FU YU CORPORATION LIMITED
(Incorporated in the Republic of Singapore)
(Company Registration Number: 198004601C)
(the "**Company**")

EXTRAORDINARY GENERAL MEETING TO BE HELD ON 31 JULY 2026
- RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS FROM A SHAREHOLDER

The Board of Directors (the "**Board**") of Fu Yu Corporation Limited ("**Fu Yu**" or the "**Company**" and together with its subsidiaries, the "**Group**") wishes to thank shareholders for submitting their questions in advance of the Extraordinary General Meeting, which will be held, in a wholly physical format, at 9 Tuas Drive 1, Singapore 638676 on Friday, 31 July 2026 at 10.00 a.m. (Singapore time).

The following are the Company's responses to substantial and relevant questions that were raised by a shareholder. For shareholders' ease of reference and reading, the Company has summarised and/or consolidated certain questions and has also made editorial amendments to some questions for clarity.

Q1. Page 6 of the circular to shareholders (the "Circular") noted that "the Proposed Appointment of New Auditors would result in a reduction in audit fees by approximately S$12,000". The audit fee for last year was S$271,000. Please confirm that, had the new auditors been auditing the Company last year, they would have charged S$259,000? Please confirm if the S$12,000 fee reduction is in relation to the base fee or otherwise? Please detail and elaborate.

The approximately S$12,000 reduction referred to in the Circular relates to the proposed base statutory audit fee, based on a comparable audit scope with that of FY2025.

As with any audit engagement, the final audit fee will depend on the actual scope of work required. In particular, where additional audit procedures are necessary, including work arising from matters such as the prior year's qualified opinion and the associated follow-up audit procedures, the final audit fee may differ from the proposed base fee.

Accordingly, the reduction is based on the proposed base statutory audit fee, while the final audit fee will depend on the actual scope of work required.

Q2. Who initiated the change of auditors? Was it the company or Baker Tilly TFW LLP ("Baker Tilly")? Please detail and elaborate.

Baker Tilly had retired at the last AGM held on 30 April 2026 and did not seek re-appointment as auditors of the Company, following discussions between the Company and Baker Tilly as part of the Company's overall review of its audit requirements and circumstances. The decision was reached after taking into consideration various factors, including the Group's evolving business needs, audit requirements, and audit fee considerations. Additionally, the AC and the Board believe that the Proposed Appointment of New Auditors will enable the Company to benefit from fresh perspectives and views of another professional audit firm, being Foo Kon Tan LLP ("**FKT**"), and thereby enhance the value of the audit.

Following this, the Board, in consultation with the Audit Committee ("**AC**"), undertook a selection process to identify a suitable successor and has recommended the appointment of Foo Kon Tan LLP for shareholders' approval.

The Board would like to place on record its appreciation to Baker Tilly for its professional services over the years and believes that the proposed appointment of FKT will ensure a smooth transition and the continued maintenance of high audit and governance standards.

Q3. Would changing auditors, a mere three years after the last change, be consistent with the spirit of good corporate governance? Please explain and elaborate.

The Board recognises the importance of audit continuity as an element of good corporate governance.

Nonetheless, for the reasons set out in the response to Question 2 above and as further detailed in the Circular (in particular Section 2.1 of the Circular), the AC and the Board were of the view that it would be appropriate and timely to appoint FKT as the new auditors of the Company following Baker Tilly's retirement as auditors of the Company at the last AGM.

Following Baker Tilly's retirement as auditors of the Company at the last AGM, the Board and the AC undertook a selection process to identify a suitable successor to ensure continuity in the Company's audit function and compliance with the applicable regulatory requirements, and were satisfied that FKT would be an appropriate and suitable successor to be appointed as auditors of the Company.

Accordingly, the Board believes that the proposed appointment FKT as the new auditors of the Company is consistent with good corporate governance and is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

Q4. Out of the three firms that submitted a quote, did FKT quote the lowest fees? Please detail and elaborate.

The AC conducted a competitive selection process and evaluated proposals from several audit firms. The assessment was based on a range of factors, including the firms' experience, industry expertise, audit methodology, engagement team, available resources, independence and proposed fees.

While audit fees were an important consideration, they were not the sole determining factor. FKT submitted a very competitive and attractive fee proposal, while also demonstrating the necessary experience, resources and capability to undertake the audit of the Group. The AC was satisfied that FKT offered the best overall balance of audit quality, capability and value for the Company.

Accordingly, the Board's recommendation was made based on the overall merits of the proposal and the AC's comprehensive evaluation, rather than on price alone.

By Order of the Board
FU YU CORPORATION LIMITED

Gilbert L. Rodrigues
Independent Non-Executive Chairman
24 July 2026