
Net gain on disposal of equity accounted investments		0.2	1.8
		349.0	164.6
Other expenses			
Employee expenses		(170.5)	(166.6)
Share based payments expense	16(b)	(94.0)	(124.0)
Administrative and other expenses		(37.4)	(33.0)
Transaction management fees		(23.1)	(42.4)
		(325.0)	(366.0)
Profit before interest and income tax		900.3	488.5
Net finance expense			
Finance income	11	48.0	21.8
Finance expense	11	(71.4)	(82.9)
Net finance expense		(23.4)	(61.1)
Profit before income tax		876.9	427.4
Income tax expense	4 (a)	(114.1)	(12.2)
Profit for the year		762.8	415.2
Profit for the year attributable to:			
Shareholders	19	751.9	408.4
Non-controlling interests		10.9	6.8
Profit for the year		762.8	415.2
Other comprehensive income/(loss)			
Items that will not be reclassified to profit or loss			
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets		9.4	7.6
Actuarial gains/(losses) on defined benefit retirement schemes (net of tax)		5.6	(6.0)
		15.0	1.6
Item that may be reclassified subsequently to profit or loss			
Effect of foreign currency translation		0.1	(21.5)
		0.1	(21.5)
Other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year, net of tax		15.1	(19.9)
Total comprehensive income for the year		777.9	395.3
Total comprehensive income for the year attributable to:			
Shareholders		767.8	389.0
Non-controlling interests		10.1	6.3
Total comprehensive income for the year		777.9	395.3

The notes on pages 171 to 206 form part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated statement of changes in equity

for the year ended 30 June 2022

Year ended 30 June 2021

(expressed in Australian dollars)

	Note	Attributable to Shareholders				Non-controlling interests	Total equity
		Share capital	Reserves	Retained earnings	Total		
		\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Balance at 1 July 2020		732.0	(624.5)	1,287.2	1,394.7	20.0	1,414.7
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year							
Profit for the year	19	–	–	408.4	408.4	6.8	415.2
Other comprehensive loss for the year		–	(19.4)	–	(19.4)	(0.5)	(19.9)
Total comprehensive (loss)/income for the year, net of income tax		–	(19.4)	408.4	389.0	6.3	395.3
Contributions by and distributions to owners							
Dividends declared/paid	15	–	–	(110.8)	(110.8)	(9.0)	(119.8)
Issue of shares to employees of Goodman Group	16(a)	48.6	–	–	48.6	–	48.6
Issue of ordinary shares	16(a)	11.3	–	–	11.3	–	11.3
Equity settled share based payments transactions	18(c)	–	6.8	–	6.8	–	6.8
Deferred tax associated with the LTIP	18(c)	–	8.1	–	8.1	–	8.1
Acquisition of special purpose development entity with non-controlling interests		–	–	–	–	4.9	4.9
Balance at 30 June 2021		791.9	(629.0)	1,584.8	1,747.7	22.2	1,769.9

Year ended 30 June 2022

(expressed in Australian dollars)

	Note	Attributable to Shareholders				Non-controlling interests	Total equity
		Share capital	Reserves	Retained earnings	Total		
		\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Balance at 1 July 2021		791.9	(629.0)	1,584.8	1,747.7	22.2	1,769.9
Total comprehensive income/(loss) for the year							
Profit for the year	19	–	–	751.9	751.9	10.9	762.8
Other comprehensive income/(loss) for the year		–	15.9	–	15.9	(0.8)	15.1
Total comprehensive income for the year, net of income tax		–	15.9	751.9	767.8	10.1	777.9
Contributions by and distributions to owners							
Dividends declared/paid	15	–	–	(46.7)	(46.7)	(5.5)	(52.2)
Issue of shares to employees of Goodman Group	16(a)	57.4	–	–	57.4	–	57.4
Issue of treasury shares	16(a)	4.8	–	–	4.8	–	4.8
Issue of ordinary shares	16(a)	18.9	–	–	18.9	–	18.9
Equity settled share based payments transactions	18(c)	–	12.2	–	12.2	–	12.2
Deferred tax associated with the LTIP	18(c)	–	(4.2)	–	(4.2)	–	(4.2)
Acquisition of special purpose development entity with non-controlling interests		–	–	–	–	1.4	1.4
Balance at 30 June 2022		873.0	(605.1)	2,290.0	2,557.9	28.2	2,586.1

The notes on pages 171 to 206 form part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated cash flow statement

for the year ended 30 June 2022

(expressed in Australian dollars)

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Cash flows from operating activities			
Property income received		42.7	14.7
Cash receipts from development activities		1,036.2	1,155.0
Other cash receipts from services provided		300.0	251.7
Property expenses paid		(5.9)	(2.0)
Payments for development activities		(886.8)	(821.6)
Other cash payments in the course of operations		(262.6)	(204.8)
Dividends/distributions received		66.1	81.7
Interest received		15.5	16.1
Finance costs paid		(1.0)	(1.0)
Net income taxes paid		(87.7)	(16.2)
Net cash provided by operating activities	17(b)	216.5	473.6
Cash flows from investing activities			
Net proceeds from disposal of investment properties		272.5	5.4
Payments for investment properties		(413.0)	(173.0)
Net proceeds from disposal of equity accounted investments		4.4	–
Return of capital from equity accounted investments		166.3	139.8
Payments for equity investments		(263.3)	(243.1)
Payments for plant and equipment		(1.7)	(0.3)
Net cash used in investing activities		(234.8)	(271.2)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Net proceeds from issue of ordinary shares		18.9	11.3
Proceeds from borrowings		9.0	–
Net proceeds from/(repayments of) loans with related parties		107.5	(83.7)
Payments on derivative financial instruments		–	(42.2)
Dividends paid to Shareholders		(110.8)	(73.1)
Dividends paid to non-controlling interests		(5.5)	(9.0)
Payments of lease liabilities		(8.0)	(8.7)
Capital contributed by non-controlling interests		1.4	4.9
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities		12.5	(200.5)
Net (decrease)/increase in cash held		(5.8)	1.9
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year		358.4	368.2
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held		4.9	(11.7)
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	17(a)	357.5	358.4

The notes on pages 171 to 206 form part of these consolidated financial statements.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

(expressed in Australian dollars)

BASIS OF PREPARATION

1 Basis of preparation

(a) Statement of compliance

These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with all applicable Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards (HKFRSs), which collective term includes all applicable individual Hong Kong Financial Reporting Standards, Hong Kong Accounting Standards and Interpretations issued by the Hong Kong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (HKICPA) and accounting principles generally accepted in Hong Kong. These financial statements also comply with the applicable requirements of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance.

(b) Basis of preparation of the consolidated financial statements

The measurement basis used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements is the historical cost basis except for investment properties and other financial assets which are stated at fair value.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with HKFRSs requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of policies and reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. The estimates and associated assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making the judgements about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised if the revision affects only that period, or in the period of the revision and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods.

(c) Accounting for acquisitions of non-controlling interests

Acquisitions of non-controlling interests are accounted for as transactions with equity holders in their capacity as equity holders and therefore no gain or loss and no goodwill is recognised as a result of such transactions.

(d) Foreign currency translation

Functional and presentation currency

Items included in the consolidated financial statements of each of the Company's subsidiaries are measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates (functional currency). The consolidated financial statements are presented in Australian dollars, which is the Company's functional and presentation currency.

Transactions

Foreign currency transactions are translated to each entity's functional currency at rates approximating the foreign exchange rates ruling at the dates of the transactions. Amounts receivable and payable in foreign currencies at the reporting date are translated at the rates of exchange ruling on that date. Resulting exchange differences are recognised in profit or loss.

Non-monetary assets and liabilities that are measured in terms of historical cost are translated at rates of exchange applicable at the date of the initial transaction. Non-monetary items which are measured at fair value in a foreign currency are translated using the exchange rates at the date when the fair value was determined.

Translation of controlled foreign operations

The assets and liabilities of controlled foreign operations are translated into Australian dollars at foreign exchange rates applicable at the reporting date.

Revenue and expenses are translated at weighted average rates for the financial year. Exchange differences arising on translation are taken directly to the foreign currency translation reserve until the disposal or partial disposal of the operations.

Exchange differences arising on monetary items that form part of the net investment in a controlled foreign operation are recognised in the foreign currency translation reserve on consolidation.

Exchange rates used

The following exchange rates are the main exchange rates used in translating foreign currency transactions, balances and financial statements to Australian dollars.

Australian dollars (AUD) to	Weighted average		As at 30 June	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
Hong Kong dollars (HKD)	5.6626	5.7958	5.4241	5.8222
Chinese yuan (CNY)	4.6840	4.9419	4.6154	4.8412
Japanese yen (JPY)	85.1512	79.6101	93.7770	83.2780
Euros (EUR)	0.6442	0.6262	0.6594	0.6327
British pounds sterling (GBP)	0.5456	0.5546	0.5676	0.5432
United States dollars (USD)	0.7255	0.7472	0.6912	0.7497

(e) Changes in accounting policies

A fundamental reform of major interest rate benchmarks is being undertaken globally, including the replacement of some interbank offered rates (IBORs) with alternative risk-free rates (referred to as IBOR reform). The Consolidated Entity has adopted Interest Rate Benchmark Reform – Phase 2 (Amendments to International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 9, International Accounting Standard (IAS) 39, IFRS 7, IFRS 4 and IFRS 16) from 1 July 2021. These amendments provide reliefs relating to modifications of financial instruments and lease contracts or hedging relationships triggered by a replacement of a benchmark interest rate in a contract with a new alternative benchmark rate.

The overall impact of the IBOR reform is not significant in the context of the Consolidated Entity's financial position and performance.

See note 14 for related disclosures about risks, financial assets and financial liabilities indexed to IBORs.

(f) Accounting standards issued but not yet effective

The Consolidated Entity has not applied any new standard or interpretation that is not yet effective for the current accounting period. None of the new accounting standards or interpretations is expected to have a significant impact on the future results of the Consolidated Entity.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Basis of preparation (continued)

(g) Critical accounting estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires estimates and assumptions concerning the application of accounting policies and the future to be made by the Consolidated Entity. Estimates are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

The estimates and assumptions that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year can be found in the following notes:

- + Note 6 – Property assets
- + Note 14 – Financial risk management.

The accounting impacts of revisions to estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised and in any future periods affected.

Measurement of fair values

A number of the Consolidated Entity's accounting policies and disclosures require the measurement of fair values, for both financial and non-financial assets and liabilities.

When measuring the fair value of an asset or a liability, the Consolidated Entity uses market observable data as far as possible. Fair values are categorised into different levels in a fair value hierarchy and have been defined as follows:

- + Level 1: quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- + Level 2: inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices)
- + Level 3: inputs for the asset or liability that are not based on observable market data (unobservable inputs).

Further information about the assumptions made in measuring fair values is included in the following notes:

- + Note 6 – Property assets
- + Note 14 – Financial risk management.

RESULTS FOR THE YEAR

2 Profit before interest and income tax

Gross property income

Gross property income comprises rental income under operating leases (net of incentives provided) and amounts billed to customers for outgoings (e.g. rates, levies, cleaning, security, etc). Amounts billed to customers for outgoings are a cost recovery for the Consolidated Entity and are recognised once the expense has been incurred. The expense is included in property expenses.

Rental income under operating leases is recognised on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease contract. Where operating lease rental income is recognised relating to fixed increases in rentals in future years, an asset is recognised. This asset is a component of the relevant investment property carrying amount. The cost of lease incentives provided to customers is amortised on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease as a reduction of gross property income.

Management and development income

The revenue from management and development activities is measured based on the consideration specified in a contract with a customer.

The Consolidated Entity recognises revenue when it transfers control over a product or service to a customer.

Management income

Fee income derived from management services relates to investment management base fees and property services fees and is recognised and invoiced progressively as the services are provided. Customers make payments usually either monthly or quarterly in arrears.

Performance related management income generally relates to portfolio performance fee income, which is recognised progressively as the services are provided but only when the income can be reliably measured and is highly probable of not being reversed. These portfolio performance fees are typically dependent on the overall returns of a Partnership relative to an agreed benchmark return, assessed over the life of the Partnership, which can vary from one year to seven years. The returns are impacted by operational factors such as the quality and location of the portfolio, active property management, rental income rates and development activity but can also be significantly affected by changes in global and local economic conditions. Accordingly, portfolio performance fee revenue is only recognised towards the end of the relevant assessment period, as prior to this revenue recognition is not considered to be sufficiently certain.

In determining the amount of revenue that can be reliably measured, management prepares a sensitivity analysis to understand the impact of changes in asset valuations on the potential performance fee at the assessment date. The assessment of revenue will depend on the prevailing market conditions at the reporting date relative to long-term averages and also the length of time until the assessment date e.g. the longer the time period to assessment date, the greater the impact of the sensitivity analysis. The potential portfolio performance fee revenue is then recognised based on the length of time from the start of the assessment period to the reporting date as a proportion of the total assessment period. Where the income is attributable to development activities or it relates to a combination of inextricable management and development activities that have occurred over the performance fee period, then it is reported as development income, otherwise the income is reported as management income. The Partnerships make payments in respect of portfolio performances fees at the end of the performance periods, when the attainment of the conditions has been verified and the amount of the fee has been agreed by all parties.

Development income – disposal of inventories

The disposal of inventories is recognised at the point in time when control over the property asset is transferred to the customer. This will generally occur on transfer of legal title and payment in full by the customer. The gain or loss on disposal of inventories is calculated as the difference between the carrying amount of the asset at the time of disposal and the proceeds on disposal (less transaction costs) and is included in profit or loss in the period of disposal.

Development income – development management services

Fee income from development management services (including master-planning, development management and overall project management) is recognised progressively as the services are provided in proportion to the stage of completion by reference to costs. Payments are received in accordance with the achievement of agreed milestones over the development period. The development period can be up to 24 months for larger and more complex developments.

Performance related development income includes income associated with the returns from individual developments under the Consolidated Entity's management and performance fee income that relates to development activity. Income in respect of individual developments is recognised by the Consolidated Entity on attainment of the performance related conditions, which is when the income can be reliably measured and is highly probable of not being reversed. These amounts are paid by the Partnership when the amounts have been measured and agreed. Income associated with development activities as part of a portfolio assessment is recognised on the same basis as outlined above in the management income section.

Development income – fixed price development contracts

Certain development activities are assessed as being fixed price development contracts. This occurs when a signed contract exists, either prior to the commencement of or during the development phase, to acquire a development asset from the Consolidated Entity on completion. Revenue and expenses relating to these development contracts are recognised in profit or loss in proportion to the stage of completion of the relevant contracts by reference to costs. The payments may be on completion of the development once legal title has been transferred. The development period can be up to 24 months for larger and more complex developments.

Net gain/(loss) on disposal of investment properties

The disposal of an investment property is recognised at the point in time when control over the property has been transferred to the purchaser.

Employee benefits

Wages, salaries and annual leave

Wages and salaries, including non-monetary benefits, and annual leave represent present obligations resulting from employees' services provided to the reporting date. These are calculated at undiscounted amounts based on rates that are expected to be paid as at the reporting date including related on-costs, such as workers' compensation insurance and payroll tax.

Bonuses

A liability is recognised in other payables and accruals for bonuses where there is a contractual obligation or where there is a past practice that has created a constructive obligation. Liabilities for bonuses that are expected to be settled within 12 months are measured at the amounts expected to be paid, including related on-costs, when they are settled.

Superannuation

Defined contribution retirement plans

Obligations for contributions to defined contribution retirement plans are recognised as an expense as incurred.

Defined benefit retirement schemes

The net obligation in respect of defined benefit retirement schemes is recognised in the statement of financial position and is calculated separately for each plan by estimating the amount of future benefit that employees have earned in the current and prior periods, discounting that amount and deducting the fair value of any plan assets. The calculation of defined benefit obligations is performed annually by a qualified actuary using the projected unit credit method. Remeasurements of the net defined benefit liability, which comprise actuarial gains and losses and the return on plan assets (excluding interest), are recognised immediately in other comprehensive income. Net interest expense and other expenses related to defined benefit retirement schemes are recognised in profit or loss.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

2 Profit before interest and income tax (continued)

Profit before interest and income tax has been arrived at after crediting/(charging) the following items:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Management services	162.7	135.1
Performance related income	31.0	53.6
Management income	193.7	188.7
Income from disposal of inventories	747.4	809.8
Development income from fixed price development contracts	114.6	98.5
Other development income, including development management ¹	169.6	131.1
Net gain on disposal of assets previously classified as held for sale	–	132.3
Net gain on disposal of special purpose development entities, including JVs	43.4	–
Development income	1,075.0	1,171.7
Inventory cost of sales	(354.9)	(619.4)
Other development expenses	(78.6)	(65.3)
Development expenses	(433.5)	(684.7)
Included in employee expenses are the following items:		
Salaries, wages and other benefits	(169.1)	(163.5)
Contributions to defined contribution retirement plans	(1.4)	(3.1)
Employee expenses	(170.5)	(166.6)
Depreciation of plant and equipment	(8.7)	(9.6)
Auditor's remuneration	(1.5)	(1.5)

1. Fee revenues from single contractual arrangements involving a combination of inextricable investment management and development management services and recognised over the life of the underlying developments projects are classified as development income for statutory reporting purposes. During the period, \$77.0 million (2021: \$75.2 million) of such income was recognised.

3 Segment reporting

An operating segment is a component of the Consolidated Entity that engages in business activities from which it may earn revenues and incur expenses. The Consolidated Entity reports the results and financial position of its operating segments based on the internal reports regularly reviewed by the Group Chief Executive Officer in order to assess each segment's performance and to allocate resources to them.

Operating segment information is reported on a geographic basis and the Consolidated Entity has determined that its operating segments are Asia (which consists of Greater China and Japan), Continental Europe and the United Kingdom.

The activities and services undertaken by the operating segments include:

- + Property investment, both through direct ownership and cornerstone investments in Partnerships
- + Management activities, both investment and property management
- + Development activities, including development of directly owned assets (predominantly disclosed as inventories) and management of development activities for the Consolidated Entity's Partnerships.

The segment results that are reported to the Group Chief Executive Officer are based on profit before net finance expense and income tax expense, and also exclude non-cash items such as fair value adjustments and impairments, corporate expenses and share based remuneration. The assets allocated to each operating segment relate to the properties, which also include the investments in Partnerships, and the trade and other receivables associated with the operating activities, but exclude receivables from GL, GIT and their controlled entities, income tax receivables and corporate assets. The liabilities allocated to each operating segment primarily relate to trade and other payables associated with the operating activities, but exclude payables to GL, GIT and their controlled entities, provision for dividends to Shareholders, income tax payables and corporate liabilities.

The accounting policies used to report segment information are the same as those used to prepare the consolidated financial statements for the Consolidated Entity.

For the purpose of operating segment reporting, there are no material intersegment revenues and costs.

Information regarding the operations of each reportable segment is included on the following pages.

Information about reportable segments

	Asia		Continental Europe		United Kingdom		Total	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Statement of comprehensive income								
External revenues								
Gross property income	15.3	6.2	27.6	8.4	3.0	1.0	45.9	15.6
Management income	96.8	77.8	90.9	106.7	6.0	4.2	193.7	188.7
Development income	150.2	129.9	892.1	796.5	32.7	245.3	1,075.0	1,171.7
Dividends from investments	0.2	0.8	–	–	–	–	0.2	0.8
Total external revenues	262.5	214.7	1,010.6	911.6	41.7	250.5	1,314.8	1,376.8
Analysis of external revenues:								
Revenues from contracts with customers								
Assets and services transferred at a point in time	24.8	10.3	792.2	729.9	12.8	228.8	829.8	969.0
Assets and services transferred over time	223.2	197.7	193.7	174.0	25.9	20.8	442.8	392.5
Other revenue								
Rental income (excludes outgoings recoveries)	14.3	5.9	24.7	7.7	3.0	0.9	42.0	14.5
Dividends from investments	0.2	0.8	–	–	–	–	0.2	0.8
Total external revenues	262.5	214.7	1,010.6	911.6	41.7	250.5	1,314.8	1,376.8
Reportable segment profit before income tax	252.5	205.4	505.2	376.5	42.8	20.4	800.5	602.3
Other key components of financial performance included in reportable segment profit before income tax								
Share of net results of equity accounted investments in Partnerships (before fair value adjustments)	67.2	60.4	7.6	6.5	9.8	6.1	84.6	73.0
Material non-cash items not included in reportable segment profit before income tax								
Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties in Partnerships	43.7	44.2	22.3	19.2	203.8	26.8	269.8	90.2
	Asia		Continental Europe		United Kingdom		Total	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Statement of financial position								
Reportable segment assets	1,971.9	1,573.6	1,312.4	1,030.2	957.2	752.2	4,241.5	3,356.0
Included in reportable segment assets are:								
Investments in Partnerships	1,000.8	908.0	164.2	154.0	680.6	408.0	1,845.6	1,470.0
Non-current assets	1,743.8	1,306.6	1,017.0	779.6	899.0	673.6	3,659.8	2,759.8
Additions to non-current assets include:								
– Investment properties	181.3	138.4	–	–	243.6	25.8	424.9	164.2
– Investments accounted for using the equity method	99.9	57.1	1.3	10.0	162.1	178.8	263.3	245.9
Reportable segment liabilities	160.8	137.0	124.1	106.3	113.5	85.8	398.4	329.1

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

3 Segment reporting (continued)

Reconciliation of reportable segment revenue, profit or loss, assets and liabilities

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Revenue			
Total revenue for reportable segments		1,314.8	1,376.8
Consolidated revenues		1,314.8	1,376.8
Profit or loss			
Total profit before income tax for reportable segments		800.5	602.3
Corporate expenses not allocated to reportable segments		(66.6)	(81.5)
		733.9	520.8
Valuation and other adjustments not included in reportable segment profit before income tax:			
– Net loss from fair value adjustments on investment properties	6(e)	(0.3)	–
– Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties in Partnerships	6(f)	269.8	90.2
– Share of fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments in Partnerships	6(f)	(9.1)	1.5
– Share based payments expense	16(b)	(94.0)	(124.0)
Net finance expense	11	(23.4)	(61.1)
Consolidated profit before income tax		876.9	427.4
Assets			
Total assets for reportable segments		4,241.5	3,356.0
Other unallocated amounts ¹		1,041.8	1,010.2
Consolidated total assets		5,283.3	4,366.2
Liabilities			
Total liabilities for reportable segments		398.4	329.1
Other unallocated amounts ¹		2,298.8	2,267.2
Consolidated total liabilities		2,697.2	2,596.3

1. Other unallocated amounts comprise principally receivables from and payables to GL, GIT and their controlled entities.

4 Taxation

Income tax for the period comprises current tax and movements in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Current tax and movements in deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised in profit or loss except to the extent that they relate to items recognised in other comprehensive income or directly in equity, in which case the relevant amounts of tax are recognised in other comprehensive income or directly in equity respectively.

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the period, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from deductible and taxable temporary differences respectively, being the differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets also arise from unused tax losses and unused tax credits. Apart from differences which arise on initial recognition of assets and liabilities, all deferred tax liabilities and all deferred tax assets, to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilised, are recognised.

The amount of deferred tax recognised is measured based on the expected manner of realisation or settlement of the carrying amount of the assets and liabilities, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are not discounted.

(a) Taxation in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current tax expense – Hong Kong profits tax		
Current year	(14.2)	(15.1)
Changes in estimates related to prior years	1.9	1.6
	(12.3)	(13.5)
Current tax expense – overseas		
Current year	(59.8)	(9.2)
Changes in estimates related to prior years	0.4	5.7
	(59.4)	(3.5)
Deferred tax (expense)/benefit		
Origination and reversal of temporary differences	(42.4)	4.8
	(42.4)	4.8
Total income tax expense	(114.1)	(12.2)

The provision for Hong Kong profits tax for the year ended 30 June 2022 is calculated at 16.5% (2021: 16.5%) of the estimated assessable profits for the year. Taxation for overseas subsidiaries is charged at the appropriate current rates of taxation ruling in the relevant countries.

(b) Reconciliation between accounting profit and income tax expense at applicable tax rates

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Profit before income tax	876.9	427.4
Notional tax on profit before income tax, calculated at the rates applicable to profits in the countries concerned	(206.4)	(133.3)
(Increase)/decrease in income tax due to:		
– Current year losses for which no deferred tax asset was recognised	(11.4)	(15.3)
– Non-assessable income	123.5	172.8
– Non-deductible expense	(45.4)	(47.1)
– Utilisation of previously unrecognised tax losses	23.3	3.4
– Changes in estimates related to prior years	2.3	7.3
Income tax expense	(114.1)	(12.2)

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Results for the year (continued)

4 Taxation (continued)

(c) Current tax receivables/payables

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Net income tax payable at the beginning of the year	(44.7)	(47.4)
Decrease/(increase) in current net tax payable due to:		
– Net income taxes paid	87.7	16.2
– Net income tax expense on current year's profit	(74.0)	(24.3)
– Changes in estimates related to prior years	2.3	7.3
– Other	(3.2)	3.5
Net income tax payable at the end of the year	(31.9)	(44.7)
Current tax receivables	0.6	4.2
Current tax payables	(32.5)	(48.9)
	(31.9)	(44.7)

(d) Deferred tax assets and liabilities

Deferred tax assets of \$18.8 million (2021: \$15.2 million) arising from performance rights awarded under the LTIP and deferred tax liabilities of \$50.5 million (2021: \$1.6 million) arising from investment properties were recognised in the consolidated statement of financial position.

Movements in deferred taxes recognised in expenses and equity are attributable to the following:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Deferred tax (expense)/benefit recognised in expenses		
Investment properties – fair value adjustments	(54.6)	(0.1)
LTIP	7.8	5.6
Other items	4.4	(0.7)
Total deferred tax (expense)/benefit recognised in expenses	(42.4)	4.8
Deferred tax benefit/(expense) recognised in equity		
LTIP	(4.2)	8.1
Other items	–	(4.7)
Total deferred tax (expense)/benefit recognised in equity	(4.2)	3.4
Total deferred tax movements recognised in expenses and equity	(46.6)	8.2

Deferred tax assets of \$190.0 million (2021: \$236.6 million) arising primarily from tax losses have not been recognised by the Consolidated Entity.

5 Profit attributable to equity shareholders of the Company

The consolidated profit attributable to equity shareholders of the Company includes a profit of \$265.3 million (2021: \$329.9 million) which has been dealt with in the financial statements of the Company.

OPERATING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

6 Property assets

(a) Types of property assets

Investment in property assets includes both inventories and investment properties (including those under development), which may be held either directly or through investments in Partnerships.

Inventories

Inventories relate to land and property developments that are held for sale or development and sale in the normal course of business. Inventories are carried at the lower of cost or net realisable value. The calculation of net realisable value requires estimates and assumptions which are regularly evaluated and are based on historical experience and expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances.

Inventories are classified as non-current assets unless they are contracted to be sold within 12 months of the end of the reporting period, in which case they are classified as current assets.

Investment properties

Investment properties comprise investment interests in land and buildings held for the purpose of leasing to produce rental income and/or for capital appreciation. Investment properties are carried at fair value. The calculation of fair value requires estimates and assumptions which are continually evaluated and are based on historical experience and expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Investment properties are not depreciated as they are subject to continual maintenance and regularly revalued on the basis described below. Changes in the fair value of investment properties are recognised directly in profit or loss.

Components of investment properties

Land and buildings (including integral plant and equipment) comprising investment properties are regarded as composite assets and are disclosed as such in the consolidated financial statements.

Investment property carrying values include the costs of acquiring the assets and subsequent costs of development, including costs of all labour and materials used in construction, costs of managing the projects, holding costs and borrowing costs incurred during the development periods.

Amounts provided to customers as lease incentives and assets relating to fixed rental income increases in operating lease contracts are included within investment property values. Lease incentives are amortised over the term of the lease on a straight-line basis. Direct expenditure associated with leasing a property is also capitalised within investment property values and amortised over the term of the lease.

Classification of investment properties

Investment properties are classified as either properties under development or stabilised properties. Investment properties under development include land, new investment properties in the course of construction and investment properties that are being redeveloped. Stabilised investment properties are all investment properties not classified as being under development and would be completed properties that are leased or are available for lease to customers.

For investment properties under development, the carrying values are reviewed by management at each reporting date to consider whether they reflect their fair values and at completion external valuations are obtained to determine the fair values.

For stabilised investment properties, independent valuations are obtained at least every two years to determine the fair values. At each reporting date between obtaining independent valuations, the carrying values are reviewed by management to ensure they reflect the fair values.

Deposits for investment properties

Deposits and other costs associated with acquiring investment properties that are incurred prior to obtaining legal title are recorded at cost and disclosed as other assets in the consolidated statement of financial position.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

(b) Summary of the Consolidated Entity's investment in property assets

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Directly held properties:			
Inventories			
Current	6(d)	175.2	106.4
Non-current	6(d)	1,377.4	1,034.5
		1,552.6	1,140.9
Investment properties			
Stabilised investment properties	6(e)	336.8	163.9
		336.8	163.9
Property held by Partnerships:			
Investments accounted for using the equity method – JVs	6(f)	1,845.6	1,470.0
		1,845.6	1,470.0

(c) Estimates and assumptions in determining property carrying values

Inventories

For both inventories held directly and inventories held in Partnerships, external valuations are not performed but instead valuations are determined using the feasibility studies supporting the land and property developments. The end values of the developments in the feasibility studies are based on assumptions such as capitalisation rates, letting up periods and incentives that are consistent with those observed in the relevant market. If the feasibility study calculations indicate that the forecast cost of a completed development will exceed the net realisable value, then the inventories are impaired.

Investment properties

Stabilised investment properties

The fair value of stabilised investment properties is based on current prices in an active market for similar properties in the same location and condition and subject to similar lease and other contracts. The current price is the estimated amount for which a property could be exchanged between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion.

Approach to determination of fair value

The approach to determination of fair value of investment properties is applied to both investment properties held directly and investment properties held in Partnerships.

Valuations are determined based on assessments and estimates of uncertain future events, including upturns and downturns in property markets and availability of similar properties, vacancy rates, market rents and capitalisation and discount rates. Recent and relevant sales evidence and other market data are taken into account. Valuations are either based on an external, independent valuation or on an internal valuation.

External valuations are undertaken only where market segments were observed to be active. In making the determination of whether a market segment is active, the following characteristics are considered:

- + Function of the asset (distribution/warehouse or suburban office)
- + Location of the asset (city, suburb or regional area)
- + Carrying value of the asset (categorised by likely appeal to private (including syndicates), national and/or institutional investors)
- + Categorisation as primary or secondary based on a combination of location, weighted average lease expiry, quality of tenant covenant (internal assessment based on available market evidence) and age of construction.

Each property asset is assessed and grouped with assets in the same or similar market segments. Information on all relevant recent sales is also analysed using the same criteria to provide a comparative set. The number of sales and the circumstances of each sale are assessed to determine whether a market segment is active or inactive.

Where a market segment is observed to be active, then external independent valuations are performed for stabilised investment properties where there has been a combination of factors that are likely to have resulted in a material movement in valuation. The considerations include a greater than 10% movement in market rents, more than a 25 basis point movement in capitalisation rates, a material change in tenancy profile (including changes in the creditworthiness of a significant customer that may have a material impact on the property valuation), significant capital expenditure, a change in use (or zoning), a development has reached completion/stabilisation of the asset or it has been two years since the previous external independent valuation. For all other stabilised investment properties in an active market segment, an internal valuation is performed based on observable capitalisation rates and referenced to independent market data.

Where a market segment is observed to be inactive, then no external independent valuations are performed and internal valuations are undertaken based on discounted cash flow (DCF) calculations. The DCF calculations are prepared over a 10-year period. The key inputs considered for each individual calculation are:

- + Current contractual lease terms
- + Current market rents
- + Projected growth in market rents
- + Expected and likely capital expenditures
- + Projected letting up incentives provided to customers and vacant time on expiry of leases
- + Discount rates – computed using the 10-year bond rate or equivalent in each jurisdiction plus increments to reflect country risk, tenant credit risk and industry risk. Where possible, the components of the discount rate are benchmarked to available market data.

Market assessment

The investment market for industrial, logistics and warehousing properties has continued to be strong during FY22, despite the increased interest rates in the last quarter of FY22. At 30 June 2022, the Board has been able to assess that all markets in which the Consolidated Entity operated were active and as a consequence no adjustments have been made to the carrying values of the Consolidated Entity's stabilised investment property portfolios on the basis of internally prepared DCF valuations.

The overall weighted average capitalisation rates for the divisional portfolios (including Partnerships) are set out in the table below:

Segment	Total portfolio weighted average capitalisation rate	
	2022 %	2021 %
Asia	5.2	5.4
Continental Europe	3.4	3.7
United Kingdom	3.7	4.1

Sensitivity Analysis

The fair value measurement approach for directly held investment properties has been categorised as a Level 3 fair value based on the inputs to the valuation method used (see note 1(g)). The stabilised investment property valuations at 30 June 2022 are most sensitive to the following inputs:

- + Capitalisation rates
- + Market rents
- + Incentives provided to customers and/or vacant time on expiry of leases.

The directly held stabilised investment properties are in Asia. The average net market rent and weighted average capitalisation rate is summarised in the table below:

Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	2022
Income capitalisation	Average net market rent (per square metre per annum)	\$258
	Capitalisation rate (weighted average)	4.2%

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

The impacts on the Consolidated Entity's financial position that would arise from the changes in capitalisation rates, market rents and incentives/vacant time are set out in the table below. This illustrates the impacts on the Consolidated Entity in respect of both the directly held stabilised investment properties and its share of those stabilised investment properties held by Partnerships.

	Directly held properties A\$M	Partnerships ¹ \$M
Book value at 30 June 2022	336.8	1,907.8
Changes in capitalisation rates:		
Increase in capitalisation rates +50 basis points (bps)	(35.6)	(195.2)
Increase in capitalisation rates +25 bps	(18.8)	(103.0)
Decrease in capitalisation rates -25 bps	21.1	116.1
Decrease in capitalisation rates -50 bps	45.1	248.1
Changes in market rents:		
Decrease in rents -5%	(14.0)	(86.0)
Decrease in rents -2.5%	(7.0)	(43.0)
Increase in rents +2.5%	7.0	43.0
Increase in rents +5%	14.0	86.0
Changes in incentives/vacant time²:		
Increase in incentives/vacant time +3 months	(3.4)	(6.0)
Increase in incentives/vacant time +6 months	(6.8)	(12.0)

1. Reflects the Consolidated Entity's share in Partnerships.

2. On assumed lease expiries over the next 12 months.

Investment properties under development

For the directly held investment properties under development, external independent valuations are generally not performed, but instead valuations are determined at each reporting date using the feasibility assessments supporting the developments. The end values of the developments in the feasibility studies are based on assumptions such as capitalisation rates, market rents, incentives provided to customers and vacant time that are consistent with those observed in the relevant market, adjusted for a profit and risk factor. The profit and risk factors are dependent on the function, location, size and current status of the developments and are generally in a market range of 10% to 15%; although for larger more complex projects that are at an early stage of the development, the profit and risk factor could be in the order of 25%. This adjusted end value is then compared to the forecast cost of a completed development to determine whether there is an increase or decrease in value.

In respect of the Partnerships, certain Partnerships obtain external independent valuations of investment properties under development at reporting dates. However, the majority determine the fair values at reporting dates by reference to the feasibility assessments, with external independent valuations obtained when the properties has been stabilised.

(d) Inventories

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current		
Land and development properties	175.2	106.4
	175.2	106.4
Non-current		
Land and development properties	964.1	719.1
Leasehold land and development properties	413.3	315.4
	1,377.4	1,034.5

During the current and prior financial year, no impairment losses were recognised on land and development properties.

(e) Investment properties**Reconciliation of carrying amount of directly held investment properties**

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Carrying amount at the beginning of the year	163.9	7.2
Acquisitions	420.4	163.0
Capital expenditure	4.5	1.2
Disposals	(269.7)	(7.4)
Net loss from fair value adjustments	(0.3)	–
Effect of foreign currency translation	18.0	(0.1)
Carrying amount at the end of the year	336.8	163.9
Analysed by segment:		
Asia	336.8	137.7
United Kingdom	–	26.2
	336.8	163.9

Non-cancellable operating lease commitments receivable from investment property customers

The analysis in the table below reflects the gross property income, excluding recoverable outgoings, based on existing lease agreements. It assumes that leases will not extend beyond the next review date, where the customer has an option to end the lease.

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Non-cancellable operating lease commitments receivable:		
Less than one year	8.6	4.1
One to two years	8.7	2.7
Two to three years	8.2	1.1
Three to four years	6.6	1.1
Four to five years	3.5	0.2
	35.6	9.2

(f) Investments accounted for using the equity method**JVs**

A JV is an arrangement (referred to by the Consolidated Entity as a Partnership) in which the Consolidated Entity is considered to have joint control for accounting purposes, whereby the Consolidated Entity has rights to the net assets of the arrangement, rather than rights to its assets and obligations for its liabilities.

In the consolidated financial statements, investments in Partnerships are accounted for using the equity method. Under this method, the Consolidated Entity's investment is initially recognised at cost. Subsequent to initial recognition, the consolidated financial statements include the Consolidated Entity's share of the gains or losses and other comprehensive income of Partnerships until the date on which significant influence or joint control ceases.

Transactions eliminated on consolidation

Unrealised gains arising from asset disposals with JVs, including those relating to contributions of non-monetary assets on establishment, are eliminated to the extent of the Consolidated Entity's interest. Unrealised gains relating to JVs are eliminated against the carrying amount of the investment. Unrealised losses are eliminated in the same way as unrealised gains unless they evidence an impairment of an asset.

The Consolidated Entity's principal Partnerships are set out below

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

6 Property assets (continued)

		Consolidated share of net results recognised		Consolidated ownership interest		Consolidated investment carrying amount	
Name	Country of establishment	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 %	2021 %	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Property investment and development							
Goodman China Logistics Partnership (GCLP)	Cayman Islands	56.8	65.2	20.0	20.0	918.0	832.7
Goodman UK Partnership (GUKP)1	United Kingdom	213.4	32.9	35.3	33.3	676.3	404.0
Other JVs		75.1	66.6			251.3	233.3
		345.3	164.7			1,845.6	1,470.0

1. The consolidated ownership interest in GUKP reflected the weighted average ownership in GUKP, GUKP II and GUKP III.

The Consolidated Entity's property investment Partnerships have a long-term remit to hold investment properties to earn rental income and for capital appreciation, although they will undertake developments when an appropriate opportunity arises.

The reconciliation of the carrying value at the beginning of the year to the carrying value at the end of the year is set out as follows:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Movements in carrying amount of investments in JVs		
Carrying amount at the beginning of the year	1,470.0	1,276.2
Share of net results after tax (before fair value adjustments)	84.6	73.0
Share of fair value adjustments attributable to investment properties after tax	269.8	90.2
Share of fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments	(9.1)	1.5
Share of net results	345.3	164.7
Share of movements in reserves	7.2	3.1
Acquisitions	263.3	245.9
Disposals	(3.4)	–
Capital return	(166.3)	(143.2)
Dividends/distributions received and receivable	(65.8)	(76.4)
Effect of foreign currency translation	(4.7)	(0.3)
Carrying amount at the end of the year	1,845.6	1,470.0

Summary financial information of JVs

The following table summarises the financial information of the material Partnerships as included in their own financial statements. The table also reconciles the summarised financial information to the carrying amount of the Consolidated Entity's interest in the JVs.

	GCLP		GUKP	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Summarised statement of financial position				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	427.4	281.3	41.1	43.4
Other current assets	148.3	84.4	12.9	1,490.3
Total current assets	575.7	365.7	54.0	1,533.7
Total non-current assets	6,303.3	5,537.5	2,421.8	-
Current liabilities				
Financial liabilities (excluding trade payables and other provisions)	70.6	89.6	-	-
Other current liabilities	2,870.0	2,707.0	24.7	36.1
Total current liabilities	2,940.6	2,796.6	24.7	36.1
Non-current liabilities				
Financial liabilities (excluding trade payables and other provisions)	1,111.8	757.7	537.8	287.0
Other non-current liabilities	718.3	613.7	-	-
Total non-current liabilities	1,830.1	1,371.4	537.8	287.0
Net assets (100%)	2,108.3	1,735.2	1,913.3	1,210.6
Consolidated ownership interest (%)	20.0	20.0	35.3	33.3
Consolidated share of net assets	421.7	347.0	676.0	403.1
Shareholder loans ¹	492.9	482.3	-	-
Other items, including acquisition costs	3.4	3.4	0.3	0.9
Carrying amount of interest in JV	918.0	832.7	676.3	404.0
Summarised statement of comprehensive income				
Revenue	215.0	193.6	39.8	28.5
Net finance expense	(20.3)	(19.2)	(1.0)	(3.7)
Income tax expense	(46.3)	(37.4)	(0.2)	-
Profit and total comprehensive income (100%)	320.0	313.4	575.6	98.7
Consolidated share of profit and total comprehensive income	64.0	67.8	213.4	32.9
Dividends/distributions received and receivable by the Consolidated Entity	7.3	6.1	3.9	4.6

1. Shareholder loans have been provided by investors of GCLP in proportion to their ownership interest. The shareholder loans are interest-free, unsecured and have no fixed terms of repayment. The shareholder loans are not expected to be repaid within 12 months from the end of the reporting period and the Directors consider the loans to form part of the Consolidated Entity's investment in GCLP.

With respect to the Consolidated Entity's other JVs, the total profit after tax and revaluations was \$308.7 million and total other comprehensive income was \$nil.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

7 Receivables

Non-derivative financial assets

The Consolidated Entity initially recognises loans and receivables and deposits on the date that they are originated. All other financial assets are recognised initially on the trade date at which the Consolidated Entity becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The Consolidated Entity derecognises a financial asset when the contractual rights to the cash flows from the asset expire, or it transfers the right to receive the contractual cash flows on the financial asset in a transaction in which substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset are transferred. Any interest in transferred financial assets that is created or retained by the Consolidated Entity is recognised as a separate asset or liability.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the consolidated statement of financial position when, and only when, the Consolidated Entity has a legal right to offset the amounts and intends to either settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. Such assets are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, loans and receivables are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method, less allowance for impairment of doubtful debts, except where the receivables are interest-free loans made to related parties without any fixed repayment terms or the effect of discounting would be immaterial. In such cases, the receivables are stated at cost less allowance for impairment of doubtful debts.

Loans and receivables comprise trade and other receivables, amounts due from related parties and loans to related parties.

Amounts recoverable on development contracts

Amounts recoverable on development contracts arise when the Consolidated Entity contracts to sell a completed development asset either prior to or during the development phase. The receivables are stated at cost plus profit recognised to date less an allowance for foreseeable losses and less amounts already billed.

Impairment

Non-financial assets

The carrying amounts of the Consolidated Entity's assets (except inventories, refer to note 6(d); and deferred tax assets, refer to note 4) are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If such indication exists, the asset is written down to the recoverable amount. The impairment is recognised in profit or loss in the reporting period in which it occurs.

An impairment loss is recognised whenever the carrying amount of an asset or its cash-generating unit exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognised in profit or loss, unless an asset has previously been revalued, in which case the impairment loss is recognised as a reversal to the extent of that previous revaluation, with any excess recognised through profit or loss.

Impairment losses recognised in respect of cash-generating units are allocated to the carrying amount of any identified intangible asset and then to reduce the carrying amount of the other assets in the unit (group of units) on a pro rata basis.

Financial assets and contract assets

The Consolidated Entity recognises an impairment loss allowance for expected credit losses (ECLs) on financial assets measured at amortised cost and contract assets. Financial assets measured at amortised cost include cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, amounts and loans due from related parties and other receivables.

Other financial assets measured at fair value are not subject to the ECL assessment.

Measurement of ECLs

ECLs are a probability-weighted estimate of credit losses. Credit losses are measured as the present value of all expected cash shortfalls. In measuring ECLs, the Consolidated Entity takes into account information about past events, current conditions and forecasts of future economic conditions.

Impairment loss allowances for trade receivables, amounts due from related parties, other receivables and contract assets are measured at an amount equal to a lifetime ECL. Lifetime ECLs are losses that are expected to result from all possible default events over the expected lives of the items to which the ECL model applies.

The Consolidated Entity recognises an impairment loss allowance equal to the expected losses within 12 months after the reporting date on loans to related parties, unless there has been a significant increase in credit risk of the loans since initial recognition, in which case the loss allowance is measured at an amount equal to lifetime ECLs.

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current			
Trade receivables		5.0	12.4
Other receivables		70.2	94.0
Amounts due from related parties		37.1	75.3
Loans to related parties	21(c)	3.3	562.6
		115.6	744.3
Non-current			
Loans to related parties	21(c)	789.6	276.2
		789.6	276.2

The maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the fair value of each class of receivable mentioned above. All non-current receivables of the Consolidated Entity are due within five years from the reporting date. There is no material difference between the carrying values and the fair values of receivables.

Trade receivables

No trade receivables were impaired at 30 June 2022 and 2021. There are no significant overdue trade receivables at 30 June 2022.

Other receivables

At 30 June 2022, none of the other receivables balance was overdue or impaired (2021: \$nil).

Amounts due from related parties

At 30 June 2022, none of the amounts due from related parties was overdue or impaired (2021: \$nil). Amounts due from related parties are typically repayable within 30 days. The amounts due from related parties are unsecured.

Loans to related parties

Loans to related parties principally relate to loans to fellow subsidiaries of GL and GIT and loans to JVs. Refer to note 21(c) for details of loans to related parties. During the year, no impairment losses were recognised on loans to related parties (2021: \$nil). The loans to related parties are unsecured.

8 Contract balances

Contract assets primarily comprise amounts recoverable from fixed price development contracts (disclosed net of any payments received on account) and accrued performance fee income where the Consolidated Entity assesses that the income can be reliably measured.

Contract liabilities primarily comprise deposits and other amounts received in advance for development contracts and rental guarantees.

The following table provides an analysis of receivables from contracts with customers (excluding rental income receivables), contract assets and contract liabilities at the reporting dates:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current		
Receivables from contracts with customers, which are included in trade receivables, other receivables and amounts due from related parties	42.7	87.2
Contract assets	60.5	55.7
Contract liabilities	4.6	4.8
Non-current		
Contract liabilities	–	1.0

Significant changes in the contract assets and the contract liabilities balances during the year are set out below:

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Operating assets and liabilities (continued)

8 Contract assets (continued)

	2022		2021	
	Contract assets	Contract liabilities	Contract assets	Contract liabilities
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
Balance at the beginning of the year	55.7	5.8	25.1	13.8
Revenue recognised that was included in the contract liability balance at the beginning of the year	–	(1.4)	–	(7.7)
Transfers from contract assets to receivables	(380.4)	–	(70.5)	–
Increase due to changes in the measure of progress during the year	385.0	–	101.1	–
Effect of foreign currency translation	0.2	0.2	–	(0.3)
Balance at the end of the year	60.5	4.6	55.7	5.8
Current contract assets and liabilities	60.5	4.6	55.7	4.8
Non-current contract liabilities	–	–	–	1.0
	60.5	4.6	55.7	5.8

Transaction price allocated to the remaining contract obligations

The amount of the transaction price allocated to the remaining performance obligations under the Consolidated Entity's existing contracts is \$nil (2021: \$nil).

Details regarding the Consolidated Entity's future rental income associated with existing lease agreements is included in note 6.

In addition, the Consolidated Entity receives investment management, development management and property services fees under various contracts that it has with its Partnerships. These contracts are for varying lengths of time and are typically transacted on terms that are consistent with market practice. The revenues under these contracts are linked to the AUM, total development project costs or gross property income of the Partnerships and are invoiced as the services are provided.

9 Payables

Non-derivative financial liabilities

The Consolidated Entity initially recognises debt securities issued and subordinated liabilities on the date that they are originated. All other financial liabilities are recognised initially on the trade date at which the Consolidated Entity becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

The Consolidated Entity derecognises a financial liability when the contractual obligations are discharged or cancelled or expire.

Financial assets and liabilities are offset and the net amount presented in the consolidated statement of financial position when, and only when, the Consolidated Entity has a legal right to offset the amounts and intends to either settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and settle the liability simultaneously.

The Consolidated Entity has classified non-derivative financial liabilities into the other financial liabilities category. Such financial liabilities are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, these financial liabilities are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method.

Other financial liabilities comprise trade payables, other payables and accruals and contract and lease liabilities.

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current			
Trade payables		45.7	50.3
Other payables and accruals		216.1	201.2
Contract liabilities	8	4.6	4.8
Lease liabilities	10	8.2	6.7
		274.6	263.0
Non-current			
Other payables and accruals		56.1	64.4
Contract liabilities	8	–	1.0
Lease liabilities	10	37.1	59.3
		93.2	124.7

10 Leases

The Consolidated Entity leases office buildings, motor vehicles and office equipment. Certain investment properties and developments classified as inventories are also built on land held under leasehold interests.

The Consolidated Entity recognises a right of use asset and a lease liability at the lease commencement date. The right of use asset is initially measured at cost plus any direct costs incurred and an estimate of costs to restore the underlying asset or the site on which it is located, less any lease incentives received.

The lease liability is initially measured at the present value of the lease payments that are not paid at the commencement date, discounted using the lessee's incremental borrowing rate. After initial recognition, the lease liability is measured at amortised cost and interest expense is calculated using the effective interest rate method. The lease liability is remeasured when there is a change in future lease payments arising from a change in an index or rate, or there is a change arising from the reassessment of whether the Consolidated Entity will be reasonably certain to exercise an extension or termination option.

The right of use assets in respect of office buildings, motor vehicles and office equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the period of the lease. Right of use assets that meet the definition of investment property are carried at fair value in accordance with note 6(a). Ground leases of development land that are classified as inventories are not depreciated but are assessed at each reporting date for impairments to ensure they are recorded at the lower of cost and net realisable value.

Information about leases for which the Consolidated Entity is a lessee is detailed below:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Right of use assets		
Inventories	413.3	315.5
Investment properties	336.8	137.7
Property, plant and equipment	18.1	12.1
	768.2	465.3
Lease liabilities		
Current	8.2	6.7
Non-current	37.1	59.3
	45.3	66.0

The following were recognised during the year:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Additions to right of use assets	332.0	344.3
Depreciation of right of use assets	6.9	7.6
Interest expense on lease liabilities	0.4	0.5
Cash outflows on lease liabilities	8.0	8.7

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

11 Net finance expense

Finance income

Interest is recognised on an accruals basis using the effective interest rate method, and, if not received at the reporting date, is reflected in the consolidated statement of financial position as a receivable.

Finance expense

Expenditure incurred in obtaining debt finance is offset against the principal amount of the interest bearing liability to which it relates, and is recognised as a finance cost on an effective interest rate basis over the life of the facility or until the facility is significantly modified. Where a facility is significantly modified, any unamortised expenditure in relation to that facility and incremental expenditure incurred in modifying the facility are recognised as a finance cost in the financial year in which the significant modification occurs.

Finance costs relating to a qualifying asset are capitalised as part of the cost of that asset using a weighted average cost of debt. Qualifying assets are assets which take a substantial time to get ready for their intended use or sale. All other finance costs are expensed using the effective interest rate method.

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Finance income			
Interest income on loans to:			
– Related parties	21(c)	11.6	12.7
– Other parties		0.9	0.8
Interest income from derivatives		8.0	8.3
Foreign exchange gain		27.5	–
		48.0	21.8
Finance expense			
Interest expense from related party loans	21(c)	(41.8)	(38.9)
Other borrowing costs		(2.7)	(1.1)
Fair value adjustments on derivative financial instruments		(38.5)	(20.1)
Foreign exchange losses		–	(32.2)
Capitalised borrowing costs		11.6	9.4
		(71.4)	(82.9)
Net finance expense		(23.4)	(61.1)

Borrowing costs were capitalised to inventories and investment properties under development during the financial year at rates between 1.0% and 10.6% per annum (2021: 1.0% and 10.6% per annum).

12 Interest bearing liabilities

Interest bearing liabilities comprise bank loans. Interest bearing liabilities are recognised initially at fair value plus any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, interest bearing liabilities are measured at amortised cost using the effective interest rate method.

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Secured:			
– Bank loans	12(a)	9.0	–
		9.0	–

(a) Bank loans, secured

As at 30 June 2022, the Consolidated Entity had the following secured bank facilities.

	Facility limit \$M	Amounts drawn \$M
Facility maturity date		
18 March 2034	28.2	9.0
Total at 30 June 2022	28.2	9.0
Total at 30 June 2021	–	–

(b) Finance facilities

	Facilities available \$M	Facilities utilised \$M
30 June 2022		
Secured:		
– Bank loans	28.2	9.0
	28.2	9.0
30 June 2021		
Secured:		
– Bank loans	–	–
	–	–

13 Other financial assets and liabilities

Other financial assets and liabilities are recognised initially on the trade date at which the Consolidated Entity become a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

Derivative financial instruments and hedging

The Consolidated Entity uses derivative financial instruments to hedge its economic exposure to foreign exchange and interest rate risks arising from operating, investing and financing activities. In accordance with its treasury policy, the Consolidated Entity does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for speculative trading purposes.

The Consolidated Entity's derivative financial instruments are not designated as a hedge for accounting purposes, and accordingly movements in the fair value of derivative financial instruments are recognised in profit or loss.

Investments in unlisted securities

Subsequent to initial recognition, investments in unlisted securities are measured at fair value and changes therein are recognised as other comprehensive income and presented in the asset revaluation reserve in equity. Dividends are recognised as income in profit or loss unless the dividend clearly represents a recovery of part of the cost of the investment. Other net gains and losses are recognised in other comprehensive income and are never reclassified to profit or loss. When such an asset is derecognised, the cumulative gain or loss in equity is transferred to retained earnings.

Other financial assets

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Derivative financial instruments	131.3	64.4
Investment in unlisted securities, at fair value ¹	43.5	38.2
	174.8	102.6

1. Principally relates to the Consolidated Entity's 10.0% (2021: 10.0%) interest in Goodman Japan Limited. During the year, a revaluation gain of \$9.4 million was recognised in other comprehensive income (2021: \$7.6 million gain). Refer to note 14(d) for assumptions made in measuring fair value of the unlisted securities.

Other financial liabilities

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current		
Derivative financial instruments	45.4	–
	45.4	–
Non-current		
Derivative financial instruments	141.4	89.1
	141.4	89.1

14 Financial risk management

The Consolidated Entity's capital management and financial risk management processes are managed as part of the wider Goodman Group. There are established policies, documented in Goodman Group's financial risk management (FRM) policy document, to ensure both the efficient use of capital and the appropriate management of the exposure to financial risk.

Goodman Group's treasury function is responsible for monitoring the day to day compliance with Goodman Group's FRM policies and prepares reports for consideration by management committees and Goodman Group's Board including:

- + Cash flow projections over a period of at least 12 months to assess the level of cash and undrawn facilities, and headline gearing at each month end
- + Debt maturity profile, to allow the Goodman Group to plan well in advance of maturing facilities
- + Interest rate hedge profile over the next 10 years, to allow Goodman Group to manage the proportion of fixed and floating rate debt in accordance with its FRM policy
- + Capital hedge position (by currency) and profile of expiring currency derivatives, to allow Goodman Group to manage its net investment hedging in accordance with its FRM policy.

Any significant investments or material changes to the finance facilities or FRM policies require approval by the Goodman Group Board.

The Consolidated Entity's key financial risks are market risk (including foreign exchange and interest rate risk), liquidity risk and credit risk.

(a) Market risk

Foreign exchange risk

The Consolidated Entity is exposed to transactional foreign currency risk and net investment foreign currency risk through its investments in Hong Kong, Japan, China, Continental Europe and the United Kingdom and also loans to related parties in North America. Foreign exchange risk represents the loss that would be recognised from adverse fluctuations in currency prices as a result of future commercial transactions, recognised assets and liabilities and, principally, net investments in foreign operations.

Goodman Group manages foreign currency exposure on a consolidated basis. In managing foreign currency risks, Goodman Group aims to reduce the impact of short-term fluctuations on earnings and net assets. However, over the long term, permanent changes in foreign exchange will have an impact on both earnings and net assets.

Goodman Group's capital hedge policy for each overseas region is to hedge between 65% and 90% of foreign currency denominated assets with foreign currency denominated liabilities. This is achieved by borrowing in the same functional currency as the investments to form a natural economic hedge against any foreign currency fluctuations and/or using derivatives such as cross currency interest rate swaps (CCIRS) and forward exchange contracts (FEC).

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

14 Financial risk management (continued)

As at 30 June 2022, a summary of the derivative financial instruments used to hedge the Consolidated Entity's exposures arising from its investments in foreign operations is set out below:

	2022			2021		
	Amounts payable	Amounts receivable	Weighted average exchange rate	Amounts payable	Amounts receivable	Weighted average exchange rate
	HKD'M	AUD'M	AUD/HKD	HKD'M	AUD'M	AUD/HKD
AUD receivable/HKD payable	(1,150.0)	202.3	5.6948	(500.0)	83.9	5.9560
	EUR'M	AUD'M	AUD/EUR	EUR'M	AUD'M	AUD/EUR
AUD receivable/EUR payable	(775.0)	1,238.3	0.6263	(675.0)	1,086.7	0.6214
	GBP'M	AUD'M	AUD/GBP	GBP'M	AUD'M	AUD/GBP
AUD receivable/GBP payable	(255.0)	474.4	0.5375	-	-	-
	USD'M	AUD'M	AUD/USD	USD'M	AUD'M	AUD/USD
AUD receivable/USD payable	(450.0)	634.6	0.7092	(450.0)	634.6	0.7092
	JPY'M	AUD'M	AUD/JPY	JPY'M	AUD'M	AUD/JPY
AUD receivable/JPY payable	(6,000.0)	71.9	83.4650	(6,000.0)	71.9	83.4650
	CNY'M	USD'M	USD/CNY	CNY'M	USD'M	USD/CNY
USD receivable/CNY payable	(4,258.6)	539.6	7.9827	(4,545.2)	600.0	7.5753

Sensitivity analysis

Throughout the financial year, if the Australian dollar had been 5% (2021: 5%) stronger against all other currencies, with all other variables held constant, the Consolidated Entity's profit attributable to Shareholders, excluding derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements, would have decreased by \$48.8 million (2021: \$36.7 million). If the Australian dollar had been 5% (2021: 5%) weaker against all other currencies, with all other variables held constant, the Consolidated Entity's profit attributable to Shareholders, excluding derivative mark to market and unrealised foreign exchange movements, would have increased by \$48.8 million (2021: \$36.7 million).

Interest rate risk

Goodman Group adopts a policy that at all times interest rates on between 60% and 100% of the Group's external borrowings and derivatives (by principal) are hedged for the next 12 months. The Consolidated Entity's interest rate risk arises from floating interest rates on related party loans (receivable and payable) and from the floating interest rate legs of certain CCIRS. The Consolidated Entity does not hedge its interest rate exposure on related party loans but has entered into interest rate derivatives (IRD) to manage certain cash flow risks associated with floating interest rates on its CCIRS.

As at 30 June 2022, the Consolidated Entity's fixed and floating rate exposure (by principal) arising from its derivative financial instruments is set out below:

	Interest bearing liabilities \$M	Impact of derivatives CCIRS \$M	IRD \$M	Net interest rate exposure \$M
30 June 2022				
Fixed rate liabilities	-	-	1,106.0	1,106.0
Floating rate liabilities	9.0	(69.9)	(1,106.0)	(1,166.9)
	9.0	(69.9)	-	(60.9)
30 June 2021				
Fixed rate liabilities	-	-	474.2	474.2
Floating rate liabilities	-	(52.1)	(474.2)	(526.3)
	-	(52.1)	-	(52.1)

As a result of the derivative financial instruments that existed at 30 June 2022, the Consolidated Entity would have the following fixed interest rate exposure (by principal) at the end of each of the next five financial years. This assumes all derivative financial instruments mature in accordance with current contractual terms.

Number of years post balance date	2022		2021	
	Fixed interest rate (by principal) \$M	Weighted average interest rate % per annum	Fixed interest rate (by principal) \$M	Weighted average interest rate % per annum
1 year	1,033.7	0.54	474.2	(0.47)
2 years	970.7	0.54	474.2	(0.47)
3 years	275.3	0.44	408.5	(0.45)
4 years	151.7	0.31	158.1	(0.31)
5 years	151.7	0.31	158.1	(0.31)

Sensitivity analysis

Based on the Consolidated Entity's interest bearing borrowings at 30 June 2022, if interest rates on borrowings had been 100 bps per annum (2021: 100 bps per annum) higher/lower, with all other variables held constant, the Consolidated Entity's profit attributable to Shareholders would have been \$11.8 million lower/higher (2021: \$10.8 million lower/higher).

Managing interest rate benchmark reform and associated risks

A fundamental reform of major interest rate benchmarks is being undertaken globally, including the replacement of some interbank offered rates (IBORs) with alternative risk-free rates (referred to as IBOR reform). The Consolidated Entity has exposure to IBORs through certain of its related party loans and its derivative instruments (IRD and CCIRS). The Consolidated Entity's derivative instruments are governed by contracts based on the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA) master agreements.

The United Kingdom, Japan and the United States had announced plans to discontinue using London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) by 31 December 2021. The alternative reference rate for sterling LIBOR is the Sterling Overnight Index Average rate, for Japanese yen LIBOR is the Tokyo Overnight Average Rate and for US dollar LIBOR is the Secured Overnight Financing Rate. Amendments to the Consolidated Entity's financial instruments with contractual terms indexed to sterling LIBOR or Japanese yen LIBOR, such that they incorporate the new benchmark rates, were completed by 31 December 2021. Although US dollar LIBOR was planned to be discontinued by the end of 2021, in November 2020 the Intercontinental Exchange Benchmark Administration, the Financial Conduct Authority-regulated and authorised administrator of LIBOR, announced that it had started to consult on its intention to cease the publication of certain US dollar LIBORs after June 2023. It is still unclear when the announcement that will set a date for the termination of the publication of US dollar LIBOR will take place. Nevertheless, the Consolidated Entity has finished the process of implementing appropriate fallback provisions for all US dollar LIBOR indexed exposures.

For the Consolidated Entity's other IBOR exposures, the transition to alternative risk-free rates has been deferred and/or extended and therefore no action has been or will be taken in that regard until such time as the alternative reference rates are defined and scheduled. It is expected that these will follow the conventions established in other markets and the Consolidated Entity will apply the same principles for those transitions as and when they become relevant.

The table below details the Consolidated Entity's exposure at 30 June 2022 to significant IBORs subject to reform that have yet to transition to alternate benchmark rates:

	USD LIBOR Notional amount \$M
IRD	400.0
CCIRS	900.0
	1,300.0

The exposure disclosed is for derivatives with contractual maturities after 30 June 2022. Derivatives exposure has been reported using the notional contract amount and where derivatives such as CCIRS have both a receiver and a payer leg with exposure to IBOR reform, the notional contract amount is disclosed for both legs.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

14 Financial risk management (continued)

(b) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Consolidated Entity will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Consolidated Entity's objective is to maintain sufficient liquidity to fund short-term working capital, capital expenditure, investment opportunities and dividends. Management seeks to achieve these objectives through the preparation of regular forecast cash flows to understand the application and use of funds and through the identification of future funding, primarily through loans from related parties in Goodman Group.

The contractual maturities of financial liabilities are set out below:

	Carrying amount \$M	Contractual cash flows \$M	Up to 12 months \$M	1 to 2 year(s) \$M	2 to 3 years \$M	3 to 4 years \$M	4 to 5 years \$M	More than 5 years \$M
As at 30 June 2022								
Non-derivative financial liabilities								
Trade and other payables (excluding contract liabilities)	317.9	317.9	261.8	56.1	–	–	–	–
Lease liabilities	45.3	94.9	8.4	10.9	73.3	0.9	0.6	0.8
Bank loans, secured	9.0	9.0	–	–	–	–	–	9.0
Loans from related parties	1,941.0	1,949.0	129.3	3.1	26.3	504.8	708.9	576.6
Total non-derivative financial liabilities	2,313.2	2,370.8	399.5	70.1	99.6	505.7	709.5	586.4
Derivative financial liabilities								
Net settled ¹ :	(39.6)	15.5	22.0	5.9	19.6	(20.5)	(5.0)	(6.5)
Gross settled ² :								
(Inflow)	95.1	(459.5)	(78.4)	(95.3)	(88.8)	(118.5)	(72.8)	(5.7)
Outflow	–	308.4	47.1	80.3	57.8	53.2	63.2	6.8
Total derivative financial liabilities	55.5	(135.6)	(9.3)	(9.1)	(11.4)	(85.8)	(14.6)	(5.4)
As at 30 June 2021								
Non-derivative financial liabilities								
Trade and other payables (excluding contract liabilities)	315.9	315.9	251.5	64.4	–	–	–	–
Lease liabilities	66.0	151.4	6.7	3.8	3.3	3.8	3.3	130.5
Loans from related parties	1,891.1	1,905.3	811.1	104.4	43.2	74.6	540.5	331.5
Total non-derivative financial liabilities	2,273.0	2,372.6	1,069.3	172.6	46.5	78.4	543.8	462.0
Derivative financial liabilities								
Net settled ¹ :	79.4	73.5	0.2	29.0	22.7	23.5	(0.3)	(1.6)
Gross settled ² :								
(Inflow)	(54.7)	(123.1)	(11.5)	(17.3)	(56.1)	(22.7)	(13.0)	(2.5)
Outflow	–	67.5	1.2	3.2	4.9	1.1	25.6	31.5
Total derivative financial liabilities	24.7	17.9	(10.1)	14.9	(28.5)	1.9	12.3	27.4

1. Net settled includes IRD and FEC.

2. Gross settled includes OCIRS.

(c) Credit risk

Credit risk represents the loss that would be recognised if counterparties failed to perform as contracted.

The maximum exposure to credit risk on financial assets, excluding investments, of the Consolidated Entity which have been recognised in the consolidated statement of financial position, is the carrying amount (refer to note 7).

The Consolidated Entity has a policy of assessing the creditworthiness of all potential customers and is not materially exposed to any one customer. The Consolidated Entity evaluates all customers' perceived credit risk.

In relation to material bank deposits, the Consolidated Entity minimises credit risk by dealing with major financial institutions. The counterparty must have a long-term investment grade credit rating from a major rating agency. The amounts and other terms associated with bank deposits are formally reviewed monthly.

From time to time, the Consolidated Entity also makes loans to JVs, typically to fund development projects. In making its investment decisions, the Consolidated Entity will undertake a detailed assessment of the development feasibility and credit risks associated with the relevant counterparties.

During the current and prior year, credit risk arising from cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables, amounts and loans due from related parties and other receivables was not determined to be significant and no impairment losses were recognised.

The credit risks associated with derivative financial instruments are managed by:

- + Transacting with multiple derivatives counterparties that have a long-term investment grade credit rating
- + Utilising ISDA agreements with derivative counterparties in order to limit exposure to credit risk through netting of amounts receivable and amounts payable to individual counterparties (refer below)
- + Formal review of the mark to market position of derivative financial instruments by counterparty on a monthly basis.

Master netting off or similar agreements

Goodman Group enters into derivative transactions under ISDA master netting off agreements. Under these agreements, where certain credit events occur (such as a default), all outstanding transactions under the agreement are terminated and a single net termination value is payable in full and final settlement.

(d) Fair values of financial instruments

Except for derivative financial instruments and investments in unlisted securities which are carried at fair value, the Consolidated Entity's financial instruments are carried at cost or amortised cost. The carrying amounts of the Consolidated Entity's financial instruments carried at cost or amortised cost were not materially different from their fair values as at 30 June 2022 and 2021.

(i) Fair value hierarchy

The table below analyses financial instruments carried at fair value, by valuation method (see note 1(g)):

	Level 1 \$M	Level 2 \$M	Level 3 \$M	Total \$M
As at 30 June 2022				
Derivative financial assets	–	131.3	–	131.3
Investment in unlisted securities	–	–	43.5	43.5
	–	131.3	43.5	174.8
Derivative financial liabilities	–	186.8	–	186.8
	–	186.8	–	186.8
As at 30 June 2021				
Derivative financial assets	–	64.4	–	64.4
Investment in unlisted securities	–	–	38.2	38.2
	–	64.4	38.2	102.6
Derivative financial liabilities	–	89.1	–	89.1
	–	89.1	–	89.1

There were no transfers between the levels during the year.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

14 Financial risk management (continued)

(ii) Valuation techniques used to derive Level 2 and Level 3 fair values

The Level 2 derivative financial instruments held by the Consolidated Entity consist of IRD, CCIRS and FEC.

The fair values of derivatives are determined using generally accepted pricing models which discount estimated future cash flows based on the terms and maturity of each contract and current market interest rates and/or foreign currency rates, adjusted for specific features of the instruments.

The fair value measurement for investment in unlisted securities has been categorised as a Level 3 fair value. The following table shows the valuation technique used in measuring fair value as well as the significant unobservable inputs used:

Type	Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	Inter-relationship between significant unobservable inputs and fair value measurement
Equity securities + Goodman Japan Limited	DCF: The valuation model was determined by discounting the future cash flows expected to be generated from continuing operations. The future cash flows were based on fund and development forecasts and then estimating a year five terminal value using a terminal growth rate and an appropriate discount rate.	+ Assets under management of \$6.1 billion in year five + Average annual development of 82,500 square metres + Five-year terminal value growth rate of 0.63% + Risk adjusted post tax discount rate of 7.20% per annum.	The estimated fair value would increase/(decrease) if: + The level of assets under management, development activity and terminal value growth rate were higher/(lower) or + The risk adjusted discount rate were lower/(higher).

(iii) Reconciliation of Level 3 fair values

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Carrying amount at the beginning of the year	38.2	34.3
Net change in fair value – included in other comprehensive income/(loss)	9.4	7.6
Effect of foreign currency translation	(4.1)	(3.7)
Carrying amount at the end of the year	43.5	38.2

15 Dividends

During the financial year, the Company declared a final dividend of 2.5 cents per share amounting to \$46.7 million. This dividend will be paid on 25 August 2022. In the prior year, the Company declared a final dividend of 6.0 cents per share amounting to \$110.8 million. This was paid on 26 August 2021.

16 Share capital

(a) Ordinary shares

Ordinary shares of the Company are classified as equity. Incremental costs directly attributable to issues of ordinary shares are recognised as a deduction from equity, net of any tax effects.

	2022	2021	2022	2021
	Number of shares		\$M	\$M
Share capital	1,868,222,609	1,847,429,255	873.6	792.5
Less: Accumulated issue costs			(0.6)	(0.6)
Total issued capital	1,868,222,609	1,847,429,255	873.0	791.9

Date	Details	Number of shares	Share capital \$M
	Ordinary shares, issued and fully paid		
30 Jun 2020	Balance at 30 June 2020	1,828,413,236	732.6
31 Aug 2020	Shares issued to employees of Goodman Group ¹	15,438,241	48.6
4 Sep 2020	Ordinary shares issued	3,577,778	11.3
30 Jun 2021	Balance at 30 June 2021	1,847,429,255	792.5
31 Aug 2021	Shares issued to employees of Goodman Group ¹	14,716,648	57.4
31 Aug 2021	Treasury shares issued	1,233,333	4.8
2 Sep 2021	Ordinary shares issued	4,843,373	18.9
30 Jun 2022	Balance at 30 June 2022	1,868,222,609	873.6

1. During the year, the Company issued 14,716,648 (2021: 15,438,241) shares to employees of Goodman Group under the LTIP.

The holders of ordinary shares are entitled to receive dividends as declared from time to time and are entitled to one vote per share at meetings of the Company. All ordinary shares rank equally with regard to the Company's residual assets.

(b) Equity settled share based payment transactions

LTIP

Goodman Group's share based payments primarily relate to performance rights awarded to employees under the LTIP. These performance rights entitle an employee to either acquire Goodman Group securities for \$nil consideration (equity settled performance rights) or, in certain jurisdictions, to receive an amount in cash equal to the value of the securities (cash settled performance rights), subject to the vesting conditions having been satisfied.

During the year, the movement in the number of equity settled and cash settled performance rights under the LTIP was as follows:

	Number of rights	
	2022	2021
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	22,734,427	24,921,436
Issued	8,220,860	5,580,560
Vested	(6,208,554)	(5,952,229)
Forfeited	(130,552)	(1,815,340)
Outstanding at the end of the year	24,616,181	22,734,427
Exercisable at the end of the year	-	-

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Capital management (continued)

16 Share capital (continued)

Share based payments transactions

The fair value of equity settled performance rights at the grant date is expensed with a corresponding increase in the employee compensation reserve over the period from the grant date to the vesting dates. The expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of performance rights for which the related service and non-market vesting conditions are expected to be met. The accumulated share based payments expense of performance rights which have vested or lapsed is transferred from the employee compensation reserve to retained earnings.

The fair value of cash settled performance rights is also recognised as an expense but with a corresponding increase in liabilities over the vesting period. The expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of performance rights for which the related service and non-market vesting conditions are expected to be met. The liability is remeasured at each reporting date and at the vesting date based on the fair value of the rights.

The fair value of services received in return for performance rights granted under the LTIP is measured by reference to the fair value of the performance rights granted. The fair value of the performance rights granted during the year was measured as follows:

- + Operating earnings per security tranche: these rights were valued as a granted call option, using the standard Black Scholes model with a continuous dividend yield
- + Relative total shareholder return tranche: these rights were valued using a Monte Carlo model which simulated total returns for each of the ASX 100 stocks and discounted the future value of any potential future vesting performance rights to arrive at a present value. The model uses statistical analysis to forecast total returns, based on expected parameters of variance and co-variance.

The model inputs for performance rights, both equity and cash settled, awarded during the current financial year included the following:

	10-year rights issued on 18 Nov 2021	10-year rights issued on 30 Sep 2021	5-year rights issued on 30 Sep 2021
Fair value at measurement date (\$)	20.16	17.22	17.87
Security price (\$)	24.49	21.68	21.68
Exercise price (\$)	–	–	–
Expected volatility (%)	25.45	25.36	28.54
Rights' expected weighted average life (years)	6.8	6.9	3.9
Dividend/distribution yield per annum (%)	1.23	1.38	1.38
Average risk-free rate of interest per annum (%)	1.51	1.03	0.49

The model inputs for the remeasurement of the cash settled performance rights at 30 June 2022 included the following:

	10-year rights issued in FY22	5-year rights issued in FY22	5-year rights issued in FY21	5-year rights issued in FY20	5-year rights issued in FY19	5-year rights issued in FY18
Fair value at measurement date (\$)	13.61	14.12	15.02	17.49	17.64	17.79
Security price (\$)	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84	17.84
Exercise price (\$)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Expected volatility (%)	27.44	29.24	28.56	32.65	36.02	44.77
Rights' expected weighted average life (years)	6.2	3.2	2.2	1.2	0.7	0.2
Dividend/distribution yield per annum (%)	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68
Average risk-free rate of interest per annum (%)	3.42	3.09	2.90	2.35	2.12	1.53

Share based payment expense included in profit or loss was as follows:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Share based payment expense:		
– Equity settled	41.2	44.5
– Cash settled	52.8	79.5
	94.0	124.0

At 30 June 2022, a liability of \$91.7 million (2021: \$111.2 million) was recognised in relation to cash settled performance rights.

OTHER ITEMS

17 Notes to the consolidated cash flow statement

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash balances and call deposits with original maturities of three months or less.

(a) Reconciliation of cash

Cash as at the end of the year as shown in the consolidated cash flow statement is reconciled to the related items in the consolidated statement of financial position as follows:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Cash assets	357.5	358.4
	357.5	358.4

(b) Reconciliation of profit for the year to net cash provided by operating activities

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Profit for the year	762.8	415.2
Items classified as investing activities		
Net gain/(loss) on disposal of investment properties	(3.8)	1.9
Net gain on disposal of equity accounted investments	(0.2)	(1.8)
Non-cash items		
Depreciation of plant and equipment	8.7	9.6
Share based payments expense	94.0	124.0
Net loss from fair value adjustments on investment properties	0.3	–
Share of net results of equity accounted investments	(345.3)	(164.7)
Net finance expense	23.4	61.1
Income tax expense	114.1	12.2
	654.0	457.5
Changes in assets and liabilities during the year:		
– Decrease/(increase) in receivables	52.0	(39.5)
– Increase in inventories	(447.8)	(93.2)
– Decrease/(increase) in other assets	10.9	(1.5)
– (Decrease)/increase in payables	(45.8)	69.5
– Increase in provisions (including employee benefits)	0.6	1.0
	223.9	393.8
Dividends/distributions received from equity accounted investments	65.8	80.9
Net finance income received	14.5	15.1
Net income taxes paid	(87.7)	(16.2)
Net cash provided by operating activities	216.5	473.6

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

17 Notes to the consolidated cash flow statement (continued)

(c) Reconciliation of liabilities arising from financing activities

	Interest bearing liabilities \$M	Derivatives used for hedging \$M	Dividends payable \$M	Loans (to)/from related parties \$M	Lease liabilities \$M
Balance at 1 July 2020	–	46.8	73.1	1,067.6	28.3
Changes from financing cash flows					
Payments on derivative financial instruments	–	(42.2)	–	–	–
Net repayments of loans with related parties	–	–	–	(83.7)	–
Payments of lease liabilities	–	–	–	–	(8.7)
Dividends paid	–	–	(73.1)	–	–
Total changes from financing cash flows	–	(42.2)	(73.1)	(83.7)	(8.7)
Changes arising from disposal of controlled entities	–	–	–	14.6	–
Effect of foreign exchange movements	–	–	–	50.0	–
Changes in fair value	–	20.1	–	–	–
Other changes					
Issue of shares under the LTIP	–	–	–	(48.6)	–
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	–	26.2	–
New leases	–	–	–	–	45.9
Interest income	–	–	–	(12.7)	–
Interest expense	–	–	–	38.9	0.5
Dividends declared	–	–	110.8	–	–
Total other changes	–	–	110.8	3.8	46.4
Balance at 30 June 2021	–	24.7	110.8	1,052.3	66.0
Balance at 1 July 2021	–	24.7	110.8	1,052.3	66.0
Changes from financing cash flows					
Proceeds from borrowings	9.0	–	–	–	–
Net (repayments of)/proceeds from loans with related parties	–	(17.4)	–	124.9	–
Payments of lease liabilities	–	–	–	–	(8.0)
Dividends paid	–	–	(110.8)	–	–
Total changes from financing cash flows	9.0	(17.4)	(110.8)	124.9	(8.0)
Changes arising from disposal of controlled entities	–	–	–	1.5	–
Effect of foreign exchange movements	–	9.7	–	11.2	–
Changes in fair value	–	38.5	–	–	–
Other changes					
Issue of shares under the LTIP	–	–	–	(57.4)	–
Equity settled share based payments transactions	–	–	–	(32.0)	–
New leases	–	–	–	–	13.4
Interest income	–	–	–	(11.6)	–
Interest expense	–	–	–	41.8	0.4
Disposal of right of use assets	–	–	–	–	(26.5)
Derivative financial instrument settlement through loans with related parties	–	–	–	17.4	–
Dividends declared	–	–	46.7	–	–
Total other changes	–	–	46.7	(41.8)	(12.7)
Balance at 30 June 2022	9.0	55.5	46.7	1,148.1	45.3

18 Reserves

	Note	Consolidated		Company	
		2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Asset revaluation reserve	18(a)	36.7	27.3	36.2	27.0
Foreign currency translation reserve	18(b)	13.6	12.7	–	4.8
Employee compensation reserve	18(c)	56.3	48.3	52.4	40.2
Defined benefit retirement schemes reserve	18(d)	(8.8)	(14.4)	–	–
Common control reserve ¹	18(e)	(702.9)	(702.9)	–	–
Total reserves		(605.1)	(629.0)	88.6	72.0

1. The common control reserve arises from the acquisition of entities from other members of Goodman Group under the pooling of interest method. The amount in the common control reserve reflects the difference between the consideration paid and the carrying values of the assets and liabilities of the acquired entity at the date of acquisition.

The movements in reserves of the Consolidated Entity and the Company are analysed below:

	Consolidated		Company	
	2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
(a) Asset revaluation reserve				
Balance at the beginning of the year	27.3	19.7	27.0	19.7
Increase due to revaluation of other financial assets	9.4	7.6	9.2	7.3
Balance at the end of the year	36.7	27.3	36.2	27.0
(b) Foreign currency translation reserve				
Balance at the beginning of the year	12.7	33.5	4.8	–
Net exchange differences on conversion of foreign operations	0.9	(20.8)	(4.8)	4.8
Balance at the end of the year	13.6	12.7	–	4.8
(c) Employee compensation reserve				
Balance at the beginning of the year	48.3	33.4	40.2	33.4
Equity settled share based payment transactions	12.2	6.8	12.2	6.8
Deferred tax associated with the LTIP	(4.2)	8.1	–	–
Balance at the end of the year	56.3	48.3	52.4	40.2
(d) Defined benefit retirement schemes reserve				
Balance at the beginning of the year	(14.4)	(8.2)	–	–
Actuarial gains/(losses) on defined benefit retirement schemes (net of tax)	5.6	(6.0)	–	–
Effect of foreign currency translation	–	(0.2)	–	–
Balance at the end of the year	(8.8)	(14.4)	–	–
(e) Common control reserve				
Balance at the beginning of the year	(702.9)	(702.9)	–	–
Balance at the end of the year	(702.9)	(702.9)	–	–

19 Retained earnings

	Note	Consolidated		Company	
		2022 \$M	2021 \$M	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Balance at the beginning of the year		1,584.8	1,287.2	794.7	575.6
Profit for the year		751.9	408.4	265.3	329.9
Dividends declared	15	(46.7)	(110.8)	(46.7)	(110.8)
Balance at the end of the year		2,290.0	1,584.8	1,013.3	794.7

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

20 Investments in subsidiaries

Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities controlled by the Company. The Company controls an entity when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the entity and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the entity. When assessing whether the Company has power, only substantive rights (held by the Company and other parties) are considered.

An investment in a subsidiary is consolidated into the consolidated financial statements from the date that control commences until the date that control ceases. When an entity ceases to be controlled by the Company, it is accounted for as a disposal of the entire interest in the entity, with a resulting gain or loss being recognised in profit or loss.

In the Company's statement of financial position, an investment in a subsidiary is stated at cost less impairment losses.

The following list contains only the particulars of subsidiaries which principally affected the results, assets or liabilities of the Consolidated Entity. The class of shares held is ordinary unless otherwise stated.

Significant controlled companies	Principal activities	Country of incorporation	Interest held	
			2022 %	2021 %
Goodman Asia Limited	Investment and property management services	Hong Kong	100.0	100.0
Goodman China Limited	Property management and development management consultancy services	Hong Kong	100.0	100.0
Goodman UK Holdings (HK) Limited	Intermediate holding company	Hong Kong	100.0	100.0
Goodman China Asset Management Limited	Investment management	Cayman Islands	100.0	100.0
Goodman Developments Asia	Investment and property development	Cayman Islands	100.0	100.0
GJSP Limited	Investment management	Japan	100.0	100.0
GELF Management (Lux) Sàrl	Investment management	Luxembourg	100.0	100.0
Goodman Management Holdings (Lux) Sàrl	Intermediate holding company	Luxembourg	100.0	100.0
Goodman Midnight Logistics (Lux) Sàrl	Investment holding company	Luxembourg	100.0	100.0
Goodman Property Opportunities (Lux) Sàrl SICAR	Property investment and development	Luxembourg	94.0	94.0
GPO Advisory (Lux) Sàrl	Property management services	Luxembourg	100.0	100.0
Goodman Logistics Developments (UK) Limited	Investment and property management services	United Kingdom	100.0	100.0
Goodman Real Estate (UK) Limited	Investment and property development	United Kingdom	100.0	100.0

Combination of entities or businesses under common control

Where the Consolidated Entity acquires entities or businesses from other members of Goodman Group such that all of the combining entities (businesses) are ultimately controlled by Goodman Group Securityholders both before and after the combination, the Consolidated Entity applies the pooling of interests method.

At the date of the combination of entities under common control, the assets and liabilities of the combining entities are reflected at their carrying amounts. No adjustments are made to reflect fair values, or recognise any new assets or liabilities that would otherwise be done under the acquisition method. The only goodwill that is recognised is any existing goodwill relating to either of the combining entities. Any difference between the consideration transferred and the equity “acquired” by the Consolidated Entity is reflected within equity (common control reserve).

Similar to the acquisition method, the results of the “acquired” entity are included only from the date control commenced. Comparatives are not restated to present the consolidated financial statements as if the entities had always been combined.

21 Related party transactions

Related parties

- (i) A person, or a close member of that person’s family, is related to the Company if that person:
 - (1) Has control or joint control over the Company
 - (2) Has significant influence over the Company or
 - (3) Is a member of the key management personnel of the Company or the Company’s parent.
- (ii) An entity is related to the Company if any of the following conditions applies:
 - (1) The entity and the Company are members of the same group (which means that each parent, subsidiary and fellow subsidiary is related to the others)
 - (2) One entity is an associate or JV of the other entity (or an associate or JV of a member of a group of which the other entity is a member)
 - (3) Both entities are JVs of the same third party
 - (4) One entity is a JV of a third entity and the other entity is an associate of the third entity
 - (5) The entity is a post-employment benefit plan for the benefit of employees of either the Company or an entity related to the Company
 - (6) The entity is controlled or jointly controlled by a person identified in (i)
 - (7) A person identified in (i)(1) has significant influence over the entity or is a member of the key management personnel of the entity (or of a parent of the entity) or
 - (8) The entity, or any member of a group of which it is a part, provides key management personnel services to the Company or the Company’s parent.

Close members of the family of a person are those family members who may be expected to influence, or be influenced by, that person in their dealings with the entity.

(a) Directors’ remuneration

Directors’ remuneration (including alternate Directors) disclosed pursuant to section 383(1) of the Hong Kong Companies Ordinance and Part 2 of the Companies (Disclosure of Information about Benefits of Directors) Regulation is as follows:

	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Directors’ fees	0.6	0.7
Salaries, allowances and benefits in kind	3.6	3.7
Share based payments	19.8	16.1
	24.0	20.5

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

21 Related party transactions (continued)

(b) Transactions and amounts due from related parties

	Management and development activities		Amounts due from related parties ¹	
	2022	2021	2022	2021
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
JVs				
GCLP	104.5	64.9	6.6	12.6
GUKP	55.8	–	2.1	–
KWASA Goodman Germany	10.1	141.7	–	–
Goodman Japan Development Partnership	–	–	0.7	–
	170.4	206.6	9.4	12.6
Related parties of GL and GIT				
Goodman Hong Kong Logistics Partnership	139.1	135.2	9.8	42.0
Goodman European Partnership	769.2	221.9	47.7	36.4
Other related parties	5.8	67.0	–	10.4
	914.1	424.1	57.5	88.8

1. Includes contract assets arising from transactions with related parties.

Transactions with GL

During the year, the Consolidated Entity recognised expenses of \$23.1 million (2021: \$42.4 million) for services provided by a controlled entity of GL.

(c) Financing arrangements with related parties

	Loans to related parties ¹		Loans from related parties ¹		Interest income/(expense) charged on loans to/from related parties	
	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021
	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M	\$M
JVs	27.3	29.6	–	–	0.4	0.3
GL, GIT and their controlled entities	765.6	809.2	(1,941.0)	(1,891.1)	(30.6)	(26.5)
	792.9	838.8	(1,941.0)	(1,891.1)	(30.2)	(26.2)

1. Loans by the Consolidated Entity to/from JVs and other related parties have generally been provided on an arm's length basis. At 30 June 2022, details in respect of the principal loan balances are set out below:

- + Loans to GL, GIT and their controlled entities amounting to \$765.6 million (2021: \$809.2 million) are interest bearing and repayable on demand. The interest bearing loans incur interest at rates ranging from 0.6% to 5.1% per annum (2021: 0.7% to 7.2% per annum)
- + Loans from GL, GIT and their controlled entities are interest bearing and amount to \$1,941.0 million (2021: \$1,891.1 million). \$125.4 million of the loans is repayable on demand and \$1,815.6 million is repayable greater than one year from the reporting date. The interest bearing loans incur floating interest at rates ranging from 0.2% to 10.6% per annum (2021: 0.9% to 10.6% per annum).

22 Commitments

Development activities

At 30 June 2022, the Consolidated Entity was committed to \$206.4 million (2021: \$351.3 million) expenditure in respect of inventories and other development activities.

Investment properties

At 30 June 2022, the Consolidated Entity had capital expenditure commitments of \$0.1 million (2021: \$nil). In the prior year, the Consolidated Entity had contracted to acquire an investment property for \$67.7 million.

23 Contingencies

Capitalisation Deed Poll

GLHK, GL, GIT and certain of their wholly owned controlled entities are “investors” under a Capitalisation Deed Poll (CDP) dated 23 May 2007. Under the CDP, each investor undertakes to pay to the relevant controlled entity borrower (borrower) any amounts owing under finance documents for the purpose of the CDP when the borrower fails to make a payment. Any payments by an investor to a borrower will be by way of loan to, or proceeds for the subscription of equity in, the borrower by the investor.

US144A/Regulation S senior notes

Under the issue of notes in the US144A/Regulation S bond market, controlled entities of GIT had on issue USD and EUR notes amounting to US\$1,350.0 million and €500.0 million respectively. GL, Goodman Funds Management Limited, as responsible entity of GIT, and GLHK have unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed on a joint and several basis the payment of principal and interest in respect of each of the notes.

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Other items (continued)

24 Company level statement of financial position

	Note	2022 \$M	2021 \$M
Current assets			
Cash		138.5	167.8
Receivables		7.9	126.1
Total current assets		146.4	293.9
Non-current assets			
Investments in subsidiaries		2,550.5	1,821.9
Receivables		32.9	169.8
Other financial assets		267.7	171.8
Total non-current assets		2,851.1	2,163.5
Total assets		2,997.5	2,457.4
Current liabilities			
Payables		0.5	96.0
Dividend payable		46.7	110.8
Other financial liabilities		45.4	–
Total current liabilities		92.6	206.8
Non-current liabilities			
Payables		807.7	505.2
Other financial liabilities		122.3	86.8
Total non-current liabilities		930.0	592.0
Total liabilities		1,022.6	798.8
Net assets		1,974.9	1,658.6
Equity attributable to Shareholders			
Share capital		873.0	791.9
Reserves	18	88.6	72.0
Retained earnings	19	1,013.3	794.7
Total equity attributable to Shareholders		1,974.9	1,658.6

The Company level statement of financial position was approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on 16 August 2022.



Stephen Paul Johns
Independent Chairman



David Jeremy Collins
Director

25 Subsequent events

There has not arisen in the interval between the end of the financial year and the date of this financial report any item, transaction or event of a material and unusual nature likely, in the opinion of the Directors, to affect significantly the operations of the Consolidated Entity, the results of those operations, or the state of affairs of the Consolidated Entity, in future financial years.

Securities information

Top 20 Securityholders As at 8 September 2022	Number of securities	Percentage of total issued securities
1. HSBC CUSTODY NOMINEES (AUSTRALIA) LIMITED	681,499,089	36.24
2. J.P. MORGAN NOMINEES AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED	561,957,776	29.88
3. CITICORP NOMINEES PTY LIMITED	190,580,369	10.13
4. NATIONAL NOMINEES LIMITED	76,665,425	4.08
5. BNP PARIBAS NOMS PTY LTD <DRP>	68,172,806	3.63
6. CITICORP NOMINEES PTY LIMITED <COLONIAL FIRST STATE INV A/C>	36,355,892	1.93
7. BNP PARIBAS NOMINEES PTY LTD <AGENCY LENDING DRP A/C>	36,269,494	1.93
8. TRISON INVESTMENTS PTY LTD	17,463,993	0.93
9. BEESIDE PTY LTD ATF THE BEESIDE TRUST	13,192,040	0.70
10. HSBC CUSTODY NOMINEES (AUSTRALIA) LIMITED <NT-COMNWLTH SUPER CORP A/C>	9,373,751	0.50
11. AUSTRALIAN FOUNDATION INVESTMENT COMPANY LIMITED	8,835,000	0.47
12. CUSTODIAL SERVICES LIMITED <BENEFICIARIES HOLDING A/C>	4,426,940	0.24
13. BNP PARIBAS NOMINEES PTY LTD HUB24 CUSTODIAL SERV LTD <DRP A/C>	4,075,984	0.22
14. UBS NOMINEES PTY LTD	3,289,853	0.17
15. NETWEALTH INVESTMENTS LIMITED <WRAP SERVICES A/C>	3,009,858	0.16
16. CPU SHARE PLANS PTY LTD <GMG VAR CONTROL A/C>	2,820,000	0.15
17. DPCON BVBA	2,678,465	0.14
18. ONE MANAGED INVESTMENT FUNDS LTD <CHARTER HALL MAXIM PROPERTY SE>	2,500,000	0.13
19. BNP PARIBAS NOMS (NZ) LTD <DRP>	2,278,718	0.12
20. GOODMAN EQUITIES PTY LTD	2,229,540	0.12
Securities held by top 20 Securityholders	1,727,684,993	91.88
Balance of securities held	152,784,095	8.12
Total issued securities	1,880,469,088	100.00

Range of securities	Number of Securityholders	Number of securities	Percentage of total issued securities
1 – 1,000	29,629	11,301,082	0.60
1,001 – 5,000	18,141	41,687,906	2.22
5,001 – 10,000	3,142	22,361,098	1.19
10,001 – 100,000	1,813	38,963,560	2.07
100,001 – over	105	1,766,155,442	93.92
Total	52,830	1,880,469,088	100

There were 1,113 Securityholders with less than a marketable parcel in relation to 12,398 securities as at 8 September 2022.

Substantial Securityholders¹	Number of securities
Vanguard Group Inc.	167,546,666
Leader Investment Corporation; China Investment Corporation	167,247,241
Blackrock Investment Management Limited	137,503,983
State Street Corporation	99,948,106

1. In accordance with latest Substantial Securityholder Notices as at 26 August 2022.

Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited CHESS Depository Interests. ASX reserves the right (but without limiting its absolute discretion) to remove Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited, Goodman Limited and Goodman Industrial Trust from the official list of the ASX if a CHESS Depository Interest (CDI) referencing an ordinary share in Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited, a share in Goodman Limited or a unit in Goodman Industrial Trust cease to be stapled, or any new securities are issued by Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited, Goodman Limited or Goodman Industrial Trust and are not (or CDIs in respect of them are not) stapled to equivalent securities in the Goodman Group.

Voting rights. On a show of hands at a general meeting of Goodman Limited or Goodman Industrial Trust, every person present who is an eligible Securityholder shall have one vote and on a poll, every person present who is an eligible Securityholder shall have one vote for each Goodman Limited share and one vote for each dollar value of Goodman Industrial Trust units that the eligible Securityholder holds or represents (as the case may be). At a general meeting of Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited, all resolutions will be determined by poll, and eligible Securityholders will be able to direct Chess Depository Nominees Pty Limited to cast one vote for each Chess Depository Instrument (referencing a Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited share) that the eligible Securityholder holds or represents (as the case may be).

Securityholder approval of securities. During the financial year, 18,892,650 performance rights were issued under the Long Term Incentive Plan, of which 2,875,000 performance rights were issued to Executive Directors with Securityholder approval under ASX Listing Rule 10.14.

On-market buy-back. There is no current on-market buy-back.

Glossary

AASB Australian Accounting Standards Board.

ASX Australian Securities Exchange, or ASX Limited (ABN 98 008 624 691) or the financial market which it operates as the case requires.

AUM Assets under management: total value of properties directly held or under management.

CPP Investments. Formerly Canada Pension Plan Investment Board.

Cps Cents per security.

Cpu Cents per unit.

DPS Distribution per security. Total distributions to investors divided by the number of securities outstanding.

EPS Earnings per security.

GADP Goodman Australia Development Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Australia.

GAIP Goodman Australia Industrial Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Australia.

GAP Goodman Australia Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Australia.

GBLP Goodman Brazil Logistics Partnership.

GCLP Goodman China Logistics Partnership Limited, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in China.

GEP Goodman European Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Continental Europe.

GFML Goodman Funds Management Limited (ABN 48 067 796 641; AFSL Number 223621).

GHKLP Goodman Hong Kong Logistics Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Hong Kong.

GIT Goodman Industrial Trust (ARSN 091 213 839) and its controlled entities or GFM as Responsible Entity for GIT, where the context requires.

GJCP Goodman Japan Core Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Japan.

GJDP Goodman Japan Development Partnership, a logistics and industrial partnership specialising in the development of industrial property in Japan.

GL Goodman Limited (ABN 69 000 123 071) and where the context requires, its controlled entities.

GMT Goodman Property Trust, a listed property trust on the NZX managed by GMG.

GNAP Goodman North America Partnership, a logistics and industrial partnership specialising in the investment of industrial property in North America.

GLHK Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (Company No. 1700359; ARBN 155 911 149) and where the context requires, its controlled entities.

Goodman Group or GMG Goodman Limited, Goodman Industrial Trust and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited, trading as Goodman Group and where the context requires, their controlled entities.

GUKP Goodman United Kingdom Partnership.

KGIP KWASA-Goodman Industrial Partnership, an unlisted property investment vehicle specialising in the investment of industrial property in Australia.

KGG KWASA-Goodman Germany, an unlisted property trust specialising in the investment of industrial property in Germany.

Stapled The linking together of a GIT unit, a GL share and a CDI in respect of a GLHK share so that one may not be transferred or otherwise dealt with without the other and which are quoted on the ASX jointly as a “stapled security”.

Stapled Security or Security A GIT unit, a GL share and a CDI in respect of a GLHK share which are stapled so that they can only be traded together.

NAV Net asset value: the value of total assets less liabilities. For this purpose, liabilities include both current and long-term liabilities. To calculate the net asset value per ordinary security, divide the net asset value by the number of securities on issue.

NZX New Zealand Exchange Limited or New Zealand Exchange being the equity security market operated by it, as the case requires.

Responsible Entity Responsible Entity means a public company that holds an Australian Financial Services Licence (“AFSL”) authorising it to operate a managed investment scheme. In respect of GIT, the Responsible Entity is GFML, a wholly-owned subsidiary of GL.

S&P Standard & Poor's: an independent rating agency that provides evaluation of securities investments and credit risk.

Securityholder A holder of a Stapled Security.

Shareholder A shareholder of GL and/or GLHK.

Sqm Square metres.

Sq ft Square feet.

Substantial Securityholder A person or company that holds at least 5% of Goodman Group's voting rights.

TSR Total securityholder return.

Corporate directory

GOODMAN GROUP

Goodman Limited

ABN 69 000 123 071

Goodman Industrial Trust

ARSN 091 213 839

Goodman Funds Management Limited

Responsible Entity of Goodman Industrial Trust

ABN 48 067 796 641

AFSL Number 223621

Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited

Company No. 1700359

ARBN 155 911 149

REGISTERED OFFICES

The Hayesbery
1-11 Hayes Road
Rosebery NSW 2018

Australia

GPO Box 4703
Sydney NSW 2001
Australia

Telephone 1300 791 100 (within Australia)
+61 2 9230 7400 (outside Australia)

Facsimile +61 2 9230 7444

Suite 901
Three Pacific Place
1 Queen's Road East
Hong Kong

Telephone +852 2249 3100

Facsimile +852 2525 2070

Email info au@goodman.com

Website goodman.com

OTHER OFFICES

Amsterdam	Hamburg	Osaka
Auckland	Hong Kong	Paris
Beijing	London	Pennsylvania
Birmingham	Los Angeles	San Francisco
Brisbane	Luxembourg	São Paulo
Brussels	Madrid	Shanghai
Chengdu	Melbourne	Shenzhen
Chongqing	Milan	Tokyo
Düsseldorf	Munich	
Guangzhou	New Jersey	

DIRECTORS

Goodman Limited and Goodman Funds Management Limited

Stephen Johns

Independent Chairman

Greg Goodman

Group Chief Executive Officer

Chris Green

Independent Director

Mark G Johnson

Independent Director

Vanessa Liu

Independent Director

Rebecca McGrath

Independent Director

Danny Peeters

Executive Director

Phillip Pryke

Independent Director

Anthony Rozic

Executive Director

Hilary Spann

Independent Director

Carl Bicego

Company Secretary

Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited

Stephen Johns

Independent Chairman

David Collins

Independent Director

Danny Peeters

Executive Director

Goodman Secretarial Asia Limited

Company Secretary

SECURITY REGISTRAR

Computershare Investor Services Pty Limited
Level 5, 115 Grenfell Street
Adelaide SA 5000
Australia

GPO Box 1903
Adelaide SA 5001
Australia

Telephone 1300 723 040 (within Australia)
+61 3 9415 4043 (outside Australia)

Facsimile +61 8 8236 2305

Email www.investorcentre.com/contact

Website computershare.com/au

ASX CODE

GMG

AUDITOR

KPMG
Level 38, Tower Three
International Towers Sydney
300 Barangaroo Avenue
Sydney NSW 2000
Australia

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared by Goodman Group (Goodman Limited (ABN 69 000 123 071), Goodman Funds Management Limited (ABN 48 067 796 641; AFSL Number 223621) as the Responsible Entity for Goodman Industrial Trust (ARSN 091 213 839) and Goodman Logistics (HK) Limited (Company No. 1700359; ARBN 155 911 149)). It is not intended to be relied upon as advice to investors or potential investors and does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or needs of any particular investor. These should be considered, with professional advice, when deciding if an investment is appropriate. This document is not an offer or invitation for subscription or purchase of securities or other financial products. It does not constitute an offer of securities in the United States. Securities may not be offered or sold in the United States unless they are registered under the US Securities Act of 1933 or an exemption from registration is available. This document contains certain "forward-looking statements". The words "anticipate", "believe", "expect", "project", "forecast", "estimate", "likely", "intend", "should", "could", "may", "target", "plan" and other similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Indications of, and guidance on, future earnings and financial position and performance are also forward-looking statements. Due care and attention have been used in the preparation of forecast information. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of Goodman Group, that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such statements. There can be no assurance that actual outcomes will not differ materially from these statements. All values are expressed in Australian currency unless otherwise stated. September 2022.

